



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2018

Species turnover and invasion of dominant freshwater invertebrates alter biodiversity–ecosystem-function relationship

Little, Chelsea J ; Altermatt, Florian

Abstract: Freshwater ecosystems rely on allochthonous resources. Integration of these subsidies depends on diversity of both terrestrial resources and aquatic shredder and decomposer communities, but the diversity effects on leaf litter breakdown and decomposition are less clear in aquatic than terrestrial ecosystems. We need a better understanding of this relationship because aquatic communities are rapidly changing with species invasions and anthropogenic impacts. Here, we experimentally disentangled the effects of leaf and shredder richness on leaf litter breakdown by macroinvertebrates in mesocosm experiments using three species of amphipods, a dominant guild of crustaceans in European freshwater ecosystems. Increased leaf richness led to lower-than-predicted leaf consumption by native shredders, with mixed evidence of resource-switching or prioritization of preferred food items within a leaf mix. Higher shredder species richness never promoted leaf consumption rates compared to predictions from relevant single-species experiments, and instead sometimes substantially decreased leaf consumption. We then conducted a meta-analysis of leaf litter consumption rates by seven widely distributed amphipod species (the three used in the experiments and four additional species). As expected based on our own experiments, nonnative amphipod species generally had lower biomass-adjusted leaf litter consumption rates, although their larger body size led to higher per-individual leaf consumption rates. Contamination of the water by metals, pesticides, and other chemicals additionally significantly decreased leaf litter consumption by multiple native and nonnative species compared to unpolluted systems. While the meta-analysis suggested that litter consumption, and thus breakdown, would decline if native shredders are replaced by nonnative heterospecifics, complete species replacement is not the only outcome following immigration in a meta-community context. Our experiments suggest that breakdown rates could remain reasonably high where native species coexist with nonnative arrivals. Experiments that neglect the ecological realism of species coexistence will necessarily mischaracterize effects on ecosystem functioning.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1299>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-153774>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Little, Chelsea J; Altermatt, Florian (2018). Species turnover and invasion of dominant freshwater invertebrates alter biodiversity–ecosystem-function relationship. *Ecological Monographs*, 88(3):461-480.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1299>

Species turnover and invasion of dominant freshwater invertebrates alter biodiversity-ecosystem function relationship

Running head: Invasion alters leaf breakdown in streams

Chelsea J. Little^{1,2, *} and Florian Altermatt^{1,2}

¹Department of Aquatic Ecology, Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland

²Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

* Corresponding author

Department of Aquatic Ecology

Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology

Überlandstrasse 133

8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

Telephone: +41 58 765 5786

Fax: +41 58 765 5802

E-mail: Chelseajeane.little@eawag.ch

Abstract

Freshwater ecosystems rely on allochthonous resources. Integration of these subsidies depends on diversity of both terrestrial resources and aquatic shredder and decomposer communities, but the diversity effects on leaf litter breakdown and decomposition are less clear in aquatic than terrestrial ecosystems. We need a better understanding of this relationship because aquatic communities are rapidly changing with species invasions and anthropogenic impacts. Here, we experimentally disentangled the effects of leaf and shredder richness on leaf litter breakdown by macroinvertebrates in mesocosm experiments using three species of amphipods, a dominant guild of crustaceans in European freshwater ecosystems. Increased leaf richness led to lower-than-predicted leaf consumption by native shredders, with mixed evidence of resource-switching or prioritization of preferred food items within a leaf mix. Higher shredder species richness never promoted leaf consumption rates compared to predictions from relevant single-species experiments, and instead sometimes substantially decreased leaf consumption. We then conducted a meta-analysis of leaf litter consumption rates by seven widely distributed amphipod species (the three used in the experiments and four additional species). As expected based on our own experiments, non-native amphipod species generally had lower biomass-adjusted leaf litter consumption rates, although their larger body size led to higher per-individual leaf consumption rates. Contamination of the water by metals, mine drainage, pesticides and other chemicals additionally significantly decreased leaf litter consumption by multiple native and non-native species compared to unpolluted systems. While the meta-analysis suggested that litter consumption, and thus breakdown, would decline if native shredders are replaced by non-native heterospecifics, complete species replacement is not the only outcome following immigration in a meta-community context. Our experiments suggest that breakdown rates could remain

reasonably high where native species coexist with non-native arrivals. Experiments which neglect the ecological realism of species coexistence will necessarily mischaracterize effects on ecosystem functioning.

