



## More than a flying syringe: Using functional traits in vector-borne disease research

Journal:	<i>Biological Reviews</i>
Manuscript ID	BRV-02-2019-0045
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Cator, Lauren; Imperial College London, Department of Life Sciences; Imperial College of Science &amp; Technology</p> <p>Johnson, Leah; Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Department of Statistics</p> <p>Mordecai, Erin ; Stanford University, Department of Biology</p> <p>El Moustaid, Fadoua; Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Department of Biological Sciences</p> <p>Smallwood, Thomas; Imperial College London, Department of Life Sciences</p> <p>LaDeau, Shannon; The Carey Institute of Ecosystems Studies</p> <p>Johansson, Michael; CDC Dengue Branch and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health</p> <p>Hudson, Peter; Pennsylvania State University, Huck Institutes of Life Sciences</p> <p>Boots, Michael; University of California Berkeley Ethnic Studies Library, Department of Integrated Biology</p> <p>Thomas, Matthew; Pennsylvania State University, Department of Entomology</p> <p>Power, Alison; Cornell University, Department of Ecology and Evolution</p> <p>Pawar, Samraat; Imperial College London, Department of Life Sciences</p>
Keywords:	Vector-borne Disease Modelling, Traits, Population Dynamics, Transmission, Fitness, Reproductive number

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**More than a flying syringe: Using functional traits in vector-borne disease research**

Lauren J. Cator\*<sup>1</sup>, Leah R. Johnson<sup>2</sup>, Erin A. Mordecai<sup>3</sup>, Fadoua El Moustaid<sup>4</sup>, Thomas R.C. Smallwood<sup>1</sup>, Shannon L. LaDeau<sup>5</sup>, Michael A. Johansson<sup>6</sup>, Peter J. Hudson<sup>7</sup>, Michael Boots<sup>8</sup>, Matthew B. Thomas<sup>9</sup>, Alison G. Power<sup>10</sup> & Samraat Pawar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Statistics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

<sup>5</sup> The Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, NY 12545, USA

<sup>6</sup> CDC Dengue Branch and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

<sup>7</sup> Huck Institutes of Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

<sup>8</sup> Department of Integrative Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>9</sup> Department of Entomology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

**\*Corresponding Author:** Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Ascot SL57PY United Kingdom, Phone: 02075941785, [l.cator@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:l.cator@imperial.ac.uk)

## Abstract

Many important endemic and emerging diseases are vector-borne. The functional traits of vectors affect not just pathogen transmission rates, but also the fitness and population dynamics of these animals themselves. Increasing empirical evidence suggests that vector traits vary significantly at time scales relevant to transmission dynamics. Currently, an understanding of how this variation in key traits impacts transmission is hindered by a lack of both empirical data and theoretical methods for mechanistically incorporating traits into transmission models. Here, we present a framework for incorporating both intrinsic and environment-driven variation in vector traits into empirical and theoretical vector-borne disease research. This framework mechanistically captures the effect of trait variation on vector fitness, the correlation between vector traits, and how these together determine transmission dynamics. We illustrate how trait-based vector-borne disease modelling can make novel predictions, and identify key steps and challenges in the construction, empirical parameterisation and validation of such models, as well as the organization and prioritization of data collection efforts.

**Keywords:** Vector-borne Disease Modelling, Traits, Population Dynamics, Transmission, Fitness, Reproductive number

1		
2		
3	27	<b>Contents</b>
4		
5	28	
6		
7	29	I. Introduction
8		
9	30	II. Trait Variation in Vectors
10		
11	31	(1) Variation across the lifespan of an individual
12		
13	32	(2) Variation within a population
14		
15	33	(3) Environmentally driven variation
16		
17	34	(4) Traits are mechanistically linked
18		
19		
20	35	III. Current approaches to incorporating trait variation into transmission dynamics
21		
22	36	(1) Classical compartment models
23		
24	37	(2) Adding in vector population dynamics
25		
26	38	(3) Adding in trait variation
27		
28	39	IV. A trait-based framework for VBD research
29		
30	40	V. An example: A trait-based model of mosquito abundance and disease transmission
31		
32	41	(1) The importance of trait sensitivity analyses
33		
34		
35	42	VI. A Traits-Based Framework Roadmap
36		
37	43	(1) Trait→Parameter
38		
39	44	a. A Worked Example: Deconstruction of Biting Rate
40		
41	45	(2) Trait-Variation→Fitness
42		
43	46	(3) Fitness→Population Dynamics
44		
45	47	(4) Population Dynamics→Transmission Dynamics
46		
47	48	VII. Key Challenges
48		
49	49	(1) Data
50		
51	50	(2) Parameterisation
52		
53	51	(3) Model Validation and Selection
54		
55		
56	52	VIII. Why now?
57		
58	53	IX. Conclusion
59		
60	54	

## I. INTRODUCTION

Vector-borne diseases (VBDs) remain a serious threat to health and economic welfare worldwide. At least one-third of the human population is thought to be impacted by mosquito-borne diseases alone (WHO, 2018). Brazil loses an estimated 1.3 billion USD in productivity each year to Chagas disease, which is transmitted by triatomine bugs (Lee *et al.*, 2013). VBDs include re-emerging infections, such as dengue (San Martín *et al.*, 2010; Dick *et al.*, 2012), and new threats, such as Chikungunya, Zika, and Lyme disease (Mead, 2015; CDC, 2016; Faria *et al.*, 2016). The impacts of VBDs are not only medical. Many important and emerging diseases of plants (e.g., Tomato yellow leaf curl virus, cassava mosaic virus, and citrus greening virus (Taylor *et al.*, 2016)) and livestock (e.g., bluetongue (Wilson & Mellor, 2009)) are transmitted by vectors.

VBD transmission dynamics are driven by a system of interconnected population abundances that vary over time and, usually, also over space. Evidence from a wealth of empirical and theoretical studies indicates that the behaviour and life history of vectors are key determinants of these VBD dynamics (reviewed below). The importance of vector abundance and life history in transmission dynamics is reflected by the fact that almost all VBD models incorporate vector biting rate, competence, adult survival, and density (Jeger *et al.*, 2004; Reiner *et al.*, 2013). These aspects of vector biology can be described as traits.

A trait is any measurable feature of an individual organism. Specifically, a trait is functional when it directly (e.g., mortality rate/probability) or indirectly (e.g., development time) determines individual fitness (McGill *et al.*, 2006; Gibert *et al.*, 2015) (henceforth we use “functional trait” and “trait” synonymously). In natural populations, trait variation between individuals, and within individuals over time is ubiquitous and has been shown to alter population and community level processes (Imura, Toquenaga, & Fujii, 2003; McGill *et al.*, 2006; Agashe, 2009; Gibert *et al.*, 2015). It is widely accepted that vector traits are also important for transmission and they are likely to be temporally and spatially variable (Smith *et al.*, 2014). While some models incorporate these traits, most do not accommodate trait variation. Mechanistically incorporating trait variation into transmission models should therefore improve the ability of models to predict transmission through time and space.

1  
2  
3 83 Trait variation can change transmission dynamics, either by changing vector abundances on  
4  
5 84 similar time scales as pathogen transmission, or by directly changing transmission potential itself  
6  
7 85 (e.g., vector biting rate or competence). This is partly due to the fact, that the majority of animal and  
8  
9 86 plant disease vectors are arthropods, and therefore have significantly shorter generation times (and  
10  
11 87 thus, operate at faster timescales) than their host (May & Anderson 1979). Furthermore, arthropods  
12  
13 88 are small ectotherms, which means their abundances vary with fluctuations in environmental  
14  
15 89 variables. Work from other fields indicates that both the mean and variation of a trait's distribution  
16  
17 90 can have strong effects on the dynamics of populations (Norberg *et al.*, 2001; Bolnick *et al.*, 2011;  
18  
19 91 Gibert *et al.*, 2015). Trait variation has now begun to be identified as essential variables that are key  
20  
21 92 for understanding the state and future trajectories of biodiversity and ecosystems (Kissling *et al.*,  
22  
23 93 2018). Disease dynamics are strongly nonlinear, which means that variation in traits over time and  
24  
25 94 across individuals can have compounding effects on transmission (Lloyd-Smith *et al.*, 2005; Martin *et*  
26  
27 95 *al.*, 2019). Until we incorporate trait variation into VBD transmission models our ability to predict  
28  
29 96 transmission dynamics at longer temporal and larger spatial scales will remain limited, like any  
30  
31 97 ecological model that lacks a mechanistic basis (Getz *et al.*, 2018). To incorporate trait variation  
32  
33 98 robustly, the mechanistic links between biological parameters, and how their effects on vector  
34  
35 99 population fitness can change population dynamics and transmission rates need to be considered.  
36  
37  
38

39 100 There are two related challenges to understanding the parameter space in which trait variation  
40  
41 101 could affect transmission. First, for most vector species, we lack basic data on traits underlying these  
42  
43 102 parameters, and how they vary. Researchers are frequently forced to infer parameter values (for  
44  
45 103 example, using the time it takes a mosquito to produce a clutch of eggs to infer biting rate) or use data  
46  
47 104 from related species to parameterise models (for example, Johnson *et al.* 2015, Mordecai *et al.* 2013).  
48  
49 105 Second, while there are many statistical, correlative methods, we lack a theoretical framework to  
50  
51 106 mechanistically incorporate parameter variation (through trait variation) into transmission models.  
52  
53

54 107 Here, we propose a trait-based framework as the way forward on both empirical and  
55  
56 108 theoretical fronts for making more accurate VBD transmission dynamic predictions. We begin by  
57  
58 109 reviewing empirical evidence for trait variation in vectors and the degree which it has (or in some  
59  
60 110 cases has not) been incorporated into previous transmission models. We then describe the trait-based

modelling framework and provide an example of how this approach can produce novel predictions and allows for investigation into the degree to which different traits drive transmission dynamics and underlying fitness effects. Next we outline how this can be operationalized, both in terms of incorporating traits into transmission models, and data collection efforts. Finally, we discuss further challenges in the way of implementing a trait-based approach in VBD research.

## II. TRAIT VARIATION IN VECTORS

Variation in vector traits can be grouped into three primary types: 1) variation across the lifespan of an individual; 2) variation within a population; and 3) environmentally driven variation (Fig. 1).

### (1) Variation across the lifespan of an individual

For transmission to occur a vector must survive the time it takes from acquiring the parasite to the parasite becoming infectious (extrinsic incubation period or EIP), which can be a large proportion of the vector life span. Therefore, older vector individuals are; 1) more likely to become infected because they are more likely to have been exposed, 2) more likely to be infectious because they are more likely to have survived EIP, and 3) are more likely to transmit the pathogen onwards because they are more likely to bite subsequent hosts after becoming infectious. Therefore, trait values at the end of life disproportionately contribute to transmission. Vector behaviour and life history may vary over the lifetime of an individual also due to intrinsic processes such as aging. There is evidence for age-specific vector competence (Soliman *et al.*, 1993), immune function (Hillyer *et al.*, 2005; Christensen *et al.*, 2005; Laughton, Fan, & Gerardo, 2014), flight performance (Nayar & Sauerma, 1973) and feeding behaviour (Alto, Lounibos, & Juliano, 2003; Den Otter, Tchicaya, & Schutte, 2008; Bohbot *et al.*, 2013). When multiple life stages of the vector are involved in transmission, transmission efficiency may vary with stage (Caraco *et al.*, 2002; Coletta-Filho *et al.*, 2014). All these time-dependent changes in vector traits could lead to significant variation in the number of infectious vectors and their contact rates with hosts.

