

A ductility metric for refractory-based multi-principal-element alloys

Prashant Singh ^{a,*}, Brent Vela ^b, Gaoyuan Ouyang ^a, Nicolas Argibay ^a, Jun Cui ^{a,c}, Raymundo Arroyave ^b, Duane D. Johnson ^{a,c}

^a Ames National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

^b Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

^c Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Ductility
Multi-principal-element alloys
DFT
CALPHAD
Experiment

ABSTRACT

We propose a quantum-mechanical dimensionless metric, the local-lattice distortion (LLD), as a reliable predictor of ductility in refractory multi-principal-element alloys (RMPEAs). The LLD metric is based on electronegativity differences in localized chemical environments and combines atomic-scale displacements due to local lattice distortions with a weighted average of valence-electron count. To evaluate the effectiveness of this metric, we examined body-centered cubic (bcc) refractory alloys that exhibit ductile-to-brittle behavior. Our findings demonstrate that local-charge behavior can be tuned via composition to enhance ductility in RMPEAs. With finite-sized cell effects eliminated, the LLD metric accurately predicted the ductility of arbitrary alloys, which compares well with existing tensile-elongation experiments. To validate further, we qualitatively evaluated the ductility of two refractory RMPEAs, i.e., NbTaMoW and Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}, through the observation of crack formation under indentation, again showing excellent agreement with LLD predictions. A comparative study of three refractory alloys provides further insights into the electronic-structure origin of ductility in refractory RMPEAs. This proposed metric enables rapid and accurate assessment of ductility behavior in the vast RMPEA composition space.

1. Introduction

Since the concept of multi-principal-element alloys (MPEAs) was proposed [1,2], numerous systems have been developed to enhance high-temperature phase stability, expanding the design prospects for new alloys [3–22]. Refractory MPEAs (RMPEAs) are a relatively new class of single-phase materials based on body-centered cubic (bcc) refractory elements often mixed with low-density bcc metals [23,24]. These alloys have received more attention than other metallic alloys due to their attractive properties, such high melting temperature, and a weak temperature-dependent yield strength, which is about 400 MPa near 1600 °C [25,26]. However, RMPEAs generally have low ductility, even in compression. This brittle behavior is intrinsic to bcc metals, also exhibited in bcc RMPEAs [27,28]. Moreover, while there is a simple predictive metric for strength of any metal [29], no predictive correlation has yet been established between ductility and strength for these alloys. For example, the uniaxial yield strength is high in the refractory alloys, NbTaMoW (1 GPa), MoNbTaTi (1.2 GPa), NbTaTiW (1.8 GPa), and MoWNbTaV (1.25 GPa), though their ductility is in all cases low

(elongation strain < 4%) [6,30]. Like most refractory elements, RMPEAs are quite brittle, with a relatively sharp brittle-to-ductile transition as temperature increases.

The strengthening mechanisms in RMPEAs have been the subject of extensive research. One widely recognized mechanism is solid-solution strengthening, which is influenced by local-lattice distortions (LLDs). This phenomenon contributes to the high strength observed in these alloys. Additionally, a disparity in atomic sizes and elastic moduli are thought to impede dislocation motion, which is a conventional strengthening mechanism. [31–35]. Several theoretical studies have provided some understanding of uniaxial yield strengths, e.g., [36,37]. However, the literature on approaches to predict ductility in RMPEAs remains sparse [30,38]. Lilenstein et al. [39] and Huang et al. [40] proposed the idea of metastability engineering to improve the ductility in bcc RMPEAs. This idea was utilized for steels [41,42] and for Ti-based bcc [43,44] and fcc [45] MPEAs to enhance uniform tensile ductility [46].

In relation to the inherent ductility of the bcc lattice, researchers have proposed three readily available and widely accepted ductility

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: psingh84@ameslab.gov, prashant40179@gmail.com (P. Singh).




Fig. 1. Linear models, coefficient of determination, and Pearson correlation between compressive fracture strain of 56 RMPEAs (see more detail in Table A1) and the following ductility indicators: VEC, Cauchy pressure, Pugh ratio, and the Rice criterion.

indicators for RMPEAs: Pugh ratio [47], Cauchy pressure [48], and valence-electron concentration (VEC) [49]. Pugh's ratio (B/G) is a measure of a material's ductility, reflecting the competition between plastic deformation (shear modulus, G) and fracture strength (crack formation, represented by bulk modulus B). As such, the Pugh ratio provides a measure of the favorability of cracking vs. slip [47]. The Cauchy pressure (a difference between elastic constants, $C_{12} - C_{44}$) was proposed as a ductility indicator by Pettifor [48]. The Cauchy pressure is the difference between two elastic constants, $C_{12} - C_{44}$, where a positive value indicates non-directional metallic bonds, and likely to have intrinsic ductility. Qi and Chrzan [50] proposed that the intrinsic ductility of a bcc refractory alloy can be estimated based on VEC. In addition, there have been attempts to design more nuanced ductility metrics, e.g., Hu et al. [51] created surrogate models for the Rice criterion [52] and demonstrated a limited correlation for a small dataset of fracture strain in RMPEAs.

While these metrics have been used to try to design intrinsically ductile RMPEAs when compared against compressive fracture strain, the results (compiled by Hu et al. [51]) demonstrated weak correlations with experimental values, see Fig. 1. The electronic origins of mechanical properties, such as ductility, in concentrated refractory alloys, including RMPEAs, remain poorly understood. An improved understanding of these underlying mechanisms would significantly accelerate the discovery of new and optimized alloys. Therefore, this study seeks new insights and a framework for accurately predicting ductility in chemically disordered concentrated refractory alloys, focusing on RMPEAs.

We hypothesize that the ductility observed in bcc RMPEAs can be attributed to quantum-mechanical phenomena linked to intrinsic characteristics, such as lattice distortions in the local chemical environments and chemical disorder, which impacts electronic band dispersion ("band structure"), exhibiting disorder broadening [17,53], in contrast to ordered alloys. We anticipate that the transition from ductile-to-brittle behavior in these alloys is closely tied to nanoscale structural features. We propose a dimensionless metric for bcc chemically random alloys that predicts ductility via quantities (average and $L_{2,1}$ norm) derived from atomic displacements (Δu , $\sqrt{[\Delta u]^2}$) relative to equilibrium atomic positions, obtained by minimizing Hellmann-Feynman forces using density-functional theory (DFT) calculations within a Super-Cell Random APproximates (SCRAPs) that mimic appropriate configurations for random alloys. A SCRAP is constructed as an optimal supercell of fixed size (number of sites) with the thermodynamically averaged (observable) atomic short-range order (here, we focus on homogeneous random alloys, where pair correlations are optimized to zero to the third-neighbor shell around every site). From each relaxed supercell, we extract displacements and derived quantities to construct a dimensionless 'LLD' metric to successfully characterize ductility in bcc RMPEAs and confirm results by experimental validation. The proposed LLD metric was also compared with the Rice-Thomson ductility criterion [54] and elongation to tensile strain from experiments to understand the

correlation among the quantities of diverse origin. The trend in room-temperature (RT) yield-strength data was also assessed with respect to the LLD metric. The electronic structures (bonding, charge transfer, density of states, ...) of a selected set of RMPEAs from ductile and brittle regions were investigated to understand its relationship with ductility (and its electronic origin). These new insights will guide our efforts to identify RMPEAs with improved RT ductility.

2. Methods

2.1. Density functional theory method

The total-energy calculations were performed using DFT methods, embodied in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP) [55] plane-wave pseudo-potential method with projector-augmented waves (PAW) [56,57]. The perdew-burke ernzerhof (PBE) [58] DFT exchange-correlation functional is used for non-spin-polarized generalized gradient approximation (GGA). The kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV is employed for the plane-wave basis. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme for Brillouin zone integration [59] was carried out using $5 \times 5 \times 5$ k-points meshes. The convergence threshold for energy is 10^{-5} eV, while the symmetry-unrestricted optimization for the geometry is performed using conjugate-gradient method until residual forces on each atom is below 0.01 eV/Å. A Super-Cell Random Approximates (SCRAPs) supercell of a 60-atom ($5 \times 3 \times 2$) and 90-atom ($5 \times 3 \times 3$) were used to mimic the homogeneously random RMPEAs, as generated using a Hybrid Cuckoo Search optimization method [60]. The in-depth understanding of the local lattice distortion and its correlation with ductility is expected to advance the design of RMPEAs for high-temperature applications. For equiatomic cases, we achieved correct correlations and spatial distribution within 60 and 90-atom SCRAPs. In particular, we evaluated the LLD metric for supercell sizes of stoichiometric quaternary NbTaTiV (i.e., i.e., 16 ($2 \times 2 \times 2$), 32 ($4 \times 2 \times 2$), 60 ($5 \times 3 \times 2$), 72 ($4 \times 3 \times 3$), 128 ($4 \times 4 \times 4$), 160 ($5 \times 4 \times 4$) atoms per supercell) and found that finite-cell effects beyond 60-atom are insignificant. However, for non-stoichiometric cases, 120-atom SCRAPs were needed to achieve proper atomic pair-correlation functions (to 3 neighbor shells) or spatial distribution. Beyond 120-atom SCRAPs, no noticeable changes in energy or LLD were found [61].

2.2. Slab model generation and energy calculation

A [109]-oriented bcc slab was generated with a 10 Å vacuum, where the atoms are shifted along c direction for symmetric termination [62, 63]. All slabs are constrained to have symmetric top and bottom surfaces. The surface energy (γ_{hkl}) of bcc slabs for the facet with Miller index (110) was calculated using the expression:

$$\gamma_{hkl} = \frac{E_{hkl}^{slab} - n^{slab} \times E_{hkl}^{bulk}}{2 \times A^{slab}}, \quad (1)$$

Table 1

Summary of constraints and the information source associated with each constraint.

Constraint	Information Source
$\rho < 11 \text{ g/cc}$ at RT	Thermo-Calc Equilibrium Simulation
$T_{\text{solidus}} > 1500 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	Thermo-Calc Equilibrium Simulation
$\sigma_{YS} > 200 \text{ MPa}$ at $1300 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	Maresca-Curtin Model [44]
$\Delta T < 200 \text{ K}$	Thermo-Calc Equilibrium Simulation
$CTE < 2\%$	Thermo-Calc Equilibrium Simulation
Single Phase BCC ($1300 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to Solidus)	Thermo-Calc Equilibrium Simulation

where E_{hkl}^{slab} is the total slab energy, E_{hkl}^{bulk} energy-per-atom of the conventional unit-cell, n^{slab} is the number of atoms in the slab, and A^{slab} is the surface area of the slab.