Keywords: amphipod, decomposition, *Dikerogammarus*, *Gammarus*, keystone taxa, leaf litter, non-native species, shredder, species interactions, meta-analysis

Introduction

Despite the importance of biological diversity for ecosystem functions and services, our understanding of the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning remains partly unresolved, particularly at higher trophic levels (Loreau et al. 2001, Hooper et al. 2005, Mitchell et al. 2013, Brose and Hillebrand 2016). Biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning may be non-linear and context-dependent (Thébault and Loreau 2006, Balvanera et al. 2006, Thompson et al. 2016b). In terrestrial ecosystems, species richness is generally positively associated with higher productivity (Tilman et al. 2014), while diversity of consumers and detritus can promote faster decomposition (Hättenschwiler et al. 2005, Handa et al. 2014). Litter diversity can promote leaf litter breakdown and decomposition in freshwater systems (Cardinale et al. 2011), yet in terms of decomposers, the diversity-decomposition correlation seems less straightforward than in terrestrial ecosystems (Santschi et al., 2018). Both across terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Gessner et al. 2010) and in aquatic ecosystems more specifically (for example, Creed et al. 2009), the presence of dominant species and strong competition between such species can reverse the sign of the diversity effect. This makes the identity and richness of dominant species in a community extremely important when considering decomposition rates.

Decomposition is of particular relevance in freshwater ecosystems because detrital inputs from terrestrial ecosystems support aquatic productivity, particularly in forested headwater streams (Wallace et al. 1997, Collins et al. 2016). In most fresh water, detritus is initially consumed by a dominant guild of invertebrates referred to as “shredders.” Shredders provide a key service by producing particulate organic material which can be used by other functional groups of smaller organisms, and are a nutritional resource for larger consumers (Wallace and Webster 1996). In large parts of the northern hemisphere, amphipods (Crustacea: Amphipoda) are among the most dominant taxa of shredders. They are moderately diverse (Väinölä et al. 2007) but can dominate in biomass, especially in headwater streams (e.g. Nery and Schmera 2015). Amphipods have strong effects on ecosystem functioning and trophic structure (Dangles and Malmqvist 2004, Woodward et al. 2008). In fact, amphipods are responsible for European streams in particular having a larger share of decomposition performed by macroinvertebrates compared to aquatic ecosystems in other biomes worldwide, such that if these species are lost, decomposition declines dramatically (Handa et al. 2014).

Freshwater communities have been globally affected by the arrival of non-native species in the last several decades (Ricciardi and MacIsaac 2000, Strayer 2010). Non-native species have many negative effects, including impacts on community structure and food webs (Woodward and Hildrew 2001, MacDougall and Turkington 2005, Leuven et al. 2009). It is particularly essential to understand the effect of non-native species on processes which link different trophic levels and affect basal resources (for example, Capps & Flecker 2015); detritus breakdown is one such process (Webster and Benfield 1986). In some freshwater ecosystems, non-native amphipods now make up more than half of all amphipod species and up to 95% of all amphipod (or even total) invertebrate biomass (Van den Brink et al. 1991). Given the essential role of

91 amphipods in the resource pathways of freshwater communities, such community shifts due to
92 invasion are likely to have ecosystem-level consequences.

93 In addition to community turn-over due to non-native species, global changes and
94 especially anthropogenic degradation of streams is a further confounding factor when
95 considering the effect of non-native species on ecosystem functioning. Non-native species
96 frequently invade patches which have been degraded (MacDougall and Turkington 2005, in
97 terrestrial systems, Fröh et al. 2012, in aquatic systems), and this habitat modification may
98 additionally influence the outcome of biotic interactions between native and non-native species
99 (Didham et al. 2007). These anthropogenic impacts alter the rates at which ecosystem functions
100 like decomposition are performed by native species, even absent an invasion: for example
101 nutrient runoff increases decomposition in streams (Ferreira et al. 2015), while acidity (Petrin et
102 al. 2008) and heavy metals (Ferreira et al. 2016) decrease decomposition. Thus it is important to
103 account for environmental conditions when assessing the contribution of non-native species to
104 ecosystem functioning, or considering whether a function such as decomposition may decline
105 even if native communities are maintained.

