

Faraday Rotation from $\chi^{(3)}$ in an Isotropic Film

October 7, 2025

Abstract

We derive the effective third-order susceptibility $\underline{\chi}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)$ experienced by a weak, linearly polarized signal at frequency ω_s propagating through a homogeneous, centrosymmetric, isotropic film in the xy plane. The medium is driven by two strong circularly polarized pumps: pump 1 (helicity σ^+) at $\omega_{p+} = \omega_p + \Delta/2$, and pump 2 (helicity σ^-) at $\omega_{p-} = \omega_p - \Delta/2$. Keeping all phase factors and frequency selection rules explicit, we reduce the isotropic rank-4 tensor $\chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}$ to scalar and axial invariants, construct $\underline{\chi}^{\text{eff}}$, and extract the circular eigenindices n_{\pm} and the Faraday rotation angle θ_F at the carrier. Cross-tone (beating) terms are shown to generate sidebands at $\omega_s \pm \Delta$ and are not part of $\underline{\chi}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)$.

1 Fields, Frequencies, and Conventions

We work with analytic electric fields; physical fields are the real parts (let's not forget:). Cartesian indices $i, j, k, l \in \{x, y, z\}$ are summed when repeated (again, good to remember:).

Signal (probe). A weak probe (or signal) at ω_s :

$$E_{s,i}(t) = E_{s,i}(\omega_s) e^{-i\omega_s t}. \quad (1)$$

Pumps. Two strong tones

$$E_k^{(1)}(t) = E_k^{(1)}(\omega_{p+}) e^{-i\omega_{p+} t}, \quad \omega_{p+} = \omega_p + \frac{\Delta}{2}, \quad (2)$$

$$E_k^{(2)}(t) = E_k^{(2)}(\omega_{p-}) e^{-i\omega_{p-} t}, \quad \omega_{p-} = \omega_p - \frac{\Delta}{2}. \quad (3)$$

In the frequency domain,

$$E_{p,k}(\omega) = E_k^{(1)}(\omega) + E_k^{(2)}(\omega), \quad E_k^{(j)}(\omega) = E_k^{(j)}(\omega_{pj}) \delta(\omega - \omega_{pj}). \quad (4)$$

Circular basis. Define $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm} = (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mp i\hat{\mathbf{y}})/\sqrt{2}$, with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm} = 1$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{+} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{-} = 0$. For the specific case considered later we set

$$\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_{+}^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{+}, \quad \mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_{-}^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{-}. \quad (5)$$

2 Third-Order Polarization at a Specified Output Frequency

The frequency-resolved third-order polarization is

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}(-\omega; \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) E_j(\omega_1) E_k(\omega_2) E_l(\omega_3) \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3). \quad (6)$$

For cross-phase modulation (XPM) of the signal at $\omega = \omega_s$, we keep the pathway $(-\omega_s; \omega_s, \omega_a, -\omega_b)$:

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s) = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{\omega_a, \omega_b} \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}(-\omega_s; \omega_s, \omega_a, -\omega_b) E_{s,j}(\omega_s) E_{p,k}(\omega_a) E_{p,l}^*(\omega_b) \delta(\omega_a - \omega_b). \quad (7)$$

The delta function enforces $\omega_a = \omega_b$, so *only same-tone pump pairs contribute at the carrier ω_s* . Cross-tone products with $\omega_a \neq \omega_b$ produce time factors $e^{\mp i\Delta t}$ and appear at $\omega_s \pm \Delta$ (sidebands), not at ω_s . With two tones ω_{p+} and ω_{p-} , Eq. (7) reduces to

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s) = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_{ijkl}^{(3,j)} E_{s,j}(\omega_s) E_k^{(j)}(\omega_{pj}) E_l^{(j)*}(\omega_{pj}), \quad \chi_{ijkl}^{(3,j)} \equiv \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}(-\omega_s; \omega_s, \omega_{pj}, -\omega_{pj}). \quad (8)$$

3 Isotropic $\chi^{(3)}$ and Cartesian Contractions

For a homogeneous isotropic centrosymmetric medium (off resonance), the rank-4 tensor decomposes into three scalar coefficients (often denoted χ_A, χ_B, χ_C) built from Kronecker deltas:

$$\chi_{ijkl}^{(3,j)} = \chi_A^{(j)} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \chi_B^{(j)} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \chi_C^{(j)} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}. \quad (9)$$

Insert (9) into (8) and contract indices (Einstein summation understood). For each tone j :

$$(A): \quad \chi_A^{(j)} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} E_{s,j} E_k^{(j)} E_l^{(j)*} = \chi_A^{(j)} (\mathbf{E}^{(j)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(j)*}) E_{s,i}, \quad (10)$$

$$(B): \quad \chi_B^{(j)} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} E_{s,j} E_k^{(j)} E_l^{(j)*} = \chi_B^{(j)} E_i^{(j)} (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(j)*}), \quad (11)$$

$$(C): \quad \chi_C^{(j)} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} E_{s,j} E_k^{(j)} E_l^{(j)*} = \chi_C^{(j)} E_i^{(j)*} (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(j)}). \quad (12)$$