2.3. CALPHAD for novel RMPEA design

In addition to datasets from literature [51], a set of 17 RMPEAs designed throughout ARPA-E's ULTIMATE program are studied here [64], which are designed via a composition-agnostic, multi-constraint factorial exploration similar to the scheme used in our previous work [65]. However, this work has a larger initial design space consisting of every quinary, quaternary, and ternary combination of the 10 elements (Al, Cr, Hf, Mo, Nb, Ta, Ti, V, W, Zr). Each ternary, quinary, and quaternary system was sampled at 5 at.% resulting in 17 candidate RMPEAs. The downselected alloys were required to meet minimum constraints from Department of Energy's ARPA-E's ULTIMATE program as listed in Table 1 [64]. Thermo-Calc's TCHEA5 CALPHAD database was used as information source to perform equilibrium simulations and down-select final set of RMPEAs. In addition, the Maresca-Curtin model for yield strength [44] was used to filter for alloys that likely have a $\sigma_{YS} > 200 \text{ MPa}$ at $1300 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The Maresca-Curtin model assumes the thermally activated glide of edge dislocations through randomly solute fields is the dominant strengthening mechanism in alloys with high lattice distortion. Inputs to this model, such as lattice parameters, elastic constants, and solute misfit volumes, are approximated with the rule-of-mixture, as used by the authors of the model.

2.4. Experimental methods

The alloys used for verification were synthesized by arc melting of the elemental metals. The castings were flipped at least three times for improved homogenization. The alloys were then sectioned, mounted, and polished for Rockwell hardness indentation. The indentations were made using a LECO LR-series Rockwell Type hardness tester using a spherconical diamond tip with 60 kgf (Rockwell A scale) and 150 kgf (Rockwell C scale) load. The indents were imaged using an optical microscope.

3. Results and discussion

The ductile-to-brittle transition or ductility, in general, is a popular research focus in the context of improving ductility in bcc RMPEAs that precludes their deployment in technological application. This poses a key question: What should be a generalized approach to quantify and improve the ductility in RMPEAs? Pugh [47], Lewandowski, et al. [66], and many others, including Rice-Thomson [54], Zhu et al. [67], Hirsch-Roberts [68], Hirsch et al. [69], Rice [52], Cleri et al. [70] have provided empirical relations to demarcate ductility with brittleness by studying large classes of crystalline materials. However, most efforts have specialized their examinations to material types in particular stress states, as ductile materials allow massive dislocation emissions and flow. Recently, the dislocation behavior [71–73] controlling strength in bcc RMPEAs [74,75] was found to inevitably be influenced by local lattice distortions. Unlike in pure metals, RMPEAs have an intrinsic lattice

distortion due to mismatch in modulus and atomic sizes that results in activation of multiple dislocation pathways [76–78]. This arises from the energetics associated with the local chemical environments due to chemical complexity, which also affects the migration barriers and vacancy formation energies and is correlated with electronegativity differences [61]. Lattice distortion can induce local elastic-strain [79] that hinders dislocation motion during deformation. Recently, Yang et al. [80] has shown that local lattice distortion could significantly alter the dislocation core structure and related Peierls stress in refractory RMPEAs, which is correlated to strength [81]. These findings suggest a correlation between local lattice distortion and strength/ductility in bcc RMPEAs. There have been numerous studies connecting strength with local distortion in MPEAs; however, no attempts have been made to develop models or criteria to predict ductility that include the effect of local lattice distortions.

To address this gap, in this work, we propose a dimensionless metric of quantum-mechanical origin that uses scalar/vector atomic displacements, including the charge-effect extracted from fully relaxed random supercells using DFT methods. These parameters are a building block for our surrogate model created from positional imbalance around ideal-site symmetries. Furthermore, the *subset of local structural parameters* (i.e., average atomic displacement (Δu) and vector ($L_{2,1}$) norm of atomic displacements [$\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}$] from all atoms in supercell) derived from increased charge sharing, and lattice relaxation increases bond strength and, thereby, the mechanical properties. The dimensionless LLD metric is defined as the ratio of average local lattice displacement ($\Delta u_{x,y,z}$) and vector norm of lattice displacements [$\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}$] for relaxed supercells, i.e.,

$$LLD = \Delta w_{VEC} \times \frac{\Delta u_{x,y,z}}{\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} LLD < 0.3 \text{ (ductile)} \\ LLD \geq 0.3 \text{ (brittle)} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and $\Delta w_{VEC} (= VEC_{RMPEA}^{bcc} - [VEC_{max}^{bcc} - VEC_{min}^{bcc}])$ is the weighted VEC, i.e., the difference between the VEC of RMPEA with respect to max VEC_{max} and min VEC_{min} values. Here, VEC_{min} and VEC_{max} define the bcc phase formation range based on VEC, i.e., 4 and 6, respectively. The weighted average of VEC difference of RMPEAs in Eq. (2) was defined to remove the superficial dominance of atomic displacement.

The proposed "LLD" metric is significantly different from the "local lattice distortion" calculated using atomic size mismatch, δ , in HEAs that is generally adopted from the work of Zhang et al., [82], estimated via the relationship $\delta = 100 * \sqrt{c_i(1 - r_i/\bar{r})^2}$, where c_i , r_i and \bar{r} ($= \sum_i c_i r_i$) are concentration, atomic radius of the individual elemental components, and average atomic radii. Unfortunately, this metric is somewhat ambiguous, as the same element in different alloys can show varying atomic radii depending on the crystal structure and the (local) chemical environment [83–85]. Moreover, there are several different definitions of atomic radii [86,87]. Thus, we redefined the local lattice distortion in terms of an average atomic displacement (Δu), and vector ($L_{2,1}$) norm of atomic displacements coming from a relaxed supercell.

The $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ is the distance between displaced atoms from high-symmetry points between relaxed and ideal (average lattice) atomic positions in the supercell due to local lattice mismatch, and $\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}$ is a mean-value derived from vector displacements of all atoms in relaxed supercell. The final ductility metric was calculated as a weighted average of atomic displacements evaluated from the optimal-disordered structures. A new metric is necessary because atoms in various chemical environments can have different effective atomic radii. Therefore, an




Fig. 2. LLD metric versus (a) magnitude of vector atomic displacement from the average lattice $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$, and (b) Δw_{VEC} [regions: ductile (green) and brittle (red)], and (c) shear moduli (GPa). Ductile behavior of 17 RMPEAs designed in our ULTIMATE program [64] was also assessed: (red squares; 9), (blue diamonds; 3), and (cyan triangles; 5).

Table 2

The proposed LLD metric (Eq. (2)) to characterize ductility in bcc refractory metals. DFT-derived LLD predictions agree with mean-field theory (MFT) [36], experiments [6,92–97], and Rice-Thomson (R-T) criteria [54], which is compared with tensile elongation of known RMPEAs [23,98–100]. Empirical values of lattice mismatch (δ) are also provided for comparison.

MPEAs	δ	Δu	$\sqrt{[\Delta u]^2}$	Δw_{VEC}	LLD	R-T	ϵ_t (%)	Curtin	this work
Ductile (<0.3)									
NbTiZr	4.22	0.012	0.351	2.33	0.081	29.2	14.2	1	3
AlNbTaTi	1.03	0.025	0.387	2.25	0.146	28.7	–	2	7
Nb _{6.8} Mo _{1.4} Ti _{1.8}	2.59	0.012	0.506	2.94	0.067	–	–	3	1
Nb _{7.0} Mo _{1.2} Ti _{1.8}	2.62	0.012	0.506	2.96	0.068	–	–	4	2
NbTaTi	0.32	0.033	0.555	2.67	0.159	33.6	18.2	5	5
NbTaV	3.98	0.048	0.600	3	0.243	30.4	–	–	new
NbTaTiV	3.74	0.032	0.617	2.75	0.142	31.4	11.8	6	4
MoNbTiV	3.76	0.032	0.483	3	0.199	36.56	25	7	8
MoNbTaTi	2.22	0.062	0.645	3	0.288	40.8	–	8	10
MoNbTaV	3.59	0.048	0.704	3	0.206	37.8	21	9	9
NbTaTiW	2.22	0.035	0.587	3	0.178	50.1	–	10	6
Brittle (>0.3)									
CrMoTaTi	5.42	0.140	0.939	3.25	0.484	50.9	–	11	14
CrMoNbTi	5.42	0.119	0.866	3.25	0.446	46.8	–	12	13
CrMoNbV	4.83	0.074	0.666	3.5	0.388	43.2	4.2	13	11
MoNbTaWV	3.28	0.059	0.527	3.4	0.381	49.1	1.7	14	12
NbTaMoW	2.46	0.154	0.950	3.5	0.566	55.4	2	15	15

explicit and comprehensive description of the local lattice distortions (LLDs) is crucial for accurately assessing the ductility of materials. This idea is like Ye et al.'s empirical residual strain (ϵ) metric for single-phase formation in high-entropy alloys [88], where they described three ϵ ranges, i.e., $\epsilon < 5\%$ (single phase), $5\% < \epsilon < 10\%$ (mixed phase), and $\epsilon > 10\%$ (amorphous phase) for high-entropy alloys. However, the LLD metric in Eq. (2) is more quantum-mechanically-rooted, where the change in electronic effects (charge transfer) is directly considered by including local atomic displacement from DFT optimization.

While bcc RMPEAs are promising candidates for next-generation structural materials owing to their exceptional mechanical properties, but, unfortunately, they often exhibit limited ductility, hindering their broader applications. Consequently, developing a reliable metric for high-throughput screening of useful compositions within the RMPEAs domain would significantly facilitate the identification and optimization of these materials. Therefore, we chose bcc-refractory (ternary, quaternary, quinary) RMPEAs, such as Senkov alloys [6], and compared them with the recent work of Curtin et al. [36]. We generated a large enough supercell for each case, where the volume (lattice constant) and atomic (co-coordinates) positions were fully optimized. We plot the "LLD" metric with respect to the average atomic displacement (Fig. 2a), and Δw_{VEC} (Fig. 2b) of RMPEAs.

While LLD is by definition linear in $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$, it is renormalized by Δw_{VEC} and $\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}$ to remove dimensional bias. This physically interpretable dimensionless metric can greatly simplify the alloy design

task. The linear correlation between $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ and LLD (Fig. 2a) shows a clear separation at 0.30 LLD (along y-axis) between experimentally known ductile and brittle behavior, as tabulated in Table 2. A clear range in VEC ($\Delta w_{VEC}=3$, $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ (0.05 Å), and LLD (0.3) is seen in Fig. 2a,b, although not as evident for static displacement, $\Delta u_{x,y,z}=0.05$ Å. This suggests that rather than severe lattice distortion, the dimensionless LLD metric, including the effect of electron count, is more physical in characterizing the ductility of RMPEAs, mainly caused by the charge-transfer effect on the mean-value of vector displacements. Furthermore, we plot LLD with respect to DFT-calculated shear moduli for RMPEAs in Table 2, with a good correlation LLD trends.