106 Up to now, effects of non-native decomposers on ecosystem processes have primarily
107 been studied by comparing leaf breakdown rates in single-species monocultures of native vs.
108 non-native decomposer species (for example, Jourdan et al. 2016), and also using single types of
109 leaf resources (for example, Piscart et al. 2011) even though litter input to streams is rarely
110 limited to one tree species. Such tests lack the biological realism of a community context, and
111 subsequent predictions of ecosystem function may have limited accuracy. Furthermore, most
112 studies have been rather case-specific, and a synthetic integration and generalization of effects
113 has been generally lacking. Thus, more realistically, the impact of a non-native species depends

not only on its functional efficiency in isolation, but also on whether native and non-native species continue to co-exist after an invasion (as with weak compared to strong invaders in plant communities, Ortega & Pearson 2005). While the diversity of native communities has been examined in relation to invasibility (Stachowicz et al. 1999, Shea and Chesson 2002, Alofs and Jackson 2014), the maintenance of diversity after arrival and establishment of non-native species (Melbourne et al. 2007) may be of particular importance to ecosystem functioning. Thus we are lacking a consistent understanding of the generality, direction and magnitude of non-native decomposer species' effects on freshwater ecosystems.

We performed laboratory experiments to test effects of decomposer and detritus species richness on leaf litter consumption, using three dominant amphipod species with different evolutionary and colonization histories and six leaf litter species as our study system. This experimental approach allows us to estimate the effects on (a) litter breakdown in a more realistic context where a terrestrial ecosystem provides diverse litter inputs to streams, and (b) detrital breakdown rates when arriving non-native species could join rather than replace the community of native amphipods. We then combined these laboratory experiments with an extensive meta-analysis to compare leaf litter consumption rates of native and non-native amphipod species across geographical areas and detrital resource types. Combining data from diverse locations allows us to move beyond individual case studies to quantitatively address whether invaders can functionally replace native shredders. Specifically, we hypothesized that (1) All amphipod species would have higher consumption rates of nutrient-rich compared to nutrient-poor leaf types;

(2) Native amphipod species would have higher biomass-adjusted leaf consumption rates of leaves, compared to non-native species, which may encounter different types of leaf resources in their native ranges;

(3) That multi-species mixtures of amphipods would have lower leaf consumption rates than that of a one-species population of the species with the highest consumption rate, and also likely also lower than an additively-calculated expected consumption rate based on the rates of individual species in a mixture, due to interference competition; *and*

(4) That leaf consumption would be higher in diverse leaf mixtures than would be expected from additively-calculated consumption rates based on the component leaf types in the mixture, due to preferential feeding on higher-quality leaf types.

Because leaf breakdown is affected by environmental conditions, the effect of diversity on amphipod consumption of leaf litter must be understood in the context of these environmental conditions. Any predictions of the effect of an invasive species, for example, should take into account possible interspecific differences in thermal performance or suitability to local conditions. Thus, in our meta-analysis we examined common factors that may alter leaf consumption rates. We specifically hypothesized that (5) leaf consumption rates would be higher at warmer temperatures due to metabolic demands and that (6) leaf consumption rates would be lower in stressful environments, specifically when exposed to contaminants.

Methods

A) Experiments

Experimental Study Organisms

We conducted experiments to measure leaf litter consumption by amphipod species in Central Europe, manipulating richness of both leaf litter (*Experiment I*) and amphipods (*Experiment II*). Three amphipod species were used in our experiments: the most common native amphipod species, *Gammarus fossarum*; one non-native species which arrived in Central Europe around 1850 and has since been naturalized, *Gammarus roeselii*; and the most dominant invasive species, *Dikerogammarus villosus*, which arrived in the 1990's and was initially reported to be more predatory than other species (MacNeil and Platvoet 2005). These are three of the four most abundant amphipod species in northeastern Switzerland where the experiments were conducted (Altermatt et al. 2014). Although they are highly omnivorous, amphipods can perform the bulk of shredding activity in freshwater streams, particularly in central Europe (for example, Piscart *et al.* 2009), and play a key role in their communities due to their high densities year-round in streams and some of their functional traits (Dangles and Malmqvist 2004). Functional traits of these species are summarized in Table 1.

