Effects of Noise on multi-objective optimiser performance

D Khatri

Dept. of Computer Science
University of Exeter
England, United Kingdom

Abstract—**This document is a model and instructions for LaTeX. This and the IEEEtran.cls file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. *CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.**

Index Terms—**Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithm, Noise, Rolling Tide Evolutionary Algorithm, Inverse Generational Distance, Hypervolume measure**

I. INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs), particularly, genetic algorithms (GA), are known to be robust in the presence of noise [?]. Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs) obtain a Pareto set of non-dominated solutions, offering decision-makers more options from which to choose the best solution according to some preference information. MOEAs can be broadly classified into two categories - elitism and non-elitism. With the elitism approach, EMOs employ an external set to store the best solutions in each generation. This set will then be a part of next generation. With this method, the best individuals in each generation are always preserved, and this helps the algorithm to get as close as possible to the Pareto front. In contrast, the non elitism approach has no concept of elitism when it selects individuals for reproduction. In this study, we consider algorithms with an elitism approach [?]. A factor of interest in this study is the existence of noise in optimisation problems, which is inevitable are prevalent in sources such as sensors, actuators, or because of the stochasticity pertaining in some problems such as multi-agent simulations [?].

II. BACKGROUND

A. MOEAs

B. Noise

In a real-world black-box problem, noise takes various forms and can be stochastic in nature. In such cases, the effect of noise could lead to misleading non-dominating solutions which might actually be dominated for a true noiseless problem.

In modelling noise as a factor, various researchers have adopted different approaches. Bui *et al.* [?] use an additive factor which are randomly added or subtracted from the real fitness value, such that $F_{noise} = F + noise$, where F_{noise} is fitness function with presence of noise and F is the true fitness function. Fieldsend *et al.* [?] consider a gaussian function of noise to be added with $\mathcal{N} \sim (0, \sigma^2)$, i.e., a zero-mean isotropic Gaussian noise with standard deviation (σ) of a constant real number. They consider multiple standard deviation inputs of $\{0.00, 0.01, 0.10, 1.00\}$, where $\sigma = 0.00$ is the true, noiseless fitness function.

C. Performance metrics

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Problem

B. Algorithms

The three MOEAs selected in this study are NSGA-II, SPEA2, and RTEA.

C. Noise magnitude

The noise magnitude considered in this study are both of gaussian and random additive nature. A zero-mean isotropic gaussian noise with standard deviation magnitudes of $\sigma = \{0.00, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 1.00\}$ are considered, whilst random additive noise of `random(0,0.1)` is randomly added or subtracted directly to the objective function(s).

D. Performance metrics

IV. RESULTS

V. CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word "acknowledgment" in America is without an "e" after the "g". Avoid the stilted expression "one of us (R. B. G.) thanks . . .". Instead, try "R. B. G. thanks. . .". Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

REFERENCES

Please number citations consecutively within brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket [2]. Refer simply to the reference number, as in [3]—do not use "Ref. [3]" or "reference [3]" except at the beginning of a sentence: "Reference [3] was the first . . ."

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the abstract or reference list. Use letters for table footnotes.

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors' names; do not use "et al.". Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as "unpublished" [4]. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as "in press" [5]. Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation [6].

REFERENCES

[1] G. Eason, B. Noble, and I. N. Sneddon, "On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions," Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, vol. A247, pp. 529–551, April 1955.

[2] J. Clerk Maxwell, A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, 3rd ed., vol. 2. Oxford: Clarendon, 1892, pp.68–73.

[3] I. S. Jacobs and C. P. Bean, "Fine particles, thin films and exchange anisotropy," in Magnetism, vol. III, G. T. Rado and H. Suhl, Eds. New York: Academic, 1963, pp. 271–350.

[4] K. Elissa, "Title of paper if known," unpublished.

[5] R. Nicole, "Title of paper with only first word capitalized," J. Name Stand. Abbrev., in press.

[6] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, "Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface," IEEE Transl. J. Magn. Japan, vol. 2, pp. 740–741, August 1987 [Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetics Japan, p. 301, 1982].

[7] M. Young, The Technical Writer's Handbook. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.

IEEE conference templates contain guidance text for composing and formatting conference papers. Please ensure that all template text is removed from your conference paper prior to submission to the conference. Failure to remove the template text from your paper may result in your paper not being published.