

(Problems on Neyman-Pearson Fundamental)
lemma

- ① Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 from $Poi(\lambda)$. Construct an MP test with size $\alpha = 0.05$ for
- $$H_0: \lambda = 1$$
- $$\text{vs } H_1: \lambda = 2$$

Also find the power of the test

Ans $\Rightarrow X_1, X_2, \dots, X_5$ i.i.d $Poi(\lambda)$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i \sim Poi(5\lambda)$$

For Poisson distribution, $Y = \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i$ is the sufficient statistic for λ

The Most Powerful test for $H_0: \lambda = 1$ vs $H_1: \lambda = 2$ is given by,

$$\phi(Y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y > c \\ \gamma & \text{if } Y = c \\ 0 & \text{if } Y < c \end{cases}$$

c and γ are to be determined from the size condition.

Given $\alpha = 0.05$

$$\Rightarrow E_{H_0}[\phi(Y)] = 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0}(Y > c) + \gamma P_{H_0}(Y = c) = 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0}(Y \leq c) - \gamma P_{H_0}(Y = c) = 0.95$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{P_{H_0}(Y \leq c) - 0.95}{P_{H_0}(Y = c)}$$

We have to fix a 'c' such that the randomization probability is positive and also minimized. The table looks like,

c	$P_{H_0}(Y=c)$	$P_{H_0}(Y \leq c)$
0	0.006	0.006
1	0.033	0.039
2	0.084	0.123
3	0.140	0.263
4	0.175	0.438
5	0.175	0.613
6	0.146	0.759
7	0.1044	0.863
8	0.065	0.9284
9	0.036	0.9644

Under H_0 , $Y \sim \text{Poi}(5)$; $P(Y=y) = \frac{e^{-5} 5^y}{y!}$, $y=0,1,2,\dots$

Under H_1 , $Y \sim \text{Poi}(10)$; $P(Y=y) = \frac{e^{-10} 10^y}{y!}$, $y=0,1,2,\dots$

Here from looking at the table, at $c=9$, $P_{H_0}(Y \leq c)$ becomes larger than 0.95

$$\text{Hence } \gamma = \frac{0.9644 - 0.95}{0.036} = \boxed{0.4}$$

The MP test

$$\phi(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y > 9 \\ 0.4 & \text{if } Y = 9 \\ 0 & \text{if } Y < 9 \end{cases}$$

power of the test

$$E_{H_1}[\phi(x)]$$

$$= 1 \cdot P(Y > 9) + 0.4 P(Y = 9)$$

$$= 1 - P(Y \leq 9) + 0.4 \times (P(Y = 9))$$

$$= 1 - 0.4593 + 0.4 \times 0.1251$$

$$= \boxed{0.59074}$$

Table for
Poi(10)

c	$P_{H_1}(Y=c)$	$P_{H_1}(Y \leq c)$
0	0.00004	0.00004
1	0.0004	0.00044
2	0.0023	0.00274
3	0.0076	0.0103
4	0.0189	0.0292
5	0.0378	0.0678
6	0.0638	0.1326
7	0.0900	0.2226
8	0.1126	0.3342
9	0.1251	0.4593

② Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_5 be i.i.d Ber(p)

Construct a test (MP) for

$$H_0: p = 0.3$$

against

$$H_1: p = 0.5 \text{ with } \alpha = 0.05$$

Furthermore find the power for $p = 0.6, p = 0.7, p = 0.9$

Ans $\Rightarrow X_1, X_2, \dots, X_5$ i.i.d Ber(p)

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i \sim \text{Bin}(5, p)$$

$Y = \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i$ is the sufficient statistic for p .

$$P(Y=y) = \binom{5}{y} p^y (1-p)^{5-y}, \quad 0 < p < 1, \quad y = 0, 1, \dots, 5$$