For leaf litter, naturally senescent leaves from six common riparian tree species (*Acer pseudoplatanus*, *Alnus glutinosa*, *Fagus sylvatica*, *Populus nigra*, *Quercus robur*, and *Salix caprea*) were collected in November 2014 around Zürich, Switzerland and subsequently air-dried. These are among the most common tree species in deciduous forests in Switzerland, which are strongly beech-dominated and where spruce, an economically-important species nationwide, is no longer being planted as widely (Rigling and Schaffer 2015). A subset of leaves was analyzed for carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus content (phosphorus: San++ automated wet chemistry analyzer, Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, Netherlands; nitrogen and carbon: Flash 2000 Elemental Analyzer coupled with Delta V Advantage IRMS, both manufactured by

ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The leaves used in the experiments represented a range of litter quality based on nutrient levels (Figure 1).

Experiment I: Effects of Leaf Community Richness

In February 2015, leaves of all tree species were conditioned in large tubs in a 1:4 mix of local river water (Chriesbach, Dübendorf: 47°24'16"N 8°36'33"E) to tap water in order to leach easily soluble secondary compounds and to allow colonization by a common microbial community. All conditioning and experiments took place with water at 18 °C. After ten days, leaves were removed from the conditioning containers and photographed to measure leaf area using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA). A subset of 20-30 leaves per type were photographed, dried at 60 °C, and weighed to develop allometric area-to-weight relationships for each species (Table 2). Leaves were added to 3 L mesocosms so that each mesocosm would contain roughly 1.2 g dry biomass of leaf litter. Mesocosms were placed on racks where water (primarily tap water, as the entire system comprised ~1,250 L, but mixed with some stream water as well) circulated through them continuously. Twelve leaf treatment types were implemented: monocultures of *Acer*, *Alnus*, *Fagus*, *Quercus*, *Populus*, and *Salix*; a six-species leaf mixture; and pairwise mixtures of *Alnus-Fagus*, *Alnus-Acer*, *Alnus-Quercus*, *Alnus-Populus*, and *Alnus-Salix* mixes. This was based on the high nutrient content of *Alnus* (Figure 1), which may make it a preferred food source and also maximize dissimilarity between species in a mixture, which can be important for decomposition rates (Lecerf et al. 2011).

Gammarus fossarum were collected in kicknets from Chämmeterbach (Dübendorf, Switzerland: 47°23'28"N 8°36'00"E), transported back to the laboratory, and placed overnight in larger tubs of stream water and *ad libitum* *Alnus* leaves. *G. fossarum* was chosen for this

experiment because it is by far the most common native amphipod species in local headwater streams, as well as throughout large regions of central Europe. The next day, five individuals of *G. fossarum* were added to each of eight replicate mesocosms per treatment type. Four no-amphipod control mesocosms were also established for each monoculture type and for the six-species mix, to measure and control for microbial and fungal decomposition in the laboratory setup. Based on previous work (Santschi et al., 2018) we knew that microbial decomposition in such a setting and time-span was very low.

Due to some mortality associated with handling (in the first several days of the experiment, mortality was nearly 5% per day, while for the last three weeks it was only 2.5% per day, not atypical compared to Danger et al. 2013 or to mortality rates in controls in Dehedin et al. 2013b), we replenished deceased amphipods in all replicates during the first week. After that, dead amphipods were not replaced, but merely counted and removed from each mesocosm after 14, 21, and 28 days, at which point we counted all remaining amphipods in each mesocosm, preserved them in ethanol, dried them at 60 °C to constant mass, and weighed them. We used the number of amphipods alive at each count over the course of the experiment to calculate a total number of “amphipod-days” accumulated in each mesocosm, and multiplied this by the average weight of an amphipod in that particular mesocosm to get a total biomass of amphipods over the course of the experiment. We also photographed all leaves, measured their area, and then converted area to mass loss using the allometric relationships developed above. Consumption rates were calculated as mg of dry mass lost per mg biomass of amphipod per day, were corrected by the average mass loss of that species in control mesocosms which did not contain amphipods, and were calculated separately for each species in mixtures.

