

# OpenStreetMap Project

**Area:** I have chosen Bengaluru, India to perform my analysis because it is my hometown. I used [Map Zen](#) to extract the OSM file.

## Data Auditing

I use the parser.py file to count the number of unique tags in the Bengaluru\_india.osm file. I did this by parsing the file using ElementTree. The count of the number of unique tags is;

- 'bounds': 1,
- 'member': 8529,
- 'nd': 3612323,
- 'node': 2915140,
- 'osm': 1,
- 'relation': 1240,
- 'tag': 833064,
- 'way': 664256

Next, I used tags.py to create 3 regular expressions to check for patterns in the tags. The “k” value of each tag contains different patterns. I have counted all of the 4 categories;

- 'lower': 789410, for tags that contain only lowercase letters and are valid.
- 'lower\_colon': 42513, for tags with a colon in their names
- 'other': 1139, for tags that cannot be otherwise categorized
- 'problemchars': 2, for tags with problematic characters.

## Problems encountered in the map

Using audit.py, I updated some of the common mistakes found in the dataset. The main mistakes were inconsistencies in the names. Some of them are;

- Rd => Road
- temple => Temple
- Bangalore => Bengaluru
- Ft. => feet
- KA => Karnataka

## Data Overview

- bengaluru\_india.osm – 656.5 MB
- nodes.csv – 244.2 MB
- nodes\_tags.csv – 3.9 MB
- ways.csv – 40.5 MB
- ways\_nodes.csv – 86.7 MB
- ways\_tags.csv – 24.4 MB
- bengaluru.db – 455.7 MB

For the following queries, I first executed database.py to create the database and queries.py to run the following queries;

**Number of nodes:**

```
SELECT COUNT (*) FROM nodes  
2915140
```

**Number of ways:**

```
SELECT COUNT (*) FROM ways  
664256
```

**Number of unique users:**

```
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT(e.iud)) FROM  
(SELECT uid FROM nodes UNION ALL  
SELECT uid FROM ways)  
2057
```

**Top contributing users:**

```
SELECT e.user, COUNT (*) as num FROM  
(SELECT user FROM nodes UNION ALL  
SELECT user FROM ways)  
GROUP BY e.user  
ORDER BY num DESC LIMIT 10  
Jasvinderkaur – 124827  
Akhilsai – 118664  
Premkumar – 115877  
Saikumar – 114883  
Shekarn – 98110  
PlaneMad – 94898  
Vamshikrishna – 94251  
Himalay – 88139  
Himabindhu – 86840  
Sdivya - 84980
```

**Number of users contributing only once:**

```
SELECT COUNT (*) FROM  
(SELECT e.user, COUNT (*) as num FROM  
(SELECT user FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT user FROM ways)  
GROUP BY e.user HAVING num = 1)  
516
```

**Additional Data Exploration****Common Amenities:**

```
SELECT value, COUNT (*) as num FROM nodes_tags  
WHERE key = “amenity”  
GROUP BY value  
ORDER BY num  
DESC LIMIT 10  
Restaurant – 1721  
Atm – 841
```

*Bank – 785*  
*place\_of\_worship – 722*  
*fast\_food – 570*  
*pharmacy – 569*  
*hospital – 460*  
*school – 81*  
*café – 348*  
*fuel - 287*

### **Biggest Religion:**

```
SELECT nodes_tags.value, COUNT (*) as num FROM nodes_tags
JOIN (SELECT DISTINCT(id) FROM nodes_tags WHERE value = "place_of_worship"
ON nodes_tags.id = i.id
WHERE nodes_tags.key = "religion"
GROUP BY nodes_tags.value DESC
LIMIT 1
Hindu – 455
```

### **Popular Cuisines:**

```
SELECT nodes_tags.value, COUNT (*) as num FROM nodes_tags
JOIN (SELECT DISTINCT(id) FROM nodes_tags WHERE value = "restaurant"
ON nodes_tags.id = i.id
WHERE nodes_tags.key = "cuisine"
GROUP BY nodes_tags.value
ORDER BY num
DESC LIMIT 10
Regional – 328
Indian – 252
Chinese – 76
Vegetarian – 58
Pizza – 36
International – 30
Italian – 27
Burger – 12
ice_cream – 12
Andhra - 11
```

### **Conclusion**

The OpenStreetMap data of Bengaluru is of pretty big and has fairly reasonable quality. Of course, since the data is human input, there are a lot of typos and errors. I cleaned the possible typos but, there is much more work need to be done. The dataset contains a lot of information on Bengaluru and is most likely not up to date. Hence, I think there are a few areas of improvement despite being such a large dataset.

## **Additional Suggestion and Ideas**

### **Control typo errors**

- We can parse the words input by users by building a parser.
- We can have a pre-set syntax for users to input their data in a specified way.
- We can periodically clean errors by automating a programming check.

### **More information**

Since, major attractions and popular destinations are important data to a city, users must be encouraged to provide more information of the same to keep the data updated. This can help increase the viewership of the maps.

### **Files**

bengaluru\_india.osm: city data as an OSM file

parser.py: counts tags

tags.py: counts patterns

audit.py: audit street, city and update their names

schema.py: defines the structure of the data

data.py: build CSV files from OSM and also parse, clean and shape data

database.py: create database of the CSV files

query.py: different queries about the database using SQL

### **References**

- Udacity OpenStreetMap Case Study
- <https://github.com/pratyush19/Udacity-Data-Analyst-Nanodegree/tree/master/P3-OpenStreetMap-Wrangling-with-SQL>