



Simulating Composites Manufacturing Processes

Michael R. Wisnom, Stephen R. Hallett

Bristol Composites Institute, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TR, UK.

M.Wisnom@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

Finite element structural analysis of composites is relatively mature and widely used in industry. Simulations are able to represent overall structural behaviour well, and high-fidelity models can capture detailed failure mechanisms. Simulation of manufacturing processes is much less mature and has not yet been taken up as widely by industry, but substantial advances have been made in recent years. This paper presents state of the art simulations and shows that they are able to represent important processes in composites manufacturing, offering great potential to reduce costly and time-consuming physical trials and demonstrators.

Defects which occur during composites' manufacturing can have a profound effect on mechanical performance, and so have to be taken account of in design. Process simulation can be applied to many different aspects of composites manufacturing, with scope to reduce defects by better understanding the behaviour, leading to more manufacturable parts. There are still challenges due to the complexity and inherent variability, together with the significant computational requirements for carrying out large scale simulations. However, current tools can already be used to troubleshoot problematic cases,





and the continuing improvements in computer hardware and growing capabilities of artificial intelligence mean simulation is likely to become more and more important in the future.

This paper presents examples of successful simulations of prepreg and textile manufacturing processes. Through-thickness consolidation can lead to variability and defects such as wrinkles. The process has been effectively simulated in lab coupons [1-3] and scaled up to more realistic parts [4]. Simulation of Automated Fibre Placement (AFP) has also demonstrated the ability to predict and reduce wrinkles [5-7].

Residual stresses and distortion during cure can be modelled [8,9] and the workflows automated to make application to components easier [10]. Manufacturing simulations can be linked to structural analysis to improve strength predictions by accounting for the differences between as-manufactured and as-designed parts [11]. These approaches can be applied at the structural scale to reduce the size of the pyramid of testing required for certification [12].

The as-manufactured yarn geometry is important in analysis of 3D textile parts, and simulations can capture the deformations during consolidation, leading to better predictions of stiffness and strength [13-16]. Forming simulations can also be used to reduce defects such as wrinkles [17-20]. Simulations of resin infusion processes can reduce the risk of problems such as race-tracking or dry spots and help in tooling design [21-24].

A range of examples of state-of-the-art composites manufacturing simulation techniques are presented, addressing some of the many challenges and proposing solutions which can be used to help produce better parts.



Keywords: Manufacturing, Simulation, Defects

References

1. Belnoue JP-H, Nixon-Pearson OJ, Thompson AJ, Ivanov DS, Potter KD, and Hallett SR, Consolidation-driven defect generation in thick composite parts, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, 2018, 140(7) <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4039555>
2. Nixon-Pearson OJ, Belnoue JP-H, Ivanov DS, Potter KD and Hallett SR, An Experimental Investigation of the Compaction Behaviour of Un-cured Prepregs under Processing Conditions, Journal of Composite Materials, 2017, 51 (13), pp 1911-1924 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998316665681>
3. Belnoue JP-H, Nixon-Pearson OJ, Ivanov D and Hallett SR, A Novel Hyper-Viscoelastic Model for Consolidation of Toughened Prepregs under Processing Conditions, Mechanics of Materials, 2016, 97, pp 118–134 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2016.02.019>
4. Belnoue JP-H, Hallett SR, A rapid multi-scale design tool for the prediction of wrinkle defect formation in composite components, Materials and Design, 2020, 187, 108388 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108388>
5. Wang Y, Mahapatra S, Ivanov D, Hallett SR, Belnoue JP-H, Simulating Steering-Induced Defects in Composites Additive Manufacturing, 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics & the 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-APCOM 2022), Yokohama, Japan
6. Wang Y, Belnoue JP-H, Ivanov DS, Hallett SR, Hypo-viscoelastic modelling of in-plane shear in UD thermoset prepregs, Composites Part A 2021, 146, 106400 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2021.106400>
7. Wang Y, Mahapatra S, Belnoue JP-H, Ivanov DS, Hallett SR, Understanding tack behaviour during prepreg-based composites' processing, Composites Part A, 2022, 164, 107284 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.107284>



