

The Ancient Secrets



Computer Vision

Logistics:

- Office hours!
 - Check the website
 - Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, 2x Thursday
- Homework 1
 - Going out tomorrow
 - Will be due in 1 week
 - Should be pretty straightforward, get you used to the image framework you'll be building

Previously
On



Ancient Secrets
of Computer Vision

Low-Level Vision

Photo manipulation

- Size
- Color
- Exposure
- X-Pro II

Feature extraction

- Edges
- Oriented gradients
- Segments



Mid-Level Vision

Image <-> Image

- Panoramas

Image <-> World

- Multi-view stereo
- Structure from motion
- Structured light
- LIDAR

Image <-> Time

- Optical flow
- Time lapse




High-Level Vision

Image <-> Semantics!


- Image classification
- Object detection
- Segmentation

Applications

- Retrieval
- Robots?
- and...????



High-Level: Semantics -> Images




Chapter Onvo



Human Vision?

Is vision easy or hard for humans?

- Discuss with your neighbors
- 2 minutes
- Report back



Eyes - The Sensors for Vision

Why do things have eyes?


- To see other things!
- Visual stimulus is an important signal
- Started as photoreceptive protein (eyespots)



© Charles Krebs 2006


Eyespots - the beginning of vision

- Eyespots are sensitive to ambient light
- Just rough direction:
 - Euglena swim towards light for better photosynthesis
 - Snails move away from light
- No nerves, brain, or processing
- Very low acuity (light from many directions all hits same sensitive area)
- Started EVOLVING...




Pit eyes - the first eyes?


- Photosensitive cells in pits
- Block some light
- More information about where light direction
- Very common
 - Evolved 40-65 times
 - 28 of 33 animal phyla have them
- Very simple, low acuity




Simple -> complex eyes




Eyespots - no acuity




Pit eyes - some acuity




Complex eyes - high acuity




Refraction: more light + acuity!



Focussing: changing refraction



(a) Viewing a distant object




(b) Viewing a near object

Complex eyes - a huge advantage

- Many different styles, mechanisms
 - ≥ 10 , accounting for many of the ways our cameras work now
- Same goal: better visual acuity (resolution)
- Rare: 6 of 33 animal phyla
- Beneficial: 96% of known species
 - Is it all because of the eyes??
- Image forming - high enough acuity to perceive shapes, objects, etc.



So how do human eyes work?


- Complex!
- Light passes through
 - Cornea, humours, lens refract light to focus
- Hit the retina
- Absorbed by photosensitive cells
- Info transmitted through optic nerve, processed by visual cortex



How do we process this light?

- Hit photoreceptive cells (rods and cones)
- ~120 million of them in retina
- Not all the same, not evenly distributed





Rods - low light, monochrome vision

- ~120 million
- Sensitive to 1 photon
- Can pool responses
- Slow response time
- Only operate in low-light conditions
- Saturate quickly in lots of light
- Take ~7 minutes to adjust (night vision)

Cones - detailed, color vision

- ~6 million
- Need many photons to activate, bright light
- Fast response time
- Fine details
- Fast changes over time
- Responsible for most daytime vision
- Mostly packed into one region: Fovea


Fovea: where it's all happening

- Small circle on the retina, 1.5mm
- Densely packed with cones
 - 200,000 cones/mm²
- Highest visual acuity
- Reading: move your eyes so the text is centered in fovea

Peripheral vision: don't get eaten


- Few cones
 - Low acuity
 - Low perception of color
- Lots of rods, good at night
- At night: look at stars straight on vs slightly next to them. Brighter when you don't look right at them!


Photoreceptors need change!



Fixational eye movement

- Receptors adjust, lose sensitivity over time
- Eye keeps moving to expose new parts to light
- Microsaccades
 - Short linear movement
 - Sporadic
- Ocular drift
 - Constant slow movement
- Microtremors
 - Tiny vibrations
 - Synchronized between eyes
 - For seeing fine details





The Brain - A Visual Processor

Brains (maybe) came after eyes!

- Animals that rely on visual input but not brains
 - Eyes connect straight to muscle tissue
 - Some jellyfish
- No reason to have a brain without sensory input
- Brains <-> Eyes coevolve
 - As the eyes get more complex, visual cortex expands
- So maybe the reason you have a brain is because of your eyes!

Ganglia transmit info to brain


- ~ 1 million of them
- Different ganglia connect to different kinds of photoreceptors, sensitive to different things
- M cells: depth, movement, orientation/position of objects
- P cells: color, shape, fine details

Visual cortex interprets data

- More than 30 different substructures in the brain for processing visual data
- V1: primary visual cortex
 - Edge detection
 - Highly spatially sensitive
- V2: secondary visual cortex
 - Size, color, shape, possibly memory
 - Sends signals onward to V3, V4, V5
 - Sends strong feedback back to V1
- Many of these system functions are not well understood

Visual cortex is split (maybe)

- Ventral/dorsal hypothesis
- Information goes through V1 and V2
- Splits into streams for different purposes



Ventral vs dorsal stream

| Factor | Ventral system | Dorsal system |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Function | Recognition/identification | Visually guided behaviour |
| Sensitivity | High spatial frequencies - details | High temporal frequencies - motion |
| Memory | Long term stored representations | Only very short-term storage |
| Speed | Relatively slow | Relatively fast |
| Consciousness | Typically high | Typically low |
| Frame of reference | Allocentric or object-centered | Egocentric or viewer-centered |
| Visual input | Mainly foveal or parafoveal | Across retina |
| Monocular vision | Generally reasonably small effects | Often large effects e.g. motion parallax |

What does this split mean?