An MP test is defined by, $\phi(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y > c \\ \gamma & \text{if } y = c \\ 0 & \text{if } y < c \end{cases}$

c and γ are to be determined from the size condition

$$E_{H_0}[\phi(x)] = \alpha = 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot P(Y > c) + \gamma P(Y = c) = 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y \leq c) - \gamma P(Y = c) = 0.95$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{P_{H_0}(Y \leq c) - 0.95}{P_{H_0}(Y = c)}$$

We have to fix a ' c ' such that the randomization probability is positive and minimized

c	$P_{H_0}(Y = c)$	$P_{H_0}(Y \leq c)$
0	0.168	0.168
1	0.360	0.528
2	0.3087	0.8367
3	0.1323	<u>0.969</u>
4	0.02835	0.99735
5	0.002	1

Under H_0 ,

$$P(Y = y) = \binom{5}{y} (0.3)^y (0.7)^{5-y}$$

Here, $c = 3$ is the point to be considered

$$\text{Hence, } \gamma = \frac{0.969 - 0.95}{0.1323} = \boxed{0.143613}$$

\therefore An MP test is,

$$\phi(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y > 3 \\ 0.143613 & \text{if } Y = 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } Y < 3 \end{cases}$$

Power of the test function

For $p = 0.6$

$$E_{H_1}[\phi(x)]$$

$$= P(Y > 3) + \gamma P(Y = 3)$$

$$= 0.2592 + 0.078$$

$$+ 0.049$$

$$= \boxed{0.3862}$$

For $p = 0.7$

$$E_{H_1}[\phi(x)]$$

$$= P(Y > 3) + \gamma P(Y = 3)$$

$$= 0.360 + 0.168$$

$$+ 0.044$$

$$= \boxed{0.572}$$

For $p = 0.9$

$$E_{H_1}[\phi(x)]$$

$$= P(Y > 3) + \gamma P(Y = 3)$$

$$= 0.328 + 0.590$$

$$+ 0.011$$

$$= \boxed{0.929}$$

- ③ Let the random variable X has the following distribution

X	0	1	2	3
$P_\theta(X=x)$	θ	2θ	$0.9-2\theta$	$0.1-\theta$

where $0 < \theta < 1$

for testing $H_0: \theta = 0.05$ vs $H_1: \theta > 0.05$ at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Determine which of the following test is UMP?

i) $\phi(0) = 1, \phi(1) = \phi(2) = \phi(3) = 0$

ii) $\phi(1) = 0.5, \phi(x) = 0$ if $x \neq 1$

iii) $\phi(3) = 1, \phi(x) = 0$ if $x \neq 3$

iv) $\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 2, 3 \end{cases}$

Ans \Rightarrow

X	0	1	2	3
$P(X=x)$	θ	2θ	$0.9-2\theta$	$0.1-\theta$

We test

$H_0: \theta = 0.05$ vs $H_1: \theta > 0.05$

Case-I

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

Power of the test

$$E_{H_1}[\phi(x)] = 1 \cdot P_{H_1}(X=0) = \theta$$

if $\alpha = 0.05 = \Pr(\text{type I error}) = \text{size of the test}$ and under

$H_1, \theta > 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{power} > \text{size}$

\Rightarrow This is an UMP test.

Case II

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

Power of the test

$$= 0.5 \times P_{H_1}(X=1) = 0.5 \times 2\theta = \theta$$

\Rightarrow This is also an UMP test.

Case III

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=3 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 3 \end{cases}$$

\therefore Power of the test

$$E_{H_1}(\phi(x)) = 1 \cdot P_{H_1}(x=3) = 0.1 - \theta$$

Under H_1 , $\theta > 0.05$

$$\Rightarrow 0.1 - \theta < 0.1 - 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{power} < \text{size}$$

Hence, the test is not unbiased

\Rightarrow the test is not UMP.

Case-IV

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=1 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

$$E_{H_1}(\phi(x)) = P_{H_1}(x=1) = 2\theta$$

Under H_1 , $\Rightarrow \text{power} > \text{size}$ as $\theta > 0.05$

\Rightarrow This is an UMP test.

④ Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5 \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$

$$H_0: \lambda \leq 1 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \lambda > 1$$

Construct an UMP test with $\alpha = 0.1$

Ans \Rightarrow For poisson distribution, the sufficient statistics for $\lambda(>0)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, where $n = \text{sample size}$.

$$\text{For } n=5 \quad f(x) = \frac{e^{-5\lambda} (\lambda)^{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^5 x_i!}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i \sim \text{Poi}(5\lambda)$$

Now for exponential family, $a(\theta)h(x)e^{c(\theta)T(x)}$ should be matched with $f(x)$.

Hence $f(x)$ is a member of exponential family with $c(\lambda) = \ln \lambda$, UMP test construction can be done on $\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i$.

The above test in the question may be reformed test in terms of the common limit point of ~~intersection~~ intersection between the (H_0) closure set and (H_1) closure set.

$$\equiv H_0: \lambda = 1 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \lambda > 1$$

As per Neyman-Pearson lemma, UMP will be

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i > c \\ \gamma & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i = c \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i < c \end{cases}$$

c and γ are to be determined from size condition.

$$E_{H_0}(\phi(t)) = \alpha = 0.1 \text{ (size of the test)}$$

$$\text{Where } T = \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i \sim \text{Poi}(5\lambda)$$

$$\text{Under } H_0 \Rightarrow T \sim \text{Poi}(5) \quad [\because \lambda=1]$$

$$\therefore 1 \cdot P_{H_0}(T > c) + \gamma P_{H_0}(T = c) = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{P_{H_0}(T \leq c) - 0.9}{P_{H_0}(T = c)}$$

c	$P_{H_0}(T = c)$	$P_{H_0}(T \leq c)$
0	0.006	0.006
1	0.034	0.040
2	0.084	0.124
3	0.1404	0.264
4	0.175	0.439
5	0.175	0.614
6	0.146	0.760
7	0.104	0.864
8	0.065	0.929

Hence we consider c as 8 and $\gamma = \frac{P_{H_0}(T \leq 8) - 0.9}{P_{H_0}(T = 8)}$

$$= \frac{0.929 - 0.9}{0.065} = \boxed{0.446}$$

Consequently, the UMP test

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T > 8 \\ 0.446 & \text{if } T = 8 \\ 0 & \text{if } T < 8 \end{cases}, \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i$$

⑤ Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} be iid $N(\mu, 1)$. Propose an UMP test for $H_0: \mu \leq 2$ or $\mu \geq 3$ vs $H_1: 2 < \mu < 3$

Ans \Rightarrow Normal population is a member of exponential family. As per NP lemma UMP test will be of the form of the sufficient statistic $T = \sum_i x_i$ as follows.

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1 < \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c_2 \\ \gamma_j & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c_j \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

Since normal is a continuous distribution, non-randomised test exists.

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1 < \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c_2 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

where c_1, c_2 are determined from size condition.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu=\mu_0}(\phi(t)) &= \alpha \Rightarrow P_{H_0} \left(c_1 < \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c_2 \right) = \alpha \\ &\Rightarrow P_{H_0} \left(\frac{c_1 - n\mu_0}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_0}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{c_2 - n\mu_0}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

And $E_{\mu=\mu_1}(\phi(t)) = \alpha$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0} \left(\frac{c_1 - n\mu_1}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_1}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{c_2 - n\mu_1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \alpha$$

$$\text{i.e. } \alpha = \Phi \left(\frac{c_2 - n\mu_1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \Phi \left(\frac{c_1 - n\mu_1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}) \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{and } \alpha = \Phi \left(\frac{c_2 - n\mu_0}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \Phi \left(\frac{c_1 - n\mu_0}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

For $\alpha = 0.05$, $n = 10$, $\mu_0 = 2$, $\mu_1 = 3$, we have,

(P.T.O)

$$\alpha = 0.05 = \Phi\left(\frac{c_2 - 30}{\sqrt{10}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{c_1 - 30}{\sqrt{10}}\right)$$

