

Fig. 1.6. An illustration of SLAC method, applied to a small HIV-1 envelope V3 loop alignment. Sequence names are shown in parentheses. Likelihood state ancestral reconstruction is shown at internal nodes. The parsimonious count yields 0 synonymous and 9 non-synonymous substitutions (highlighted with a dark shade) at that site. Based on the codon composition of the site and branch lengths (not shown), the expected proportion of synonymous substitutions is  $p_e = 0.25$ . An extended binomial distribution on 9 substitutions with the probability of success of 0.25, the probability of observing 0 synonymous substitutions is 0.07, hence the site is borderline significant for positive selection.

## Codon-substitution models

A likelihood approach for comparing synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates, wit application to the chloroplast genome ~1000 citations S. V. Muse and B. S. Gaut

A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for protein-coding DNA

N. Goldman and Z. Yang Mol Biol Evol 11 725--736 (1994)

*Mol Biol Evol* **11** 715-724 (1994)

~2250 citations

- In 1994, first tractable mechanistic evolutionary models for codon sequences were proposed by Muse and Gaut (MG94), and, independently, by Goldman and Yang (GY94) [in the same issue of MBE, back to back]
- Markov models of codon substitution provide a powerful framework for estimating substitution rates from coding sequence data, as they
  - encode our mechanistic understanding of the evolutionary process,
  - enable one to compute the phylogenetic likelihood,
  - permit hypothesis testing or Bayesian inference,
  - systematically account for confounding processes (unequal base frequencies, nucleotide substitution biases, etc.),
  - afford many opportunities for extension and refinement (still happening today).