The higher $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ in Fig. 2a shows an inverse correlation with ductility. In literature, LLD is connected to the strength of alloys, but this does not guarantee ductility, especially with bcc RMPEAs that are mostly brittle. Moreover, larger LLD in distorted refractory lattices is expected to induce large local elastic-stress fields. The interaction of mobile dislocations with the local stress fields may hinder dislocation glide during deformation, which accounts for large dislocation density during plastic deformation [89]. Recently, Lee et al. [90] highlighted this by comparing the change in dislocations density near the {110}- and {200}-oriented planes in as-cast versus homogenized RMPEAs. Thus, when characterizing strength, one must consider a reasonable trade-off between ductility and strength when designing alloys using demanding computational schemes. However, the LLD-predicted ductility in Table 2 shows excellent agreement with other theories and experiments of




Fig. 3. Comparison DFT-calculated Rice-Thomson criteria [52] with (a) LLD, (b) VEC, and (c) $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$. The ductile region is marked based on the criterion shown in Eq. (2).

known bcc RMPEAs [91].

The use of lattice-distortion parameters in determining the local atomic displacement for RMPEAs is somewhat ambiguous. This possibly relates to the definition of ‘local’ in relaxed atomic supercells and its correlation with charge (calculated from DFT) or electronic configuration (valence electron count) with atomic sizes. However, we found a clear correlation between static displacement and effective electronic charges with LLD metric, see Fig. 2a. We found that the high LLD is induced mainly by the atomic-size mismatch in RMPEAs, which will reduce the deformability of alloy by hindering slip plane easy glide [90]. Fig. 2a shows that the LLD metric [Eq. (2) & 3] gives a reliable ductility (deformability) prediction.

The VEC has been connected to the deformability in alloys, where a lower VEC (<4.5) is expected to improve the ductility. We plot Δu_{VEC} vs. LLD metric in Fig. 2b and found that atomic displacement has more to do with atomic sizes in RMPEAs than the charge of constituent elements or electron configuration. With each RMPEA marked in Fig. 2b, the displacement or LLD metric increases with alloys having increasing at.% of elements with increasing VEC, such as Cr, Mo, or W. Along with a clear demarcation in LLD ($=0.30$), we also found a clear value in Δu_{VEC} that separates the ductile behavior of RMPEAs ($\Delta u_{VEC} < 3.0$) from brittleness ($\Delta u_{VEC} > 3.0$). To add to the choice of LLD metric cut-off, higher LLD plays a key role in impeding the dislocation motion in RMPEAs, which changes the deformation mechanism and reduces ductility [73,74]. The severe lattice distortion is expected to lessen the crystallite growth rate, causing amorphous structures to form. Thus, the choice of LLD range in Eq. (3) seems reasonable and agrees with the experimental tensile elongation as tabulated in Table 2.

We have shown in Fig. 2b that ductile RMPEAs fall in the area shaded in green, where Al/Ti/Zr are revealed as the main elements that drive bcc ductility, in agreement with previous work [101,102]. The alloy will be brittle if the elastic instability mode transitions from tensile failure to shear failure after reaching the ideal tensile stress. The comparison between LLD and tensile elongation in Table 2 reflects this fact. Furthermore, lowering the VEC will increase the driving force for the Jahn-Teller distortions, which results in earlier shear instability and lowers the total energy of the alloy, i.e., increasing ductility.

Our LLD metric predictions are in good agreement with the recent work of Curtin et al. [43], where NbTaMoW (#14) and MoNbTaWV (#15) were predicted to be brittle with less than 3% ductility at RT under compression, while NbTiZr (#1) was predicted to be ductile. These predictions agree with existing experiments that show ductility for NbTaZr [101,102] and brittleness for NbTaMoW and MoNbTaWV [6]. Furthermore, the LLD metric assessed the ductility of 7 new quinary Ti-V-Nb-Mo-W RMPEAs (Nb-rich, i.e., Nb >60 at.%), shown in Fig. 2 (red circles). Six RMPEAs satisfy the LLD metric constraint out of seven, i.e., LLD < 0.3 in Eq. (3), but only two of them (Mo_{1.5}Nb₇₄V₂₃W_{1.5} and Mo_{1.1}Nb_{68.4}Ti_{1.5}V_{27.4}W_{1.6}) satisfy critical LLD and Δu_{VEC} limit for an RMPEA to be ductile. We also show the empirical lattice distortion parameter (8) in Table 2 arising from size-mismatch calculated using

atomic radii of elements. However, no such correlation with ductility was observed in contrast to the LLD metric. We attribute this fact to the inclusion of the quantum-mechanical charge effect in the LLD through atomic displacements in Eq. (2,3).

Recently, Geslin et al. have pointed out the effect of finite unit-cell size on displacement and local stress [103,104]. As our goal was to evaluate quantities related to displacements directly required by LLD metric, Eq. (2), we investigated the finite cell-size effect on local displacements. Specifically, we examined the LLD in quaternary NbTaTiV as a function of supercell size. For that, we tested SCRAPs for number of atoms (bcc supercell size) [16, 32, 60, 72, 128, and 160 atoms per supercell] with LLD values of [0.578; 0.264; 0.142; 0.153; 0.137; 0.141], respectively. We assessed spatial correlation or cell size on LLD. Therefore, we attribute these changes to large displacement “ Δu ,” which is nearly {0.151/0.719 (16); 0.109/0.976 (60)} for smaller supercells while the large supercells cells show {0.037/0.735 (128) to 0.051/0.915 (72)}. This confirms the findings of Geslin et al. [103,104] regarding finite cell-size effects. However, in our context, this effect was found to be small beyond 60 atom unit cells for stoichiometric compositions.

In Fig. 2a,b, DFT-calculated LLD for 17 RMPEAs belonging to the Mo-Ti-V-W (7), Mo-Nb-Ta-V-W (3), and Cr-Mo-Nb-V-W (5) family. These alloys were designed from high-throughput CALPHAD and analytical models to satisfy high-temperature strength criteria (>300 MPa and 1300 °C). Our analysis shows that 4 out of 15 RMPEAs meet all ductility criteria, i.e., LLD < 0.3 , $2 < \Delta u_{VEC} < 3$, and $\Delta u_{x,y,z} < 0.1$, and 6 satisfy LLD and Δu criteria but fall above Δu_{VEC} range. In contrast, the remaining 5 RMPEAs were found to be brittle, i.e., they do not meet any of the criteria set for ductility. Nevertheless, the main advantage of the “LLD” metric is our ability to calculate it in a high-throughput manner using first-principles DFT methods. Therefore, LLD is appropriate for screening regions with improved ductility from the vast space of RMPEAs.

We also assessed the ductility of RMPEAs in Table 2 using the Rice-Thomson criterion, where the authors considered the actual dislocation processes due to the localized nature of shear at the crack tip [47]. The Rice-Thomson criterion quantifies the stability of a sharp crack against the emission of a blunting dislocation in a crystal. Therefore, qualitatively the crystals with wide core dislocations or small values of G_b/γ are ductile. In contrast, alloys with large values of G_b/γ (or narrow dislocation cores) are brittle, where b , G , and γ are the Burger’s vector, shear modulus, and surface energy, respectively. In Fig. 3a, we compared LLD and Rice-Thomson criterion, which shows a good trend with observed ductility and brittle behavior of RMPEAs in Table 2. The Rice-Thomson criterion [54] was also assessed with respect to VEC Fig. 3b) and $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ (Fig. 3c), which shows a good correlation with experimental trends. Our findings suggest that the local lattice distortion (LLD) metric provides a more accurate assessment of ductility near weakly ductile regions, such as NbTaTiW, compared to Rice-Thomson criterion, which classifies these regions as brittle. Although, we found good linear trend with Rice-Thomson model, but it fails to capture correct G_b/γ range, which is




Fig. 4. (a) 54-atom supercell for ternary NbTaV, (b) charge-density difference ($\Delta\rho$ [LLD – no-LLD]), and (c) 2D project $\Delta\rho$ on [99] plane showing charge activity at (P1, P2) in (b).




Fig. 5. Relaxed SCRAPs and plots of local lattice displacement and charge-transfer activity in (a-c) AlNbTaTi (high ductility), (d-f) NbTaTiV (low ductility), and (g-i) CrMoNbTi (no ductility).

expected to be $< 7.5\text{--}10$ for ductile materials while > 10 for brittle. However, LLD consistently captures the prospected ductility vs brittle range in Eq. (2,3).

Additionally, calculating surface energy is computationally expensive, while evaluating the LLD metric is simpler. Therefore, knowledge of the LLD metric offers a reliable and efficient predictive tool for guiding the discovery of new alloys with improved ductility.

To validate the ductility prediction, we compared the LLD metric with tensile elongation (ϵ_t) of known refractory RMPEAs in Table 2. The LLD metric range in Eq. (2,3) demarcating ductile vs. brittle behavior was found to show similar trends as found in experiments [23,98–100]. Notably, elongation or fracture strain, which is the percentage increase in length that material will achieve before breaking, is very low for NbTaMoW(V) (2%, and 1.7%) and Cr-based alloys ($\sim 4.2\%$) RMPEAs, which is consistent with LLD metric.

3.1. Ductility analysis of ternary NbTaV RMPEA

In Fig. 3, we also show the ductility prediction for ternary NbTaV (red circle). The DFT-predicted LLD and Rice-Thomson values are 0.243 and 30.4, respectively, which suggests that the NbTaV should be ductile. This seems reasonable given NbTaTi (LLD=0.159 “ <0.3 ”; R-T = 33.6

and NbTaTiV (LLD=0.142 “ <0.3 ”; R-T = 31.4) are also experimentally found to be ductile as shown by higher tensile-elongation in Table 2. Notably, both LLD and R-T fall in the lower half corner, a ductile zone, in Fig. 2. We further analyzed NbTaV to understand the origin of ductility. In Fig. 4a, we show a fully-relaxed supercell (54 atom/cell) showing polyhedral distortion near V sites as marked by P1 and P2. The marked regions in Fig. 4b show increased charge activity, attributed to higher electronegativity for V (1.62) on the Allen scale for solids compared to Nb (1.17) and Ta (1.30). For clarity, in Fig. 4c, we have shown $\Delta\rho$ projected on (101) plane containing P1 and P2 from Fig. 4b (full projection is shown in Fig. A3). This suggests that higher χ affects $\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ that affects the charge-transfer ability of other elements.