In all of our experiments, there were some mesocosms where no leaf litter was consumed. A small consumption rate below detectability is not uncommon, as amphipods can survive for at least two weeks under starvation conditions (Nyman et al. 2013). Thus, we considered low consumption rates to reflect low preferences. To determine whether the no-consumption mesocosms were distributed evenly between treatments, we always used a two-step hurdle model, with leaf consumption first modeled as a binary process (0 = no leaf material consumed, $n = 51$; 1 = leaf material consumed, $n = 116$) using a binomial GLM. Then, if there were no differences between treatments, the full dataset of breakdown rates was considered in a second model; if there were differences in the distribution of no-consumption mesocosms by treatments, then the second model was made using only the data from mesocosms where some leaf consumption occurred. In *Experiment I*, consumption rates were square root transformed to correct for heteroscedasticity of data. Differences in consumption rates between monocultures were analyzed using linear models with leaf species as a fixed effect; all analyses were performed in R version 3.2.5 (R Core Team 2016). Where species was a significant factor in explaining leaf consumption rates, pairwise Tukey's HSD tests were conducted using 'multcomp' package version 1.4-1 (Hothorn et al. 2008).

Expected consumption rates of two- and six-species mixes, as well as the variance of these predictions, were calculated additively based on consumption rates of relevant monocultures. Briefly, average consumption rates of each of the component monocultures were averaged to determine an expected leaf consumption rate of a mixture, and a pooled variance from all the component monocultures was calculated to represent the expected variance of the mixture. These expected consumption rates were compared to the rates observed in the experiment using t-tests with the assumption of unequal variance. Next, consumption rates of

individual species in monocultures, two-species mixes, and the six-species mix were compared using species identity and treatment (combination type) as fixed factors. This was first done separately for *Alnus* (which was present in seven different treatments) and then for the other five species together (which were each present in three different treatment types, classified as “alone”, “with *Alnus*”, and “six-species”). Pairwise comparisons between consumption rates in monocultures and mixes were assessed for each leaf type individually using the Tukey’s HSD tests.

Experiment II: Effects of Amphipod Species Richness

For the second experiment, leaves of *Alnus*, *Fagus*, and *Quercus* were conditioned as in *Experiment I* and placed into mesocosms in monocultures at 18 °C. We collected individuals of *D. villosus*, *G. fossarum*, and *G. roeselii* from two different sites per species in the Swiss catchment area of lake Constance (from 47° 39' 43" N 8° 58' 17" E to 47° 28' 49" N 9° 33' 57" E) in June 2015. Individuals were identified to species level in the field based on morphology, and acclimated to laboratory conditions as in *Experiment I*.

This experiment had two factors, amphipod community richness and leaf type. There were seven amphipod richness treatment types: three single-species treatments, all three pairwise combination treatments, and a three-species treatment. Each of these community structures can occur at sites in Eastern Switzerland (Altermatt et al. 2016). Each 2 L mesocosm type received a total of twelve amphipods, with equal numbers per species in the case of the two-species treatments (six individuals of each species) and three-species treatment (four individuals of each species). Thus, it is a substitutive design holding the number of consumers constant but changing the identity of the consumers between treatments, and examining the effects of interspecific

competition without being able to simultaneously measure the effects of intraspecific competition. This equal density of individuals resulted in unequal biomass per mesocosm, since *G. fossarum* weighed on average 2.24 mg, *G. roeselii* 3.96 mg, and *D. villosus* 3.90 mg. These relative densities are within the range of observed densities in Swiss headwater streams where these species can co-occur (Altermatt et al. 2016, Little and Altermatt 2017). However, as in *Experiment I*, consumption rates were calculated per biomass of amphipods rather than per individual to account for these differences. Consumption rates were also adjusted by mass loss in no-amphipod controls in *Experiment I*, as experimental conditions were identical with regards to equipment and water temperature.

