Rate matrix for an MG-style codon model

```
(\text{Rate})_{X,Y} (dt) = \begin{cases} & \text{$\alpha$} R_{xy} \, \pi_t dt &, & \text{one-step, synonymous substitution,} \\ & \text{$\beta$} R_{xy} \, \pi_t dt &, & \text{one-step, non-synonymous substitution,} \\ & \text{$0$} &, & \text{multi-step.} \end{cases} X,Y = \text{AAA...TTT (excluding stop codons),} \\ & \pi_t \text{- frequency of the target nucleotide.} \\ & \text{Example substitutions:} \\ & \text{AAC} \rightarrow \text{AAT (one step, synonymous - Aspargine)} \\ & \text{CAC} \rightarrow \text{GAC (one step, non-synonymous - Histidine to Aspartic Acid)} \\ & \text{AAC} \rightarrow \text{GTC (multi-step).} \end{cases}
```

 αR_{cc} βR_{cc}

 α (syn. rate) and β (non-syn. rate) are the key quantities for all selection analyses

Computing the transition probabilities

- In order to recover transition probabilities **T(t)** from the rate matrix **Q**, one computes the matrix exponential **T(t)** = **exp(Qt)**, same as with standard nucleotide models, e.g. HKY85 or GTR
- Because the computational complexity of matrix exponentiation scales as the cube of the matrix dimension, codon based models require roughly (61/4)³
 ≈ 3500 more operations than nucleotide models
- This explains why codon probabilistic models were not introduced until the 1990s, even though they are relatively straightforward extensions of 4x4 nucleotide models