

## PAPER 1: Text Classification for Transfer Learning Techniques Based Active Learning

### 1. Problem Statement and Motivation

The problem addressed in this paper is concerned with the rational classification of text data with limited resources. Most other approaches in the text classification implicitly try to use as many labeled documents as achievable to capture the vector character of the troubles in the text. The main research motivation of this paper lies in the desire to speed up the learning process and improve model precision using way fewer labeled samples, which will save much resources.

### 2. How does it solve the problem

Regarding the proposed solution implemented by Daniela Onita the approach discussed includes active learning as well as transfer learning for enhancing text classification models.

By merging these methodologies, the paper aims to capitalize on the strengths of both:

Active Learning is used to pick the best samples for labeling and hence, minimizes the amount of data that needs labeling.

Transfer Learning is used to imply the knowledge that has been learned in one context to another thus making the learning fast when there is a limited amount of labeled data.

### 3. Novelties and Contributions

**Integrated Learning Strategy:** This paper presents a new approach that incorporates active learning with transfer learning so that the dependence of large labeled datasets for training text classifiers can be addressed.

**Active Transfer (AT) Criterion:** The incorporation of the AT criterion that aims at selecting the best training data points by evaluating their contribution on learning is also a major achievement.

**Broad Experimental Evaluation:** To ensure the presented approach is versatile in disparate domains, the methodology is evaluated using five different datasets from different domains.

**Comprehensive Performance Evaluation:** The evaluation adopts different performance measurements to assess the proposed method comprehensively because there is no one single measure of performance.

#### **4. Downsides of the work:**

As the two learning paradigms adopted in the proposed framework are both rather sophisticated, namely AL and TL, it will inevitably result in an implementation which is complex. It surges the possible computational complexity, apart from increasing the challenge to conduct effective model parameter tuning.

Most of the transfer learning components are very sensitive regarding the relatedness and quality of the source tasks. Poor quality or less related source data often reduce the actual improvements of performance.

It cannot directly be generalized to multi-class problems without modification. This makes the proposed approach limiting for applications that require multi-label classification.

*vmm5481*

## **PAPER 2: Toward Label-Efficient Neural Network Training: Diversity-Based Sampling in Semi-Supervised Active Learning**

### **1. Problem Statement and Motivation**

The paper focused on a very important and recognised problem of obtaining large labeled data sets for training deep neural networks which is often costly and time consuming if one has to use expert annotation. The first and foremost reason is to minimize the dependency on large labeled dataset and improve the incorporation of multiple active learning methodologies that can optimally use labeled as well as unlabelled data.

### **2. How does it solve the problem**

The authors come up with a new approach in the area of semi-supervised active learning and the method they used includes diversity-based sampling. It consists of a different approach toward the first dataset selection by applying self-supervision for recognizing initially the diverse set of relevant samples. Later, a new approach in active learning query selection is proposed which uses consistency and diversity measurements during the learning process. This method provides a way of selecting the most appropriate data points to label during the active learning cycles hence utilizing both labeled and unlabeled data in enhancing the learning model.

### **3. Novelties and Contributions**

**Diversity-Based Initial Dataset Selection:** This paper proposes a new process of selecting initial datasets in a more informative manner than arbitrary selections with a focus on diversity, where self-supervision learning representations achieve that.

**Novel Query Strategy for Active Learning:** A new query strategy is devised such that it will maximize the aspects of diversity of data representation and the consistency of model predictions in order to improve the established semi-supervised learning mechanism.

**Empirical Validation:** The method is evaluated on two benchmark public datasets regarding the state-of-the-art active learning techniques where it demonstrates its effectiveness. This comprises an analysis of class distribution imbalance and sample diversity, giving a clear understanding of how the proposed method enhances the dynamics of data selection.

#### **4. Downsides of the work:**

Incorporating self-supervised learning for the selection of initial data and managing query strategies that balance diversity and consistency might increase computational complexity and require resources.

The initial data selection depends a great deal on the self-supervised learning representation, which might be highly variable depending on the latent characteristics of the data.

While the performance of the approach looks better on two datasets, adaptability and efficiency on many more datasets and a greater variety of tasks remain to be investigated, probably posing a problem in general applications.

*vmm5481*

## PAPER 3: Recursive Maximum Margin Active Learning

### **1. Problem Statement and Motivation**

This paper addresses the following challenge that is quite common in situations where there is limited labeled information but large quantities of unlabeled information. In particular, the concentration is on high-dimensional data, which usually amplifies the issues with parameter identification resulting from the “curse of dimensionality.” This is particularly important in active learning scenarios where the model has to identify the most helpful/useful samples to label using the available resources. The motivation arises from the necessity to design more effective and efficient active learning strategies to deploy in high dimensional spaces where initial strategies could fail due to limited and insufficient number of labeled data.

### **2. How does it solve the problem**

The authors introduce Recursive Maximum Margin Active learning (RMMAL) technique as an approach that encompasses active learning with semi-supervised feature extraction in the same architecture. This approach uses the Maximum Margin Criterion (MMC), which in the previous work has been employed for feature extraction, for the improvement of sample selection as well as feature space reduction iteratively during the active learning cycles. In doing this, the vision of RMMAL is to build more refined models by choosing samples as well as converting the data into the better shaped, lower-dimensional spaces.

### **3. Novelties and Contributions**

**Hybrid Algorithm Design:** Specifically, to deal with difficulties related to high dimensional data RMMAL incorporates maximum margin feature extraction with active-learning.

**Recursive Feature and Sample Optimization:** In each iteration, the algorithm proceeds both with feature extraction and selection of samples to be labeled and added to the training set, thus enabling the model update as new data comes in.

**Unified Framework Implementation:** The proposed active learning integrates feature extraction process into it, hence improving on the relevance and information content of selected samples which boosts the overall performance of the model.

**Extensive Validation:** The performance of the method is tested against several benchmark datasets and compared with other active learning methods to establish the superiority of the proposed method when dealing with high dimensional feature spaces.

#### **4. Downsides of the work:**

The recursive nature of the algorithm combined with feature extraction at each iteration could lead to higher computational loads.

The algorithmic efficacy might heavily depend on the initial labeled data, which may thereby bias the whole learning process.

Though effective, the scalability of the approach remains to be thoroughly tested when the data is really large or when the computational resources are limited.

The effectiveness of the method depends on the effectiveness of the MMC approach in reducing dimensions without much loss of discriminative information.

*vmm5481*