## UNPUBLISHED RESULTS BY AIDAN SCHOFIELD<sup>1</sup>

## AIDAN SCHOFIELD

Let X be a smooth projective curve over a field k of genus g. Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{coh}(X)$  be such that Supp  $\mathcal{F} = X$ . Put

$$\mathcal{F}^{\perp} = \{ \mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{Qch}(X) \mid \operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = 0 \}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  is an abelian subcategory of Qch(X) closed under direct sums. So it is particular a Grothendieck category.

**Proposition 0.1.** The inclusion  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp} \subset Qch(X)$  has a left adjoint.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} \in Qch(X)$ . We must construct  $\mathcal{U}' \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  such that

(0.1) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{E})$$

for all  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ .

We will first show that there exists a morphism  $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}'$  inducing an isomorphism after applying  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(-,\mathcal{E}), \ \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  and satisfying either of the following two properties

- (1)  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}') = 0;$
- (2)  $\operatorname{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}') = 0$

This is sufficient since we may then construct a series of maps

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{U}_2 \to$$

such that all maps induce isomorphisms after applying  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(-,\mathcal{E})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}_{2k})=0$ ,  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}_{2k+1})=0$ . We then take  $\mathcal{U}'=\lim_n \mathcal{U}_n$ .

To satisfy (1) we construct a series of maps

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{U}_2 \to$$

such that

$$\mathcal{U}_{k+1} = \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}_k) \otimes_k \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{U}_k)$$

and take  $\mathcal{U}' = \underline{\lim}_k \mathcal{U}_k$ .

To satisfy (2) we construct a series of maps

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{U}_2 \to$$

such that  $\mathcal{U}_{k+1}$  is the universal extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{U}_k \to \mathcal{U}_{k+1} \to \mathcal{F}^{\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}_k)} \to 0$$

and take  $\mathcal{U}' = \lim_{k} \mathcal{U}_k$ .

Below we denote the left adjoint to  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp} \to \operatorname{Qch}(X)$  by L. Let  $p \in X$ . There exists an epimorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}_p$ . Put  $\mathcal{F}' = \ker \phi$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(\mathcal{F}')$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notes by Michel Van den Bergh

**Proposition 0.2.** The object  $\mathcal{P}$  is a small projective generator for the category  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ . If  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  then  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E})$  is finite dimensional if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is coherent.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  we have  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  and hence  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{O}_p, -)$  is an exact fuctor on  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ . Thus for  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ 

(0.2) 
$$\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}(\mathcal{O}_{p},\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(L(\mathcal{F}'),\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{F})$$

Thus  $\mathcal{P}$  is a projective object in  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ . It is small since (0.2) shows that  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{P}, -)$  commutes with direct sums. Furthermore we find  $\mathcal{P}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\perp} = (\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{O}_p)^{\perp}$  and since  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{O}_p$  is a compact generator of  $D(\operatorname{Qch}(X))$  we deduce  $= (\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{O}_p)^{\perp} = 0$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ .

It is clear from (0.2) that if  $\mathcal{E}$  is coherent then  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{E})$  is finite dimensional. We will now prove the converse. Assume  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}$  and  $s = \dim \operatorname{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_p,\mathcal{E}) < \infty$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is not coherent. If  $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$  is a coherent subobject then we claim that the maximal submodule of  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}'$  supported in p has finite length. Assume this is not the case. Then we can construct an infinite chain

$$\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}'_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}'_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}$$

of coherent submodules such that  $\mathcal{E}'_{i+1}/\mathcal{E}'_i$  has support in p. We then have that  $\deg \mathcal{E}'_i$  is unbounded whereas its  $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E}'_i$  is constant. Put  $(r,d)=(\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{F}, \deg \mathcal{F})$  and  $(r',d'_i)=(\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E}'_i, \deg \mathcal{E}'_i)$ . A straightforward computation using the Rieman-Roch theorem shows

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) = rr'(1-g) + rd'_i - dr'$$

Since  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) = 0$  we have  $\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) \leq 0$ . On the other hand since  $d'_i$  is unbounded we may assume  $\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}'_i) > 0$  which is a contradition.

We now construct a chain of coherent subobjects

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0'' \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_1'' \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_2'' \subsetneq \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}$$

such that  $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E}_{i+1}'' > \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E}_i''$  and such that  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_i''$  has no subobject supported in p. This is possible by the previous discussion. This chain cannot stop since  $\mathcal{E}$  is not coherent. Put  $r_i'' = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E}_i'' = \dim \operatorname{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}_i'')$ . Then it is clear that for all i we have  $r_i'' < r_{i+1}'' \le s$ , which is impossible.