## Ex MDS

## Vicent Caselles Ballester

2024-04-17

## Exercici 1

## [1] TRUE

a) Construir la matriz  $\mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{2}HD^{(2)}H$ , donde  $D^{(2)}$  es la matriz de distancias al cuadrado y H es la matriz de centrado, y calcular sus valores propios. Observar si la matriz de distancias es euclídea.

From  $^1$ :

**Theorem**: Let D be a distance matrix and define K by (2). Then D is Euclidean if and only if K is positive semi-definite.

K is the Kernel matrix, referred to as B in Everitt, 2011. Therefore, let's use some of the functions I've created to check if a symmetric matrix is positive definite.

```
source('../../funcs/sym.R')
require(ade4); require(matrixcalc)

## Loading required package: ade4

## Loading required package: matrixcalc

positive_definite(dat)

## [1] FALSE

is.positive.semi.definite(dat)

## [1] FALSE

is.euclid(as.dist(dat))
```

## [1] FALSE

With this proof, I think we can be somewhat sure that the distances are not euclidean.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/\ aghodsib/courses/f10stat946/notes/lec10-11.pdf$ 

About the first part, we can calculate B using some functions I've written.

```
source('../../funcs/MDS.R')
D <- dat
B <- KernelMatrix(D**2)
B2 <- B_from_D(D)

max(abs(B-B2)) # We see that both functions using different approaches accomplish the same
## [1] 7.105427e-15

Eigenvalues of B:
evB <- eigen(B)$values
sum(evB < 0)
## [1] 2</pre>
```

We see that one eigenvalue of B is < 0, therefore B is not positive semi-definite, therefore D is not euclidean.

b) Obtener la representación con las dos primeras coordenadas principales e indicar el grado de bondad de esta representación. Se puede hacer a partir de la descomposición de la matriz B o con la función cmdscale.

I'm gonna choose the first option. We select fist two eigen{values, vectors} pairs of B.

```
evecs_2 <- eigen(B)$vectors[,1:2]
evalues_2 <- diag(sqrt(eigen(B)$values[1:2]))
new_X <- evecs_2%*%evalues_2

max(abs(new_X - cmdscale(dat, k=2)))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 5.107026e-15
```

Let's check how different the new euclidean distances are using the reduced data matrix (new\_X).

```
max(abs(dat - as.matrix(dist(new_X))))
```

```
## [1] 2.934933
```

We see that there is a sufficiently enough large distance difference between the points in the original space and the ones in the new reduced space.

Using the criteria from Everitt 2011:

```
sum(eigen(B)$values[1:2])/sum(eigen(B)$values[eigen(B)$values>0])
## [1] 0.7881461
They also mention the following criteria in case B is not positive definite:
sum(abs(eigen(B)$values[1:2]))/sum(abs(eigen(B)$values))
```

```
## [1] 0.7062874
sum(eigen(B)$values[1:2] ** 2)/sum(eigen(B)$values ** 2)
```

```
## [1] 0.9135125
```

We see that the results differ a lot.