## 1 Expanding the conservation laws

The conservation laws are given below in terms of  $\mu = 1$ . For numerical convenience, place a dimensionless  $\mu$  in front of each  $\vec{B}$  appearance and let  $\mu = 0$  be plain compressible hydrodynamics.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v})$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho \vec{v})}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v} - \vec{B} \vec{B}) - \vec{\nabla} P^*$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{B})$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( (E + P^*) \vec{v} - \vec{B} (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{v}) \right)$$
(1)

where  $P^* = (\gamma - 1) \left( E - \rho \frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{B^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B^2}{2}$ . Note that  $\vec{B}\vec{B}$  is a dyadic tensor  $\mathbf{B} = \vec{B}\vec{B}$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_{ij} = B_i B_j$ . Then the divergence  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \partial^i B_i B_j \hat{e}_j = B_i \partial_i B_j \hat{e}_j = \left( \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \vec{B}$ . On the other hand,  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \rho \vec{v} \vec{v} = \left( \vec{\nabla} \cdot \rho \vec{v} \right) \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \rho \vec{v}$  where in general we allow  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \rho \vec{v} \neq 0$ .

Some intermediate steps:  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = \frac{\rho \vec{v}}{\rho} \cdot \vec{\nabla} (\rho \vec{v})$ . Additionally,  $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} - (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v})$  since only  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ .

Construct the vector field  $\vec{u}(x, y, z)$  where  $\vec{u} = (\rho, \rho v_x, \rho v_y, \rho v_z, B_x, B_y, B_z, E)$  and assume we have point-by-point cached values of  $-(E + P^*)\vec{v} + \vec{B}(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{v}) = EPmDV_i$  (along with  $P^*$ , four values), then we can represent these evolution equations as (two lines b/c too damn long)

$$\frac{-\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial z}}{-\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial x}} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_2}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} + u_6 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + u_7 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial x}}{-\frac{\partial P^*}{\partial x}} - \frac{u_2}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{u_3}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{u_4}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} + u_5 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + u_6 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} + u_7 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial y} - \frac{u_2}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x} - \frac{u_4}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - \frac{u_4}{u_1} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} + u_5 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + u_6 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial y} + u_7 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial z} -$$

Note that all derivatives are given by the expression  $\frac{\partial f_{x,y,z}}{\partial x} = \frac{f_{x+1,y,z} - f_{x-1,y,z}}{2 dx}$ , which is the slope of the extrapolated quadratic fit between points (x+1,y,z), (x,y,z), (x-1,y,z).

## 2 Numerics Considerations

• Runge-Kutta takes the entire  $12L^3$  size  $\vec{u}$  (including the  $EPmDV_i, P^*$  variables) and updates it at once by computing a  $d\vec{u}$ . This requires three temporary arrays before obtaining  $d\vec{u}$ . Since this is evolving the entire array forward at each timestep, we require 4N operations to move forward a timestep, the same as if we run R-K at each point individually (fixing all other points on the grid constant) just requiring more space. However, the upshot is that we require storing updates to the whole grid to compute  $d\vec{u}$  accurately using R-K, while using something like Euler we don't need temporary values and can ignore updates to the grid with no accuracy penalty.

GPU optimizations must be considered. Since GPU-side storage is limited and computing all these temporary arrays seems slow, it would seem that some local approximation to the R-K at each pixel would be preferable. While this loses some accuracy and actually requires at least as many operations, it may be preferred for its decreased memory footprint.