

CS 247 – Scientific Visualization

Lecture 7: Data Representation, Pt. 4

Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Reading Assignment #4 (until Feb 23)



Read (required):

- Real-Time Volume Graphics book, Chapter 5 until 5.4 inclusive
(*Terminology, Types of Light Sources, Gradient-Based Illumination, Local Illumination Models*)
- Paper:
Marching Cubes: A high resolution 3D surface construction algorithm,
Bill Lorensen and Harvey Cline, ACM SIGGRAPH 1987
[> 18,600 citations and counting...]

<https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/37402.37422>

Read (optional):

- Paper:
Flying Edges, William Schroeder et al., IEEE LRAV 2015

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7348069>


Programming Assignments Schedule (tentative)




Assignment 0:	Lab sign-up: join discord, setup github account + get repo Basic OpenGL example	until	Feb 5
Assignment 1:	Volume slice viewer	until	Feb 18
Assignment 2:	Iso-contours (marching squares)	until	Mar 2
Assignment 3:	Iso-surface rendering (marching cubes)	until	Mar 23
Assignment 4:	Volume ray-casting, part 1	until	Apr 13
	Volume ray-casting, part 2	until	Apr 20
Assignment 5:	Flow vis, part 1 (hedgehog plots, streamlines, pathlines)	until	May 4
Assignment 6:	Flow vis, part 2 (LIC with color coding)	until	May 14

Data Structures


- Grid types
 - Grids differ substantially in the cells (basic building blocks) they are constructed from and in the way the topological information is given




scattered




uniform



rectilinear




structured



unstructured

Grid Types - Overview



Interlude: Naming / Definition Caveats





Beware of different naming conventions / different definitions

Example:

- On the previous slide, we used the term “orthogonal grid” in a simple, “global” way for the entire grid, i.e., different types of rectilinear grids, ...
- In differential geometry, an orthogonal coordinate system is defined pointwise, i.e., a curvilinear grid with orthogonal basis vectors at each point is orthogonal


In differential geometry, both of these are orthogonal (in our context, the right one is not):




Structured Grids

Data Structures

- Characteristics of structured grids
 - Easier to compute with
 - Often composed of sets of connected parallelograms (hexahedra), with cells being equal or distorted with respect to (non-linear) transformations
 - May require more elements or badly shaped elements in order to precisely cover the underlying domain
 - Topology is represented implicitly by an n -vector of dimensions
 - Geometry is represented explicitly by an array of points
 - Every interior point has the same number of neighbors



structured



unstructured

Data Structures

- Characteristics of structured grids
 - Structured grids can be stored in a 2D / 3D array
 - Arbitrary samples can be directly accessed by indexing a particular entry in the array
 - Topological information is implicitly coded
 - Direct access to adjacent elements
 - Cartesian, uniform, and rectilinear grids are necessarily convex
 - Their visibility ordering of elements with respect to any viewing direction is given implicitly
 - Their rigid layout prohibits the geometric structure to adapt to local features
 - Curvilinear grids reveal a much more flexible alternative to model arbitrarily shaped objects
 - However, this flexibility in the design of the geometric shape makes the sorting of grid elements a more complex procedure

Data Structures


- Typical implementation of structured grids

```
DataType *data = new DataType [Nx * Ny * Nz ];  
val = data[ i + j * Nx + k * ( Nx * Ny ) ];
```


... code for geometry ...

