

# Parallel Backpropagation for Multilayer Neural Networks

Palash Goyal<sup>1</sup>   Nitin Kamra<sup>1</sup>   Sungyong Seo<sup>1</sup>   Vasileios Zois<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science  
University of Southern California



May 6, 2016

# Outline

- 1 Problem statement
- 2 Why mini batch?
- 3 Parallelization Methods
  - POSIX Threads
  - CUDA
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Results and analysis

# Problem statement

- Prevailing neural network architectures are implemented using several hidden layers, with each one consisting of thousands to millions of neurons in order to generalize well on diverse inputs.
- Can you imagine how many parameters need to be trained for every iterations?



## Problem statement(contd.)

- Gradient Descent is the most commonly used optimization algorithm used to train neural networks in supervised settings.
- Having the gradient as a sum of partial gradients with respect to individual training examples opens up the possibility of parallelizing the gradient computation efficiently.

# Gradient Descent

- Suppose we have some training set  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{MSE}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f(x_i; \theta))^2$$

- Then, the parameters are updated as follow:

$$\theta_j = \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{MSE}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j}$$

# Batch vs. Stochastic

- If the gradient is computed by using whole dataset ( $N$ ), it is Batch Gradient Descent.
  - This is great for convex, or relatively smooth error manifolds.
  - Gradient is less noisy (averaged over a large number of samples).
  - For large or infinite datasets, batch gradient is impractical.
- Stochastic Gradient Descent(SGD) computes the gradient using a single example.
  - SGD works well for error manifolds that have lots of local maxima/minima because the somewhat noisy gradient helps to escape local minima into a region that hopefully is more optimal.
  - SGD may go "zig-zag" to a local minimum because of highly noisy gradient.

## Alternative: Mini Batch

- Mini Batch Gradient Descent (MGD) is to compute the gradient against more than one training example at each step.
- $M$  is the mini batch size.

$$\mathcal{L}_{MSE}^k(\theta) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=s_k}^{s_k+M} (y_i - f(x_i; \theta))^2$$
$$\theta_k = \theta_k - \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{MSE}^k(\theta)}{\partial \theta_k}$$

- Parallelization : Distributing training examples across various processors and letting them compute a partial gradient over their own training examples.

# Outline

- 1 Problem statement
- 2 Why mini batch?
- 3 Parallelization Methods**
  - POSIX Threads
  - CUDA
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Results and analysis



# Pthreads - POSIX threads

- **Low level multithreading API** - Threads are created and each task is assigned to each thread in parallel.
- **TODO** - ABOUT Basic functions to show how Pthread is used in our project

# Outline

- 1 Problem statement
- 2 Why mini batch?
- 3 Parallelization Methods**
  - POSIX Threads
  - CUDA**
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Results and analysis

# Design Overview

- Neural Network Training Steps

- **Forward propagation:**

$$A_{i+1} = f(W_i \cdot A_i)$$


- **Back propagation:**

$$D_L = Y - A_L,$$

$$D_i = (W_i)^T \cdot D_{i+1} \circ d(W_{i-1} \cdot A_{i-1})$$


- **Weight update:**

$$W_i = W_i + \frac{\eta}{b} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^b D_{i+1}^j \cdot A_i^j$$



# GPU Implementation Details


- Training Kernels
  - Variants of tiled matrix multiplication
  - Each thread is responsible for computing a single matrix cell
  - On-chip memory for amplifying



# GPU Implementation Details (2)

- Optimization

- Coalesced access to global memory by iterating over the secondary dimension
- Same strategy eliminates shared memory bank conflicts



- Neural Network Customization

- Single or double precision arithmetic
- User preferred activation function
- Abstract definition of network architecture and training parameters (i.e. batch size, learning rate)

# MNIST dataset

- MNIST, handwritten digits, has a training set of 60,000 examples, and a test set of 10,000 examples.
- The digits have been size-normalized and centered in a fixed-size image to 28x28 image.
- 10,000 examples in 60,000 training set are used as validation set and left 50,000 images are used for training.
- The original labels values are 0 to 9 but it is vectorized by one-hot encoding.



# Experiment parameters

## • Network structures

- # Layers :
- # Nodes :
- # Bias :
- What else?

## • Activation function

- Sigmoid function

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$
$$\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z))$$

## • Hyperparameters

- Learning rate  $\alpha$  :
- Regularization : None
- # Epochs : 50?
- Size of Mini Batch : 1000?
- What else?

# Parallel experiments

- **PThreads**
  - About PThreads setting
- **CUDA**
  - About CUDA setting
- **theano**
  - About theano



- **Running time vs. Accuracy FIGURE**

- Discussion