**(2) Variation within a population**

Variation within a population (for example due to intrinsic genetic variation) can lead to subgroups of the vector population having disproportionate effects on the average population fitness and transmission potential. There are multiple examples of this kind of within-population variation in vectors. For example, the nutritional status of vectors can alter both their vector competence and several behavioural parameters linked to VBD transmission (Takken *et al.*, 2013; Shapiro *et al.*, 2016). Body size varies within populations (Renshaw, Service, & Birley, 1994; De Xue, Edman, & Scott, 1995; Kindlmann & Dixon, 2003) and can drive significant variation in feeding, assimilation, and respiration, and therefore development and mortality rates (Brown *et al.*, 2004; Savage, 2004; Amarasekare & Savage, 2012). Inter-individual variation in age-specific mortality is important for transmission (Clements & Paterson, 1981; Harrington *et al.*, 2001, 2008; Styer *et al.*, 2007). Recent evidence from several different systems shows that vectors infected with a variety of pathogens exhibit altered foraging behaviours (reviewed in (Murdock, Luckhart, & Cator, 2017)). These types of variation between individuals in key traits such as biting rate, host preference, and longevity ultimately impact VBD pathogen transmission rate.

**(3) Environmentally driven variation**

The majority of vectors are small ectotherms, so their behaviour and life history are sensitive to their environment. Variation due to environmental drivers, may have both short- or long-term effects on vector traits. At present most of the data on this kind of variation comes from investigations of temperature. Many studies have measured an effect of variation in environmental temperature on vector life history (Kersting, Satar, & Uygun, 1999; Bayoh & Lindsay, 2003; Delatte *et al.*, 2009; Ciota *et al.*, 2014) and competence (Kramer, Hardy, & Presser, 1983; Murrall *et al.*, 1996; Dohm, O’Guinn, & Turell, 2002; Paweska, Venter, & Mellor, 2002; Wittmann, Mellor, & Baylis, 2002). Other environmental variables, such as humidity, can also directly affect vector life history (Wittmann



*et al.*, 2002; Costa *et al.*, 2010).

168

#### 169 (4) Traits are mechanistically linked

170

171 Not only do vector traits vary but multiple traits also co-vary because they are mechanistically  
 172 linked. For example, mosquitoes infected with bird malaria parasites exhibit reduced fecundity, which  
 173 in turn increases longevity (Vézilier *et al.*, 2012). These kinds of trait covariances, often appearing in  
 174 the form of life-history trade-offs (Charnov 1993), have implications for both vector population  
 175 fitness (and therefore abundance) and transmission rate. Therefore, any theoretical framework that  
 176 incorporates vector traits and their variation must also account for the mechanistic relationships  
 177 between traits. There is evidence that this type of incorporation of multiple, mechanistically-linked  
 178 traits can yield new insights in transmission of VBDs as well as non-VBDs (Mordecai *et al.*, 2013,  
 179 2017; Molnár *et al.*, 2017). For example, recent work has incorporated metabolic traits into micro-  
 180 and macro-parasite disease transmission (Molnár *et al.*, 2017).

181 In summary, any theoretical framework for VBD should be able to capture changes in  
 182 transmission dynamics emerging from trait variation (including for those not directly related to  
 183 transmission), correlations between traits, and the resulting effects on vector population dynamics. We  
 184 now consider past approaches towards incorporating traits into mathematical VBD models.

185

### 186 III. CURRENT APPROACHES TO INCORPORATING TRAITS INTO 187 TRANSMISSION DYNAMICS

188

#### 189 (1) Classical compartment models

190

191 Classical compartment models focus on the frequency of different (Susceptible, Exposed,  
 192 Infected, Recovered) sub-populations of the host and vector, often ignoring fluctuations in absolute  
 193 abundances of the two species. For example, the Ross-Macdonald type model for malaria  
 194 transmission by a mosquito (Fig. 2, SI Section 2), on which many current VBD models (including

non-mosquito vectors) are based (MacDonald, 1957; May & Anderson, 1979; Jeger *et al.*, 2004; Smith *et al.*, 2012; Reiner *et al.*, 2013) focuses exclusively on the parameters governing transmission rate of the pathogen between susceptible and infected vector and host subpopulations, most of which are mosquito traits. It yields a relatively simple equation for the basic reproduction number of the disease ( $R_0$ )—the number of new infectious cases that would arise from a single infectious case introduced into a fully susceptible host population—which quantifies its transmission potential or risk (MacDonald, 1957; Smith *et al.*, 2012) (see SI section 2 for derivation):

$$R_0 = \left( \frac{Va^2bce^{-\mu P}}{Hd\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad 1$$

Here,  $V$  is vector density,  $a$  is per-vector biting rate,  $b$  is the proportion of the bites by infective mosquitoes that produce infection in susceptible humans,  $c$  is the proportion of bites by susceptible mosquitoes on infectious humans that infect mosquitoes (thus,  $bc$  is vector competence),  $\mu$  is adult vector mortality rate,  $P$  is the extrinsic incubation period of the pathogen within the vector,  $H$  is host density, and  $d$  is the rate at which infected hosts recover and acquire immunity (Fig 2).

Compartment models do implicitly incorporate traits. The vector ( $a, b, c, P, \mu$ ) and host ( $d$ ) parameters used in this approach are all essentially traits that are either directly-measurable properties of the vector itself ( $a, \mu$ ) or which can be derived from underlying traits of the vector and parasite ( $b, c, P$ ). However, in these models, it is assumed that vector (and host) traits do not affect total vector or host population size and that these traits do not vary across individuals or over time (Fig. 1). Furthermore, all of the vector's biology and ecology are represented by its adult (often female) biting rate, survival, extrinsic incubation period, competence, and abundance. All of these traits are assumed to be independent of each other despite the fact that they are known to trade-off and feedback on each other with potentially compounding effects on transmission. For example, biting rate ( $a$ ) is assumed to

have no effect on mortality ( $\mu$ ) or vector population density ( $V$ ) and does not vary across individuals or over time. All individual hosts and vectors are modelled as having an identical risk of infection that remains constant over time and vectors interact exclusively with the target host. This effectively reduces the vector to a homogeneous vehicle to transmit pathogens— a “flying syringe”.

## (2) Compartment models with vector population dynamics

Classical compartment models have been extended to incorporate vector population dynamics, by adding vector life-stage compartments (May & Anderson, 1979; Anderson & May, 1979; Hoshi, Higa, & Chaves, 2014; Johnson *et al.*, 2018; Ng *et al.*, 2018) (Fig. 3). This introduces additional parameters for the vector’s life history, all of which are directly measurable vector traits (also called demographic rates, e.g., mortality, fecundity), or parameters which can be derived from underlying traits (e.g. the transition probabilities ( $p_i$ ’s) in Fig. 3 can be derived from stage-specific survivorship and development time). These models do relax the assumption that vector population dynamics are constant, but do not incorporate trait variation, mechanistic links between traits, or allow trait variation to drive vector population dynamics.

## (3) Adding in trait variation

Subsequent studies have incorporated trait variation into the compartment as well as Anderson-May models (Fig. 4). Reiner *et al* (2013) found that while extensions of the Ross-MacDonald model to incorporate parasite latency in malaria vectors are commonplace, efforts to include variation in vector traits such as heterogeneity in host-vector contact or variation other vector (and host) attributes are rare. Several studies have shown that variation in single traits such as age-specific vector mortality drives changes in the predicted sensitivity of  $R_0$  to vector control (Styer *et al.*, 2007; Bellan, 2010; Novoseltsev *et al.*, 2012). Incorporating variation in single life history traits associated with infection (McElhany, Real, & Power, 1995; Koella, 2005; Lefèvre & Thomas, 2008) or nutrition (Shapiro *et al.*, 2016) has also been found to affect key VBD parameters such as biting

rate. In some cases, components of vector ecology have also been added to Ross-MacDonald type models, including environmental drivers (Beck-Johnson *et al.*, 2013) or species interactions (Depinay *et al.*, 2004; Nakazawa, Yamanaka, & Urano, 2012). While these approaches incorporate trait variation, they don't necessarily link this to vector population dynamics or link between traits.

Other studies have derived transmission parameters as a function of traits without mechanistically linking traits. For example, Brand, Rock, & Keeling (2016) determined the number of infectious bites delivered by midges by combining the probability of survival through bluetongue virus EIP, age specific-biting rate, and mortality. They demonstrate that determining model parameters with traits can dramatically change the interpretation of  $R_0$  as well as the estimated impact of vector control for bluetongue. However, while the traits drive a common transmission parameter, they are not mechanistically linked to one another. In a similar example, Brady *et al.* (2016) incorporated adult female mosquito blood feeding, egg laying, larval ecology and how these affect vectorial capacity. They found that this increased the relative importance of vector control methods relative to those that specifically targeted adults.

Most recently, trait variation has been incorporated directly into compartment models by incorporating environment driven trait variation. In this case multiple traits are determined by the same driver. For example, Parham & Michael (2010) derived an equation for mean, asymptotic vector population size as a function of traits that are then allowed to be functions of environmental conditions. Mordecai *et al.* (2013, 2017) built upon this approach to include empirically derived unimodal thermal responses for life-history and transmission related traits. Brand *et al.* (2017) took a similar approach for allowing biting and EIP parameters to depend on temperature, but modelled the vector population using a statistical approach. All these studies have provided interesting insights into possible effects of trait variation, but they do not mechanistically link traits. We have not found examples of studies that have systematically incorporated trait variation, mechanistic linkages between traits (e.g., co-variation in biting rate and survival), and allowed traits to drive population and transmission dynamics.

**IV. A TRAIT-BASED FRAMEWORK FOR VBD RESEARCH**

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

Here, we present a general trait-based research framework that unifies these previous approaches, and can provides a foundation for systematically tackling the challenge of incorporating trait variation into VBD dynamics. An overview of the framework is given in Fig. 5 (SI section 1, contains a more detailed framework description). In general, a fully trait-based VBD system's specification must include:

1. **Transmission compartments for each focal host and vector species:** For example, the SIR compartments as presented in the Ross-MacDonald type models (e.g.,  $H_S$ ,  $H_R$ ) and may have additional host sub-compartments ( $H_j$ , where  $j \geq 0$ ) tailored to a particular disease.
2. **Vector life history compartments:** These would include the commonly used infected susceptible vector sub populations ( $V_S$ ,  $V_I$ ), but additionally include the vectors' juvenile life stage subpopulations, starting at birth ( $V_0$ ) and followed by immature stages ( $V_l$ , where  $l > 0$ ). In adult stages, we include the potential for additional stages ( $V_k$ ) leading to infectious adults ( $V_I$ ).
3. **Species interaction compartments:** These will depend on the VBD system, but typically at least one consumer-resource interaction would be necessarily incorporated, because all vectors experience significant mortality from predation at one or more life stages. This would require compartments for a resource species consumed by the vector ( $R_1$ ) and predator species that consume the vector ( $C_1$ ). In more complex systems, additional consumer and resource compartments ( $C_n$ ,  $R_m$ ) could be added.
4. **Trait Variation:** A suite of trait (e.g., vector mortality, fecundity and biting rates) to parameter mappings that determine fitness effects, and at least a single type of trait variation, such as with an environmental factor ( $dz/dE$ ; e.g., temperature) (Fig. 1, 5). As we explain earlier, under this framework "fitness" has a more general meaning in its evolutionary connotation: it is the change in abundance value of any compartment (population) between time steps or spatial locations. Finally, note that a fitness effect in the transmission component (Fig. 5) changes abundances of the host's infected sub-population through changes in transmission rate (e.g., through biting rate) and reflects a change in fitness of the pathogen's (population) fitness. While many features of

individuals and populations are measurable and may be variable, this framework specifies that these features are only important for transmission when they affect demographic, interaction, or transmission rates that drive population and transmission dynamics. We incorporate three key classes of functional traits; life history, interaction, and transmission traits (Table 1).