Summing $j = 1, 2$,

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s) = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{m=1}^2 \left[\chi_A^{(m)} (\mathbf{E}^{(m)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*}) E_{s,i} + \chi_B^{(m)} E_i^{(m)} (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*}) + \chi_C^{(m)} E_i^{(m)*} (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)}) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) is linear in \mathbf{E}_s ; thus we identify the *effective* rank-2 tensor

$$\boxed{\chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) = \sum_{m=1}^2 \left[\chi_A^{(m)} (\mathbf{E}^{(m)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*}) \delta_{ij} + \chi_B^{(m)} E_i^{(m)} E_j^{(m)*} + \chi_C^{(m)} E_i^{(m)*} E_j^{(m)} \right]}, \quad (14)$$

such that $P_i^{(3)} = \varepsilon_0 \chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}} E_{s,j}$. All fast phase factors are contained in the frequency labels of $\chi^{(3)}$ and the phasors; no residual time dependence remains at ω_s because of the $\delta(\omega_a - \omega_b)$ selection.

4 Specialization to Circular Pumps and Circular Eigenbasis

Let the beams propagate along $+\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. With $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_+^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+$ and $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_-^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-$, the scalar products are $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(1)*} = |E_+^{(1)}|^2$ and $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(2)*} = |E_-^{(2)}|^2$. The dyadics are $E_i^{(1)} E_j^{(1)*} = |E_+^{(1)}|^2 (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_j^*$ and $E_i^{(2)} E_j^{(2)*} = |E_-^{(2)}|^2 (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_j^*$, and similarly with $i \leftrightarrow j$. In the circular basis $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-\}$, $\underline{\underline{\chi}}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)$ is diagonal at the carrier:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \chi_{++}^{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_A^{(j)} |E^{(j)}|^2 + (\chi_B^{(1)} + \chi_C^{(1)}) |E_+^{(1)}|^2, \\ \chi_{--}^{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_A^{(j)} |E^{(j)}|^2 + (\chi_B^{(2)} + \chi_C^{(2)}) |E_-^{(2)}|^2, \\ \chi_{+-}^{\text{eff}} &= \chi_{-+}^{\text{eff}} = 0. \end{aligned}} \quad (15)$$

It is convenient to regroup (per tone) into scalar and axial combinations

$$\chi_{\text{iso}}^{(j)} \equiv \chi_A^{(j)} + \frac{\chi_B^{(j)} + \chi_C^{(j)}}{2}, \quad \chi_{\text{circ}}^{(j)} \equiv \frac{\chi_B^{(j)} - \chi_C^{(j)}}{2}, \quad (16)$$

and to define tone-summed pump invariants at the carrier

$$I_{\text{tot}} \equiv |E_+^{(1)}|^2 + |E_-^{(2)}|^2, \quad S_3^{\text{DC}} \equiv |E_+^{(1)}|^2 - |E_-^{(2)}|^2 \propto i(\mathbf{E}_p \times \mathbf{E}_p^*) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (15) becomes

$$\chi_{\pm\pm}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) = \left[\sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_{\text{iso}}^{(m)} \right] I_{\text{tot}} \pm \left[\sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_{\text{circ}}^{(m)} \right] S_3^{\text{DC}}. \quad (18)$$

The first term is a true scalar (Kerr/XPM), while the second is an axial pseudoscalar (helicity or inverse Faraday effect), which splits the circular eigenmodes (σ^\pm), i.e. produces circular birefringence.

Same-helicity pumps ($\sigma+\sigma+$ and $\sigma-\sigma-$)

We substitute the pump fields directly into the effective third-order tensor

$$\chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) = \sum_{m=1}^2 \left[\chi_A^{(m)} (\mathbf{E}^{(m)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*}) \delta_{ij} + \chi_B^{(m)} E_i^{(m)} E_j^{(m)*} + \chi_C^{(m)} E_i^{(m)*} E_j^{(m)} \right], \quad (19)$$

which follows from contracting the isotropic rank-4 tensor $\chi_{ijkl}^{(3)} = \chi_A \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \chi_B \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \chi_C \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}$ with one signal factor and *same-tone* pump-pump factors at the signal carrier ω_s . Here $\chi_{A,B,C}^{(m)} \equiv \chi_{A,B,C}(-\omega_s; \omega_s, \omega_{pm}, -\omega_{pm})$ and the tone frequencies are $\omega_{p1} = \omega_p + \Delta/2$, $\omega_{p2} = \omega_p - \Delta/2$. Only terms with $\omega_a = \omega_b$ contribute at ω_s ; cross-tone products generate sidebands at $\omega_s \pm \Delta$ and are excluded from $\chi^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)$.

Circular basis. Let $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm = (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mp i\hat{\mathbf{y}})/\sqrt{2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm = 1$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+ \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_- = 0$, and note $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+^* = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-^* = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+$.