Hu et al. [105] have shown that elemental radii do not accurately characterize lattice distortion from a change in the local environment, as distortions (and related properties) are strongly dependent on the local chemical environment in RMPEAs [60,106]. Moreover, the electronegativity difference of elements was found to correlate well with the mechanical properties [107], rather than the Hume-Rothery size effect [108] and data-driven approaches [109]. To understand the effect of chemical complexity (alloying and environments) on local lattice distortion, we compare in Fig. 5, for three fully-relaxed quaternary alloys AlNbTaTi, VNbTaTi, and CrMoNbTi, the relaxed SCRAP structures




Fig. 6. Charge-density difference (a) $\Delta\rho$ [CrMoNbTi – AlNbTaTi], (b) $\Delta\rho$ [CrMoNbTi – NbTaTiV], and (c) $\Delta\rho$ [NbTaTiV – AlNbTaTi] to emphasize a change in charge distribution (isosurface value of $0.012 e^-/\text{\AA}^3$). Iso-surfaces are positive (yellow) and negative (blue) charges. (d-f) Bond-length distribution about each element in fully relaxed 72-atom SCRAP (A [0–17]; B [18–29,6,30–34]; C [35–52]; D [53–70]). Partial density of states (PDOS) for (g) AlNbTaTi, (h) NbTaTiV, and (i) CrMoNbTi RMPEAs.

Table 3

DFT-calculated effective elemental charges and total valence in a unit cell for quaternary AlNbTaTi, NbTaTiV, and CrMoNbTi RMPEAs.

RMPEAs	Effective elemental charges				Total valence
Al-Nb-Ta-Ti	3.226 (Al)	4.870 (Nb)	4.800 (Ta)	4.102 (Ti)	17e-
Nb-Ta-Ti-V	4.857 (Nb)	4.790 (Ta)	4.094 (Ti)	5.257 (V)	19e-
Cr-Mo-Nb-Ti	6.324 (Cr)	5.888 (Mo)	4.743 (Nb)	4.043 (Ti)	21e-




Fig. 7. Optical images around Rockwell indents showing crack formations on (a,b) NbTaMoW ($LLD=0.566$) but not on (c,d) $Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$ ($LLD=0.255$). This suggests improved ductility for $Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$, as predicted by LLD metric in Table 4. The indents are made using Rockwell hardness testers with 60 kgf (a,c) and 150 kgf (b,d) load, respectively.

Table 4

LLD-predicted ductility (more details in Table A2) for NbTaMoW and $Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$ MPEAs, and comparison with ductility models from literature determine VEC, Cauchy pressure, Pugh's ratio (PR), and D parameter (Rice). Note that LLD correctly identifies the ductility and brittleness behavior in contrast to other models.

	MPEAs	Metric	Ductile or Brittle?	Observed
This work				
LLD	NbTaMoW	0.566	Brittle	Yes
	$Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$	0.255	Ductile	Yes
Literature				
VEC	NbTaMoW	5.5	Brittle	Yes
	$Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$	5.8	Brittle	No
Cauchy	NbTaMoW	87.2	Ductile	No
	$Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$	57.5	Ductile	Yes
Pugh's ratio	NbTaMoW	2.65	Ductile	No
	$Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$	2.58	Ductile	Yes
D parameter	NbTaMoW	2.1	Brittle	Yes
	$Mo_{72}W_{13}Ta_{10}Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}$	2.5	Brittle	No

(Fig. 5a,d,g), local atomic displacement ($\Delta u_{x,y,z}$) in Fig. 5b,e,h, and the change in local charges from varying neighbor environments (Fig. 5d,f, i).

Fig. 5b,e,h illustrates the likelihood of an atomic site to be displaced (compressed or elongated) based on alloying species, their electronegativities, and atomic sizes in AlNbTaTi, NbTaTiV, and CrMoNbTi RMPEAs. The bond-length analysis of Nb and Ti is shown in Fig. 5 (also in Fig. A1). We found clear elongation in the Nb-X bond length around Al or Nb in particular, while Nb-X bonds in CrNbTaTi show compression or no change compared to unrelaxed structures. Similarly, Ti-X bonds in NbTaTiAl and NbTaTiV show weak elongation, while Ti-X in CrNbTaTi shows small reduction or no change in bond length. This Nb-X and Ti-X elongation and compression of bonds are also reflected in volume change in three RMPEAs, where $V_{AlNbTaTi}$ ($17.3 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$) > $V_{NbTaTiV}$ ($16.6 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$) > $V_{CrNbTaTi}$ ($15.4 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{atom}$). More specifically, the homoatomic pairs, i.e., Nb-Nb and Ta-Ta, are atoms with large radii. Thus, these are primarily compressed, while the homoatomic pairs of Ti-Ti and V-V are the smaller size atoms with significant elongation in RMPEAs. The idea of bond elongation or compression based on alloying elements and their intrinsic characteristic is reflected through absolute

lattice displacement in Fig. 5b,e,h. The change in average bond lengths is also related to enhanced charge transfer due to varying chemical interactions of the principal elements in RMPEAs.

The order of elemental electronegativities (χ) on the Allen scale for solids is Nb (1.17), Ta (1.30), Cr (1.33), Mo (1.38), Ti (1.40), Al (1.52), and V (1.62). So, for NbTaTiAl $\chi_{Nb} < \chi_{Ta} < \chi_{Ti} < \chi_{Al}$, NbTaTiV $\chi_{Nb} < \chi_{Ta} < \chi_{Ti} < \chi_V$, and CrMoNbTi ($\chi_{Nb} < \chi_{Cr} < \chi_{Mo} < \chi_{Ti}$). Clearly, CrMoNbTi has the most elements with lower affinities for pulling charge from their neighbors, i.e., the least distortion expected due to charge transfer, as reflected in Fig. 5c,f,i. Charge analysis in Fig. 5c,f,i indicates both χ and Δu due to atomic size are strongly correlated with charge-transfer ability of alloying elements, as is quantified in LLD metric, which combines distortion parameters ($\Delta u_{x,y,z}$ and $\sqrt{[\Delta u_{x,y,z}]^2}$) with change in VEC in electronically distinct RMPEAs.

The relationship between ductility and strength can further be understood from the charge density difference ($\Delta\rho$) between two RMPEAs, revealing the nature of bonding and charge transfer. Thus, for three RMPEAs, we analyzed the $\Delta\rho$ of three RMPEAs that show high-ductility (AlNbTaTi), low-ductility (NbTaTiV), and no-ductility/brittle (CrMoNbTi), see Fig. 2 and Table 2. The $\Delta\rho_{CrMoNbTi} - \Delta\rho_{AlNbTaTi}$ in Fig. 6a shows increased charge transfer near Al in AlNbTaTi, while Cr-based CrMoNbTi shows almost no charge activity at/near Cr. The increased charge near/at Al can be attributed to large Al electronegativity ($\chi = 1.52$) compared to Nb- or Cr-based RMPEAs. Also, increased charged activity or metallic interaction introduced by Al arises from delocalized 3s-3p electrons, while the increased charge activity at/near Ta (4d³s²) is due to larger atomic size. This suggests multiple mechanisms that are responsible for improved ductility in RMPEAs. On the other hand, the effective charge transfer between brittle (CrMoNbTi) and weakly ductile (NbTaTiV) RMPEAs in Fig. 6b shows improved charge activity, as shown by $\Delta\rho_{CrMoNbTi} - \Delta\rho_{NbTaTiV}$. Similarly, the $\Delta\rho_{NbTaTiV} - \Delta\rho_{AlNbTaTi}$ in Fig. 6c shows much larger charge transfer activity suggesting increased lattice distortion for AlNbTaTi and NbTaTiV RMPEAs, as shown in Fig. 5a-c and Fig. 5d-f, respectively. While CrMoNbTi in Fig. 5g-i shows very weak or no charge transfer, as is visible through local lattice distortion, mainly expected to originate from the atomic-size effect.

The bond length in HEAs can be ambiguous as all atoms within a certain separation distance can contribute to metallic bonding. Thus, the multi-atomic nature of metallic bonds makes them different from ionic or covalent bonding. In Fig. 6d-f, we plot the average bond-length distribution (see also Fig. A2) around each species in high-ductility (AlNbTaTi), low-ductility (NbTaTiV), and brittle (CrMoNbTi) RMPEAs. The resulting average atomic charges and total valence are shown in Table 3. Bond lengths can take different values for pairs of atoms due to the complex environment in RMPEAs.

Therefore, average bonding was considered for each atom. Notably, the average nearest-neighbor interatomic distance in most ductile RMPEA, i.e., AlNbTaTi in Fig. 2d, was found to be much larger but uniformly distributed chemical bonds. However, the nearest-neighbor interatomic distance for all pairs in the least ductile RMPEAs, i.e., CrMoNbTi RMPEAs, extends over a wide range (Fig. 6f) compared to AlNbTaTi (Fig. 6d) and NbTaTiV (Fig. 6e), where Nb (36–53) and Ti (54–71) atoms show profound deviation from ideal sites that shows increased (severe) lattice distortion. The widely distributed yet nearly 5.4% smaller bond lengths of CrMoNbTi compared AlNbTaTi indicates higher strength [23]. Notably, our LLD metric predicts poor ductility for CrMoNbTi, agreeing with experiment [30]. Our hypothesis that alloying metal elements of diverse electronegative will improve the ductility (Table 2) and correlation with strength (Fig. A4) of RMPEAs is consistent with our predictions.

In Fig. 6g-i, we plot the partial density of states for AlNbTaTi, NbTaTiV, and CrMoNbTi to understand electronic-structure changes in ductile vs. brittle RMPEAs. As seen by the PDOS in Fig. 6g, it shows an obvious overlap among all alloying elements. The increased overlap

indicates strong electron hybridization of Al-3p with 3d and 4d bands of Ti/Nb/Ta transition metals and an increase in covalency, where flat yet localized conduction bands in the high energy region indicate stronger interaction of orbital electrons [110,111]. A thin valence band near the low energy region (~5 eV) below the Fermi-level was found for AlNb-TaTi (Fig. 6g), which comes entirely from Al-3p. The delocalized nature of Al-3p leads to the formation of metallic bonding, which is expected to be the electronic-structure reasoning for increased ductility in AlNb-TaTi. Similar features (flat bands at low energy, i.e., below Fermi-level, and substantial band overlap) were found in NbTaTiV (Fig. 6h) arising from the presence of V instead of Al. However, no such features were observed in CrMoNbTi (Fig. 6i), which the LLD metric predicted to be poorly ductile.