- Recognition and action are split!
- Damage to dorsal system:
 - Can recognize objects
 - Poor visual control for tasks like grasping
- Damage to ventral system
 - Cannot recognize objects
 - Can still manipulate them, grasping, etc.
- Much of the information in the dorsal system is not consciously accessible

Blindsight: vision without sight



The brain and vision


- Enormous processing power devoted to vision
- Visual cortex is largest “system” in the brain
 - 30% of the cerebral cortex
 - $\frac{2}{3}$ of the electrical activity
- Lots of processing happening “subconsciously”




Case study: How the brain sees 3d

- One eye
 - Focus - how much your lens must change to make object clear
 - Blur - objects that are blurry are at different depth
 - Parallax - observer or object moves, gets multiple views
- Two eyes
 - Stereopsis - images from eyes are different
 - Convergence - where your eyes are pointing
- Brain
 - Kinetic depth - infer 3d shape of moving objects
 - Occlusion - objects in front are closer
 - Familiar objects - you know how big a car is...
 - Shading - 3d shape from light/shadow cues









We don't really understand vision

- Visual cortex - highly studied part of the brain
- Only rough idea of what different components do
- New discoveries in vision all the time
 - Eye uses blinking to reset its rotational orientation
 - Visual cortex can make some “high-level” decisions



Is vision easy or hard for humans?

- What do you think now?



Is vision easy or hard for humans?

- What do you think now?




So what are we looking at anyway?

- Electromagnetic radiation
 - Wave? Particle?
- Photon - single particle of light
- Visible light: ~400-700 nanometers
- Why that range?




Visible light and the sun




Light is a combination of waves


- Like a chord in music
- Can be described as a sum of its parts
- Relative strength of different frequencies



Sources of light are diverse!




“White” light - all wavelengths




We know this thanks to Newton!

Objects reflect only some light




What color is the object?


- The “color” of an object depends on both the incident light and the objects reflectance:




• *




=



Different illumination matters!




Case study: makeup application



Photoreceptors and light

- Each receptor has a responsiveness curve
- Receptors more responsive to some wavelengths, less responsive to others
- Rods: peak around 498 nm
- Cones: 3 kinds
 - Short: peak around 420 nm
 - Medium: peak around 530 nm
 - Long: peak around 560 nm


Photoreceptors and light




Cones and color

- Our perception of color comes from cones
- Different waveforms provoke different responses
- Each cone has essentially one “output”
- To calculate:
 - Multiply input waveform by response curve
 - Integrate area under the curve
- The “color” we see is the relative activation of the 3 kinds of cones

All cones are not equal



Different wavelengths are brighter



This is hard to read

This is easier to read

Many variations, what do they see?

| State | Types of cone cells | Approx. number of colors perceived | Carriers |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Monochromacy | 1 | 100 | marine mammals, owl monkey, Australian sea lion, achromat primates |
| Dichromacy | 2 | 10,000 | most terrestrial non-primate mammals, color blind primates |
| Trichromacy | 3 | 1 million | most primates, especially great apes (such as humans), marsupials, some insects (such as honeybees) |
| Tetrachromacy | 4 | 100 million | most reptiles, amphibians, birds and insects, rarely humans |
| Pentachromacy | 5 | 10 billion | some insects (specific species of butterflies), some birds (pigeons for instance) |

Why don't rods contribute to color?

Discuss for 2 minutes with your neighbors

Color is our *perception* of waves




- Not the actual wave itself
- We only have 3 cones, have to represent color with just 3 outputs
- Many waveforms look the same: metamers
- Is this a problem??





Metamers are great!





- Imagine we could perfectly perceive waveforms:
 - To duplicate a color you would have to duplicate the wave
 - TVs would be really hard to make
 - Color printers would require thousands of inks
- But not with the power of metamers!
 - Can recreate many colors just by selectively stimulating cones




CIE 1931 and Color Matching




- Late 1920s William Wright and John Guild experimented with colors! (and people)
- Subjects get controls to 3 “primary” lights
- Show them a light
- Subject adjusts their lights to match the given light










































Results:

- Given 3 primaries people can match any color
- People select very similar distributions for a given color
- Colors seem to follow nice, linear rules:
Grassman's laws!
 - $A=B+C \Rightarrow A+D=B+C+D$
 - $A=B+C \Rightarrow nA=nB+nC$
 - $A=B+C$ and $D=B+C \Rightarrow A=D$
- Light is combinations of individual wavelengths
 - If we can match any wavelength we can match any light


Now we can make a map of color




Linear colorspace

- Pick some primaries
- Can mix those primaries to match any color inside the triangle


“Theoretical” CIE RGB primaries



Practical sRGB primaries, MSFT 1996



MANY different colorspaces




What does this mean for computers?


- We represent images as grids of pixels
- Each pixel has a color, 3 components: RGB
- Not every color can be represented in RGB!
 - Have to go out in the real world sometimes
- RGB is made to trick humans, not be accurate
- sRGB is not actually linear, gamma compressed
 - Humans see differences between dark tones more than bright
 - Compress light tones, expand dark tones, more efficient
- Can represent color with 3 numbers
 - #ff00ff; (1.0, 0.0, 1.0); 255,0,255; etc....

Grayscale - making color images not


- We can simulate monochromatic images from RGB
- Want a good approximation of how “bright” the image is without color information
- $(R+B+G/3)$ - looks weird
- We *should*
 - Gamma decompress
 - Calculate lightness
 - Gamma compress
- We can just operate on sRGB
 - Typically $\sim .30R + .59G + .11B$



RGB is a cube...




Hue, Saturation, Value: cylinder!



Hue, Saturation, Value

- Different model based on perception of light
- Hue: what color
- Saturation: how much color
- Value: how bright
- Allows easy image transforms
 - Shift the hue
 - Increase saturation





This is your homework, yay!

