## COMPUTING OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS FOR RANDOM WALKS

Consider a random walk starting at x = 0 between lines x = a, x = b, a < 0 < b with increments Y having distribution F(y). To calculate the operating characteristics (ignoring overshoots) we should proceed as follows. Consider

$$\theta(s) := \int e^{sy} dF(y)$$

Then  $\theta(0) = 1$  and  $\theta''(s) > 0$  and under reasonable conditions  $\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \theta(s) = +\infty$ . Hence the equation

(1) 
$$\int e^{sy} dF(y) = 1$$

has either a double root and 0, and no other real root, or a unique real root  $\neq 0$ . The case of a double root occurs when  $\mu = \theta'(0) = 0$  where  $\mu = E(Y)$ . I.e. when E(Y) = 0. This will be considered as a limiting case. See below.

For now we assume there is a root  $h \neq 0$ . We have

$$h < 0 \iff \mu > 0$$

The probability for crossing the line x = b first is approximately

$$(2) p_b \cong \frac{1 - e^{ha}}{e^{hb} - e^{ha}}$$

The probability of crossing x = a first is  $p_a := 1 - p_b$ . I.e.

$$p_a \cong \frac{e^{hb} - 1}{e^{hb} - e^{ha}}$$

An approximate formula for the expected duration is

(3) 
$$E = \frac{p_a a + p_b b}{\mu} \cong -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{-ae^{hb} + be^{ha} - (b - a)}{(e^{hb} - e^{ha})}$$

Unfortunately the above formulas may be numerically unstable since they depend for example on the evaluation of  $e^x - 1$  where x may be very close to 0 leading to catastrofic cancellation (this will happen if  $\mu$  is very small). Therefore we introduce functions  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  via

$$e^x = 1 + x + x\phi_1(x)$$

and

(4) 
$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + x^2 \phi_2(x)$$

It it easy to evaluate  $\phi_1(x)$ ,  $\phi_2(x)$  robustly for small x using Taylor series. Substituting (4) in (1) and rescaling  $h = \mu e$  we must solve

$$\int \left(1 + \mu e y + \mu^2 e^2 \frac{y^2}{2} + \mu^2 e^2 y^2 \phi_2(\mu e y)\right) dF(y) = 1$$

$$\int \left(y + \mu e \frac{y^2}{2} + \mu e y^2 \phi_2(\mu e y)\right) dF(y) = 0$$

which is equivalent to (for  $m_2 = \int y^2 dF(y)$ ):

(5) 
$$1 + e\left(\frac{m_2}{2} + \int y^2 \phi_2(\mu e y) dF(y)\right) = 0$$

This equation can be solved efficiently using Newton's method.

Remark. Note that (5) makes perfect sense for  $\mu = 0$  in which case we simply find

$$e = -\frac{2}{m_2}$$

as a solution. This is actually a good approximation for the solution in general if  $\mu$  is small, in which case we find

$$(6) h \cong -2\frac{\mu}{m_2} \cong -2\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of Y. Applying the formulas (2,3) with h as in (6) is the so-called "Brownian approximation".

Now we evaluate (2) robustly. We calculate

$$p_b = \frac{1 - e^{ha}}{e^{hb} - e^{ha}}$$
$$= \frac{-a - a\phi_1(ha)}{b + b\phi_1(hb) - a - a\phi_1(ha)}$$

Similarly for (3)

$$\begin{split} E &= -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{-a(1+hb+\frac{(hb)^2}{2}+(hb)^2\phi_2(hb)) + b(1+ha+\frac{(ha)^2}{2}+(ha)^2\phi_2(ha)) - (b-a)}{(1+hb+hb\phi_1(hb)) - (1+ha+ha\phi_1(ha))} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{-a(hb+\frac{(hb)^2}{2}+(hb)^2\phi_2(hb)) + b(ha+\frac{(ha)^2}{2}+(ha)^2\phi_2(ha))}{(hb+hb\phi_1(hb)) - (ha+ha\phi_1(ha))} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{-ab(h+\frac{h^2b}{2}+h^2b\phi_2(hb)) + ba(h+\frac{h^2a}{2}+h^2a\phi_2(ha))}{(hb+hb\phi_1(hb)) - (ha+ha\phi_1(ha))} \\ &= -\frac{hab}{\mu} \frac{-(\frac{b}{2}+b\phi_2(hb)) + (\frac{a}{2}+a\phi_2(ha))}{(b+b\phi_1(hb)) - (a+a\phi_1(ha))} \\ &= eab\frac{(\frac{b}{2}+b\phi_2(hb)) - (\frac{a}{2}+a\phi_2(ha))}{(b+b\phi_1(hb)) - (a+a\phi_1(ha))} \end{split}$$

*Remark.* It is well known how to deduce (3) from (2). We may give a heuristic proof of (2) as follows.

Let g(z) be the probability that the above random walk starts in x = z and ends on the line x = b. Then g(z) is determined by the equation

(7) 
$$g(z) = \int g(z+y)dF(y) \text{ for } a \le z \le b$$

with boundary conditions

(8) 
$$g(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \le a$$
$$g(b) = 1 \quad \text{for } z \ge b$$

Clearly (2) is equivalent to

(9) 
$$g(z) = \frac{1 - e^{h(a-z)}}{e^{h(b-z)} - e^{h(a-z)}}$$

It is clear that the righthand side of (9) does *not* satisfy (8). However it satisfies (8) for z = a and z = b. Since we are looking for an approximate solution, let's be satisfied with that.

We now show that (9) satisfies for all z in fact (7). We calculate

$$\int g(z+y)dF(y) = \int \frac{1 - e^{h(a-z-y)}}{e^{h(b-z-y)} - e^{h(a-z-y)}} dF(y)$$

$$= \int \frac{e^{hy} - e^{h(a-z)}}{e^{h(b-z)} - e^{h(a-z)}} dF(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{h(b-z)} - e^{h(a-z)}} \left( \int e^{hy} f(y) dy - e^{h(a-z)} \int dF(y) \right)$$

$$= a(z)$$