Data Structures

- Cartesian or equidistant grids
 - Structured grid
 - Cells and points are numbered sequentially with respect to increasing X, then Y, then Z, or vice versa
 - Number of points = $N_x \cdot N_y \cdot N_z$
 - Number of cells = $(N_x - 1) \cdot (N_y - 1) \cdot (N_z - 1)$



$$dx = dy = dz$$




Data Structures

- Cartesian grids
 - Vertex positions are given implicitly from $[i,j,k]$:
 - $P[i,j,k].x = \text{origin}_x + i \cdot dx$
 - $P[i,j,k].y = \text{origin}_y + j \cdot dy$
 - $P[i,j,k].z = \text{origin}_z + k \cdot dz$
 - Global vertex index $I[i,j,k] = k \cdot N_y \cdot N_x + j \cdot N_x + i$
 - $k = I / (N_y \cdot N_x)$
 - $j = (I \% (N_y \cdot N_x)) / N_x$
 - $i = (I \% (N_y \cdot N_x)) \% N_x$
 - Global index allows for linear storage scheme
 - Wrong access pattern might destroy cache coherence


Data Structures

- Uniform grids
 - Similar to Cartesian grids
 - Consist of equal cells but with different resolution in at least one dimension ($dx \neq dy (\neq dz)$)
 - Spacing between grid points is constant in each dimension
→ same indexing scheme as for Cartesian grids
 - Most likely to occur in applications where the data is generated by a 3D imaging device providing different sampling rates in each dimension
 - Typical example: medical volume data consisting of slice images
 - Slice images with square pixels ($dx = dy$)
 - Larger slice distance ($dz > dx = dy$)



Data Structures


- Rectilinear grids
 - Topology is still regular but irregular spacing between grid points
 - Non-linear scaling of positions along either axis
 - Spacing, $x_coord[L]$, $y_coord[M]$, $z_coord[N]$, must be stored explicitly
 - Topology is still implicit



(2D perimeter lattice:
rectilinear grid in IRIS Explorer)


Data Structures

- Curvilinear grids
 - Topology is still regular but irregular spacing between grid points
 - Positions are non-linearly transformed
 - Topology is still implicit, but vertex positions are explicitly stored
 - $x_coord[L,M,N]$
 - $y_coord[L,M,N]$
 - $z_coord[L,M,N]$
 - Geometric structure might result in concave grids



Data Structures


- Curvilinear grids



Unstructured Grids



Data Structures

- Unstructured grids
 - Can be adapted to local features




Data Structures

- Unstructured grids
 - Can be adapted to local features




Data Structures

- If no implicit topological (connectivity) information is given, the grids are called unstructured grids
 - Unstructured grids are often computed using quadtrees (recursive domain partitioning for data clustering), or by triangulation of point sets
 - The task is often to create a grid from scattered points
- Characteristics of unstructured grids
 - Grid point geometry **and** connectivity must be stored
 - Dedicated data structures needed to allow for efficient traversal and thus data retrieval
 - Often composed of triangles or tetrahedra
 - Typically, fewer elements are needed to cover the domain




structured



unstructured

Data Structures


- Unstructured grids
 - Composed of arbitrarily positioned and connected elements
 - Can be composed of one unique element type or they can be hybrid (tetrahedra, hexas, prisms)
 - Triangle meshes in 2D and tetrahedral grids in 3D are most common
 - Can adapt to local features (small vs. large cells)
 - Can be refined adaptively
 - Simple linear interpolation in simplices



Data discretizations

Types of data sources have typical types of discretizations:




- Measurement data:
 - typically scattered (no grid)
- Numerical simulation data:
 - structured, block-structured, unstructured grids
 - adaptively refined meshes
 - multi-zone grids with relative motion
 - etc.
- Imaging methods:
 - uniform grids
- Mathematical functions:
 - uniform/adaptive sampling on demand



Unstructured grids

2D unstructured grids:


- cells are **triangles** and/or **quadrangles**
- domain can be a surface embedded in 3-space
(distinguish n-dimensional from n-space)




Unstructured grids

3D unstructured grids:

- cells are **tetrahedra** or **hexahedra**




- mixed grids (“zoo meshes”) require additional types:
wedge (3-sided prism), and **pyramid** (4-sided)



Common Unstructured Grid Types (1)




- Simplest: purely tetrahedral



Grid Structures



Tet grid example





Common Unstructured Grid Types (2)