5. **Mechanistic Links Between Traits:** Traits should be mechanistically linked. This may be accomplished by explicitly modelling how multiple traits together affect a fitness parameter through shared bio-mechanical and metabolic constraints (see Section VI.1.a).

We do not show explicit linkages between trait variation, consumer-resource, and life history sub-compartments in Fig. 5 because these will vary with VBD system. For example, in the case of most aphid-transmitted diseases, the resource ( $R$ ) and host ( $H$ ) are often the same. For other vectors, such as *Anopheles* mosquitoes, the transmission relevant hosts ( $H$ ) may make up only a proportion of the resources ( $R$ ) that regulate growth and reproduction (e.g. (LoGiudice *et al.*, 2003; Donnelly *et al.*, 2015)).

**V. AN EXAMPLE: A TRAIT-BASED MODEL OF MOSQUITO ABUNDANCE AND DISEASE TRANSMISSION**

We now illustrate how a trait-based approach (Fig. 5) can lead to novel predictions about vector population dynamics and therefore transmission using the effect of temperature on transmission as an example. Temperature is a major source of environment-driven trait variation (Fig. 2), and affects variation in both adult and juvenile traits in vectors. To incorporate trait variation into transmission, we model the effects of temperature-driven life-stage specific trait variation on vector population density,  $V$ , through the population's intrinsic growth rate,  $r_m$ . Full details of this worked example and the model are provided in SI section 3. Briefly,  $r_m$  is a function of adult peak fecundity ( $b_{pk}$ ), age-related fecundity decline rate ( $\kappa$ ), adult mortality rate ( $\mu$ ) and juvenile development time ( $\alpha$ ) and juvenile mortality ( $\mu_j$ ). Variation in each of these traits across temperature is characterized by the thermal performance curve of each trait. By incorporating such environment-driven trait variation into a vector population abundance model we can derive the transmission dynamics over time (Fig. 6).

We contrast the trait-based approach with a phenomenological one that has been used in previous studies (Hoshi *et al.*, 2014; Johnson *et al.*, 2018; Ng *et al.*, 2018), where abundance ( $V$ ) is directly associated with temperature by fitting a time-series model or where abundance is assumed, a priori, to follow a sinusoidal function (Bacaër & Guernaoui, 2006; Bacaër 2007; Bacaër & Ouifki, 2007; Bacaër & Ait Dads, 2012). This results in a transmission dynamic where  $R_0$  tracks temperature variation (Fig. 6), potentially with a time-lag. This result contrasts strongly with that from the trait-based approach that maps traits through parameters to vector population size. Specifically, we observe key differences in predictions of both abundance dynamics and the knock-on effects on transmission: the trait-based model predicts that vector populations will emerge earlier in the year and persist later into the cooler late summer season with a dip in the warmest period of the summer. These differences in  $V$  feed through to a longer period of annual transmission with an early and late summer peak. The trait-based model predicts a longer transmission season than the phenomenological model, and reveals a decrease in transmission risk in the warmest period. The latter result in particular is surprising given the general “warmer is better” view, but is consistent with the results of Mordecai *et al* (2013) which incorporated variation (SI section 2.2). Interestingly, when metabolic theory was used to mechanistically model of infection of an endothermic host with an arctic nematode parasite, the continuous spring-to-fall transmission season morphed into two distinct transmission seasons as climate warmed was also observed (Molnár, 2013). The similarity in predicted transmission dynamics across these two very different systems suggests that mechanistically incorporating trait variation can reveal general constraints on VBD systems — in this case, the effect of temperature on VBD dynamics through life-history traits. Additionally, a trait-based approach enables us to determine which traits matter the most for determining thermal sensitivity of transmission dynamics (through trait sensitivity analyses; see next section).

The example we have developed here also illustrates a key theoretical point we raised at the start. If vector traits (eqn 1; assumption 2) change at the same or shorter timescales (here, driven by within-year temperature change) than the rate of pathogen transmission, the classical approach will fail to capture important aspects of contemporary transmission dynamics (Anderson & May, 1981; Heesterbeek & Roberts, 1995; Bacaër, 2007). One approach towards relaxing the constant vector



population assumption of classical transmission models has been to build phenomenological models for time varying vector populations, for example by assuming that the vector population oscillates sinusoidally (Heesterbeek & Roberts, 1995; Bacaër, 2007). Although this approach is relatively simple, it cannot quantify or predict how variation in key vector traits or parameters (e.g.,  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $\mu$ ) interact to drive transmission dynamics over time if the relationship between abundance and temperature is non-linear. A trait-based approach provides new mechanistic insights and hypotheses, and predicts non-trivial dynamics that would likely inform public health and control decisions.

**(1) The importance of trait sensitivity analyses**

In addition to producing novel predictions, a trait-based approach allows investigation of the degree to which different traits drive transmission dynamics and underlying fitness effects. For example, a local trait sensitivity analysis of the population fitness component of the above trait-based model allows us to investigate the relative importance of juvenile versus adult traits in determining effects of temperature on abundance (and therefore transmission) (Fig. 7).

This leads to a key insight: juvenile traits are expected to play a major role in determining vector fitness across temperatures, and therefore abundance, and ultimately transmission. In particular, the trait sensitivity analysis adds further insight to *why* the trait-based approach yields very different predictions for population abundance and  $R_0$  compared to a phenomenological approach. The thermal sensitivity of abundance ( $V$ ) and the underlying population fitness ( $r_m$ ) is driven by the variation of temperature-driven variation in larval stage traits. These predictions and insights provide quantitative targets for validation using field data. Sensitivity analyses of transmission measures with respect to traits also allow key traits to be identified, guiding further empirical and theoretical work on the contributions of traits to VBD system dynamics.

**VI. A TRAIT-BASED FRAMEWORK ROADMAP**



Our relatively simple worked example in the previous section gives an idea of the steps one would need to take in order to use a trait-based approach. A full trait-based study would involve making the following links:

1. Trait→Parameter
2. Trait-Variation→Fitness Variation
3. Fitness→Population Dynamics
4. Population Dynamics→Transmission Dynamics

Research programs may focus on all or a portion of the following four sequential components or steps. In our worked example, we only attempt to tackle step 2 and 3. Each step requires a relationship or mapping (→) to be quantified through empirical studies coupled with mathematical modelling. To be clear, useful progress and insights can be gained at each step. We now explain each of these steps, followed by an example.

#### **(1) Trait→Parameter**

A key component of any trait-based framework is the mapping of trait values onto mathematical VBD model parameters (Fig. 5). In this framework a parameter represents a process that would have a fitness effect within the model (e.g., it can change the abundance of one of the model compartments). For example, mortality rate decreases population abundance ( $V_S$ ) and fecundity increases abundance ( $V_S$ ). The trait/parameter delineation is not exclusive. Mortality rate and fecundity could also be interpreted as traits because they are directly measurable. In contrast, vectorial capacity is not a trait as it is a derived measure and cannot be directly measured. The distinction between “trait” and “parameter” is a matter of context; when a quantity is being used to drive another parameter it is a trait and when is directly affecting fitness it is a parameter. Deconstruction of parameters into their underlying traits bounds the parameter’s feasible range (parameter space), and also reveals how different parameters are linked, and therefore interact or trade-off with one another to impact compartment fitness (Charnov, 1993) and ultimately, transmission dynamics.

Biomechanical and metabolic approaches that link physical and performance traits could be very useful for tackling this challenge (Brown *et al.*, 2004; McGill *et al.*, 2006; Amarasekare &

1  
2  
3 414 Savage, 2012; Pawar, Dell, & Savage, 2015a). These approaches use a common currency (energy) to  
4  
5 415 map parameters onto traits. This inevitably establishes mechanistic links between traits. For example,  
6  
7 416 body size drives not just adult vector biting rate, but also its fecundity and mortality rates. Recent  
8  
9 417 advances in metabolic modelling offer an opportunity to determine encounter rate parameters between  
10  
11 418 vectors and hosts (Dell, Pawar, & Savage, 2011; Pawar, Dell, & Savage, 2012; Dell, Pawar, &  
12  
13 419 Savage, 2014; Gilbert *et al.*, 2014; Pawar *et al.*, 2015a; Rizzuto, Carbone, & Pawar, 2018) (see  
14  
15 420 example below) and even capture within-host parasite dynamics (Kirk *et al.*, 2018). Empirical studies  
16  
17 421 that validate trait-fitness models for specific vectors and VBD systems are of equal importance.  
18  
19 422 Ideally, such studies should measure multiple traits within individuals (for example, vector  
20  
21 423 competence, fecundity, and survival) and how they relate to individual fitness (Ohm *et al.*, 2016).  
22  
23  
24 424

26 425 **(a) A Worked Example: Deconstruction of Biting Rate**

28 426  
29  
30 427 To illustrate Trait→Parameter mapping, we use recent advances in mechanistic, metabolic  
31  
32 428 trait-based theory for species interactions (Pawar *et al.*, 2012; Gibert *et al.*, 2015; Pawar *et al.*, 2015a)  
33  
34 429 to develop a mathematical model that deconstructs biting rate (a parameter in the model) into its  
35  
36 430 underlying functional traits and show how it is ultimately linked to the vector's adult body size.  
37  
38 431 Heterogeneity in biting behaviour is considered important for determining transmission dynamics  
39  
40 432 (Dye & Hasibeder, 1986; Smith *et al.*, 2014). This key transmission parameter in all VBD systems,  
41  
42 433 that also underpins vector fitness, can be derived from body size (a physical trait) (Fig. 8, SI section  
43  
44 434 1.2)(De Leo & Dobson, 1996). Adult vector size is a key physical trait as it determines many  
45  
46 435 functional traits, and also itself responds strongly and predictably to external factors such as  
47  
48 436 environmental temperature and resource availability (e.g., larval nutrition).  
49

51 437 Consider a flying vector such as a mosquito, fly, or midge, seeking larger, warm-blooded  
52  
53 438 hosts (e.g., a terrestrial mammal, which is also the energy resource for the vector). A general field  
54  
55 439 encounter rate model for such vector-host interactions in two-dimensional Euclidean space (2D) is  
56  
57 440 (Pawar *et al.*, 2012),  
58  
59  
60 441

$$a = 2v_r df(.)H$$

Here,  $a$  is biting rate (bites  $\times$  time<sup>-1</sup>) for a given host density  $H$  (individuals  $\times$  area<sup>-1</sup>),  $v_r$  is relative velocity between the vector and host,  $d$  is the reaction distance (minimum distance at which the vector detects and reacts to host), and  $f(.)$  is the host “risk” function that determines the (biting) functional response of the vector. That is,  $f(.)$  encapsulates the constraints on the vector's handling time ( $h$ ) (the post-encounter phase in Fig. 8), which would be determined by its ability to land on and complete the biting action successfully. Assuming each encounter between vector and host results in one bite, we can derive biting rate as a function of body size (as physical trait) two key functional traits (vector's flight velocity and reaction distance) (details in SI section 1.2):

$$a = a_0 m_v^{p_v + 2p_d} k^{p_d} f(.)H \quad 2$$

where  $a_0$  is a normalization constant that also includes the effect of environmental temperature,  $m_v$  is the vector's body mass,  $p_v$  and  $p_d$  are scaling exponents for the size-dependence of vector flight velocity and reaction distance (respectively)  $k$  is the vector-host body mass-ratio (a measure of their relative size difference). In the case where hosts are relatively rare,  $f(.) = 1$ , but in the case where hosts are common (e.g., mosquito populations are often localized near human villages), it can be replaced with a more complex function that includes the effect of host handling time (physical limits on vector's biting & ingestion rate; Fig. 2), which itself is likely to be vector size and temperature dependent (Dell *et al.*, 2011; Pawar *et al.*, 2012).

