

Gayatri Tyagi

11/2/23

Assignment 2.3 Report

The reference monitor which I submitted initially covered some basic undo functionality, however I was missing end-of-file tracking and my methods did not throw the expected exceptions in certain conditions.

I added conditions to my `wreatat()` and `close()` methods so that they would throw the appropriate exceptions, aligned with the behavior of standard `repy wreatat()` and `close()` commands. I ordered the conditions so that exceptions would be thrown in the correct order of precedence based on the documentation. I also added end-of-file tracking so that subsequent writes could not be done past the end of the file, even if the write is technically still pending. This involved adding parameters like `file_length` and `bytes_written`. While `file_length` stores the amount of data completely written to the file, `bytes_written` stores the number of bytes the user has asked to write so far. The difference here is that `file_length` does not account for any changes due to pending writes while `bytes_written` does. This allows for updating `bytes_written` every time a `wreatat()` command is called, updating `file_length` only when data is committed to the file, and changing EOF appropriately on an `undo()`. An alternative to this kind of tracking of file length would be to read the whole file on every `undo`, but I was concerned that that would be less efficient. Lastly, in my `readat()` function, I fixed an issue where in some cases, a variable would be returned that hadn't been defined.

Overall, I learned a lot during my work on the assignment in terms of considering race conditions and appropriate error handling when modifying and adding functions. Seeing other people's attacks and reference monitors was a great opportunity to learn and expand my idea of what attacks and vulnerabilities might look like.