Case A: $\sigma+\sigma+$ (both pumps right-circular)

Pumps:

$$\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_+^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \quad \mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_+^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+. \quad (20)$$

Per tone,

$$\mathbf{E}^{(m)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*} = |E_+^{(m)}|^2, \quad E_i^{(m)} E_j^{(m)*} = |E_+^{(m)}|^2 (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_j, \quad E_i^{(m)*} E_j^{(m)} = |E_+^{(m)}|^2 (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_j. \quad (21)$$

Insert into (19) and sum $m = 1, 2$:

$$\chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_A^{(m)} |E_+^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_A^{(+)}} \delta_{ij} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_B^{(m)} |E_+^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_B^{(+)}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+)_j + \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_C^{(m)} |E_+^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_C^{(+)}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-)_j. \quad (22)$$

In the circular basis $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-\}$ the matrix representation is

$$[\chi^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)]_{(\text{circ})}^{(++)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_{++}^{(+)} & 0 \\ 0 & \Xi_{--}^{(+)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi_{A,B,C}^{(+)} = \sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_{A,B,C}^{(m)} |E_+^{(m)}|^2. \quad (23)$$

Here the diagonal entries in the circular basis are

$$\Xi_{++}^{(+)} = \Xi_A^{(+)} + \Xi_B^{(+)} + \Xi_C^{(+)}, \quad \Xi_{--}^{(+)} = \Xi_A^{(+)} - (\Xi_B^{(+)} + \Xi_C^{(+)}). \quad (24)$$

Case B: $\sigma-\sigma-$ (both pumps left-circular)

Pumps:

$$\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_-^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-, \quad \mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_-^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-. \quad (25)$$

Per tone,

$$\mathbf{E}^{(m)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(m)*} = |E_-^{(m)}|^2, \quad E_i^{(m)} E_j^{(m)*} = |E_-^{(m)}|^2 (\hat{e}_-)_i (\hat{e}_+)_j, \quad E_i^{(m)*} E_j^{(m)} = |E_-^{(m)}|^2 (\hat{e}_+)_i (\hat{e}_-)_j. \quad (26)$$

Insert into (19) and sum $m = 1, 2$:

$$\chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_A^{(m)} |E_-^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_A^{(-)}} \delta_{ij} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_B^{(m)} |E_-^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_B^{(-)}} (\hat{e}_-)_i (\hat{e}_+)_j + \underbrace{\left(\sum_m \chi_C^{(m)} |E_-^{(m)}|^2 \right)}_{\Xi_C^{(-)}} (\hat{e}_+)_i (\hat{e}_-)_j. \quad (27)$$

In the circular basis $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-\}$ the matrix representation is

$$[\chi^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)]_{(\text{circ})}^{(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_{++}^{(-)} & 0 \\ 0 & \Xi_{--}^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi_{A,B,C}^{(-)} = \sum_{m=1}^2 \chi_{A,B,C}^{(m)} |E_-^{(m)}|^2. \quad (28)$$

Analogously,

$$\Xi_{++}^{(-)} = \Xi_A^{(-)} + \Xi_B^{(-)} + \Xi_C^{(-)}, \quad \Xi_{--}^{(-)} = \Xi_A^{(-)} - (\Xi_B^{(-)} + \Xi_C^{(-)}). \quad (29)$$

Remarks. (i) In an axially symmetric (same-helicity) pump configuration the circular basis diagonalizes the tensor, so $\chi_{+-}^{\text{eff}} = \chi_{-+}^{\text{eff}} = 0$ at the carrier; off-diagonal terms vanish. (ii) Any axial, time-reversal-odd (Faraday-active) contribution appears as a *difference of circular eigenvalues* in the circular basis; it is tied to the pump helicity density $i \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}^*$ and produces circular birefringence ($n_+ \neq n_-$) and a carrier Faraday rotation $\theta_F = \frac{k_0 L}{2} (n_+ - n_-)$.

Units and intensity conversion. We use $|E|^2 = 2I/(n \varepsilon_0 c)$ to connect intensities to field amplitudes. Accordingly, the Kerr index coefficients obey $n_{2,\cdot} = \frac{3}{4n_0 n_p \varepsilon_0 c} \text{Re}[\chi^{(3)}]$ under isotropy (Kleinman), with n_0 the probe index and n_p the pump index at their respective frequencies.

5 Eigenindices and Faraday Rotation

Treat the pump-dressed probe response as effectively linear at ω_s :

$$D_i(\omega_s) = \varepsilon_0 \left[\varepsilon_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega_s) + \chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) \right] E_{s,j}(\omega_s). \quad (30)$$

For small nonlinearity,

$$n_{\pm}^2(\omega_s) \simeq n_0^2(\omega_s) + \text{Re} \chi_{\pm\pm}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) \Rightarrow \delta n_{\pm} \simeq \frac{\text{Re} \chi_{\pm\pm}^{\text{eff}}}{2n_0}. \quad (31)$$

Converting field to intensity via $I = (n_0 \varepsilon_0 c / 2) |E|^2$, it is convenient to define Kerr coefficients (SI units)

$$n_{2,\{\text{iso},\text{circ}\}} = \frac{3}{4n_0 n_0' \varepsilon_0 c} \text{Re} \left[\sum_j \chi_{\{\text{iso},\text{circ}\}}^{(j)}(-\omega_s; \omega_s, \omega_{pj}, -\omega_{pj}) \right], \quad (32)$$

so that

$$\boxed{n_{\pm}(\omega_s) = n_0(\omega_s) + n_{2,\text{iso}} I_{\text{tot}} \pm n_{2,\text{circ}} S_3^{\text{DC}}.} \quad (33)$$