3.2. LLD prediction and experimental validation

We chose two MPEAs viz NbTaMoW and Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} to test and validate our metric prediction. The LLD metric predicts brittleness for NbTaMoW (LLD=0.566 > 0.3, Eq. (3)) and ductility for Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} (LLD=0.255 < 0.3, Eq. (3)). To qualitatively evaluate the ductility of two RMPEAs, we performed indentation tests using Rockwell indents at different force and observe the crack formation through optical microscopy as shown in Fig. 7.

Under both 60 kgf major load and 150 kgf major load conditions, multiple cracks formed around the indent on the NbTaMoW sample (Fig. 7a,b). Larger load has resulted in broader crack formation. In contrast, the minimum crack formation was observed on the Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} sample under both conditions (Fig. 7c,d). The surface impression around the indent in Fig. 7d attains that Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} RMPEA can sustain a great level of plastic deformation without crack formation. The crack length and indentation size can also be used to compute fracture toughness, pioneered by Evans and Charles [113].

A practical formula is Nihara's method, as in ASTM silicon-nitride bearing balls standard F2094.

$$K_{IFR} = 10.4 (E^{0.4}) (P^{0.6}) (a^{0.8} / c^{1.5}), \quad (4)$$

where K_{IFR}, E, P, a, c is the indentation fracture resistance, elastic modulus, applied load, mean half-diagonal length, and mean half-tip-to-tip crack length, respectively. Though the exact values calculated by this method may bear some error [114], the significantly reduced crack length in Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} RMPEA suggests its much-improved fracture toughness than NbTaMoW RMPEA. This agrees with our compression test results [115,116], showing improved compressive ductility for Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5}. The findings from both indentation and compression tests are consistent with our ductility prediction based on the LLD metric.

3.3. Comparison of LLD predictions with other ductility models

To showcase the improvements in ductility prediction, we present comparative study of LLD predictions for NbTaMoW and Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} (see more detail in Fig. A5 and Table A2) with other commonly used ductility models such as VEC, Cauchy pressure, Pugh's ratio (PR), and D parameter. The VEC is an easy to evaluate and empirical metric used for fast assessment of ductility in alloys. Recently, Sheikh et al. [112] suggested that single-phase refractory RMPEAs made from elements of groups 4, 5, and 6 should be ductile for VEC < 4.5 and brittle when VEC ≥ 4.5. Based on VEC criteria, both MPEAs in Table 4 are expected to be brittle, which is not true as shown by indentation experiments in Fig. 7. The Cauchy pressure is based on the idea of angular covalent bonding to characterize ductility. If Cauchy pressure ($C_{12}-C_{44} < 0$) is negative the alloy will be brittle and ductile for positive pressure ($C_{12}-C_{44} > 0$). A very high positive Cauchy pressure for both RMPEAs in Table 4 suggests ductility, which is contrary to both LLD prediction and experiments. Pugh's ratio (PR) is the most widely used

metric. Pugh suggested that if the ratio of bulk moduli vs shear moduli (B/G) greater than 1.87 the alloy is expected to be ductile. Based on PR metric values in Table 4, both MPEAs have significantly higher value of Pugh's ratio than 1.87, therefore, expected to be ductile. However, the prediction of Pugh's ratio is contrary to both LLD and experiments. The D-parameter is another metric proposed by Rice [52] that uses dislocation emission flow in alloys to predict ductility. The low positive values of D parameter in Table 4 suggest high brittleness or low fracture toughness as discussed by Hu et al. [51]. To summarize, no ductility metric other than LLD was able to distinguish right ductility behavior for two MPEAs in Table 4 (other examples are discussed in Table 2).

3.4. LLD vs. other ductility criteria

Several criteria or models exist that try to predict ductility or plastic behavior in alloys [29, 117, 118]. The Pugh ratio [46] and Cauchy pressure [119] are by far the most widely used due to availability of straight forward ways for measuring or calculating elastic parameters, especially bulk (B), shear (G), and C_{12} – C_{44} elastic moduli. Notably, both models exclude the direct consideration of crystal structure and change in local geometry. On the other hand, the proposed LLD in Eq. (2) includes effects arising from changes in the crystal structure, local geometry (atomic lattice), and chemistry (composition) through supercell consideration. Furthermore, Pugh ratio and Cauchy pressure criteria ignore the dislocation mobility [120], which would not change Pugh ratio but alter the yield stress. These limitations make Pugh ratio and Cauchy pressure criteria unfavorable in providing accurate predictions of ductility in novel materials. In contrast, dislocation emissions-based criteria can better assess the ductility in metal alloys. The Rice-Thompson [54], Rice [52], and Zhou-Carlsson-Thomson [121] are the three most used models that consider the emission of dislocations from a sharp crack tip to characterize ductility. Instead of fracture and dislocation glide used in Pugh and Cauchy criteria, the fracture and dislocation nucleation form the basis of dislocation-emission-based models that require the energy barrier experienced by dislocations, usually characterized by γ_{us} or $b \times G$, where γ_{us} is the unstable stacking fault energy. The dislocation emission criteria tend to be more accurate than Pugh determine Cauchy but are computationally expensive and have poor transferability. In contrast, the LLD metric is computationally inexpensive requiring a single run of supercell relaxation. Moreover, LLD shows the potential to overcome drawbacks of elasticity (Pugh ratio and Cauchy pressure) and dislocation-emission (Rice-Thompson, Rice, and Zhou-Carlsson-Thomson models) based on ductility criteria, which has been elaborated in Table 4 through direct comparison among various ductility models presented.

3.5. Computational difficulty of LLD vs dislocation-emission-based models

The local-lattice distortion calculations require only a single relaxation run of disordered supercell to arrive at the LLD value in Eq. (2,3). On the other hand, dislocation-emission-based models requires surface energy (gamma in the Rice-Thomson or Rice model) that necessitates multiple calculations including design of orientation-dependent disorder supercell, for example, {110} surface for bcc. Additionally, the reorientation of the already large, disordered supercell must be increased by 2x-3x to conserve the parent MPEA composition. Lastly, the calculation of surface energy involves full relaxation followed by self-consistent runs to determine energies in different configurations, which contrasts with single step LLD metric. Thus, LLD metric is easier to implement and calculate in high-throughput manner compared to dislocation-emission-based models.

4. Conclusion

We provided a detailed understanding of how electronic (charge-

transfer) effects affect the local lattice distortion in bcc RMPEAs, and correlate local lattice distortion to ductility. The peculiar characteristics of RMPEAs have produced several design strategies to achieve strength-ductility synergy [63, 122–126], for use in advanced structural applications, requiring high strength and high ductility. The characterization of alloys purely based on lattice distortion may give an idea about higher strength but not ductility. Importantly, alloys with low ductility are typically not useful for technological applications. Therefore, a strength-ductility trade-off must be utilized. The proposed LLD metric and limits for bcc RMPEAs show that higher lattice distortion leads to poor ductility. As is well-known, bcc RMPEAs have higher strength and generally poor ductility. Presently, our analysis provides valuable guidelines for optimizing LLD and strength to achieve a sweet spot for strength and ductility. As we have shown, ductility in RMPEAs is strongly correlated to local charge-transfer activity and lattice distortion, which can be tuned by alloying. The charge-transfer activity, electronic structure, bond lengths, and lattice distortion for MPEAs were determined from DFT calculations. Our results provide a more fundamental understanding of role charge transfer plays in controlling local lattice distortion and ductility of RMPEAs.

In conventional alloys with a regular lattice, dislocation movements need to overcome the Peierls friction or the lattice stress through a kink-pair mechanism [127]. However, increased lattice distortion and the resultant residual stress field in RMPEAs may enable significant strengthening [128, 129], improving their yield strength. Our study suggests, however, that increased lattice distortion is not necessarily good for ductility. The good combination of strength and ductility derives from increased lattice friction yielding mechanical features beyond those reported before for bcc alloys. The proposed metric successfully rationalized the ductility across a range of ternary, quaternary, and quinary RMPEAs. The LLD metric-driven analysis is validated by comparing it with tensile elongation of available experiments, which establishes the accuracy of identifying ductility behavior. Finally, the proposed LLD metric will contribute to optimizing ductility in refractory-based alloys to accelerate novel refractory RMPEA development [130].

Author contributions

P.S. – Conceptualization, Method development, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. B.V. – Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. G.O. – Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. N.A. – Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing. G.C. – Supervision, Writing – review and editing. R.A. – Supervision, Formal analysis, Writing – review, and editing. D.D.J. – Supervision, Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

PS, RA, and DDJ acknowledge support by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) ARPA-E ULTIMATE Program through Project DE-AR0001427. BV acknowledges the support of NSF through Grant no. 1746932. RA also acknowledges NSF through Grant No. 2119103. The LLD analysis and mechanical testing on NbTaMoW and Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀-Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} by (PS, GO, NA, GC) were done with the support of the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program at Ames National Laboratory. The research was performed at Iowa State University and Ames National Laboratory, which is operated by ISU for the

U.S. DOE under contract DE-AC02-07CH11358.

Appendix

[Fig. A1](#), [Fig. A2](#), [Fig. A3](#), [Fig. A4](#), [Fig. A5](#), [Table A1](#), [Table A2](#)

In [Fig. A2](#), we also show the bond-length distribution of selected RMPEAs, where AlNbTaTi with higher ductility shows smooth (Gaussian) bond-distribution compared to skewed (bimodal) distribution in medium and low ductility RMPEAs (here NbTaTiV and CrMoNbTi).

Comment on strength-ductility relationship in MPEAs: The strength and ductility tradeoff in materials can be obtained after thermal treatment and/or thermo-mechanical processing by controlling the microstructure to remove defects. To understand this tradeoff using the LLD metric, we plot in [Fig. A4](#) the LLD and average atomic size for MPEAs in [Table 2](#) concerning experimental yield strength (YS) [131]. The trend between LLD and YS in [Fig. A4a](#) shows an increase in strength with LLD up to 0.3, which then decreases with an increase in LLD. This suggests that maximizing lattice distortion is not always a reason for increased strength, i.e., there is an optimal LLD range for tuning strength and ductility tradeoff in MPEAs. Furthermore, in [Fig. A4b](#), the plot of YS as a function of atomic size shows a linear correlation, such that atomic size increases the strength in bcc MPEAs from associated larger lattice distortions, which also suggests that the increment of YS can be predicted.




Fig. A1. (a) Nb-Nb, and (b) Ti-Ti bond-length compression and elongation in (a) AlNbTaTi, (b) NbTaTiV, and (c) CrMoNbTi due to change in chemical environment in RMPEAs.




Fig. A2. The distribution of atomic bond-lengths, and (b) the minimum distance between the atomic surface from the maximum cut-off radius for the core region versus local atomic volume.




Fig. A3. (a) A 54-atom supercell of fully relaxed ternary bcc NbTaV. (b) 2D projected charge density difference calculated at same lattice constants for fully relaxed vs. non-relaxed supercells.