8. Mesogitis TS, Skordos AA, Long AC, Stochastic simulation of the influence of cure kinetics uncertainty on composites cure, Composites Science and Technology, 2015, 110, 145-151 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.02.009>
9. Çınar K and Ersoy N, 3D finite element model for predicting manufacturing distortions of composite parts, Journal of Composite Materials, 2016, 50 (27), Pages 3791-3807 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998315625789>
10. Wang Y, Hallett SR and Belnoue JP-H, SIMPROCS project close out meeting, May 2023, <https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/composites/documents/simprocs/wang.pdf>
11. Varkonyi B, Belnoue JP-H, Kratz J, Hallett SR, Predicting consolidation-induced wrinkles and their effects on composites structural performance, Int J Mater Form, 2019, 347 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12289-019-01514-2>
12. EPSRC Programme Grant: Certification For Design: Reshaping The Testing Pyramid (CerTest), <https://www.composites-certtest.com>
13. Green SD, Matveev MY, Long AC, Ivanov D and Hallett SR, Mechanical modelling of 3D woven composites considering realistic unit cell geometry Voxel models, Composite Structures, 2014, 118, 284-293 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.07.005>
14. Durville D, Ibrahim Baydoun a, Hélène Moustacas a b, Périé G, Wielhorski Y, Determining the initial configuration and characterizing the mechanical properties of 3D angle-interlock fabrics using finite element simulation <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2017.06.026>
15. Thompson A, Belnoue JP-H, and Hallett SR, A meso-scale modelling approach for virtual characterisation of dry textile preforms, Euromech Colloquium 569 – Multiscale Modeling of Fibrous and Textile Materials, Châtenay-Malabry, 2016
16. Daelemans L, Tomme B, Caglar B, Michaud V, Van Stappen J, Cnudde V, Boone M, Van Paepegem W, Kinematic and mechanical response of dry woven fabrics in through-thickness compression: Virtual fiber modeling with mesh overlay technique and experimental validation, Composites Science and Technology, 2021, 207, 108706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2021.108706>
17. Thompson AJ, Belnoue JP-H, Hallett SR, Modelling defect formation in textiles during the double diaphragm forming process, Composites Part B: Engineering 2020, 202, 108357 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2020.108357>



18. Boisse P, Hamila N, Vidal-Sallé E, Dumont F, Simulation of wrinkling during textile composite reinforcement forming. Influence of tensile, in-plane shear and bending stiffnesses, Composites Science and Technology, 2011, 71 (5), Pages 683-692 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2011.01.011>
19. Thompson AJ, El Said B, Belnoue J.P.-H and Hallett SR, Modelling process induced deformations in 0/90 non-crimp fabrics at the meso-scale, Composites Science and Technology, 2018, 168, 104-110 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.08.029>
20. Chen S, McGregor OPL, Harper LT, Endruweit A, Warrior N. Defect formation during preforming of a bi-axial non-crimp fabric with a pillar stitch pattern, Composites Part A, 2016, 91 (1), Pages 156-167 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2016.09.016>
21. Gommer F, Endruweit A, and Long AC, Influence of the micro-structure on saturated transverse flow in fibre arrays, Journal of Composite Materials, 2018, 52 (18) <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998317747954>
22. Bancora S, Binetruy C, Advani S, Comas-Cardona S, Leygue A, Efficient dual-scale flow simulation for Resin Transfer Molding process based on domains skeletonization Composites Part A, 2023, 165, 107319 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.107319>
23. Dereims A, Drapier S, Bergheau J-M, De Luca P, Industrial simulation of liquid resin infusion by the finite element method, ICCM International Conferences on Composite Materials, 2013, pp. 1318-1328 <https://iccm-central.org/Proceedings/ICCM19proceedings/papers/DER81379.pdf>
24. Pierce RS, Falzon B, Thompson M, A multi-physics process model for simulating the manufacture of resin-infused composite aerostructures. Composites Science and Technology, 2017, 149, Pg 269-279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2017.07.003>

