

Heterogeneous core-mantle boundary heat flux in thermo-chemical core convection

Veit Lüschow

8. April 2016

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Introduction	3
2	Things to write	3
3	Modeling core convection and dynamo action	4
3.1	Equation of continuity	4
3.2	CMB - heat flux pattern	4

Abstract

Thermal coupling between convection in Earth's mantle and core was proposed to explain asymmetric features of the geomagnetic field during the history of the Earth. The coupling is caused by laterally varying heat transport from the core to the mantle, induced by lateral temperature gradients in the lower-most mantle. Clues for the temperature gradients were found by seismic tomography.

This numerical study aims to explore the influence of these non-uniform boundary conditions, as compared to uniform heat flux and isothermal boundary conditions on thermo-chemical core convection and some distinct dynamo properties.

In our model the heat flux pattern is modeled by a single spherical harmonic of degree and order 2. This setting conserves equatorial symmetry, but imposes azimuthal heat flux gradients.

Today, convection in the Earth's core is assumed to be driven predominantly by a combination of thermal and compositional buoyancy sources located at the inner-core boundary. Thermal and compositional diffusivities differ by orders of magnitude. The resulting differences in the dynamical behavior of the two components demand an approach with distinct transport equations and boundary conditions for temperature and chemical concentration. Simulations for five different ratios of thermal and chemical driving are made.

We observe that fixed flux conditions promote larger flow scales and an increase of mean kinetic energy densities. The imposed flux pattern locks the outer core flow to the mantle and therefore breaks its azimuthal symmetry, even for relatively low thermal forcing ratios of 20 %. Despite of the symmetry breaking, stable and dipolar dynamos can be maintained due to the partly chemical forcing with its uniform boundary conditions. Additionally, the chemical component partly adopts to the geometry of the heat flux pattern because advective transport of concentration is more effective in regions of increased heat flux.

1 Introduction

2 Things to write

- Model description
- Motivation: Double diffusive, heat flux pattern
- Heat flux model: consequences for linear profile / convective profile
- Formation of vortex columns
- Influence of chemical forcing on dipolarity (Trümper)
- state of research

- Numerical solution scheme

3 Modeling core convection and dynamo action

Rotating convection and dynamo action in Earth's core is a topic that has been explored by many authors. There exist various ideas of how to formulate a set of equations that depicts all relevant physical processes and that is still as simple and therefore numerically economical as possible. Numerical computation time is the limiting factor when it comes to the question how realistic models of the inner core are. The gap between the relevant physical parameters expected for the Earth and the parameters that are reachable for numerical modeling is still big. It cannot be expected that this gap will be closed only with the help of increasing computational resources within the next years. Alternatives to waiting for larger computers that are able to cope with earth-like parameters have to be found. Asymptotic models are one possibility already revealing promising results.

A question that is closely related to the numerical costs and therefore to the accessible parameter range is the choice of the geometry. Most models are either cartesian with periodic boundary conditions or spherical shell models. The latter are of course more realistic for the Earth but numerically more costly and therefore even less earth-like when looking at the reachable parameters. In this work, a spherical model is used in order to be as earth-like as possible in a geometrical way.

3.1 Equation of continuity

3.2 CMB - heat flux pattern

We impose a heat flux balance between the inner and the outer core boundary. The total influx at the ICB Q_i equals the total outflux Q_o at the CMB:

$$-Q_i = Q_o \quad (1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Sigma_{\text{icb}}} \kappa \nabla T|_{\text{icb}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS = \int_{\Sigma_{\text{cmb}}} \kappa \nabla T|_{\text{cmb}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS \quad (2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Sigma_{\text{icb}}} \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{icb}} dS = \int_{\Sigma_{\text{cmb}}} \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{cmb}} dS \quad (3)$$

For the spectral decomposition it is important to know, that only the 0th order spherical harmonic \mathcal{Y}_0^0 yields values $\neq 0$ when integrated over a closed surface Σ :

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} dS = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0^0 \mathcal{Y}_0^0 dS \quad (4)$$

with $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0^0$ being the spectral coefficient of degree and order 0.

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\text{icb}}} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{icb}} \mathcal{Y}_0^0 dS = \int_{\Sigma_{\text{cmb}}} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{cmb}} \mathcal{Y}_0^0 dS \quad (5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{icb}} r_i^2 = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{cmb}} r_o^2 \quad (6)$$

This allows to formulate a simple relation between the mean radial temperature gradient at the inner and outer boundary.

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{icb}} = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{cmb}} \frac{r_o^2}{r_i^2} = -\beta \frac{r_o^2}{r_i^2} = -\beta \frac{1}{a^2} \quad (7)$$

with $\beta := - \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_0 \Big|_{\text{cmb}}$ as prescribed temperature gradient at the CMB.

This relation allows us to formulate Neumann boundary conditions for the stationary temperature equation that has the form of a Laplace equation,

$$\nabla^2 T = 0 \quad (8)$$

since we have no internal sources.

$$\text{ICB: } \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{icb}} = -\beta \frac{1}{a^2} \mathcal{Y}_0^0 \quad (9)$$

$$\text{CMB: } \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{cmb}} = -\beta \mathcal{Y}_0^0 + \text{Amp}_l^m \mathcal{Y}_l^m \quad (10)$$

where Amp_l^m is the amplitude of the heat flux heterogeneity.

The general solution of (8) in spherical coordinates reads

$$T = \sum_{l,m} [a_l^m r^l + b_l^m r^{-l-1}] \mathcal{Y}_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi) \quad (11)$$

and its radial derivative

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \sum_{l,m} [l a_l^m r^{l-1} - (l+1) b_l^m r^{-l-2}] \mathcal{Y}_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi). \quad (12)$$

From (9) and (12) follows immediately for $l = m = 0$

$$-b_0^0 r_i^{-2} = -\beta \frac{1}{a^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad b_0^0 = \beta r_o^2 \quad (13)$$

and the algebraic equation

$$l a_l^m r_i^{l-1} - (l+1) b_l^m r_i^{-l-2} = 0 \quad (14)$$

for all $l > 0$ and $m > 0$.

(10) and (11) together give another equation for the determination of a_l^m and b_l^m :

$$la_l^m r_o^{l-1} - (l+1)b_l^m r_o^{-l-2} = Amp_l^m. \quad (15)$$

Since only a heat flux pattern with $l = m = 2$ will be used here, (14) and (15) simplify to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2r_o & -3r_o^{-4} \\ 2r_i & -3r_i^{-4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2^2 \\ b_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Amp_2^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

In a nondimensional form, the solution (8) is

$$\hat{T} = \frac{T}{d\beta} = \left(\frac{a_0^0}{d\beta} + \frac{1}{(a-1)^2 \hat{r}} \right) \mathcal{Y}_0^0 + \frac{Amp_2^2}{\beta} \cdot \frac{2a^5 \hat{r}^3 - 3(a-1)^5 \hat{r}^2}{6(a-1)^4(1-a^5)} \mathcal{Y}_2^2 \quad (17)$$

with a_0^0 being an arbitrary integration constant that is chosen to be 0 in the following.