Programming with Monsters

Venanzio Capretta and Christopher Purdy February 3, 2021

Abstract

A monadic stream is a potentially infinite sequence of values in which a monadic action is triggered at each step before the generation of the next element. A monadic action consists of some functional *container* inside which the unfolding of the stream takes place. Examples of monadic actions are: executing some input/output interactions, cloning the process into several parallel computations, executing transformations on an underlying state, terminating the sequence.

We develop a library of definitions and universal combinators to program with monadic streams and we prove several mathematical results about their behaviour.

We define the type of Monadic Streams (MonStr), dependent on two arguments: the underlying monad and the type of elements of the sequence. We use the following terminology: a monadic stream with underlying monad m is called an m-monster. The definition itself doesn't depend on the fact that the underlying operator is a monad. We define it generally: some of the operators can be defined without any assumptions, some others only need the operator to be a Functor or Applicative Functor. A different set of important combinators and theoretical results follow from the assumption that the operator is a Co-Monad rather than a Monad

We instantiate the abstract MonStr type with several common monads (Maybe, List, State, IO) and show that we obtain well-known data structures. Maybe-monsters are lazy lists, List-monsters are non-well-founded finitely branching trees, IO-monsters are interactive processes. We prove equivalences between the traditional data types and their MonStr versions: the MonStr combinators instantiate to traditional operations.

Under some assumptions on the underlying functor/monad, we can prove that the MonStr type is also a Functor, and Applicative, or a Monad, giving us access to the special methods and notations of those type classes.

1 Introduction

Intuitive explanation of Monadic Streams and motivation.

2 Monadic Streams

Formal definition of Monadic Streams.

3 Examples

Instantiations with Identity (Pure Streams), Maybe (Lazy Lists), List (Trees), IO (Processes), State (Stateful Streams).

Definition of the *standard* function on those data types in a general way.

4 Instances of Functor, Applicative, Monad

Show that monadic streams are an instance of these three classes, under some assumptions about the underlying monad.

So far we determined that we think that MonStr satisfies the monad laws if the underlying monad is commutative and idempotent [1]. (Proof?)

What about Applicative?

Give conterexamples where it isn't a monad (using State).

Also examples when it is a monad even if the underlying monad is not commutative and idempotent: with List as underlying monad we obtain Trees, which are a monad. Can this be generalized.

4.1 Functor instance in Haskell

4.1.1 Functor proof

Proof of $fmap \ id == id$

```
fmap id = transformMS (\a s \rightarrow id a, fmap id s) 

-- definition \ of \ 'transformMS' 

=\s \rightarrow MCons $ fmap (\(h,t) \rightarrow (\a s \rightarrow (id a, fmap id s)) h t) (unwrapMS s) 

-- application \ of \ '(\a s \rightarrow (id \ a, fmap \ id \ s))' \ to \ 'h \ t' 

=\s \rightarrow MCons $ fmap (\(h,t) \rightarrow (id h, fmap id t)) (unwrapMS s) 

-- take \ as \ assumption \ that \ 'fmap \ id \ t == id \ t' - coinductive \ hypothesis?
```

```
= \backslash s \to \mathsf{MCons} \ \mathsf{fmap} \ (\backslash (h,t) \to (\mathbf{id} \ h, \ \mathbf{id} \ t)) \ (\mathsf{unwrapMS} \ s)
   -- definition of 'id'
   = \s \rightarrow MCons \$ fmap (\(h,t) \rightarrow (h,t)) (unwrapMS s)
   -- definition of 'id'
   = \slash s \rightarrow MCons \ fmap id (unwrapMS s)
   -- 'unwrapMS' returns and element in a functor, so 'fmap id == id' in this case
   -- This doesn't seem formal enough?
   = \slash s \to MCons $ id (unwrapMS s)
   -- application of 'id'
   = \slash s \to MCons $ (unwrapMS s)
   -- definition of 'unwrapMS'
   = \slash s \to MCons \$ (\slash (MCons m) \to m) s
   -- definition of 'MCons' type constructor as a unary function
   = \s \rightarrow (\mbox{\sc m} \rightarrow \mbox{\sc MCons m}) \ (\mbox{\sc (MCons m)} \rightarrow \mbox{\sc m}) \ s
   -- "clearly" inverse functions?
   -- This step could be more formal by showing that they are inverses somehow?
   = \slash \mathbf{s} \to \mathbf{id} \ \mathbf{s}
   -- application of 'id'
   = \slash s \to s
   -- definition of 'id'
   = id
                                                                                                               Proof of fmap \ (f \circ g) == (fmap \ f) \circ (fmap \ g)
   \mathsf{fmap}\;(f\;.\;g) = \mathsf{transformMS}\;(\backslash a\;s \to (f\;.\;g)\;a,\;\mathsf{fmap}\;(f\;.\;g)\;s)
   -- definition of 'transformMS'
   = \backslash s \rightarrow \mathsf{MCons} \ \mathsf{fmap} \ (\backslash (h,t) \rightarrow (\backslash a \ s \rightarrow ((f \ . \ g) \ a, \ \mathsf{fmap} \ (f \ . \ g) \ s)) \ h \ t) \ (\mathsf{unwrapMS} \ s)
   -- application of '(a s \rightarrow ((f \cdot g) a, fmap (f \cdot g) s))' to 'h t'
   = \ \ \mathsf{MCons} \ \mathsf{fmap} \ (\ \ (\mathsf{f} \ . \ \mathsf{g}) \ \mathsf{h}, \ \mathsf{fmap} \ (\mathsf{f} \ . \ \mathsf{g}) \ \mathsf{t})) \ (\mathsf{unwrapMS} \ \mathsf{s})'
   -- take as assumption that 'fmap (f \cdot g) t = (fmap \ f \cdot fmap \ g) t' - coinductive hypothesis?
   = \s \rightarrow MCons \ fmap (\((h,t) \rightarrow ((f . g) h, (fmap f . fmap g) t)) (unwrapMS s)
   -- definition of bimap on pairs - '(,)' is a bifunctor - not sure if this leads anywhere?
   -- = \slash s \to MCons \$ fmap (\slash (h,t) \to bimap (f.g) (fmap f.fmap g) (h,t)) (unwrapMS s)
   -- attempting to join both ends of the proof somehow
   = (fmap f) . (fmap g)
   -- definition of 'fmap' for monadic streams
   = (transformMS \; (\ \ a \; s \to f \; \ a, \; fmap \; f \; s)) \; \; . \; \; (transformMS \; (\ \ \ a \; s \to g \; a, \; fmap \; g \; s))

    definition of 'transformMS'

   = \s \to MCons \$ fmap (\(h,t) \to (\a s \to (f a, fmap f s)) h t) (unwrapMS s).
      \label{eq:substitute} $$ \ \to \ MCons \ $fmap \ (\h,t) \to (\a s \to (g \ a, \ fmap \ g \ s)) \ h \ t) \ (unwrapMS) $$
```

5 Applications

Show a few application and motivate the usefulness of Monadic Streams.

6 Conclusions

Discussion of related literature. Open problems. Future Work.

References

[1] John Clark and Robert Wisbauer. Idempotent monads and *-functors. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 215(2):145 - 153, 2011.