Breaking down biting rate into its component traits recovers known patterns: that smaller flying vectors will have a lower mean or maximum biting rate relative to larger ones (e.g., midges vs. mosquitoes), that biting rates will be lower for walking vectors compared to flying ones (e.g., ticks vs. mosquitoes), and explains how temperature (through the scaling constants  $a_0$ ) affects biting rate (e.g., (Dell *et al.*, 2011; Mordecai *et al.*, 2013; Dell *et al.*, 2014), providing a mechanistic basis for determining the effect of temperature on vector fitness as well as vectorial capacity. This

Trait→Parameter mapping approach can be modified to accommodate vectors with different moving or sensory modes (e.g., ticks vs. mosquitoes), and for the same host density (say, humans).

**(2) Trait-Variation→Fitness**

A population’s fitness is essentially a distribution of fitness values across its individuals. Therefore, the second key step in a trait-based VBD framework is to use Trait-Parameter mappings to quantify how variation in functional traits generates variation in vector fitness and transmission. That is, after identifying key functional traits and building trait–parameter models, the effect of trait variation on the parameter, and eventually, fitness distribution in each class of compartment needs to be quantified. The same trait can affect the fitness of more than one compartment. For example, variation in biting rate or intrinsic mortality would affect both vector population fitness (e.g.,  $r_{\max}$ ) and transmission fitness (e.g.,  $R_0$ ). Incorporating or mapping any of the above three types of trait variation (Fig. 1) onto vector population or transmission parameter requires the re-definition of the parameters as functions (e.g.,  $p(z)$ ,  $dz/dt$ ,  $dz/dE$ ; Fig. 3), which are better constructed mechanistically using Trait-Parameter mappings. In our worked example, we explicitly derive population fitness using environment-driven traits.

**(3) Fitness→Population Dynamics**

The third step is to quantify how trait variation determines vector population abundance or dynamics over time through effects on fitness. This requires the construction of stage-structured population dynamic models (Fig. 5). There are two key challenges here. The first is quantification of the impact of trait variation (Fig. 3) on the vector’s stage-structured population dynamics. One approach for doing so is trait-driver theory, which provides methods inherited from quantitative genetics to study how trait variation drives abundance dynamics (Norberg *et al.*, 2001; Webb *et al.*, 2010; Enquist *et al.*, 2015). This challenge may be made more difficult because most vectors have stage-structured life cycles underlying population dynamics, which may have transgenerational effects

(e.g., maternal effects) or carryover effects across life stages (Lorenz & Koella, 2011). Initial progress can be made by simply measuring trait variation and “plugging” it into population dynamics models (through Trait-Parameter models) with variation to allow deviations from the standard exponential assumptions (for example see (Brand *et al.*, 2016)) to determine how sensitive abundance is to trait variation. In the worked example, we mapped trait-based fitness effects onto vector abundance using one type of trait variation (environment-driven, specifically temperature). Because body-size is a key physical trait that also changes with life stage (over time), integral projection models may be another way to incorporate traits into VBDs (Coulson, 2012; Rees, Childs, & Ellner, 2014; Metcalf *et al.*, 2016).

There is increasing interest in incorporating species interactions into VBD transmission dynamics (Keesing *et al.*, 2010). This is an area of ongoing investigation not just in VBD research, but in ecology in general. Species interactions impact life history traits, especially fecundity and mortality, by shifting them from the baseline, interaction-independent values (Roux *et al.*, 2015). For example, in consumer-resource interactions, fecundity increases with availability of the vector’s resources (vector-resource or vector-host interaction), and mortality with the vector’s consumers (vector-predator interaction). Tackling this challenge will require mathematical models (and complementary empirical studies) that tractably include the impacts of species interactions on baseline (or idealized) life history (demographic) parameters (typically measured in the laboratory), especially fecundity and mortality. One relatively simple way to make progress in this direction is to re-define the otherwise idealized life history parameter (e.g., development rate, fecundity, and mortality) functions to include losses or gains due to species interactions. This approach could circumvent the additional complexity of explicitly adding consumer-resource dynamics to vector population and transmission dynamics.

#### **(4) Population Dynamics→Transmission Dynamics**

The final step is to quantify transmission dynamics using trait-based vector population dynamics and resulting vector-host interaction rates (Fig. 5). To achieve this, two key challenges on the theoretical front need to be tackled. First, how trait variation determines the timescale of

1  
2  
3 524 fluctuations in vector population sizes and vector-host interaction traits relative to the timescale of  
4  
5 525 transmission dynamics needs to be modeled and validated. The trait-based approach, by deriving the  
6  
7 526 timescales of population fluctuations mechanistically, would “naturally” determine whether and when  
8  
9 527 the separation of the timescales of population and transmission dynamics, implicit in classical  
10  
11 528 (compartment-type) VBD models, is valid (see example below). Second, the appropriate level of  
12  
13 529 biological complexity (essentially, number of parameters or traits) needed to capture the effect of trait  
14  
15 530 variation through population dynamics on transmission dynamics needs to be determined. For  
16  
17 531 example, trait variation in both juveniles and adults may need to be incorporated simultaneously into  
18  
19 532 transmission models (Fig 7). In addition to vector traits that affect its population dynamics, models  
20  
21 533 and data on traits that determine the ability of the vector to transmit a pathogen (e.g.,  $P$  and  $bc$  in eqn.  
22  
23 534 1) and interact with the host (e.g.,  $a$  in eqn. 1) will be needed. In many cases, these transmission-  
24  
25 535 relevant traits will be the same as those determining fitness. For example, both, encounter rate with  
26  
27 536 host and with the vector’s resources (or predators) are determined by body size and velocity (Fig. 8).  
28  
29 537 Indeed, the host is the primary or sole resource in many vectors (e.g., aphids) which links  
30  
31 538 transmission parameters directly to the vector’s fitness through biting and feeding rate.  
32  
33  
34  
35 539

36  
37 540 **VII. KEY CHALLENGES**  
38

39 541  
40  
41 542 The four components for building trait-based models that we have outlined above will each  
42  
43 543 face four challenges to differing degrees; *data*: how to prioritize experiments and report data;  
44  
45 544 *parameterisation*: how to link model components to empirical data; *validation*: how to test the trait –  
46  
47 545 fitness, trait variation – fitness, population dynamics, and transmission models; *selection*: how to pick  
48  
49 546 the most parsimonious model.  
50

51 547  
52  
53 548 **(1) Data**  
54

55 549  
56  
57 550 Experiments to quantify vector traits involve taking individual measures on small insects that  
58  
59 551 are often logistically difficult to work with due to ethical and biosafety concerns. As we have  
60

described above, theoretical work can help to prioritize which traits we should expect to have the greatest impact on fitness and transmission. New data collection efforts are currently underway in several disease systems, but the limited accessibility of data that have already been collected is a major issue that needs to be addressed. It is critical to get as much information as possible from these time- and labor-intensive experiments by reporting data at the most disaggregated level possible. Many studies report point estimates of trait means/demographic rates across populations, across lifespans, and over environmental gradients rather than presenting distributions or individual values. Individual-level data are critical for accurately estimating within-population variation in trait values. Beyond individual studies, consolidating datasets with individual measurements into common formats would allow for the identification of gaps and coordinated data collection efforts to specifically target the traits and conditions that are data-poor. We have recently launched a hub for storing and accessing vector trait data ([www.vectorbyte.org](http://www.vectorbyte.org)) and a platform for coordinating data collection efforts ([www.vectorbite.org](http://www.vectorbite.org)). Current data can be used to parameterise mechanistic models, including trait distributions that accurately represent uncertainty and variation within populations. This would allow us to identify which traits and types of variation in these traits are not well characterized and may play an important role in transmission.

## (2) Parameterisation

Accurately quantifying the distribution of trait values within populations is a major barrier to developing and refining Trait → Parameter and Trait Variation → Population Fitness models. First, better identification of how individual measurements link to modeled quantities needs to be more consistently addressed. For example, many models assume a single fixed value of the extrinsic incubation period (EIP – the time it takes for an exposed vector to become infectious). However, studies may report any number of variations in EIP – such as EIP<sub>50</sub> (time to 50% of vectors being infectious) or EIP<sub>90</sub> (time to 90% infectious) (Ohm *et al.*, 2018). Correctly identifying and incorporating empirical measurements from the literature to match with the meaning of the trait or parameters or transformations is necessary. Then the variation and uncertainty in the traits must be



quantified. This involves the use of statistical methods for separating true biological variation from measurement error, and thereby quantifying uncertainty in estimates of trait values (e.g., the mean or variance of a trait). Using sophisticated approaches that allow quantification and propagation of uncertainty (e.g., from Trait→Parameter to population dynamics modelling), such as Bayesian inference, with or without prior information, will be key here (Clark, 2007; Johnson *et al.*, 2015). In addition, parameter sensitivity analyses in trait-based models (Fig. 7) are crucial, and can provide biological insights into the traits driving variation in transmission (Johnson *et al.*, 2015).

**(3) Model Validation and Selection**

A fundamental goal of trait-based VBD research should be to determine the conditions under which vector traits drive significant variation in realized transmission rate. This requires validation of models at each level or compartment of the framework (ideally) with data on the spatial or temporal distribution of traits/drivers as predictors. In contrast to inference or calibration of a model, validation is the process of assessing how well a parameterised model can replicate data that was not used for parameter inference/calibration (i.e., out-of-sample prediction) (Hooten & Hobbs, 2015). For example, Trait→Parameter models need to be validated with data on population growth rates, the subsequent population dynamics models need to be validated with data on abundance variation over space or time, and the transmission models need to be validated using disease incidence data over space and time. However, when the data available for validation are very different in nature from the data used for model fitting or model outputs do not correspond to easily measured quantities, it can be difficult to determine when a model captures sufficient detail to adequately represent reality. Efforts to develop hierarchical model validation methods for the types of dynamic, trait-based models described here are ongoing (e.g., (LaDeau *et al.*, 2011; Johnson, Pecquerie, & Nisbet, 2013; Johnson *et al.*, 2014; Sun, Lee, & Hoeting, 2015). These will include Bayesian methods, which allow quantification of uncertainty and the incorporation of prior data (Clark, 2007; Hooten & Hobbs, 2015). Not only do the statistical methods for (VBD) dynamical systems need to be refined, but as they are developed it is important that these methods are made accessible for non-statisticians doing



research in this area. This requires training a new generation of researchers in both the new modeling techniques (so they can develop models that include details such as behaviour) as well as statistical techniques appropriate for parameterizing and validating the models as they are developed.

It is inevitable and useful that multiple models will be built to address the same question within any of the compartments of a trait-based framework (Johnson & Omland, 2004). For example, there are multiple ways to predict fitness from underlying life history traits (Amarasekare & Coutinho, 2013). Comparing the predictions from multiple models allows us to identify which models are most should make validation data sets publically available and accessible, and standardized metrics of goodness-of-fit or similar should be reported for all models against validation sets. Second, publishing the code used to generate model outputs as a standard practice would facilitate comparing transmission models of all types—not just those incorporating trait variation. These steps would enable model comparison and multi-model ensembles to be used for future predictions.

## VIII. WHY NOW?

This is the ideal time to undertake the development of fully trait-based approaches in VBD research. Recent public health crises have spurred government agencies to support the collection of large amounts of data on these systems. This, combined with innovations in empirical data collection and sharing, means that the necessary data for parameterizing and validating trait-based models are now becoming available. At the same time, the movement towards reproducible research has resulted in open models and computational approaches that allow more direct comparison of models and easier expansion of existing methods.