Fig. A4. Measured yield strength (GPa) for bcc MPEAs vs. LLD (a) and atomic size (b). Higher ductility connects with a lower strength (see [Fig. 2](#)), shaded area in (a). MPEA strength decreases with increasing average atomic size (b).




Fig. A5. (a) Crystal structure of 120 atom quinary BCC Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} MPEA, and increased charge activity along (b) (110), and (c) (100) planes.

Table A1

The fracture strain data (compressive) and ductility metrics including D-parameter (Rice) [51] as well as rule-of-mixture Pugh Ratio (B/G), Cauchy pressure (C₁₂-C₄₄) and valence electron count (VEC) for 56 MPEAs in Fig. 1.

MPEAs	Fracture Strain (%)	D parameter	Pugh Ratio	Cauchy Pressure	VEC
TiZrV _{0.3} Nb	45	3.485	3.11	92.02	4.39
TiZrV _{0.3} NbMo _{0.1}	45	3.387	3.08	91.24	4.44
TiZrV _{0.3} NbMo _{0.5}	43	3.106	2.98	88.56	4.61
TiZrVNbMo _{0.3}	42	3.185	3.10	95.91	4.60
ZrHfNbTa	34	3.316	3.30	87.50	4.50
TiZrNbMo	33	2.883	2.84	82.80	4.75
TiZrVNbMo _{0.5}	32	3.085	3.05	94.57	4.67
TiZrVNbMo _{0.7}	32	3	3.01	93.34	4.72
TiZrVNbMo	32	2.89	2.96	91.68	4.80
TiZrV _{0.25} NbMo	30	2.893	2.87	85.41	4.76
TiZrVNbMo _{1.3}	30	2.795	2.91	90.21	4.87
TiVNbTaMo	30	2.809	2.96	97.82	5.00
TiZrHfVNb	29.6	3.569	3.24	91.60	4.40
TiZrV _{0.75} NbMo	29	2.896	2.93	89.81	4.79
Ti _{1.5} ZrHfNbMo	28.98	3.177	2.90	78.96	4.55
TiZrV _{0.5} NbMo	28	2.898	2.90	87.73	4.78
TiZrV _{0.3} NbMo _{0.7}	26.6	3.011	2.93	87.41	4.68
TiZrVNbMo	26	2.89	2.96	91.68	4.80
TiVNbMo	25.62	2.728	3.00	98.58	5.00
TiZrV _{0.3} NbM	25	2.894	2.88	85.90	4.77
TiZrHf _{0.5} NbMo _{0.5}	24.61	3.237	3.00	82.75	4.50
TiZrHf _{0.5} NbMo _{0.5}	24.61	2.997	3.00	82.75	4.50
TiZrV ₃ NbMo	24	2.874	3.10	101.83	4.86
TiZrHfNb _{1.5} Mo	23.97	3.058	3.06	83.53	4.64
TiZrV ₂ NbMo	23	2.877	3.04	97.60	4.83
TiZrV _{1.5} NbMo	20	2.881	3.00	94.91	4.82
TiVNbTaW	20	2.827	2.83	97.14	5.00
TiZrV _{0.3} NbMo _{1.3}	20	2.793	2.83	84.58	4.85
TiZr _{0.5} HfNbMo	18.02	3.038	2.98	80.99	4.67
TiZrHf _{1.5} NbMo	16.83	3.162	3.00	78.03	4.55
TiZr _{1.5} HfNbMo	16.09	3.135	2.94	77.92	4.55
TiNbTaMoW	14.1	2.498	2.61	84.84	5.20
TiZrHfNb _{0.5} Mo	13.02	3.13	2.84	74.13	4.56
TiZrHf _{0.5} NbMo	12.09	2.997	2.90	80.86	4.67
Ti _{0.5} ZrHfNbMo	12.08	2.979	3.02	79.71	4.67
TiZrHfNbTaMo	12	3.073	2.93	81.88	4.67
NbTaVW	12	2.527	2.95	102.53	5.25
TiNbTaMoW	11.5	2.498	2.61	84.84	5.20
TiZrHfNbMo _{1.5}	10.83	2.924	2.88	78.06	4.73
TiVNbTaMoW	10.6	2.575	2.73	91.90	5.17
TiZrHfNbMo	10.2	3.088	2.96	79.30	4.60
TiZrHfNbMo	10.12	3.088	2.96	79.30	4.60
VNbTaMoW	8.8	2.28	2.79	95.16	5.40
Ti _{0.75} NbTaMoW	8.4	2.424	2.62	85.33	5.26
TiZrV _{0.3} NbMo _{1.5}	8	2.731	2.81	83.79	4.90
Ti _{0.5} NbTaMoW	5.9	2.335	2.63	85.87	5.33
NbTaMoW	2.6	2.113	2.65	87.15	5.50
Ti _{0.25} NbTaMoW	2.5	2.233	2.64	86.47	5.41
NbTaMoW	2.1	2.113	2.65	87.15	5.50
NbTaMoW	1.9	2.113	2.65	87.15	5.50
VNbTaMoW	1.7	2.28	2.79	95.16	5.40
VNbTaMoW	1.7	2.28	2.79	95.16	5.40

Table A2

LLD-predicted ductility for NbTaMoW and Mo₇₂W₁₃Ta₁₀Ti_{2.5}Zr_{2.5} RMPEAs, and comparison with currently used metrices in literature including VEC, Cauchy, Pugh's ratio (PR), and D parameter.

MPEAs	δ	Δu	$\sqrt{[\Delta u]^2}$	Δw_{VEC}	LLD	VEC	Cauchy	Pugh	D
NbTaMoW	2.46	0.154	0.950	3.5	0.566	5.5	87.2	2.65	2.1
Mo ₇₂ W ₁₃ Ta ₁₀ Ti _{2.5} Zr _{2.5}	2.80	0.029	0.431	3.8	0.255	5.8	57.5	2.58	2.5