A linearly polarized probe (equal σ^\pm superposition) accumulates a relative phase

$$\Delta\phi = k_0 L [n_+ - n_-] = 2k_0 L n_{2,\text{circ}} S_3^{\text{DC}}, \quad k_0 = \omega_s/c, \quad (34)$$

so the Faraday rotation (carrier component) is

$$\theta_F^{(\text{carrier})} = \frac{\Delta\phi}{2} = \frac{k_0 L}{2} [n_+ - n_-] = k_0 L n_{2,\text{circ}} S_3^{\text{DC}}. \quad (35)$$

If the two counter-helicity pumps have equal intensities, $S_3^{\text{DC}} = 0 \Rightarrow \theta_F^{(\text{carrier})} = 0$. Flipping which helicity is stronger flips the sign of S_3^{DC} and hence of θ_F (inverse Faraday effect sign).

6 Cross-Tone (Beat) Terms and Sidebands

Keeping cross-tone contractions in Eq. (6) produces terms $\propto E^{(1)} E^{(2)*} e^{\mp i\Delta t}$ that contribute to $\mathbf{P}^{(3)}(\omega_s \pm \Delta)$. Physically, these modulate the probe phase/polarization at Δ and generate sidebands; they are *excluded* from the carrier effective tensor by the selection rule $\delta(\omega_a - \omega_b)$ in Eq. (7). Time-resolved polarimetry with bandwidth $\gtrsim \Delta$ can observe an oscillatory rotation, but its spectral home is the sidebands, not ω_s .

7 Sideband-dressed $\chi_{\pm}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s)$ and Faraday rotation

Set-up and selection rules. We consider two strong tones at $\omega_{p\pm} = \omega_p \pm \Delta/2$ (Sec. 1) and a weak probe at ω_s . Cross-tone contractions of $\chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}$ with the pumps generate probe *sidebands* at $\omega_s \pm \Delta$, cf. Sec. “Cross-Tone (Beat) Terms.” Retaining only the first sidebands and working to linear order in the probe, the probe spectral amplitudes $E_s(\omega_s)$, $E_{s,\pm} \equiv E_s(\omega_s \pm \Delta)$ obey a 3×3 coupled system. Eliminating $E_{s,\pm}$ yields a *sideband-dressed* effective tensor at the carrier ω_s . Below we give the explicit algebra in our isotropic-tensor notation.

Coupled system and elimination. In frequency space the wave equation can be written schematically as $\mathcal{D}(\omega) E_i(\omega) = (\omega^2/\varepsilon_0 c^2) P_i^{(3)}(\omega)$. Near ω_s we define lumped complex detunings

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \equiv \mathcal{D}(\omega_s), \quad \mathcal{D}_\pm \equiv \mathcal{D}(\omega_s \pm \Delta) = \Gamma_s \mp i\Delta, \quad (36)$$

where $\Gamma_s > 0$ is the probe’s linear loss (phenomenological).

Write the cross-tone third-order polarization pieces that connect the probe to its sidebands in the compact *tensor-contracted* form (keeping only terms linear in \mathbf{E}_s):

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s) \supset \varepsilon_0 \left[\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{(-)} E_{s,-j} + \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{(+)} E_{s,+j} \right], \quad (37)$$

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s \pm \Delta) \supset \varepsilon_0 \left[\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}^{(\pm)} E_{s,j} \right], \quad (38)$$

with the *mixing tensors* (from the isotropic decomposition (9))

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{m=A,B,C} \chi_{(\mp 1)}^{(m)}(\omega_s; \omega_{p+}, -\omega_{p-}, \omega_s \pm \Delta) \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(m)}[\mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}^{(2)}], \quad (39)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{m=A,B,C} \chi_{(\pm 1)}^{(m)}(\omega_s \pm \Delta; \omega_{p+}, -\omega_{p-}, \omega_s) \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(m)}[\mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}^{(2)}], \quad (40)$$

where $\chi_{(\pm 1)}^{(m)}$ denote the *Floquet blocks* of the third-order kernel indexed by the modulation harmonic ± 1 , and $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(A,B,C)}$ are the contractions produced by the three isotropic invariants:

$$\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(A)} = (\mathbf{E}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(2)*}) \delta_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(B)} = E_i^{(1)} E_j^{(2)*}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(C)} = E_i^{(1)*} E_j^{(2)}. \quad (41)$$

Collect the three probe unknowns as $\mathcal{E} \equiv (E_{s,i}, E_{s,+,i}, E_{s,-,i})^T$. To first order one obtains

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_0 \delta_{ij} & -\mathcal{K}_{ij}^{(+)} & -\mathcal{K}_{ij}^{(-)} \\ -\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{ij}^{(+)} & \mathcal{D}_+ \delta_{ij} & 0 \\ -\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{ij}^{(-)} & 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{s,j} \\ E_{s,+,j} \\ E_{s,-,j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{s,i} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{ij}^{(\pm)} \equiv \frac{\omega_s^2}{c^2} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{(\pm)}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{ij}^{(\pm)} \equiv \frac{(\omega_s \pm \Delta)^2}{c^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}^{(\pm)}. \quad (42)$$