At the same time, the broader field of trait-based research is maturing across ecological systems, with both the theory and experimental methods growing apace (McGill *et al.*, 2006; Pawar, Woodward, & Dell, 2015b). This provides VBD trait-based approaches with a solid foundation. There are many other areas of ecology that are currently striving to mechanistically incorporate trait variation to understand emergent (especially community and ecosystem level) processes. Methods

developed for VBDs would have utility in solving problems in these other systems, such as predicting changes in ecosystem services like primary production (Díaz *et al.*, 2007; Blanchard *et al.*, 2012).

Building a fully trait-based approach to modeling VBD dynamics is not the “quick and easy path” (Kershner & Lucas, 1980). It is data-hungry and requires extensive efforts to building models that integrate knowledge about processes from the individual to populations and beyond. However, we argue that in comparison to phenomenological approaches (e.g., regressions or other correlative approaches) taking a more mechanistic approach, in general, provides a better way to extrapolate dynamics across time or space (Bayarri *et al.*, 2009). This kind of predictive power is becoming increasingly critical as these pathogens continue to emerge and expand their ranges in the context of a globalized and rapidly changing environment. Beyond that, incorporating traits with this bottom up approach should allow us to better understand *why* we see the patterns of transmission that we do, and potentially inform efforts at control. By explicitly modelling the fitness of a given trait and its effect on population dynamics and fitness, trait-based approaches could be used to incorporate trait evolution into transmission models. For instance, although we have focused on traits that directly affect vector population fitness in idealized conditions, the addition of other traits that are mediated by human intervention, such as insecticide resistance, is also possible within this framework. The evolution of insecticide resistance is arguably the largest challenge to sustainable management of vector-borne diseases. A trait-based approach has the potential to better understand the implication of both current (e.g., chemical pesticides) and future control measures (e.g., genetically altered vectors) that inherently alter traits, while suggesting innovative and nuanced ways to apply control in a way that anticipates changes driven by the inherent complexities of these systems.

IX. CONCLUSION

1. Mounting evidence shows that vector functional traits vary over lifespan, within populations, and across environmental gradients. This variation in key parameters is expected to alter disease transmission dynamics.

2. Mathematical models of Vector-Borne Disease (VBD) dynamics to date have not mechanistically incorporated trait variation, and are therefore unable to capture changes in transmission dynamics that may emerge from different types and magnitudes of trait variation, the correlations between traits, and resulting vector population dynamics.
3. A fully trait-based VBD approach would involve explicitly modelling trait variation and its effect on transmission, vector life history, species interactions and also allowing feedback between traits. This requires mechanistic Trait-Parameter mapping, and the incorporation of trait variation (and co-variation) into the fitness of vector population and disease transmission compartments.
4. Using a trait-based VBD approach can lead to novel predictions for transmission dynamics, and allows analyses of the relative importance of different traits in transmission dynamics. Incorporating trait variation into VBD transmission models will enhance our ability to predict transmission dynamics at longer temporal and larger spatial scales.
5. Increasing research efforts in the development of trait-based theory and data, combined with increasing investment in vector population surveillance makes this an ideal time for the community to systematically and strategically incorporate trait variation into VBD research.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the members of the VectorBiTE RCN ([www.vectorbite.org](http://www.vectorbite.org)) for their energy and stimulating discussions around these ideas. This work was funded by NIH grant 1R01AI122284-01 and BBSRC grant BB/N013573/1 as part of the joint [NIH-NSF-USDA-BBSRC] Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Diseases program. EAM and LRJ were funded by NSF grant DEB-1518681.

**Author Contributions:** LJC, SP, LRJ, EM and PJH conceived the study. LJC and SP wrote the manuscript with inputs from MBT, AGP, MB, SLL, MAJ, LRJ, EM, and PJH. SP, LRJ, TS, and FEM developed the mathematical models and worked examples.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**References**

AGASHE, D. (2009) The stabilizing effect of intraspecific genetic variation on population dynamics in novel and ancestral habitats. *American Naturalist* **174**, 255–267.

ALTO, B.W., LOUNIBOS, L.P. & JULIANO, S.A. (2003) Age-dependent bloodfeeding of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* on artificial and living hosts. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* **19**, 347–352.

AMARASEKARE, P. & SAVAGE, V. (2012) A framework for elucidating the temperature dependence of fitness. *The American Naturalist* **179**, 178–191.

AMARASEKARE, P. & COUTINHO, R.M. (2013) The intrinsic growth rate as a predictor of population viability under climate warming. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **82**, 1240–1253.

ANDERSON, R.M. & MAY, R.M. (1979) Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I. *Nature* **280**, 361–367.

ANDERSON, R.M. & MAY, R.M. (1981) The population dynamics of microparasites and their invertebrate hosts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* **291**, 451–524.

BACAËR, N. (2007) Approximation of the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  for vector-borne diseases with a periodic vector population. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* **69**, 1067–1091.

BACAËR, N. & AIT DADS, E. (2012) On the biological interpretation of a definition for the parameter  $R_0$  in periodic population models. *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **65**, 601–621.

BACAËR, N. & GUERNAOUI, S. (2006) The epidemic threshold of vector-borne diseases with seasonality. *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **53**, 421–436.

BACAËR, N. & OUIFKI, R. (2007) Growth rate and basic reproduction number for population models with a simple periodic factor. *Mathematical Biosciences* **210**, 647–658.

BAYARRI, M., CALDER, E., LUNAGOMEZ, S., PITMAN, E., BERGER, J., DALBEY, K., PATRA, A., SPILLER, E. & WOLPERT, R. (2009) Using statistical and computer models to quantify volcanic hazards. *Technometrics* **51**, 401–413.

- 716 BAYOH, M.N. & LINDSAY, S.W. (2003) Effect of temperature on the development of the aquatic  
717 stages of *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto (Diptera: Culicidae). *Bulletin of Entomological*  
718 *Research* **93**, 375–381.
- 719 BECK-JOHNSON, L.M., NELSON, W.A., PAAIJMANS, K.P., READ, A.F., THOMAS, M.B. & BJØRNSTAD,  
720 O.N. (2013) The effect of temperature on *Anopheles* mosquito population dynamics and the  
721 potential for malaria transmission. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e79276.
- 722 BELLAN, S. (2010) The importance of age dependent mortality and the extrinsic incubation period in  
723 models of mosquito-borne disease transmission and control. *PLoS One* **5**, e10165.
- 724 BLANCHARD, J.L., JENNINGS, S., HOLMES, R., HARLE, J., MERINO, G., ALLEN, J.I., HOLT, J., DULVY,  
725 N.K. & BARANGE, M. (2012) Potential consequences of climate change for primary  
726 production and fish production in large marine ecosystems. *Philosophical Transactions of the*  
727 *Royal Society of London B* **367**, 2979–2989.
- 728 BOHBOT, J., DURAND, N., VINYARD, B. & DICKENS, J. (2013) Functional development of the octenol  
729 response in *Aedes aegypti*. *Frontiers in Physiology*.
- 730 BOLNICK, D.I., AMARASEKARE, P., ARAÚJO, M.S., BÜRGER, R., LEVINE, J.M., NOVAK, M., RUDOLF,  
731 V.H.W., SCHREIBER, S.J., URBAN, M.C. & VASSEUR, D. (2011) Why intraspecific trait  
732 variation matters in community ecology. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **26**, 183–192.
- 733 BRADY, O.J., GODFRAY, H.C.J., TATEM, A.J., GETHING, P.W., COHEN, J.M., MCKENZIE, F.E.,  
734 PERKINS, T.A., REINER, R.C., TUSTING, L.S., SINKA, M.E., MOYES, C.L., ECKHOFF, P.A.,  
735 SCOTT, T.W., LINDSAY, S.W., HAY, S.I., ET AL. (2016) Vectorial capacity and vector control:  
736 reconsidering sensitivity to parameters for malaria elimination. *Transactions of the Royal*  
737 *Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **110**, 107–117.
- 738 BRAND, S., ROCK, K. & KEELING, M. (2016) The interaction between vector life history and short  
739 vector life in vector-borne disease control. *PLoS computational biology* **12**, e1004837.
- 740 BROWN, J.H., GILLOOLY, J.F., ALLEN, A.P., SAVAGE, V.M. & WEST, G.B. (2004) Toward a  
741 metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* **85**, 1771–1789.

1  
2  
3 742 CARACO, T., GLAVANAKOV, S., CHEN, G., FLAHERTY, J.E., OHSUMI, T.K. & SZYMANSKI, B.K.  
4  
5 743 (2002) Stage-structured infection transmission and a spatial epidemic: a model for Lyme  
6  
7 744 disease. *The American Naturalist* **160**, 348–359.  
8  
9 745 CDC (2016) Lyme disease: data and statistics. [www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/](http://www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/) [accessed 31 May 2016].  
10  
11 746 CHARNOV, E.L. (1993) *Life History Invariants: Some Explorations of Symmetry in Evolutionary*  
12  
13 747 *Ecology*. Oxford University Press.  
14  
15 748 CHRISTENSEN, B.M., LI, J., CHEN, C.-C. & NAPPI, A.J. (2005) Melanization immune responses in  
16  
17 749 mosquito vectors. *Trends in Parasitology* **21**, 192–199.  
18  
19 750 CIOTA, A.T., MATAACCHIERO, A.C., KILPATRICK, A.M. & KRAMER, L.D. (2014) The effect of  
20  
21 751 temperature on life history traits of *Culex* mosquitoes. *Journal of medical entomology* **51**, 55–  
22  
23 752 62.  
24  
25 753 CLARK, J. (2007) *Models for Ecological Data: An Introduction*. Princeton University Press, Princeton  
26  
27 754 N.J.  
28  
29 755 CLEMENTS, A.N. & PATERSON, G.D. (1981) The analysis of mortality and survival rates in wild  
30  
31 756 populations of mosquitoes. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **18**, 373–399.  
32  
33 757 COLETTA-FILHO, H.D., DAUGHERTY, M.P., FERREIRA, C. & LOPES, J.R. (2014) Temporal progression  
34  
35 758 of *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* infection in citrus and acquisition efficiency by  
36  
37 759 *Diaphorina citri*. *Phytopathology* **104**, 416–421.  
38  
39 760 COSTA, E.A.P. DE A., SANTOS, E.M. DE M., CORREIA, J.C. & ALBUQUERQUE, C.M.R. DE (2010)  
40  
41 761 Impact of small variations in temperature and humidity on the reproductive activity and  
42  
43 762 survival of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera, Culicidae). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **54**, 488–  
44  
45 763 493.  
46  
47 764 COULSON, T. (2012) Integral projections models, their construction and use in posing hypotheses in  
48  
49 765 ecology. *Oikos* **121**, 1337–1350.  
50  
51 766 CRUTSINGER, G., COLLINS, M., FORDYCE, J., GOMPERT, Z., NICE, C. & SANDERS, N. (2006) Plant  
52  
53 767 genotypic diversity predicts community structure and governs an ecosystem process. *Science*  
54  
55 768 **313**, 966–968.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 769 DE LEO, G.A. & DOBSON, A.P. (1996) Allometry and simple epidemic models for microparasites.  
770 *Nature* **379**, 720–722.
- 771 DE XUE, R., EDMAN, J.D. & SCOTT, T.W. (1995) Age and body size effects on blood meal size and  
772 multiple blood feeding by *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*  
773 **32**, 471–474.
- 774 DELATTE, H., GIMONNEAU, G., TRIBOIRE, A. & FONTENILLE, D. (2009) Influence of temperature on  
775 immature development, survival, longevity, fecundity, and gonotrophic cycles of *Aedes*  
776 *albopictus*, vector of chikungunya and dengue in the Indian Ocean. *Journal of Medical*  
777 *Entomology* **46**, 33–41.
- 778 DELL, A.I., PAWAR, S. & SAVAGE, V.M. (2011) Systematic variation in the temperature dependence  
779 of physiological and ecological traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**,  
780 10591–10596.
- 781 DELL, A.I., PAWAR, S. & SAVAGE, V.M. (2014) Temperature dependence of trophic interactions are  
782 driven by asymmetry of species responses and foraging strategy. *Journal of Animal Ecology*  
783 **83**, 70–84.
- 784 DEN OTTER, C., TCHICAYA, T. & SCHUTTE, M. (2008) Effect of age, sex and hunger on the antennal  
785 olfactory sensitivity of tsetse flies. *Physiological Entomology* **16**, 173–182.
- 786 DEPINAY, J.M.O., MBOGO, C.M., KILLEEN, G., KNOLS, B., BEIER, J., CARLSON, J., DUSHOFF, J.,  
787 BILLINGSLEY, P., MWAMBI, H., GITHURE, J. & OTHERS (2004) A simulation model of African  
788 *Anopheles* ecology and population dynamics for the analysis of malaria transmission. *Malaria*  
789 *Journal* **3**, 29.
- 790 DÍAZ, S., LAVOREL, S., DE BELLO, F., QUÉTIER, F., GRIGULIS, K. & ROBSON, T.M. (2007)  
791 Incorporating plant functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments.  
792 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 20684–20689.
- 793 DICK, O.B., SAN MARTÍN, J.L., MONTOYA, R.H., DEL DIEGO, J., ZAMBRANO, B. & DAYAN, G.H.  
794 (2012) The history of dengue outbreaks in the Americas. *The American Journal of Tropical*  
795 *Medicine and Hygiene* **87**, 584–593.