References

- [1] J.W. Yeh, et al., Nano-structured high-entropy alloys with multiple principal elements: novel alloy design concepts and outcomes, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* 6 (2004) 299–303.
- [2] B. Cantor, I.T.H. Chang, P. Knight, A.J.B. Vincent, Microstructural development in equiatomic multicomponent alloys, *Mat. Sci. Eng. A-Struct* 375 (2004) 213–218.
- [3] K.B. Zhang, et al., Microstructure and mechanical properties of CoCrFeNiTiAl_x high-entropy alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 508 (2009) 214–219.
- [4] Y.J. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y.L. Wang, G.L. Chen, Solid solution alloys of AlCoCrFeNiTi_x with excellent room-temperature mechanical properties, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 90 (2007), 181904.
- [5] C.-J. Tong, Chang, et al., Mechanical performance of the Al_xCoCrCuFeNi high-entropy alloy system with multi-principal elements, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A. Phys. Metall. Mater. Sci.* 36 (2005) 1263–1271.
- [6] O.N. Senkov, G.B. Wilks, J.M. Scott, D.B. Miracle, Mechanical properties of Nb₂₅Mo₂₅Ta₂₅W₂₅ and V₂₀Nb₂₀Mo₂₀Ta₂₀W₂₀ refractory high entropy alloys, *Intermetallics* 19 (2011) 698–706.
- [7] O. Senkov, J. Scott, S.V. Senkova, D. Miracle, C.F. Woodward, Microstructure and room temperature properties of a high-entropy TaNbHfZrTi alloy, *J. Alloys. Compd.* 509 (2011) 6043–6048.
- [8] O.N. Senkov, J.M. Scott, S.V. Senkova, F. Meisenkothen, D.B. Miracle, C. F. Woodward, Microstructure and elevated temperature properties of a refractory TaNbHfZrTi alloy, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 47 (2012) 4062–4074.
- [9] M.A. Meyers, K.K. Chawla, *Mechanical Behavior of Materials*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1999.
- [10] M. Dao, L. Lu, R.J. Asaro, J.T.M. De Hosson, E. Ma, Toward a quantitative understanding of mechanical behavior of nanocrystalline metals, *Acta. Mater* 55 (2007) 4041–4065.
- [11] Y.T. Zhu, X.Z. Liao, Nanostructured metals: retaining ductility, *Nat. Mater.* 3 (2004) 351–352.
- [12] R.O. Ritchie, The conflicts between strength and toughness, *Nat. Mater.* 10 (2011) 817–822.
- [13] E. Ma, Eight routes to improve the tensile ductility of bulk nanostructured metals and alloys, *JOM* 58 (2006) 49–53.
- [14] C.C. Koch, Optimization of strength and ductility in nanocrystalline and ultrafine grained metals, *Scr. Mater.* 49 (2003) 657–662.
- [15] E. Ma, Instabilities and ductility of nanocrystalline and ultrafine-grained metals, *Scr. Mater.* 49 (2003) 663–668.
- [16] E. Ma, T. Zhu, Towards strength-ductility synergy through the design of heterogeneous nanostructures in metals, *Mater. Today* 20 (2017) 323–331.
- [17] P. Singh, et al., Design of high-strength refractory complex solid-solution alloys, *npj. Comp. Mater.* 4 (2018) 16.
- [18] P. Singh, A.V. Smirnov, A. Alam, D.D. Johnson, First-principles prediction of incipient order in arbitrary high-entropy alloys: exemplified in Ti_{0.25}Cr_{0.75}Al_{1-x}, *Acta. Mater* 189 (2020) 248.
- [19] P. Singh, A.V. Smirnov, D.D Johnson, Atomic short-range order and incipient long-range order in high-entropy alloys, *Phys. Rev. B* 91 (2015), 224204.
- [20] P. Singh, A.V. Smirnov, D.D. Johnson, Ta-Nb-Mo-W refractory high-entropy alloys: anomalous ordering behavior and its intriguing electronic origin, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 2 (2018) 55004.
- [21] P. Singh, et al., Tuning phase stability and short-range order through Al doping in (CoCrFeMn)_{100-x}Al_x high-entropy alloys, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 3 (2019) 75002.
- [22] P. Singh, et al., Martensitic Transformation in Fe_xMn_{80-x}Co₁₀Cr₁₀ High-Entropy Alloy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 127 (2021), 115704.
- [23] D.B. Miracle, O.N. Senkov, A critical review of high entropy alloys and related concepts, *Acta. Mater* 122 (2017) 448–511.
- [24] H.Y. Diao, R. Feng, K.A. Dahmen, P.K. Liaw, Fundamental deformation behavior in high-entropy alloys: an overview, *Curr. Opin. Solid. State. Mater. Sci* 21 (2017) 252–266.
- [25] O.N. Senkov, G.B. Wilks, D.B. Miracle, C.P. Chuang, P.K. Liaw, Refractory high-entropy alloys, *Intermetallics* 18 (2010) 1758–1765.
- [26] O.N. Senkov, C.F. Woodward, Microstructure and properties of a refractory NbCrMo_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}Ti₂ alloy, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 529 (2011), 311320.
- [27] P.B. Hirsch, S.G. Roberts, The brittle-ductile transition in silicon, *Phil. Mag. A* 64 (1991) 55–80.
- [28] P. Gumbusch, Brittle fracture and the brittle-to-ductile transition of tungsten, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 323 (2003) 304–312.
- [29] D.D. Johnson, P. Singh, A.V. Smirnov, N Argibay, Universal maximum strength of solid metals and alloys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 130 (2023), 166101.
- [30] F.G. Courty, M. Kaufman, A.J. Clarke, Solid-solution strengthening in refractory high entropy alloys, *Acta. Mater* 175 (2019) 66–81.
- [31] Y.Y. Zhao, T.G. Nieh, Correlation between lattice distortion and friction stress in Ni-based equiatomic alloys, *Intermetallics* 86 (2017) 45–50.
- [32] S. Yoshida, T. Bhattacharjee, Y. Bai, N. Tsuji, Friction stress and Hall-Petch relationship in CoCrNi equi-atomic medium entropy alloy processed by severe plastic deformation and subsequent annealing, *Scripta. Mater* 134 (2017) 33–36.
- [33] C. Varvenne, A. Luque, W.A. Curtin, Theory of strengthening in fcc high entropy alloys, *Acta. Mater* 118 (2016) 164–176.
- [34] Y. Ye, C. Liu, H. Wang, T. Nieh, Friction and wear behavior of a single-phase equiatomic Ti₂ZrHfNb high-entropy alloy studied using a nanoscratch technique, *Acta. Mater* 147 (2018) 78–89.
- [35] K. Ming, X. Bi, J. Wang, Precipitation strengthening of ductile Cr₁₅Fe₂₀Co_{0.5}Ni₂₀Mo₁₀ alloys, *Scr. Mater.* 137 (2017) 88–93.
- [36] F. Maresca, W.A. Curtin, Mechanistic origin of high strength in refractory BCC high entropy alloys up to 1900 K, *Acta. Mater* 182 (2020) 235–249.
- [37] E. Mak, B. Yin, W.A. Curtin, A ductility criterion for bcc high entropy alloys, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 152 (2021), 104389.
- [38] S.I. Rao, C. Woodward, B. Akdim, E. Antillon, T.A. Parthasarathy, O.N. Senkov, Estimation of diffusional effects on solution hardening at high temperatures in single phase compositionally complex body centered cubic alloys, *Scr. Mater.* 172 (2019) 135–137.
- [39] L. Lilenstein, et al., Design and tensile properties of a bcc Ti-rich high-entropy alloy with transformation-induced plasticity, *Mater. Res. Letters* 5 (2017) 110–116.
- [40] H. Huang, et al., Phase-transformation ductilization of brittle high-entropy alloys via metastability engineering, *Adv. Mater.* 29 (2017), 1701678.
- [41] C. Herrera, D. Ponge, D. Raabe, Design of a novel Mn-based 1 GPa duplex stainless TRIP steel with 60% ductility by a reduction of austenite stability, *Acta. Mater* 59 (2011) 4653–4664.
- [42] O. Grassel, L. Kruger, G. Frommeyer, L.W. Meyer, High strength Fe-Mn-(Al,Si) TRIP/TWIP steel development – properties – applications, *Int. J. Plast.* 16 (2000) 1391–1409.
- [43] F. Sun, et al., Investigation of early stage deformation mechanisms in a metastable β titanium alloy showing combined twinning-induced plasticity and transformation-induced plasticity effects, *Acta. Mater* 61 (2013) 6406–6417.
- [44] M. Marteleur, et al., On the design of new β -metastable titanium alloys with improved work hardening rate thanks to simultaneous TRIP and TWIP effects, *Scripta. Mater* 66 (2012) 749–752.
- [45] Z. Li, K.G. Pradeep, Y. Deng, D. Raabe, C.C. Tasan, Metastable high-entropy dual-phase alloys overcome the strength-ductility trade-off, *Nature* 534 (2016) 227–231.
- [46] V. Soni, O.N. Senkov, B. Gwalani, D.B. Miracle, R. Banerjee, Microstructural Design for Improving Ductility of An Initially Brittle Refractory High Entropy Alloy, *Sci. Rep* 8 (2018) 8816.
- [47] S.F. Pugh, Relations between elastic moduli and the plastic properties of polycrystalline pure metals, *Lond. Edinb. Dublin. Philos. Mag.* 45 (1954), 8230843.
- [48] D.G. Pettifor, Theoretical predictions of structure and related properties of intermetallics, *Mater. Sci. Technol.* 8 (4) (1992) 345–349.
- [49] K. Balasubramanian, S.V. Khare, D. Gall, Valence electron concentration as an indicator for mechanical properties in rocksalt structure nitrides, carbides and carbonitrides, *Acta. Mater* 152 (2018) 175–185.
- [50] L. Qi, D.C. Chrzan, Tuning Ideal Tensile Strengths and Intrinsic Ductility of bcc Refractory Alloys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112 (11) (2014), 115503.
- [51] Y.-J. Hu, A. Sundar, S. Ogata, L. Qi, Screening of generalized stacking fault energies, surface energies and intrinsic ductile potency of refractory multicomponent alloys, *Acta. Mater* 210 (2021), 116800.
- [52] J.R. Rice, Dislocation nucleation from a crack tip: an analysis based on the Peierls concept, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 40 (2) (1992) 239–271.
- [53] Y. Zhang, G. Stocks, K. Jin, et al., Influence of chemical disorder on energy dissipation and defect evolution in concentrated solid solution alloys, *Nat. Commun* 6 (2015) 8736.
- [54] J.R. Rice, R. Thomson, Ductile versus brittle behavior of crystals, *Philos. Mag.* 29 (1974) 73–97.
- [55] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, *Phys. Rev. B* 54 (1996) 11169.
- [56] P.E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, O.K. Andersen, Improved tetrahedron method for Brillouin-zone integrations, *Phys. Rev. B* 49 (1994) 16223.
- [57] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, *Phys. Rev. B* 59 (1999) 1758.
- [58] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 3865.
- [59] H.J. Monkhorst, J.D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, *Phys. Rev. B* 13 (1976) 5188.
- [60] R. Singh, A. Sharma, P. Singh, G. Balasubramanian, D.D Johnson, Accelerating computational modeling and design of high-entropy alloys, *Nat. Comput. Sci.* 1 (2021) 54.

