VENUKATHIRAZHAGAN U

113323106116

II-ECE

aut113323ecb58

Problem Definition & Design Thinking

Title: Quality Control in Manufacturing

Problem Statement:

Manufacturing sectors often face inconsistencies in product quality due to human error, equipment variability, and inconsistent adherence to standards. Traditional quality control methods are labor-intensive, reactive rather than proactive, and often result in increased waste, rework, and customer dissatisfaction.

The problem is how to implement a consistent, scalable, and effective quality control system that reduces defects, improves productivity, and ensures compliance with quality standards throughout the manufacturing process.

Target Audience:

• Manufacturing managers and process supervisors
• Quality control and assurance teams
• Industrial engineers working in production environments
• Companies experiencing frequent customer complaints or product returns

Objectives:

• To design a quality control system that ensures product consistency at every stage.
• To minimize rework, product recalls, and waste.
• To enable real-time feedback and corrective action within the production line.
• To ensure adherence to industry and company-specific quality standards.

Design Thinking Approach:

Empathize:

Operators and inspectors face challenges in detecting all defects consistently due to fatigue and subjective judgment. Management seeks systems that reduce defects while being easy to use and integrate into the current workflow.

Key User Concerns:

• Consistency in inspection standards across shifts and locations
• Ease of use and minimal disruption to existing workflows
• Reducing cost and downtime due to poor-quality output
• Timely identification of quality issues before they affect large batches

Define:

The solution should allow for streamlined inspection procedures, well-defined quality metrics, and accessible documentation of results. It must support preventative measures and allow for easy traceability in case of non-compliance.

Key Features Required:

• Clearly documented inspection procedures and checkpoints
• Visual inspection guides and measurement tools for accuracy
• Real-time checklists or digital forms to record quality checks
• Feedback loop to adjust processes when recurring issues are detected

Ideate:

Potential approaches include:

• Setting up standardized visual boards with acceptable/unacceptable quality samples
• Implementing Statistical Process Control (SPC) at critical stages
• Utilizing digital forms on tablets for quick inspection logging
• Conducting regular training and certification for inspectors

Brainstorming Results:

• Checkpoint-based quality verification with approval before moving to the next stage
• Labeling systems for traceability and recall management
• Daily stand-up meetings focused on defect trends and improvement ideas
• Structured quality audits and performance dashboards

Prototype:

A manual quality control workflow that includes:

• Step-by-step inspection checklist for each process stage
• Visual guidebooks with reference samples for inspectors
• Record-keeping system for each batch checked
• Escalation process for out-of-tolerance results

Key Components of Prototype:

• Paper or digital inspection forms
• Training materials for operators and inspectors
• Defect tagging and rework routing system
• Dashboard tracking inspection frequency and pass/fail rates

Test:

The prototype will be deployed in a small production unit to evaluate its practicality, effectiveness, and adoption rate among staff. Regular feedback will be gathered to make the system more intuitive and efficient.

Testing Goals:

• Evaluate reduction in defect rates and rework
• Ensure inspectors understand and follow procedures
• Measure time added (if any) to overall production
• Collect user suggestions to improve clarity and usability of inspection forms