Here $S_{s,i}$ is the external probe drive at ω_s (port/boundary excitation). Assuming $\|\mathcal{K}^{(\pm)}\|, \|\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(\pm)}\| \ll |\mathcal{D}_{\pm}|$ (weak sideband excitation), solve the lower rows for the sidebands and substitute back:

$$E_{s,\pm,i} \approx (\mathcal{D}_{\pm}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(\pm)})_{ij} E_{s,j}. \quad (43)$$

The resulting *sideband-dressed* constitutive relation at ω_s is

$$P_i^{(3)}(\omega_s) = \varepsilon_0 \left[\chi_{ij}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) + \delta\chi_{ij}^{(+)}(\omega_s) + \delta\chi_{ij}^{(-)}(\omega_s) \right] E_{s,j}, \quad (44)$$

with the corrections

$$\delta\chi_{ij}^{(\pm)}(\omega_s) = \frac{c^2}{\omega_s^2} (\mathcal{K}^{(\pm)} \mathcal{D}_{\pm}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(\pm)})_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{\pm}} \mathcal{M}_{ik}^{(\pm)} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{kj}^{(\pm)} \propto \frac{|E^{(1)}|^2 |E^{(2)}|^2}{\Gamma_s \mp i\Delta}. \quad (45)$$

Equations (40)–(45) are the sought *same-notation* expression for the sideband-dressed effective tensor at the carrier.

Circular basis: diagonal elements. Projecting (45) onto the circular eigenbasis $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm}\}$ and assuming collinear propagation (our Sec. “Specialization to Circular Pumps”), the effective diagonal elements become

$$\chi_{\pm\pm}^{\text{eff,SB}}(\omega_s) = \chi_{\pm\pm}^{\text{eff}}(\omega_s) + [\delta\chi_{\pm\pm}^{(+)}(\omega_s) + \delta\chi_{\pm\pm}^{(-)}(\omega_s)], \quad \delta\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\pm)} = \hat{e}_{\alpha,i} \delta\chi_{ij}^{(\pm)} \hat{e}_{\alpha,j}^* \quad (\alpha = \pm). \quad (46)$$

Cases: $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ and $\sigma^+\sigma^+$ pumps. Let $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_+^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+$ and $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_-^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-$ for the $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ case, and $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = E_+^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+$, $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = E_+^{(2)} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+$ for the $\sigma^+\sigma^+$ case (the $\sigma^-\sigma^-$ case follows by $+\leftrightarrow -$). Using the isotropic contractions:

$$\sigma^+\sigma^- : \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(A)} = E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)} \delta_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(B)} = E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)} (\hat{e}_+)_i (\hat{e}_-)_j, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(C)} = E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)} (\hat{e}_-)_i (\hat{e}_+)_j, \quad (47)$$

$$\sigma^+\sigma^+ : \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(A)} = E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)} \delta_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(B)} = E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)} (\hat{e}_+)_i (\hat{e}_+)_j, \quad \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(C)} = E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)} (\hat{e}_-)_i (\hat{e}_-)_j. \quad (48)$$

Substituting into (40)–(46) gives compact diagonal results:

$$\sigma^+\sigma^- : \quad \begin{aligned} \delta\chi_{++}^{(\pm)} &= \frac{|E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}|^2}{\mathcal{D}_{\pm}} \left[\chi_{(\mp 1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\mp 1),C}^{(+)} \right] \left[\chi_{(\pm 1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\pm 1),C}^{(+)} \right], \\ \delta\chi_{--}^{(\pm)} &= \frac{|E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}|^2}{\mathcal{D}_{\pm}} \left[\chi_{(\mp 1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(\mp 1),C}^{(-)} \right] \left[\chi_{(\pm 1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(\pm 1),C}^{(-)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\sigma^+\sigma^+ : \quad \begin{aligned} \delta\chi_{++}^{(\pm)} &= \frac{|E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}|^2}{\mathcal{D}_{\pm}} \left[\chi_{(\mp 1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\mp 1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\mp 1),C}^{(+)} \right] \left[\chi_{(\pm 1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\pm 1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(\pm 1),C}^{(+)} \right], \\ \delta\chi_{--}^{(\pm)} &= \frac{|E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}|^2}{\mathcal{D}_{\pm}} \left[\chi_{(\mp 1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(\mp 1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(\mp 1),C}^{(-)}) \right] \left[\chi_{(\pm 1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(\pm 1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(\pm 1),C}^{(-)}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Here $\chi_{(\cdot),m}^{(\pm)}$ are the scalar amplitudes multiplying the isotropic A, B, C contractions for the Floquet-harmonic blocks that couple the indicated circular component. (The precise microscopic values follow from the density-matrix calculation used elsewhere in this file.)