- [61] A. Roy, P. Singh, G. Balasubramanian, D.D Johnson, Vacancy formation energies and migration barriers in multi-principal element alloys, *Acta. Mater.* 226 (2022), 117611.
- [62] W. Sun, G. Ceder, Efficient creation and convergence of surface slabs, *Surf. Sci.* 617 (2013) 53–59.
- [63] S.P. Ong, et al., Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen): a robust, open-source python library for materials analysis, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 68 (2013) 314–319.
- [64] A.R.P.A.-, Energy, Ultrahigh Temperature Impervious Materials Advancing Turbine Efficiency. <https://arpa-e.energy.gov/technologies/programs/ultimate>, 2020.
- [65] B. Vela, C. Acemi, P. Singh, T. Kirk, W. Treherne, W. Norris, D.D. Johnson, I. Karaman, R. Arroyave, High-throughput exploration of the WMoVTaNbAl refractory multi-principal-element alloys under multiple-property constraints, *Acta. Mater.* 248 (2023), 118784.
- [66] J.J. Lewandowski, W. Wang, A. Greer, Intrinsic plasticity or brittleness of metallic glasses, *Philos. Mag. Lett.* 85 (2005) 77–87.
- [67] T. Zhu, I. Li, S. Yip, Atomistic study of dislocation loop emission from a crack tip, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004), 025503.
- [68] P. Hirsch, S. Roberts, Modeling plastic zones and the brittle–ductile transition, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 355 (1997) 1991–2002.
- [69] P. Hirsch, S. Roberts, J. Samuels, P. Warren, The brittle-to-ductile transition in silicon, *Mechanics of Creep Brittle Materials 1* (eds ACF Cocks, ARS Ponter), Springer, 1989, pp. 1–12.
- [70] F. Cleri, S. Yip, D. Wolf, S.R. Phillpot, Atomic-scale mechanism of crack-tip plasticity: dislocation nucleation and crack tip shielding, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79 (1997) 1309–1312.
- [71] S.I. Rao, et al., Atomistic simulations of dislocations in a model BCC multicomponent concentrated solid solution alloy, *Acta. Mater.* 125 (2017) 311–320.
- [72] C. Lee, et al., Temperature dependence of elastic and plastic deformation behavior of a refractory high-entropy alloy, *Sci. Adv.* 6 (2020) eaaz4748.
- [73] Q.J. Li, H. Sheng, E. Ma, Strengthening in multi-principal element alloys with local-chemical-order roughened dislocation pathways, *Nat. Commun.* 10 (2019) 3563.
- [74] F. Maresca, W.A. Curtin, Theory of screw dislocation strengthening in random BCC alloys from dilute to High-Entropy alloys, *Acta. Mater.* 182 (2020) 144–162.
- [75] F. Wang, et al., Multiplicity of dislocation pathways in a refractory multi-principal element alloy, *Sci. Adv.* 370 (2020) 95–101.
- [76] Y. Zhang, et al., Microstructures and properties of high-entropy alloys, *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 61 (2014) 1–93.
- [77] W. Guo, W. Dwornski, J.Y. Noh, P. Rack, P.K. Liaw, T. Egami, Local atomic structure of a high-entropy alloy: an X-ray and neutron scattering study, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 44 (2012) 1994–1997.
- [78] J.W. Yeh, S.Y. Chang, Y.D. Hong, S.K. Chen, S.J. Lin, Anomalous decrease in X-ray diffraction intensities of Cu-Ni-Al-Co-Cr-Fe-Si alloy systems with multi-principal elements, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 103 (2007) 41–46.
- [79] Y. Zhang, X. Yang, P.K. Liaw, Alloy design and properties optimization of high-entropy alloys, *JOM. (J. Occup. Med.)* 64 (7) (2012) 830–838.
- [80] D. Yang, B. Chen, S. Li, X. Ding, J. Sun, Effect of local lattice distortion on the core structure of edge dislocation in NbMoTaW multi-principal element alloys and the subsystems, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 855 (2022), 143869.
- [81] J. Li, K. Yamanaka, A. Chiba, Significant lattice-distortion effect on compressive deformation in Mo-added CoCrFeNi-based high-entropy alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 830 (2022), 142295.
- [82] Y. Zhang, Y.J. Zhou, J.P. Lin, G.L. Chen, P.K. Liaw, Solid-Solution Phase Formation Rules for Multi-component Alloys, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* 10 (2008) 534.
- [83] L.R. Owen, N.G. Jones, Quantifying local lattice distortions in alloys, *Scripta. Materialia. Volume 187* (2020) 428–433.
- [84] H. Song, F. Tian, Q.-M. Hu, L. Vitos, Y. Wang, J. Shen, N. Chen, Local lattice distortion in high-entropy alloys, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 1 (2017), 023404.
- [85] Y. Ikeda, K. Gubaev, J. Neugebauer, et al., Chemically induced local lattice distortions versus structural phase transformations in compositionally complex alloys, *njp. Comput. Mater.* 7 (2021) 34.
- [86] O.N. Senkov, D.B. Miracle, Effect of the atomic size distribution on glass forming ability of amorphous metallic alloys, *Pergamon. Mater. Res. Bull.* 36 (2001) 2183.
- [87] W. Pearson, *Crystal Chemistry and Physics of Metals and Alloys*, Wiley, 1972.
- [88] Y.F. Ye, C.T. Liu, Y. Yang, A geometric model for intrinsic residual strain and phase stability in high entropy alloys, *Acta. Mater.* 94 (2015) 152–161.
- [89] Z. Zhang, et al., Alloy design and properties optimization of high-entropy alloys *JOM. (J. Occup. Med.)* 64 (7) (2012) 830–838.
- [90] C. Lee, et al., Lattice distortion in a strong and ductile refractory high-entropy alloy, *Acta. Mater.* 160 (2018) 158–172.
- [91] T. Yang, et al., Multicomponent intermetallic nanoparticles and superb mechanical behaviors of complex alloys, *Science* 362 (2018) 933–937.
- [92] O. Senkov, S. Rao, T. Butler, K. Chaput, Ductile Nb alloys with reduced density and cost, *J. Alloys. Compd.* 808 (2019), 151685.
- [93] W.A. Curtin, On lattice trapping of cracks, *J. Mater. Res.* 5 (7) (1990) 1549–1560.
- [94] O. Senkov, S. Rao, K. Chaput, C. Woodward, Compositional effect on microstructure and properties of NbTiZr-based complex concentrated alloys, *Acta. Mater.* 151 (2018) 201–215.
- [95] S. Chen, X. Yang, K. Dahmen, P. Liaw, Y. Zhang, Microstructures and crackling noise of Al_xNbTiMoV high entropy alloys, *Entropy* 16 (2) (2014) 870–884.
- [96] H. Yao, J.-W. Qiao, M. Gao, J. Hawk, S.-G. Ma, H. Zhou, MoNbTaV medium-entropy alloy, *Entropy* 18 (5) (2016) 189.
- [97] H. Yao, J. Qiao, M. Gao, J. Hawk, S. Ma, H. Zhou, Y. Zhang, NbTaV-(Ti,W) refractory high-entropy alloys: experiments and modeling, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 674 (2016) 203–211.
- [98] J. Zvka, J. Malek, J. Vesely, F. Lukac, J. Cizek, J. Kuriplach, O. Melikhova, Microstructure and Room Temperature Mechanical Properties of Different 3 and 4 Element Medium Entropy Alloys from HfNbTaTiZr System, *Entropy. (Basel)* 21 (2) (2019) 114.
- [99] Z.D. Han, N. Chen, et al., Effect of Ti additions on mechanical properties of NbMoTaW and VNbMoTaW refractory high entropy alloys, *Intermetallics* 84 (2017) 153–157.
- [100] W. Guo, B. Liu, Y. Liu, T. Liu, A. Fu, Q. Fang, Y. Nie, Microstructures and mechanical properties of ductile NbTaTiV refractory high entropy alloy prepared by powder metallurgy, *J. Alloy. Comp.* 776 (2019) 428–436.
- [101] S.M. Shaikh, et al., CALPHAD and rule-of-mixtures: a comparative study for refractory high entropy alloys, *Intermetallics* 127 (2020), 164902.
- [102] S. Sheikh, et al., Alloy design for intrinsically ductile refractory high-entropy alloys, *J. App. Phys.* 120 (2016), 164902.
- [103] P.-A. Geslin, D. Rodney, Microelasticity model of random alloys. Part I: mean square displacements and stresses, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 153 (2021), 104479.
- [104] P.-A. Geslin, A. Rida, D. Rodney, Microelasticity model of random alloys. Part II: displacement and stress correlations, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 153 (2021), 104480.
- [105] Q. Hu, S. Guo, J. Wang, Y. Yan, S. Chen, D. Lu, K. Liu, J. Zou, X. Zeng, Parametric study of amorphous high-entropy alloys formation from two new perspectives: atomic radius modification and crystalline structure of alloying elements, *Sci. Rep.* 7 (2017) 1–12.
- [106] J.-W. Yeh, Alloy design strategies and future trends in high-entropy alloys, *JOM* 65 (2013) 1759–1771.
- [107] S.S. Sohn, et al., Ultrastrong medium-entropy single-phase alloys designed via severe lattice distortion, *Adv. Mater.* 31 (2019), 1807142.
- [108] F.J. Pinski, et al., Origins of compositional order in NiPt alloys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 66 (1991) 766–769.
- [109] H.S. Oh, et al., Engineering atomic-level complexity in high-entropy and complex concentrated alloys, *Nat. Commun.* 10 (2019) 1–8.
- [110] Ching, W.-Y. in J. D. Musgraves, J. Hu, L. Calvez (eds), *Springer Handbook of Glass* 1097–130 (Springer, 2019).
- [111] Z. Yang, L. Liu, X. Wang, S. Yang, X. Su, Stability and electronic structure of the Co–P compounds from first-principle calculations, *J. Alloys. Compd.* 509 (2011) 165–171.
- [112] S. Sheikh, S. Shafeie, Q. Hu, J. Ahlstrom, C. Persson, J. Vesely, J. Zyka, U. Klement, S. Guo, Alloy design for intrinsically ductile refractory high-entropy alloys, *J. Appl. Phys.* 120 (16) (2016), 164902.
- [113] A.G. Evans, E.A. Charles, Fracture Toughness Determinations by Indentation, *J. Am. Ceramic. Soc.* 59 (1976) 371–372.
- [114] G.D. Quinn, Fracture toughness of ceramics by the vickers indentation crack length method: a critical review, in: R. Tandon, A. Wereszczak, E. Lara-Curcio (Eds.), *Mechanical Properties and Performance of Engineering Ceramics II: Ceramic Engineering and Science Proceedings*, 2006.
- [115] P. Singh, A. Sharma, A.V. Smirnov, M.S. Diallo, P.K. Ray, G. Balasubramanian, D. D. Johnson, Design of high-strength refractory complex solid-solution alloys, *npj. Comput. Mater.* 4 (1) (2018) 16.
- [116] Ouyang, G., Singh, P., Su, R., Johnson, D.D., Kramer, M.J., Perepezzo, J.H., Senkov, O., Miracle, D., and Cui, J. Design of refractory multi-principal-element alloys for high-temperature applications. (in review, 2023).
- [117] T.R.P. Thompson, W.J. Clegg, Predicting whether a material is ductile or brittle, *Curr. Opin. Solid. State. Mater. Sci.* 22 (2018) 100–108.
- [118] O.N. Senkov, D.B. Miracle, Generalization of intrinsic ductile-to-brittle criteria by Pugh and Pettifor for materials with a cubic crystal structure, *Sci. Rep* 11 (1) (2021) 4531.
- [119] H. Niu, X.-Q. Chen, P. Liu, W. Xing, X. Cheng, D. Li, Y. Li, Extra-electron induced covalent strengthening and generalization of intrinsic ductile-to-brittle criterion, *Sci. Rep.* 2 (2012) 718.
- [120] P.B. Hirsch, Dislocations in semiconductors, *Mater. Sci. Technol.* 1 (1985) 666–677.
- [121] S.J. Zhou, A.E. Carlsson, R. Thomson, Crack blunting effects on dislocation emission from cracks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (1994) 852–855.
- [122] M. Yang, D. Yan, F. Yuan, P. Jiang, E. Ma, X. Wu, Dynamically reinforced heterogeneous grain structure prolongs ductility in a medium-entropy alloy with gigapascal yield strength, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115 (2018) 7224–7229.
- [123] S. Shukla, D. Choudhuri, T.H. Wang, K.M. Liu, R. Wheeler, S. Williams, B. Gwalani, R.S. Mishra, Hierarchical features infused heterogeneous grain structure for extraordinary strength-ductility synergy, *Mater. Res. Lett.* 6 (2018) 676–682.
- [124] Y.J. Liang, L. Wang, Y. Wen, B. Cheng, Q. Wu, T. Cao, Q. Xiao, Y. Xue, G. Sha, Y. Wang, Y. Ren, X. Li, L. Wang, F. Wang, H. Cai, High-content ductile coherent nanoprecipitates achieve ultrastrong high-entropy alloys, *Nat. Commun.* 9 (2018) 1–8.
- [125] Z. Li, K.G. Pradeep, Y. Deng, D. Raabe, C.C. Tasan, Metastable high-entropy dual-phase alloys overcome the strength-ductility trade-off, *Nature* 534 (2016) 227–230.
- [126] P. Shi, W. Ren, T. Zheng, Z. Ren, X. Hou, J. Peng, P. Hu, Y. Gao, Y. Zhong, P. K. Liaw, Enhanced strength-ductility synergy in ultrafine-grained eutectic high-entropy alloys by inheriting microstructural lamellae, *Nat. Commun.* 10 (2019) 1–8.
- [127] J.P. Hirth, J. Lothe, *Theory of Dislocations*, Wiley, New York, NY, 1982.

- [128] H. Oh, D. Ma, G. Leyson, B. Grabowski, E. Park, et al., Lattice distortions in the FeCoNiCrMn high entropy alloy studied by theory and experiment, *Entropy* 18 (2016) 321.
- [129] L.R. Owen, E.J. Pickering, H.Y. Playford, H.J. Stone, M.G. Tucker, N.G. Jones, An assessment of the lattice strain in the CrMnFeCoNi high-entropy alloy, *Acta Mater.* 122 (2017) 11–18.
- [130] Z. Wu, R. Ahmad, B. Yin, S. Sandlobes, W.A. Curtin, Mechanistic origin and prediction of enhanced ductility in magnesium alloys, *Science* 359 (2018) 447–452.
- [131] S. Gorsse, et al., Database on the mechanical properties of high entropy alloys and complex concentrated alloys, *Data. Brief* 32 (2020), 106216.