Also

$$\alpha = \Phi\left(\frac{c_2 - 20}{\sqrt{10}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{c_1 - 20}{\sqrt{10}}\right) \left[\begin{array}{l} \Phi(\cdot) = \text{c.d.f of} \\ \text{standard} \\ \text{normal} \end{array} \right]$$

left limit can be considered as 0.8

right limit can be considered as 1 from
Z score table.

$$\text{Thus, } \frac{c_2 - 20}{\sqrt{10}} = 1 \Rightarrow c_2 = \sqrt{10} + 20 \approx 23.162$$

$$\frac{c_1 - 20}{\sqrt{10}} = 0.8 \Rightarrow c_1 = 22.53$$

One choice of such (c_1, c_2) is $(22.53, 23.162)$

\therefore The UMP test

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 22.53 < T < 23.162 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

- ⑥ Let X and Y be independent $\text{poisson}(\lambda)$ and $\text{poisson}(\mu)$

We want to test

$$H_0: \mu \leq \lambda$$

$$\text{ag } H_1: \mu > \lambda$$

(i) Construct a UMP test

(ii) Also find the power of the test given

$$\lambda = 1, \mu = 0.2$$

$$\text{, given } \alpha = 0.1$$

$$\lambda = 10, \mu = 20$$

Ans \Rightarrow The test will be constructed on $U = Y$ where the critical point will be found from conditional distribution $Y|X+Y=t$

$$(i) \quad Y|X+Y \sim \text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{\mu}{\mu+\lambda}\right)$$

The probability table goes as follows

Y	$X+Y=t$	$P(Y X+Y=t)$
0	1	$P(Y=0 X+Y=1) = 1/2$
1		$P(Y=1 X+Y=1) = 1/2$
0	2	$P(Y=0 X+Y=2) = 1/4$
1		$P(Y=1 X+Y=2) = 1/2$
2		$P(Y=2 X+Y=2) = 1/4$
0	3	$P(Y=0 X+Y=3) = 1/8$
1		$P(Y=1 X+Y=3) = 3/8$
2		$P(Y=2 X+Y=3) = 3/8$
3		$P(Y=3 X+Y=3) = 1/8$
0	4	$P(Y=0 X+Y=4) = 1/16$
1		$P(Y=1 X+Y=4) = 4/16$
2		$P(Y=2 X+Y=4) = 6/16$
3		$P(Y=3 X+Y=4) = 4/16$
4		$P(Y=4 X+Y=4) = 1/16$

The modified hypothesis based on the limit point of H_0 and H_1 is

$$H_0^* : \mu = \lambda$$

$$\text{ag. } H_1^* : \mu > \lambda$$

Thus,

$$E_{H_0}(U) = \alpha = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0}(U > c(t)) + \gamma P_{H_0}(U = c(t)) = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0}(U \leq c(t)) - \gamma P_{H_0}(U = c(t)) = 0.9$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{H_0}(U < c(t)) + (1 - \gamma) P_{H_0}(U = c(t)) = 0.9$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \gamma) = \frac{0.9 - P_{H_0}(U < c(t))}{P_{H_0}(U = c(t))}$$

When $c(t) = 1 \Rightarrow t = 1$ then the UMP test will be

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u > 1 \\ 0.2 & \text{if } u = 1 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} \quad \left[\begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma) &= \frac{0.9 - P_{H_0}(U < 1)}{P_{H_0}(U = 1)} \\ &= \frac{0.9 - 0.5}{0.5} = 4/5 \end{aligned} \right]$$

But practically this is not feasible $\therefore \gamma = 1 - 4/5 = 0.2$
 since the rejection region contains no points.