Each of these seven amphipod richness treatments was crossed with each of the three different leaf types. All treatment combinations were replicated eight times. We replenished handling-related deceased amphipods in all replicates after two days. Subsequent mortality was recorded and dead amphipods removed, but not replaced. The total number of live amphipods remaining was recorded three times per week. After fifteen days, all amphipods were counted and removed from the mesocosms. To see whether mortality differed between the focal species or depending on treatment, survival of amphipods was analyzed using a linear model with species and amphipod richness treatment as interacting fixed effects. Leaves were analyzed for mass loss, and amphipods were extracted, dried, and weighed as in *Experiment I*. Biomass of amphipods in mesocosms was calculated as for *Experiment I*, with survival of different species over the course of the experiment assumed to be proportional to total survival at the end of the experiment.

Consumption rates from *Experiment II* were analyzed using a two-step generalized linear model due to zero-inflation of the consumption data. A small consumption rate below

detectability is not uncommon, as amphipods can survive for at least two weeks under starvation conditions (Nyman et al. 2013). Thus, we considered low consumption rates to reflect low preferences. For the analysis, leaf consumption was first modeled as a binary process (0 = no leaf material consumed, n = 51; 1 = leaf material consumed, n = 116) using a binomial GLM. Secondly, consumption in mesocosms where amphipods did consume leaf litter was analyzed using a gamma GLM. Leaf type and amphipod treatment were included as interacting fixed factors in an initial model and backwards stepwise selection using AIC scores was used to identify significant explanatory factors. When appropriate, differences between treatments were explored using Tukey's HSD testing.

B) Meta-Analysis

In order to understand whether the results of our experiments were general across amphipod taxa, we conducted a systematic review of leaf consumption rates by freshwater amphipods. We initially searched for experiments which incorporated different levels of species richness (as in our own experiments), but found very few of such studies (but see MacNeil *et al.* 2011; Constable & Birkby 2016). Instead, we compiled measurements of leaf consumption by single native and non-native amphipod species. We searched peer-reviewed, English language literature available on Web of Science and Google Scholar in October 2016. Our inclusion criteria were as follows, with all points needing to be satisfied for inclusion in the meta-analysis; a study must:

- (a) Report leaf consumption rate(s) by a species in the Gammaridae family, an important group of shredders which also contains the three focal species from our own experiments.
- (b) Measure the consumption rate on a single leaf type(s), but this could be any tree species.

(c) Reported water type (tap water, reference stream water, stream water impacted by pollution, or stream water plus a contaminant or toxin).

(d) Report experimental duration.

(e) Report the number of amphipods in each experimental unit.

(f) Contain consumption rates which were convertible (Table 2) into units of mg dry weight leaves (or transformable therein) consumed per amphipod individual per day, or mg dry weight leaves consumed per biomass of amphipod dry weight per day.

(g) Report sample size (number of replicates) and some measure of variance which could be converted into standard deviation.

We limited our search for consumption rates in monocultures, leaving out consumption rates of mixed-leaf combinations, “food choice” experiments, or other experiments where more than one resource type was provided to amphipods, or consumption rates by mixed-amphipod populations.

For the search, we used combinations of the keywords “*Gammarus*”, “*Dikerogammarus*”, or “*gammar*” with “alder”, “beech”, “maple”, “oak”, “poplar”, “willow”, “elm”, “*Alnus*”, “*Fagus*”, “*Acer*”, “*Quercus*”, “*Populus*”, “*Salix*”, or “consumption rate”. We retained all papers found in Web of Science, as well as the first ~20% of results from each Google Scholar search. The rest of Google Scholar results were screened by title and only retained if potentially appropriate for inclusion, as most results were obviously not relevant. Google Scholar is often used to include grey literature in systematic reviews (Haddaway et al. 2015), however this route did not produce relevant grey literature in our case. We found in total 2,001 records fulfilling our search criteria across all searches in Web of Science and Google Scholar. Of these, we excluded 1,342 based on title alone; we discarded 372 more as duplicates. This process produced 287