Faraday rotation at the carrier. For small nonlinearities, $n_{\pm}(\omega_s) \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Re } \chi_{\pm\pm}(\omega_s)$. A linearly polarized probe thus acquires the rotation

$$\theta_F(\omega_s) = \frac{k_0 L}{4} \text{Re} \left\{ [\chi_{++}^{\text{eff}} - \chi_{--}^{\text{eff}}] + [\delta\chi_{++}^{(+)} - \delta\chi_{--}^{(+)}] + [\delta\chi_{++}^{(-)} - \delta\chi_{--}^{(-)}] \right\}_{\omega_s}. \quad (51)$$

Discussion. (i) For $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ pumps with equal intensities and an isotropic, parity-symmetric medium, the stationary DC contribution satisfies $\chi_{++}^{\text{eff}} = \chi_{--}^{\text{eff}}$ (Sec. “Specialization to Circular Pumps”), so θ_F stems solely from the sideband loops in (49) and is *dispersive*, $\propto \text{Re}(1/\mathcal{D}_{\pm})$; it vanishes for $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ and is maximal near $|\Delta| \sim \Gamma_s$.

(ii) For $\sigma^+\sigma^+$ pumps (or $\sigma^-\sigma^-$) the stationary term already yields $\chi_{++}^{\text{eff}} \neq \chi_{--}^{\text{eff}}$ (Sec. “Same-helicity pumps”), producing a nonzero θ_F even without sidebands. Equations (50)–(51) quantify the additional sideband dressing; the sign of $\text{Re}[\delta\chi_{++} - \delta\chi_{--}]$ flips with Δ and with pump helicity.

The expressions above are fully consistent with the isotropic-tensor contraction in Eq. (9) and the circular-basis diagonalization in Eq. (18), while incorporating the cross-tone sidebands through the elimination procedure (42)–(45).

8 Time-resolved Faraday rotation

8.1 Fields, basis, and isotropic contractions

Probe (weak). A monochromatic probe at ω_s with Jones vector \mathbf{E}_s :

$$\mathbf{E}_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{E}_s e^{-i\omega_s t} + \text{c.c.}], \quad \|\mathbf{E}_s\| \ll \|\mathbf{E}^{(1,2)}\|.$$

Pumps (two strong tones, beat at Δ). Two pumps at

$$\omega_{p\pm} = \omega_p \pm \Delta/2, \quad \mathbf{E}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{E}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{p+} t} + \text{c.c.}], \quad \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{E}^{(2)} e^{-i\omega_{p-} t} + \text{c.c.}].$$

Their product carries a time modulation at Δ , which couples $\omega_s \leftrightarrow \omega_s \pm \Delta$ (Floquet picture).

Circular basis. We use $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-\}$ for propagation along $+\hat{z}$, with $\mathbf{E}_s = E_{s,+}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+ + E_{s,-}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_-$.

8.2 Temporal coupled-mode theory (TCMT) with sidebands and explicit g_{\pm}, κ_{\pm}

Define slowly varying envelopes per circular component $\alpha \in \{+, -\}$: $a_{0,\alpha}(t)$ at ω_s , and $a_{\pm,\alpha}(t)$ at $\omega_s \pm \Delta$. Let $\delta_{0,\alpha}$ and $\gamma_{0,\alpha}$ be the carrier detuning and decay, and $\delta_{\pm} = \delta_0 \pm \Delta$, γ_{\pm} the sideband detuning/decay. The input–output coupling is $\sqrt{2\gamma_{e,\alpha}} s_{\text{in},\alpha}(t)$.

The TCMT equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_{0,\alpha} &= (-\gamma_{0,\alpha} - i\delta_{0,\alpha})a_{0,\alpha} + i\kappa_+^{(\alpha)}a_{+,\alpha} + i\kappa_-^{(\alpha)}a_{-,\alpha} + \sqrt{2\gamma_{e,\alpha}}s_{\text{in},\alpha}(t), \\ \dot{a}_{+,\alpha} &= (-\gamma_+ - i\delta_+)a_{+,\alpha} + i g_+^{(\alpha)}a_{0,\alpha}, \\ \dot{a}_{-,\alpha} &= (-\gamma_- - i\delta_-)a_{-,\alpha} + i g_-^{(\alpha)}a_{0,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The *mixing coefficients* are circular projections of (40):

$$\kappa_{\pm}^{(\alpha)} = \hat{e}_{\alpha,i} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{(\pm)} \tilde{e}_{\alpha,j}^*, \quad g_{\pm}^{(\alpha)} = \hat{e}_{\alpha,i} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}^{(\pm)} \tilde{e}_{\alpha,j}^*.$$

Explicitly (list the nonzero scalars only):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^+ \sigma^-: \quad & g_+^{(+)} = [\chi_{(+1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, & \kappa_+^{(+)} &= [\chi_{(-1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, \\
& g_-^{(+)} = [\chi_{(-1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, & \kappa_-^{(+)} &= [\chi_{(+1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, \\
& g_+^{(-)} = [\chi_{(+1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(-)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, & \kappa_+^{(-)} &= [\chi_{(-1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(-)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, \\
& g_-^{(-)} = [\chi_{(-1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(-)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}, & \kappa_-^{(-)} &= [\chi_{(+1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(-)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)}; \\
\sigma^+ \sigma^+: \quad & g_+^{(+)} = [\chi_{(+1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, & \kappa_+^{(+)} &= [\chi_{(-1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, \\
& g_-^{(+)} = [\chi_{(-1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, & \kappa_-^{(+)} &= [\chi_{(+1),A}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, \\
& g_+^{(-)} = [\chi_{(+1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(+1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(-)})] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, & \kappa_+^{(-)} &= [\chi_{(-1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(-1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(-)})] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, \\
& g_-^{(-)} = [\chi_{(-1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(-1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(-1),C}^{(-)})] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}, & \kappa_-^{(-)} &= [\chi_{(+1),A}^{(-)} - (\chi_{(+1),B}^{(-)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(-)})] E_+^{(1)} E_+^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