- 796 DOHM, D.J., O'GUINN, M.L. & TURELL, M.J. (2002) Effect of environmental temperature on the  
797 ability of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) to transmit West Nile Virus. *Journal of Medical*  
798 *Entomology* **39**, 221–225.
- 799 DONNELLY, B., BERRANG-FORD, L., ROSS, N.A. & MICHEL, P. (2015) A systematic, realist review of  
800 zooprophylaxis for malaria control. *Malaria Journal* **14**, 313.
- 801 DYE, C. & HASIBEDER, G. (1986) Population dynamics of mosquito-borne disease: effects of flies  
802 which bite some people more frequently than others. *Transactions of the Royal Society of*  
803 *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **80**, 69–77.
- 804 ENQUIST, B.J., NORBERG, J., BONSER, S.P., VIOLLE, C., WEBB, C.T., HENDERSON, A., SLOAT, L.L. &  
805 SAVAGE, V.M. (2015) Scaling from traits to ecosystems: developing a general trait driver  
806 theory via integrating trait-based and metabolic scaling theories. In *Advances in Ecological*  
807 *Research* pp. 249–318. Elsevier.
- 808 FARIA, N.R., AZEVEDO, R. DO S. DA S., KRAEMER, M.U.G., SOUZA, R., CUNHA, M.S., HILL, S.C.,  
809 THÉZÉ, J., BONSTALL, M.B., BOWDEN, T.A., RISSANEN, I., ROCCO, I.M., NOGUEIRA, J.S.,  
810 MAEDA, A.Y., VASAMI, F.G. DA S., MACEDO, F.L. DE L., ET AL. (2016) Zika virus in the  
811 Americas: Early epidemiological and genetic findings. *Science* **352**, 345–349.
- 812 GETZ, W.M., MARSHALL, C.R., CARLSON, C.J., GIUGGIOLI, L., RYAN, S.J., ROMANACH, S.S.,  
813 BOETTIGER, C., CHAMBERLAIN, S.D., LARSEN, L., D'ODORICO, P. & O'SULLIVAN, D. (2018)  
814 Making ecological models adequate. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 153–166.
- 815 GIBERT, J.P., DELL, A.I., DELONG, J.P. & PAWAR, S. (2015) Scaling-up trait variation from  
816 individuals to ecosystems. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (ed G.W. AND A.I.D.  
817 SAMRAAT PAWAR), pp. 1–17. Academic Press.
- 818 GILBERT, B., TUNNEY, T.D., MCCANN, K.S., DELONG, J.P., VASSEUR, D.A., SAVAGE, V., SHURIN,  
819 J.B., DELL, A.I., BARTON, B.T. & HARLEY, C.D. (2014) A bioenergetic framework for the  
820 temperature dependence of trophic interactions. *Ecology Letters* **17**, 902–914.
- 821 HARRINGTON, L.C., BUONACCORSI, J.P., EDMAN, J.D., COSTERO, A., KITTAYAPONG, P., CLARK,  
822 G.G. & SCOTT, T.W. (2001) Analysis of survival of young and old *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera:  
823 Culicidae) from Puerto Rico and Thailand. *Journal of medical entomology* **38**, 537–547.



- 824 HARRINGTON, L.C., FRANÇOISEVERMEYLEN, NULL, JONES, J.J., KITTHAWEE, S., SITHIPRASASNA, R.,  
825 EDMAN, J.D. & SCOTT, T.W. (2008) Age-dependent survival of the dengue vector *Aedes*  
826 *aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) demonstrated by simultaneous release-recapture of different age  
827 cohorts. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **45**, 307–313.
- 828 HEESTERBEEK, J. & ROBERTS, M. (1995) Threshold quantities for infectious diseases in periodic  
829 environments. *Journal of biological systems* **3**, 779–787.
- 830 HILLYER, J.F., SCHMIDT, S.L., FUCHS, J.F., BOYLE, J.P. & CHRISTENSEN, B.M. (2005) Age-  
831 associated mortality in immune challenged mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti*) correlates with a  
832 decrease in haemocyte numbers. *Cellular Microbiology* **7**, 39–51.
- 833 HOOTEN, M. & HOBBS, N. (2015) A guide to Bayesian model selection for ecologists. *Ecological*  
834 *Monographs* **85**, 3–28.
- 835 HOSHI, T., HIGA, Y. & CHAVES, L.F. (2014) *Uranotaenia novobscura ryukyuana* (Diptera: Culicidae)  
836 population dynamics are denso-dependent and autonomous from weather fluctuations. *Annals*  
837 *of the Entomological Society of America* **107**, 136–142.
- 838 IMURA, D., TOQUENAGA, Y. & FUJII, K. (2003) Genetic variation can promote system persistence in  
839 an experimental host-parasitoid system. *Population Ecology* **45**, 205–212.
- 840 JEGER, M.J., HOLT, J., VAN DEN BOSCH, F. & MADDEN, L.V. (2004) Epidemiology of  
841 insect-transmitted plant viruses: modelling disease dynamics and control interventions.  
842 *Physiological Entomology* **29**, 291–304.
- 843 JOHNSON, J. & OMLAND, K. (2004) Model selection in ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology &*  
844 *Evolution* **19**, 101–108.
- 845 JOHNSON, L., PECQUERIE, L. & NISBET, R. (2013) Bayesain inference for bioenergetic models.  
846 *Ecology* **94**, 882–889.
- 847 JOHNSON, L., LAFFERTY, K., McNALLY, E., MORDECAI, E., PAAIJMANS, K., PAWAR, S. & RYAN, S.  
848 (2014) Mapping the distribution of malaria: current approaches and future directions. Pages  
849 191–211 in D. Chen, B. Moulin, and J. Wu, editors. In *Analyzing and modeling spatial and*  
850 *temporal dynamics of infectious diseases*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.  
851 pp. 191–211. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, USA.

1  
2  
3 852 JOHNSON, L.R., BEN-HORIN, T., LAFFERTY, K.D., McNALLY, A., MORDECAI, E., PAAIJMANS, K.P.,  
4  
5 853 PAWAR, S. & RYAN, S.J. (2015) Understanding uncertainty in temperature effects on vector-  
6  
7 854 borne disease: a Bayesian approach. *Ecology* **96**, 203–213.  
8  
9 855 JOHNSON, L.R., GRAMACY, R.B., COHEN, J., MORDECAI, E., MURDOCK, C., ROHR, J., RYAN, S.J.,  
10  
11 856 STEWART-IBARRA, A.M. & WEIKEL, D. (2018) Phenomenological forecasting of disease  
12  
13 857 incidence using heteroskedastic Gaussian processes: A dengue case study. *The Annals of*  
14  
15 858 *Applied Statistics* **12**, 27–66.  
16  
17 859 KEESING, F., BELDEN, L.K., DASZAK, P., DOBSON, A., HARVELL, C.D., HOLT, R.D., HUDSON, P.,  
18  
19 860 JOLLES, A., JONES, K.E. & MITCHELL, C.E. (2010) Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence  
20  
21 861 and transmission of infectious diseases. *Nature* **468**, 647.  
22  
23 862 KERSHNER, I. & LUCAS, G. (1980) The Empire strikes back. Twentieth Century-Fox Film  
24  
25 863 Corporation.  
26  
27 864 KERSTING, U., SATAR, S. & UYGUN, N. (1999) Effect of temperature on development rate and  
28  
29 865 fecundity of apterous *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hom., Aphididae) reared on *Gossypium*  
30  
31 866 *hirsutum* L. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **123**, 23–27.  
32  
33 867 KINDLMANN, P. & DIXON, A.F.G. (2003) Insect predator–prey dynamics and the biological control of  
34  
35 868 aphids by ladybirds. In *First international symposium on biological control of arthropods*.  
36  
37 869 *USDA Forest Service, USA* pp. 118–124.  
38  
39 870 KIRK, D., JONES, N., PEACOCK, S., PHILLIPS, J., MOLNÁR, P.K., KRKOŠEK, M. & LUIJCKX, P. (2018)  
40  
41 871 Empirical evidence that metabolic theory describes the temperature dependency of within-  
42  
43 872 host parasite dynamics. *PLOS Biology* **16**, e2004608.  
44  
45 873 KISSLING, W.D., WALLS, R., BOWSER, A., JONES, M.O., KATTGE, J., AGOSTI, D., AMENGUAL, J.,  
46  
47 874 BASSET, A., VAN BODEGOM, P.M. & CORNELISSEN, J.H. (2018) Towards global data  
48  
49 875 products of Essential Biodiversity Variables on species traits. *Nature ecology & evolution* **2**,  
50  
51 876 1531–1540.  
52  
53 877 KOELLA, J.C. (2005) Malaria as a manipulator. *Behavioural processes* **68**, 271–273.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 878 KRAMER, L.D., HARDY, J.L. & PRESSER, S.B. (1983) Effect of temperature of extrinsic incubation on  
879 the vector competence of *Culex tarsalis* for Western Equine Encephalomyelitis Virus. *The*  
880 *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **32**, 1130–1139.
- 881 LADEAU, S.L., GLASS, G.E., HOBBS, N.T., LATIMER, A. & OSTFELD, R.S. (2011) Data-model fusion  
882 to better understand emerging pathogens and improve infectious disease forecasting.  
883 *Ecological Applications: A Publication of the Ecological Society of America* **21**, 1443–1460.
- 884 LAUGHTON, A.M., FAN, M.H. & GERARDO, N.M. (2014) The combined effects of bacterial symbionts  
885 and aging on life history traits in the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Applied and*  
886 *environmental microbiology* **80**, 470–477.
- 887 LLOYD-SMITH, J.O., SCHREIBER, S.J., KOPP, P.E. & GETZ, W.M. (2005) Superspreading and the  
888 effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature* **438**, 355–359.
- 889 LEE, B.Y., BACON, K.M., BOTTAZZI, M.E. & HOTEZ, P.J. (2013) Global economic burden of Chagas  
890 disease: a computational simulation model. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **13**, 342–348.
- 891 LEFÈVRE, T. & THOMAS, F. (2008) Behind the scene, something else is pulling the strings:  
892 emphasizing parasitic manipulation in vector-borne diseases. *Infection, genetics and evolution*  
893 **8**, 504–519.
- 894 LLOYD-SMITH, J.O., SCHREIBER, S.J., KOPP, P.E. & GETZ, W.M. (2005) Superspreading and the  
895 effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature* **438**, 355–359.
- 896 LOGIUDICE, K., OSTFELD, R.S., SCHMIDT, K.A. & KEESING, F. (2003) The ecology of infectious  
897 disease: effects of host diversity and community composition on Lyme disease risk.  
898 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**, 567–571.
- 899 LORENZ, L. & KOELLA, J. (2011) Maternal environment shapes the life history and susceptibility to  
900 malaria of *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. *Malaria Journal* **10**, 1–8.
- 901 MACDONALD, G. (1957) *The epidemiology and control of malaria*. Oxford University Press, London.
- 902 MARTIN, L.B., ADDISON, B., BEAN, A.G., BUCHANAN, K.L., CRINO, O.L., EASTWOOD, J.R., FLIES,  
903 A.S., HAMEDE, R., HILL, G.E. & KLAASSEN, M. (2019) Extreme Competence: Keystone  
904 Hosts of Infections. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*.