For $t = 4$, $c(t) = 1$

$$1 - \gamma = \frac{0.9 - P_{H_0}(U < 1)}{P_{H_0}(U = 1)} \Rightarrow 1 - \gamma = \frac{0.9 - (1/16)}{4/16} \Rightarrow \text{not feasible}$$

Therefore, For $t = 4$, $c(t) = 3$

$$1 - \gamma = \frac{0.9 - P_{H_0}(U < 3)}{P_{H_0}(U = 3)} = \frac{0.9 - 0.6875}{0.25} = 0.85$$

$\therefore \boxed{\gamma = 0.15}$ \Rightarrow The UMP test looks like,

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = 3 \\ 0.15 & \text{if } u = 3 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x + y = 4$$

(ii) Power of the test for $\lambda = 0.1$, $\mu = 0.2$

$$Y|X+Y \sim \text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{0.2}{0.2+0.1}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E_{H_1}[\phi(U)|T=t] & \quad , \text{ for } t=4 \\ &= 1 \cdot P_{H_1}(U=4) + 0.15 \cdot P_{H_1}(U=3) \\ &= {}^4C_4 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^0 + 0.15 \times {}^4C_3 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{16}{81} + \frac{4 \cdot 8}{81} = 0.257 \end{aligned}$$

Power of the test for $\lambda = 10$, $\mu = 20$

$$Y|X+Y \sim \text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{20}{20+10}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E_{H_1}[\phi(U)|T=t] & \quad \text{for } t=4 \\ &= 1 \cdot P_{H_1}(U > 3) + 0.15 \cdot P_{H_1}(U=3) \\ &= 0.257 \text{ (same as above)} \end{aligned}$$

⑦ Construct a test $H_0: p_1 = p_2$ vs $H_1: p_1 > p_2$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(m, p_1)$, $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_2)$

Construct an UMP test for $\alpha = 0.1$, $m = 3$, $n = 4$.

Ans \Rightarrow The joint pmf,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \binom{m}{x} \binom{n}{y} p_1^x p_2^y (1-p_1)^{m-x} (1-p_2)^{n-y} \\ &= \binom{m}{x} \binom{n}{y} (1-p_1)^m (1-p_2)^n e^{x \log \left(\frac{p_1(1-p_2)}{p_2(1-p_1)} \right) + (x+y) \log \frac{p_2}{1-p_2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta = \log \frac{p_1(1-p_2)}{(1-p_1)p_2}, \quad U = X$$

$$\eta = \log \left(\frac{p_2}{1-p_2} \right), \quad T = X + Y$$

Then a UMP can be constructed as,

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u > c(t) \text{ } \cancel{u < c(t)} \\ \gamma & \text{if } u = c(t) \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$U|T=t \Rightarrow X|X+Y=t \sim \text{Hypergeometric distribution}$

$$P(X=x|T=t) = \frac{\binom{m}{x} \binom{n}{t-x}}{\binom{m+n}{t}} = \frac{\binom{3}{x} \binom{4}{t-x}}{\binom{7}{t}}$$

$c(t)$ and γ are determined from the size condition

$$E_{H_0}[\phi(u)|T=t] = \alpha = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot P_{H_0}(U > c(t)) + \gamma P_{H_0}(U = c(t)) = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \gamma = \frac{0.9 - P_{H_0}(U < c(t))}{P_{H_0}(U = c(t))}$$

t	$X=x$	$P(X=x T=t)$
1	0	0.5714 > 0.1
	1	0.4286 > 0.1
2	0	0.2857 > 0.1
	1	0.5714 > 0.1
	2	0.1429 > 0.1
3	0	0.1143 > 0.1
	1	0.5143 > 0.1
	2	0.3429 > 0.1
	3	0.0286 < 0.1

Hence for $t=3$, $X=3$ is in the critical region

$$P_{H_0}(X=2) + P_{H_0}(X=3) = 0.3715 > 0.1 \Rightarrow X=2 \text{ is a randomized point.}$$

$$E_{H_0}[\phi(u) | T=3] = 0.1$$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{\{1-\gamma\}} \quad \gamma = \frac{0.1 - P_{H_0}(U > 2)}{P_{H_0}(U=2)} \quad \left[\because c(t)=2 \text{ is the randomization point} \right]$$

$$= \frac{0.1 - 0.0286}{0.3429} = 0.21$$

So the UMP test for $H_0: p_1 = p_2$ ag. $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ is

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 2 \\ 0.21 & \text{if } x = 2 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t=3$$