8.3 Solution and time-resolved Faraday rotation

For steady input $s_{\text{in},\alpha}(t) = s_{\text{in},\alpha}$ and weak mixing, set $\dot{a}_{\pm,\alpha} \approx 0$:

$$a_{\pm,\alpha} \approx \frac{i g_{\pm}^{(\alpha)}}{\gamma_{\pm} + i\delta_{\pm}} a_{0,\alpha}, \quad \delta_{\pm} = \delta_0 \pm \Delta.$$

The carrier then obeys

$$\dot{a}_{0,\alpha} = \left(-\gamma_{0,\alpha} - i\delta_{0,\alpha} \right) a_{0,\alpha} + i\Sigma_{\alpha} a_{0,\alpha} + \sqrt{2\gamma_{e,\alpha}} s_{\text{in},\alpha}, \quad \Sigma_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\kappa_+^{(\alpha)} g_+^{(\alpha)}}{\gamma_+ + i\delta_+} + \frac{\kappa_-^{(\alpha)} g_-^{(\alpha)}}{\gamma_- + i\delta_-}.$$

Steady state:

$$a_{0,\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2\gamma_{e,\alpha}} s_{\text{in},\alpha}}{\gamma_{0,\alpha} + i\delta_{0,\alpha} - i\Sigma_{\alpha}}.$$

The detected probe (carrier+sidebands) is

$$\mathbf{E}(t) \approx \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \left[a_{0,\alpha} e^{-i\omega_s t} + a_{+,\alpha} e^{-i(\omega_s + \Delta)t} + a_{-,\alpha} e^{-i(\omega_s - \Delta)t} \right] \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}.$$

Define small sideband ratios $r_{\pm}^{(\alpha)} \equiv a_{\pm,\alpha}/a_{0,\alpha} = i g_{\pm}^{(\alpha)}/(\gamma_{\pm} + i\delta_{\pm})$. To first order in $r_{\pm}^{(\alpha)}$, the instantaneous polarization angle (Faraday rotation) is

$$\theta(t) = \underbrace{\frac{k_0 L}{4} \text{Re}[\chi_{++}^{\text{eff,SB}}(\omega_s) - \chi_{--}^{\text{eff,SB}}(\omega_s)]}_{\theta_0 \text{ (static, carrier)}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} |R| \cos(\Delta t + \arg R)}_{\text{oscillation at } \Delta}, \quad R \equiv r_+^{(+)} + r_-^{(+)} - (r_+^{(-)} + r_-^{(-)}).$$
(53)

The static piece θ_0 reflects circular birefringence of the *carrier* alone; the oscillatory part arises from carrier–sideband interference and vanishes if the sidebands are spectrally filtered out. For $\sigma^+ \sigma^-$ with equal intensities in an isotropic medium, the stationary carrier contribution often satisfies $\chi_{++}^{\text{eff}} = \chi_{--}^{\text{eff}}$, so $\theta_0 \approx 0$ and rotation is dominantly the Δ -oscillation. For $\sigma^+ \sigma^+$, $\theta_0 \neq 0$ already without sidebands, which then dress it and add the Δ term.

8.4 TCMT at the carrier for circular polarizations (cavity form)

We now specialize to a resonant geometry (single cavity resonance at ω_0) and write a temporal coupled–mode theory (TCMT) [7] for the *carrier-frequency* probe envelopes in the circular basis.

Let $a_{0,+}(t)$ and $a_{0,-}(t)$ denote the intracavity envelopes of the probe's σ^\pm components at the carrier $\omega_s \simeq \omega_0$; the external drives (ports) are $s_{\text{in},\pm}(t)$ with the standard input–output relation $s_{\text{out},\pm} = s_{\text{in},\pm} - \sqrt{2\gamma_e} a_{0,\pm}$. Two strong pumps at $\omega_{p\pm} = \omega_p \pm \Delta/2$ and opposite helicities (σ^+ , σ^-) bias the cavity and produce a time-periodic mixing at Δ between the circular probe modes (the engine behind the *optically driven Faraday effect* [6]).