Pre-defined cell types

(tetrahedron, triangular prism, quad pyramid, hexahedron, octahedron)

- Only triangle / quad faces
- Planar / non-planar faces








Common Unstructured Grid Types (3)



(Nearly) arbitrary polyhedra

- Possibly non-planar faces




Example: General Polyhedral Cells



Exhaust manifold

- 81,949 general, non-convex cells (equivalent to 4,094,724 tetrahedral cells!)
- 324,013 vertices




- Color coding: temperature distribution

Hybrid Grids


Data Structures

- Hybrid grids
 - Combination of different grid types




Data Structures

Hybrid grid example



© Weiskopf/Machiraju/Möller


Grid Types - Overview




Grids vs. Data on Grids



grid



scalar field on grid



wikipedia


Unstructured Grid (Mesh) Data Structures

Unstructured 2D Grid: Direct Storage




Store list of vertices; vertices shared by triangles are replicated

Render, e.g., with OpenGL immediate mode, ...



```
struct face
float verts[3][3]
DataType val;
```



Redundant, large storage size, cannot modify shared vertices easily

Store data values per face, or separately

Unstructured 2D Grid: Indirect Storage



Indexed face set: store list of vertices; store triangles as indexes


Render using separate vertex and index arrays / buffers

vertex list

coords for vertex 1 → $x_1, y_1, (z_1)$
 $x_2, y_2, (z_2)$
 $x_3, y_3, (z_3)$
 $x_4, y_4, (z_4)$
...

face list

1, 2, 3
1, 3, 4
2, 1, 5
...



Less redundancy, more efficient in terms of memory


Easy to change vertex positions; still have to do (global) search for shared edges (local information)

Unstructured 2D Grids: Connectivity/Incidence




Half-edge (doubly-connected edge list) data structure

- Pointer to half-edge (twin) in neighboring face (mesh needs to be orientable 2-manifold)
- Pointer to next half-edge in same face
- Half-edge associated with one vertex, edge, face



Modifications: attributes, mesh simplification, ...

- Vertices, corners, wedges, faces
- Express attribute continuity vs. discontinuity



Hugues Hoppe

Visualization often needs volumetric version of these ideas
(tet meshes, polyhedral meshes, ...)


3D Grids: Two-Sided Face Sequence Lists



General polyhedral grids (arbitrary polyhedral cells); example: TSFSL (Muigg et al., 2011)


standard face/cell incidence

Faces	Cells
a: 1, 2	1: a, e, f
b: 2, -	2: a, b, c
c: 2, 3	3: c, d, e
d: 3, -	
e: 3, 1	
f: 1, -	




two-sided face (sequence) lists

f_{fl}	f_{bl}
a: f	b
b: c	-
c: d	a
d: e	-
e: c	a
f: e	-




Face Sequences

Front	[c]	[e]	[c]
Back	[c d e]	[a f]	[b]
	[a - a]	[b -]	[-]




Cooling Jacket




Cells/Vertices/Faces: 1,538K / 1,631K / 4,707K
 Tetrahedra: 17,044K (~8.5 byte/tet)
 Celltypes: tets/pyramids/wedges/hexas
 Bricks/Cell Overhead: 4/1.7%
 TSFSL Creation Time: 4.0s

Mixer




1,362K / 7,432K / 8,869K
 89,417K (~7.5 byte/tet)
 general (non-convex) polyhedra
 10/8.6%
 9.0s

Exhaust Manifold



82K / 324K / 441K
 4,095K (~7.0 byte/tet)
 general (non-convex) polyhedra
 1/0%
 1.7s

Heater



17K / 68K / 91K
 851K (~7.0 byte/tet)
 general (non-conv.) polyh.
 1/0%
 1.0s

Thank you.

Thanks for material

- Helwig Hauser
- Eduard Gröller
- Daniel Weiskopf
- Torsten Möller
- Ronny Peikert
- Philipp Muigg
- Christof Rezk-Salama