INFO 6205

Program Structures & Algorithms Fall 2020

Assignment No.5

Task

You must prepare a report that shows the results of your experiments and draws a conclusion (or more) about the efficacy of this method of parallelizing sort. Your experiments should involve sorting arrays of sufficient size for the parallel sort to make a difference. You should run with many different array sizes (they must be sufficiently large to make parallel sorting worthwhile, obviously) and different cutoff schemes.

• Output (few outputs to prove relationship):

Degree of parallelism:	2	
cutoff: 10000	10times	Time:5378ms
cutoff: 20000	10times	Time:4477ms
cutoff: 30000	10times	Time:4786ms
cutoff: 40000	10times	Time:4997ms
cutoff: 50000	10times	Time:4763ms
cutoff: 60000	10times	Time:4918ms
cutoff: 70000	10times	Time:4491ms
cutoff: 80000	10times	Time:5167ms
cutoff: 90000	10times	Time:5288ms
cutoff: 100000	10times	Time:5177ms
Degree of parallelism:	4	
Degree of parallelism: cutoff: 10000		Time:5447ms
•	10times	Time:5447ms Time:4402ms
cutoff: 10000	10times 10times	
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000	10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000 cutoff: 30000	10times 10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms Time:4400ms
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000 cutoff: 30000 cutoff: 40000	10times 10times 10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms Time:4400ms Time:4080ms
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000 cutoff: 30000 cutoff: 40000 cutoff: 50000	10times 10times 10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms Time:4400ms Time:4080ms Time:4293ms
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000 cutoff: 30000 cutoff: 40000 cutoff: 50000 cutoff: 60000	10times 10times 10times 10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms Time:4400ms Time:4080ms Time:4293ms Time:4426ms
cutoff: 10000 cutoff: 20000 cutoff: 30000 cutoff: 40000 cutoff: 50000 cutoff: 60000 cutoff: 70000	10times 10times 10times 10times 10times 10times 10times	Time:4402ms Time:4400ms Time:4080ms Time:4293ms Time:4426ms Time:4424ms

10times Time:4731ms

10times Time:4779ms

10times Time:5153ms

6	
10times	Time:7051ms
10times	Time:5016ms
10times	Time:4557ms
10times	Time:5348ms
10times	Time:4294ms
10times	Time:4808ms
10times	Time:4808ms
10times	Time:5435ms
10times	Time:4652ms
10times	Time:4200ms
8	
10times	Time:5055ms
10times	Time:4446ms
	Time:4529ms
10times	Time:4313ms
10times	Time:4387ms
10times	Time:4092ms
10times	Time:4223ms
10times	Time:4358ms
10times	Time:3997ms
10times	Time:4024ms
10	
10times	Time:5084ms
10times	Time:4857ms
10times	Time:4735ms
10times	Time:4557ms
10times	Time:4221ms
10times	Time:4291ms
10times	Time:4165ms
	10times

cutoff: 80000

cutoff: 90000

cutoff: 100000

Conclusion on Relationship

I changed both the number of thread and the number of cutoff in four different size of arrays. According to these outputs and graphs. I find these conclusions:

- 1. When the number of threads is small than 4, if we increase the number of threads, the performance of the parallel sort in the same array size and the same cutoff is better. However, when the number of threads is greater than 4 and we use the same array size and the same cutoff, the number of threads will not affect the performance of the parallel sort.
- 2. When the number of the threads is the same and the array size is the same, the number of cutoffs will dramatically influence the performance of the parallel sort. As the graph shown, for the same array size and the same number of threads, as the cutoffs increases, the performance of the parallel sort will perform better in the beginning, and then perform worse if cutoffs are really large.
 - Evidence to support relationship (screen shot and/or graph and/or spreadsheet)

Array Size - 500000