Time-periodic TCMT (lab frame). Under the instantaneous Kerr assumption and after projecting the $\chi^{(3)}$ tensor onto the circular basis (our isotropic A, B, C reduction), the *carrier* envelopes obey

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_{0,+} &= (-\gamma_0 - i\delta_0) a_{0,+} + iD e^{+i\Delta t} a_{0,-} + \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},+}(t), \\ \dot{a}_{0,-} &= (-\gamma_0 - i\delta_0) a_{0,-} + iD^* e^{-i\Delta t} a_{0,+} + \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},-}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where γ_0 is the intrinsic decay (half-linewidth), $\delta_0 \equiv \omega_s - \omega_0$ is the probe–cavity detuning, and D is a pump-controlled complex coupling proportional to the appropriate $\chi^{(3)}$ Floquet block(s) contracted with the pump Jones vectors (e.g., for $\sigma^+ \sigma^-$ pumps $D \propto [\chi_{(+1),B}^{(+)} + \chi_{(+1),C}^{(+)}] E_+^{(1)} E_-^{(2)*}$). Equation (54) is the TCMT analogue of the time-periodic polarization coupling used in Ref. [6] (their $e^{\pm i\Delta t}$ mixing).

Static coupling via a rotating frame. Define a slow rotating frame $b_\pm(t) \equiv a_{0,\pm}(t) e^{\mp i\Delta t/2}$. Then Eqs. (54) become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{b}_+ &= \left(-\gamma_0 - i(\delta_0 - \frac{\Delta}{2})\right) b_+ + iD b_- + \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},+}(t) e^{-i\Delta t/2}, \\ \dot{b}_- &= \left(-\gamma_0 - i(\delta_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2})\right) b_- + iD^* b_+ + \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},-}(t) e^{+i\Delta t/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

For quasi-CW probing near the cavity line, the exponential factors on the drives are slowly varying constants, so the coupling becomes *static*. This 2×2 system is convenient for closed-form steady-state solutions and matches the effective-Faraday cavity treatment of [6].

Closed-form steady state and rotation. With $\dot{b}_\pm = 0$ and constant inputs, write

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_+ \\ b_- \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 - \frac{\Delta}{2}) & -iD \\ -iD^* & \gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}}_{\mathbf{G}(\delta_0, \Delta, D)} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},+} \\ \sqrt{2\gamma_e} s_{\text{in},-} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

Transform back to the lab frame via $a_{0,\pm} = b_\pm e^{\pm i\Delta t/2}$ and use $s_{\text{out},\pm} = s_{\text{in},\pm} - \sqrt{2\gamma_e} a_{0,\pm}$ to obtain the port fields. The *instantaneous* polarization rotation is

$$\theta(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\arg a_{0,+}(t) - \arg a_{0,-}(t)]. \quad (57)$$

Near a cavity line, the observable rotation is essentially *static* (the $e^{\pm i\Delta t/2}$ factors cancel in the Stokes parameters over the cavity lifetime), and one may define

$$\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \arg \left(\frac{b_+}{b_-} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \arg \left(\frac{\gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 - \frac{\Delta}{2})}{\gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2})} + \frac{|D|^2}{[\gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2})][\gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 - \frac{\Delta}{2})]} \right). \quad (58)$$

Equations (54)–(58) are the cavity counterpart of our sideband-elimination picture: the Lorentzian dispersive factor $1/(\Gamma_s \mp i\Delta)$ appearing in the bulk propagation is here replaced by the cavity

susceptibilities $1/(\gamma_0 + i(\delta_0 \mp \Delta/2))$, while the mixing amplitude D contains the same $\chi^{(3)}$ contractions with the pump helicities as in our isotropic A, B, C expansion. This reproduces the *optically driven effective Faraday effect* from Ref. [6] within our notation, and fits naturally in the TCMT framework [7].

Of course, there can be typos and stupid mistakes, but the whole logic is correct.

9 References

References

- [1] R. W. Boyd, *Nonlinear Optics* (4th ed.), Academic Press (2020). See frequency-domain polarization and the SI prefactor linking n_2 and $\text{Re}\chi^{(3)}$. URL: https://lib.ysu.am/disciplines_bk/cce1226f312d5f73b4d8dd86e878c5b3.pdf.
- [2] M. Sheik-Bahae, “Third-Order Optical Nonlinearities,” in *Handbook of Optics, Vol. IV* (OSA/McGraw-Hill). A convenient overview of frequency selection rules and generated frequencies. URL: <https://msbahae.unm.edu/Courses/568%20Nonlinear%200ptics/OSA-Handbook%20of%200ptics-IV-Ch17.pdf>.
- [3] CREOL Lecture Notes, “Third-order nonlinear susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}$ and its tensor representation,” discussing isotropic tensor reduction and Kleinman symmetry. URL: https://www.creol.ucf.edu/mir/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2023/07/L16_-3rd-order-nonlinear-susceptibility-%CF%873-and-its-tensor-representation.pdf.
- [4] Stokes parameters and S_3 definition (circular component). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stokes_parameters.
- [5] UT Austin Lecture Notes, Faraday rotation and circular birefringence relation $\theta = (k_0 L/2)(n_+ - n_-)$. URL: <https://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/jk1/Electromagnetism/node73.html>.
- [6] R. Duggan, D. Sounas, and A. Alù, “Optically driven effective Faraday effect in instantaneous nonlinear media,” *Optica* **6**(9), 1152–1157 (2019). doi:10.1364/OPTICA.6.001152
- [7] S. Fan, W. Suh, and J. D. Joannopoulos, “Temporal coupled-mode theory for the Fano resonance in optical resonators,” *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* **20**(3), 569–572 (2003). doi:10.1364/JOSAA.20.000569