1  
2  
3 905 MAY, R.M. & ANDERSON, R.M. (1979) Population biology of infectious diseases: Part II. *Nature* **280**,  
4  
5 906 455–461.  
6  
7 907 MCELHANY, P., REAL, L.A. & POWER, A.G. (1995) Vector preference and disease dynamics: a study  
8  
9 908 of barley yellow dwarf virus. *Ecology*, 444–457.  
10  
11 909 MCGILL, B.J., ENQUIST, B.J., WEIHER, E. & WESTOBY, M. (2006) Rebuilding community ecology  
12  
13 910 from functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**, 178–185.  
14  
15 911 MEAD, P.S. (2015) Epidemiology of Lyme disease. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America* **29**,  
16  
17 912 187–210.  
18  
19 913 METCALF, C.J.E., GRAHAM, A.L., MARTINEZ-BAKKER, M. & CHILDS, D.Z. (2016) Opportunities and  
20  
21 914 challenges of Integral Projection Models for modelling host–parasite dynamics. *Journal of*  
22  
23 915 *Animal Ecology* **85**, 343–355.  
24  
25 916 MOLNÁR, P. (2013) Metabolic approaches to understanding climate change impacts on seasonal host-  
26  
27 917 macroparasite dynamics. *Ecology Letters* **16**, 9–21.  
28  
29 918 MOLNÁR, P.K., SCKRABULIS, J.P., ALTMAN, K.A. & RAFFEL, T.R. (2017) Thermal performance  
30  
31 919 curves and the metabolic theory of ecology—a practical guide to models and experiments for  
32  
33 920 parasitologists. *Journal of Parasitology* **103**, 423–439.  
34  
35 921 MORDECAI, E.A., COHEN, J.M., EVANS, M.V., GUDAPATI, P., JOHNSON, L.R., LIPPI, C.A.,  
36  
37 922 MIAZGOWICZ, K., MURDOCK, C.C., ROHR, J.R., RYAN, S.J., SAVAGE, V., SHOCKET, M.S.,  
38  
39 923 STEWART IBARRA, A., THOMAS, M.B. & WEIKEL, D.P. (2017) Detecting the impact of  
40  
41 924 temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue, and chikungunya using mechanistic models.  
42  
43 925 *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* **11**, e0005568.  
44  
45 926 MORDECAI, E.A., PAAIJMANS, K.P., JOHNSON, L.R., BALZER, C., BEN-HORIN, T., DE MOOR, E.,  
46  
47 927 McNALLY, A., PAWAR, S., RYAN, S.J., SMITH, T.C. & LAFFERTY, K.D. (2013) Optimal  
48  
49 928 temperature for malaria transmission is dramatically lower than previously predicted. *Ecology*  
50  
51 929 *Letters* **16**, 22–30.  
52  
53 930 MURDOCK, C.C., LUCKHART, S. & CATOR, L.J. (2017) Immunity, host physiology, and behaviour in  
54  
55 931 infected vectors. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **20**, 28–33.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 932 MURRAL, D.J., NAULT, L.R., HOY, C.W., MADDEN, L.V. & MILLER, S.A. (1996) Effects of  
 933 temperature and vector age on transmission of two Ohio strains of aster yellows phytoplasma  
 934 by the aster leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **89**,  
 935 1223–1232.
- 936 NAKAZAWA, T., YAMANAKA, T. & URANO, S. (2012) Model analysis for plant disease dynamics co-  
 937 mediated by herbivory and herbivore-borne phytopathogens. *Biology Letters* **8**, 685–688.
- 938 NAYAR, J.K. & SAUERMAN, D.M. (1973) A comparative study of flight performance and fuel  
 939 utilization as a function of age in females of Florida mosquitoes. *Journal of insect physiology*  
 940 **19**, 1977–1988.
- 941 NG, K.C., CHAVES, L.F., TSAI, K.H. & CHUANG, T.W. (2018) Increased adult *Aedes aegypti* and  
 942 *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) abundance in a dengue transmission hotspot,  
 943 compared to a coldspot, within Kaohsiung City, Taiwan. *Insects* **9**, 1–16.
- 944 NORBERG, J., SWANEY, D.P., DUSHOFF, J., LIN, J., CASAGRANDE, R. & LEVIN, S. (2001) Phenotypic  
 945 diversity and ecosystem functioning in changing environments: a theoretical framework. *PNAS*  
 946 **98**, 11376–11381.
- 947 NOVOSELTSEV, V.N., MICHALSKI, A.I., NOVOSELTSEVA, J.A., YASHIN, A.I., CAREY, J.R. & ELLIS,  
 948 A.M. (2012) An age-structured extension to the vectorial capacity model. *PLOS ONE* **7**,  
 949 e39479.
- 950 OHM, J.R., BALDINI, F., BARREAUX, P., LEFEVRE, T., LYNCH, P.A., SUH, E., WHITEHEAD, S.A. &  
 951 THOMAS, M.B. (2018) Rethinking the extrinsic incubation period of malaria parasites.  
 952 *Parasites & Vectors* **11**, 178.
- 953 OHM, J.R., TEEPLE, J., NELSON, W.A., THOMAS, M.B., READ, A.F. & CATOR, L.J. (2016) Fitness  
 954 consequences of altered feeding behaviour in immune-challenged mosquitoes. *Parasites &*  
 955 *Vectors* **9**, 113.
- 956 PARHAM, P.E. & MICHAEL, E. (2010) Modeling the effects of weather and climate change on malaria  
 957 transmission. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **118**, 620–626.

1  
2  
3 958 PAWAR, S., DELL, A.I. & SAVAGE, V. (2015a) From Metabolic Constraints on Individuals to the  
4  
5 959 Dynamics of Ecosystems. *Aquatic Functional Biodiversity: An Ecological and Evolutionary*  
6  
7 960 *Perspective*, 3–36.  
8  
9 961 PAWAR, S., DELL, A.I. & SAVAGE, V.M. (2012) Dimensionality of consumer search space drives  
10  
11 962 trophic interaction strengths. *Nature* **486**, 485.  
12  
13 963 PAWAR, S., WOODWARD, G. & DELL, A. (2015b) *Trait-Based Ecology- from structure to function*, 1st  
14  
15 964 edition. Elsevier Academic Press, Oxford, UK.  
16  
17 965 PAWESKA, J.T., VENTER, G.J. & MELLOR, P.S. (2002) Vector competence of South African  
18  
19 966 *Culicoides* species for bluetongue virus serotype 1 (BTV-1) with special reference to the  
20  
21 967 effect of temperature on the rate of virus replication in *C. imicola* and *C. bolitinos*. *Medical*  
22  
23 968 *and Veterinary Entomology* **16**, 10–21.  
24  
25 969 REINER, R.J., PERKINS, T., BARKER, C., NIU, T., CHAVES, L., ELLIS, A., GEORGE, D., LE MANACH, A.,  
26  
27 970 PULLIAM, J., BISANZIO, D., BUCKEE, C., CHIYAKA, C., CUMMINGS, D., GARCIA, A., GATTON,  
28  
29 971 M., ET AL. (2013) A systemic review of mathematical models of mosquito-bourne pathogen  
30  
31 972 transmission: 1970:2010. *J R Soc Interface* **10**, 20120921.  
32  
33 973 RENSHAW, M., SERVICE, M.W. & BIRLEY, M.H. (1994) Size variation and reproductive success in the  
34  
35 974 mosquito *Aedes cantans*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **8**, 179–186.  
36  
37 975 RIZZUTO, M., CARBONE, C. & PAWAR, S. (2018) Foraging constraints reverse the scaling of activity  
38  
39 976 time in carnivores. *Nature ecology & evolution* **2**, 247.  
40  
41 977 ROUX, O., VANTAUX, A., ROCHE, B., YAMEOGO, K.B., DABIRÉ, K.R., DIABATÉ, A., SIMARD, F. &  
42  
43 978 LEFÈVRE, T. (2015) Evidence for carry-over effects of predator exposure on pathogen  
44  
45 979 transmission potential. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **282**, 20152430.  
46  
47 980 SAN MARTÍN, J.L., BRATHWAITE, O., ZAMBRANO, B., SOLÓRZANO, J.O., BOUCKENOOGHE, A.,  
48  
49 981 DAYAN, G.H. & GUZMÁN, M.G. (2010) The epidemiology of dengue in the Americas over  
50  
51 982 the last three decades: a worrisome reality. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and*  
52  
53 983 *Hygiene* **82**, 128–135.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 984 SAVAGE, V.M. (2004) Improved approximations to scaling relationships for species, populations, and  
 985 ecosystems across latitudinal and elevational gradients. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **227**,  
 986 525–534.
- 987 SHAPIRO, L.L.M., MURDOCK, C.C., JACOBS, G.R., THOMAS, R.J. & THOMAS, M.B. (2016) Larval  
 988 food quantity affects the capacity of adult mosquitoes to transmit human malaria. *Proceedings*  
 989 *of the Royal Society B* **283**, 20160298.
- 990 SMITH, D.L., BATTLE, K.E., HAY, S.I., BARKER, C.M., SCOTT, T.W. & MCKENZIE, F.E. (2012) Ross,  
 991 Macdonald, and a theory for the dynamics and control of mosquito-transmitted pathogens.  
 992 *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002588.
- 993 SMITH, D.L., PERKINS, T.A., REINER, R.C., BARKER, C.M., NIU, T., CHAVES, L.F., ELLIS, A.M.,  
 994 GEORGE, D.B., MENACH, A.L., PULLIAM, J.R.C., BISANZIO, D., BUCKEE, C., CHIYAKA, C.,  
 995 CUMMINGS, D.A.T., GARCIA, A.J., ET AL. (2014) Recasting the theory of mosquito-borne  
 996 pathogen transmission dynamics and control. *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical*  
 997 *Medicine and Hygiene* **108**, 185–197.
- 998 SOLIMAN, B., ABO GHALIA, A., SHOUKRY, A. & MERDAN, A. (1993) Mosquito age as a factor  
 999 influencing the transmission of *Wuchereria bancrofti*. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of*  
 1000 *Parasitology* **23**, 717–722.
- 1001 STYER, L.M., CAREY, J.R., WANG, J.-L. & SCOTT, T.W. (2007) Mosquitoes do senesce: departure  
 1002 from the paradigm of constant mortality. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and*  
 1003 *Hygiene* **76**, 111–117.
- 1004 SUN, L., LEE, C. & HOETING, J.A. (2015) Parameter inference and model selection in deterministic  
 1005 and stochastic models via approximate Bayesian computation: modelling a wildlife epidemic.  
 1006 *Environmetrics* **26**, 451–462.
- 1007 TAKKEN, W., SMALLEGANGE, R.C., VIGNEAU, A.J., JOHNSTON, V., BROWN, M., MORDUE-LUNTZ, A.  
 1008 & BILLINGSLEY, P.F. (2013) Larval nutrition differentially affects adult fitness and  
 1009 Plasmodium development in the malaria vectors *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles stephensi*.  
 1010 *Parasites & Vectors* **6**, 345.

1  
2  
3 1011 TAYLOR, R., MORDECAI, E., GILLIGAN, C., ROHR, J. & JOHNSON, L. (2016) Mathematical models are  
4  
5 1012 a powerful method to understand and control the spread of Huanglongbing. *PeerJ* **4**, e2642.  
6  
7 1013 VÉZILIER, J., NICOT, A., GANDON, S. & RIVERO, A. (2012) *Plasmodium* infection decreases fecundity  
8  
9 1014 and increases survival of mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **279**, 4033–4041.  
10  
11 1015 WEBB, C.T., HOETING, J.A., AMES, G.M., PYNE, M.I. & LEROY POFF, N. (2010) A structured and  
12  
13 1016 dynamic framework to advance traits-based theory and prediction in ecology. *Ecology Letters*  
14  
15 1017 **13**, 267–283.  
16  
17 1018 WILSON, A.J. & MELLOR, P.S. (2009) Bluetongue in Europe: past, present and future. *Philosophical*  
18  
19 1019 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **364**, 2669–2681.  
20  
21 1020 WITTMANN, E.J., MELLOR, P.S. & BAYLIS, M. (2002) Effect of temperature on the transmission of  
22  
23 1021 orbiviruses by the biting midge, *Culicoides sonorensis*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*  
24  
25 1022 **16**, 147–156.  
26  
27 1023 WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2017) Vector-borne diseases factsheet.  
28  
29 1024 [www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs387/en/](http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs387/en/) [accessed 14 April 2017].  
30  
31 1025  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Types of trait variation found in all VBD systems. A:** Across-individuals: for example, variation in a trait ( $z$ ) within a population at a fixed point in time. The distribution ( $p(z)$ ) around the mean ( $\bar{z}$ ), represents amount individual variation not measurement error. For example, we could measure the probability of biting of individuals in a population at a particular age (based on data reviewed in Murdock et al. 2017). **B:** Within-individual, over time: for example, biting probability may vary over the vector's lifespan (based on data reviewed in Murdock et al. 2017). Such variation is quantifiable as a continuous time-dependent function  $dz/dt$ , where  $dz$  is the (differential) change in trait variation change with time ( $dt$ ). **C:** Environment-driven: For example, biting rate varies unimodally with temperature (based on Mordecai et al. 2013). Such variation is quantifiable as a continuous environment state-dependent function,  $dz/dE$ . **D:** Combined variation: The three types of trait variation may appear in combination. For example, across-individual trait variation may change over time. The upper line represents change in mean and variance of the trait, while the lower line represents change only in the mean. We use derivatives for quantifying over-time, with-environment and combined types of trait variation to represent the idealized scenario that the trait value function varies continuously and smoothly with respect to another variable. In reality, it may not always be possible to express these as smooth functions for empirical reasons.

**Figure 2. Traits in classical compartment models.** As an illustration, we show here a Ross-Macdonald type model for malaria transmission by a mosquito vector. Most of the vector parameters in this model —  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $P$ ,  $\mu$  — are directly measurable vector traits ( $a$ ,  $\mu$ ), or can be derived from underlying vector and parasite traits ( $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $P$ ). The corresponding equations and parameter definitions are shown in SI section 2.  $H$  is the total host density which is made up of susceptible ( $H_S$ ), Infected ( $H_I$ ) and Recovered ( $H_R$ ) sub-groups. Total vector density ( $V$ ) is made up for Infected ( $V_I$ ), Exposed ( $V_E$ ) and Susceptible ( $V_S$ ) sub-groups.

**Figure 3. Traits in the classical compartment model with vector population dynamics included (Anderson-May framework).** In addition to the host and vector transmission compartments, the

1  
2  
3 1054 Anderson-May framework includes the vector life-history compartments. As an illustration, we  
4  
5 1055 extend the classical Ross-MacDonald type models model for malaria (Fig. 2) to include mosquito life  
6  
7 1056 history. This introduces additional parameters (vector life stage-specific  $\mu$ 's, fecundity  $f$ , and stage  
8  
9 1057 transition probabilities  $p_i$ 's), all of which are directly measurable vector traits (the  $\mu$ 's,  $f$ ), or which  
10  
11 1058 can be derived from underlying traits (the  $p_i$ 's). The corresponding equations and parameter  
12  
13  
14 1059 definitions are shown in SI section 2.

15  
16 1060  
17  
18 1061 **Figure 4. Trait variation in compartment models.** In some cases traits and trait variation are used  
19  
20 1062 to drive specific components of the classic compartment model (Fig. 2) directly. In some cases traits  
21  
22 1063 are individually driven by a common driver (in most examples temperature). Traits are still not related  
23  
24 1064 to each other mechanistically, and do not drive the transmission process dynamically through changes  
25  
26 1065 in population abundances (e.g., as would happen in the Anderson-May model framework).

27  
28 1066  
29  
30 1067 **Figure 5. The trait-based framework for vector-borne disease systems.** For illustration, we have  
31  
32 1068 used a mosquito-borne disease, but this framework can be applied to any VBD with distinct stage or  
33  
34 1069 age classes (further details in SI). Arrows between panels represent parameters (potentially with  
35  
36 1070 underlying traits) that determine population or transmission dynamics through (absolute) fitness  
37  
38 1071 effects. *Transmission dynamics compartments*: Number of Susceptible (S), Infected (I), and  
39  
40 1072 Recovered (R) hosts ( $H_S, H_I, H_R$ , respectively; additional compartments,  $H_j$ , can be added) and  
41  
42 1073 number of Susceptible, Infected, and Exposed (E) vectors ( $V_S, V_I, V_E$  respectively). *Vector population*  
43  
44 1074 *dynamics compartments*: number of vector individuals at egg, larval pupal and adult stages ( $V_0, V_1, V_2$ ,  
45  
46 1075  $V_S$  respectively; additional compartments  $V_k$  can be added); *Species interaction compartments*:  
47  
48 1076 Abundance of a single resource species ( $R_1$ ) that is the primary energy source of the vector population  
49  
50 1077 (may actually be the host itself, so  $R_I = H_S$ ), and a single consumer species ( $C_1$ ) that is the primary  
51  
52 1078 source of mortality for the vector population; *Trait variation*: A suite of trait to parameter mappings  
53  
54 1079 that determine fitness (e.g., vector mortality, fecundity and biting rates), and a single type of trait  
55  
56 1080 variation, such as variation with an environmental factor ( $dz/dE$ ; e.g., temperature; see Fig. 3). For

developing a mathematical model of such a system, the most common tool would be ordinary differential equation (ODE) systems, as illustrated in SI Section 1.

**Figure 6. An example trait-based model for malaria transmission.** We illustrate here the contrast in models and resulting dynamics produced from phenomenological vs. trait-based approaches. Both models cover a time scale of one year and seek to predict the fluctuation in transmission risk or rate ( $R_0$ ) during that period. Full details of both models can be found in SI section 3.

**Figure 7. An example trait sensitivity analysis.** Analyzing the influence of individual fitness traits on the thermal sensitivity of population fitness of mosquito vectors reveals that juvenile traits have a stronger influence than adult traits in shaping population fitness ( $r_m$ ) across temperatures- ( $b_{pk}$ ), age-related fecundity decline rate ( $\kappa$ ), adult mortality rate ( $\mu$ ) and juvenile development time ( $\alpha$ ) and juvenile mortality ( $\mu_j$ ). The vertical dashed line marks the thermal optimum of fitness. The sensitivity of  $r_m$  to the thermal dependence can be assessed by deviation of  $dr_m/dT$  from zero. When  $dr_m/dT$  is positive this means that population fitness increases at this temperature. **A.** The sensitivity of  $r_m$  to the thermal dependence of each parameter can be assessed by deviation of each evaluated partial derivative with respect to each parameter (the differently coloured lines) from zero and compared to the full model (black). **B.** Traits can be combined by life stage, with development rate and juvenile mortality categorised as juvenile traits and adult mortality, fecundity, and rate of loss of fecundity as adult traits. This reveals the relative contribution of the thermal performance curve of each life stage to the thermal performance curve of  $r_m$ , again with greater deviation from zero indicating a greater contribution. Full details of the trait sensitivity analysis are in SI Section 4.

**Figure 8. Illustration of a Trait-Parameter mapping.** We develop a mechanistic, trait-based model for biting rate (a key transmission parameter; eqn. 1) of vectors by deconstructing it into component functional traits (shown above). Biting rate ( $a$ ) can be decomposed into relative velocity ( $v_r$ ), distance between vectors and hosts ( $d$ ), risk ( $f(.)$ ) and host density ( $H$ ). These parameters can be further


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1108 decomposed so that  $f(.)$  is determined by handling time ( $h$ ) and the mass-specific velocities of the  
1109 vector and host ( $V_V, V_R$ ) as in eq. 2. The model derivation is in SI section 1.2.  
1110

For Review Only

**Table 1.** The three types of functional traits used in a trait-based VBD framework. We give the typically parameter abbreviation for example traits already commonly used in VBD modelling. Note that a trait may fall under multiple categories. For example, body velocity and biting rate are important for both, transmission rate and interaction rate.



Trait Type	Definition	Relationship to Transmission	Examples
Life History	A trait measured in the context of life cycles without considering interactions with other organisms. If aggregated across individuals in a population, these are also called demographic traits.	Determines the number of susceptible vectors ( $V_S$ ) by determining the total vector population's fitness.	Density-independent mortality rate ( $\mu$ ) maximal fecundity ( $f$ ), basal metabolic rate
Interaction	Traits that determine both, interactions within the vector population and between vectors and other species, including the species which may act as resources or consumers.	Determines vector population abundance (in combination with Life History traits) and realized interaction rate with potential hosts, thereby driving transmission and outbreak dynamics.	Biting rate ( $a$ ), Intraspecific interference, Predation rate, body velocity
Transmission	Traits that determine transmission of the pathogen between the vector and host population, and ultimately, between host subpopulations.	Altering that the rates at which vectors become infected (move from $V_S$ to $V_I$ ), and the rate at which susceptible hosts become infected (move from $H_S$ to $H_I$ ) (Fig. 5).	Competence ( $bc$ ) EIP ( $P$ ), Biting rate ( $a$ )






$$H = H_S + H_I + H_R$$

Page 46 of 52















$$V = V_S + V_E + V_I$$








$$H = H_S + H_I + H_R$$








**Transmission dynamics**


**Population dynamics**

**Species interactions**




Phenomenological

Temperature variation




Time

Abundance



Time


Transmission



Time


Trait- based

Trait variation




Temperature

Fitness




Temperature

Abundance



Time

Transmission



Time