studies to be screened by abstract and full text. Of those, 227 papers were discarded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. A further 18 studies could not be extracted due to incomplete data or inappropriate units (for instance, consumption rate as a percent of initial leaf mass, but with no report of the initial leaf mass). This yielded 53 studies (Bird and Kaushik 1985, Bärlocher 1990, Sridhar and Bärlocher 1993, Friberg and Jacobsen 1994, Sridhar et al. 2001, Dangles and Chauvet 2003, Franken et al. 2005, Krauss et al. 2005, Schlieff and Mutz 2006, Gergs and Rothhaupt 2008, Zubrod et al. 2010, 2015, 2017a, 2017b, Aßmann et al. 2011, Coulaud et al. 2011, Lagrue et al. 2011, Navel et al. 2011, Piscart et al. 2011, Reiss et al. 2011, Bundschuh et al. 2011, 2013, 2017, Jabiol and Chauvet 2012, Danger et al. 2013, Dedourge-Geffard et al. 2013, Dehedin et al. 2013a, Foucreau et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2016, Agatz and Brown 2014, Dray et al. 2014, Dunoyer et al. 2014, Truhlar et al. 2014, Gonçalves et al. 2014, Mas-Martí et al. 2015, Schmidlin et al. 2015a, 2015b, 2015c, Boeker and Geist 2015, Jourdan et al. 2016, Pesce et al. 2016, Colas et al. 2016, Constable and Birkby 2016, De Castro-Català et al. 2017, Galic and Forbes 2017, Kenna et al. 2017). Summary data of leaf consumption rates were extracted from the studies preferentially from text/tables, or where necessary from figures using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) to extract means and variances. Finally, we added 30 measurements from the single-species treatments of our own experiments to our database. Consumption rates were used as the outcome of interest using ‘metafor’ package version 1.9-8 (Viechtbauer 2010).

Overall estimates of consumption rates were made using a random-effects model. For initial estimates of consumption rates, studies were included that implemented variable temperatures or were performed in-stream with natural temperature fluctuations.

Next, we used an additive mixed-effects model to assess how consumption rates were influenced by amphipod species (functional traits of amphipod species used in the meta-analysis are summarized in Table 1), leaf type, amphipod density, duration of experiment, water temperature, and water contamination. While the differences in leaf consumption rates for amphipod species and leaf types were our primary interest, differences in experimental conditions between different studies meant both that we needed to control for possible confounding factors, and that we had an opportunity to examine some factors' biological effects. In this analysis, only those studies using stable temperatures were included. Model selection was performed using AIC values and the 'glmulti' package version 1.0.7 (Calcagno 2013). Across all 64 possible additive models, each explanatory variable was assessed for importance using the sum of the relative evidence weights from all the models where the variable appeared. Variables having a summed relative importance greater than a cutoff value of 0.8 were included in the final model.

In order to further determine the effect of these 'important' variables, we planned to conduct separate, smaller meta-analyses of their effect size using only studies which manipulated the variable in question, holding all other variables equal. However, only for water contamination were there a sufficient number of studies manipulating the variable to consider this a meaningful exercise. To assess whether this variable biased the overall results, we also repeated the global meta-analysis using only samples with uncontaminated water and compared the result to that of the larger analysis.

Results

Our experiments and subsequent meta-analysis found substantial and highly significant differences in leaf consumption rates based on amphipod identity, leaf identity, and community richness. Below, we initially address the effects of increasing species richness, and then consider patterns of leaf consumption across a wider number of native and non-native amphipod species in the meta-analysis.

Experimental single-species consumption rates

In *Experiment I*, consumption rates by *G. fossarum* of monocultures depended on leaf type ($F_{5,41} = 17.31$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.64$; Fig. 2a). While there were some mesocosms where no leaves were consumed, this did not vary by leaf type (Tukey's HSD, all $p > 0.3$). *Alnus* leaves in monocultures were consumed faster than all other leaf types except for *Quercus* (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.67$; for all other comparisons to *Alnus*, $p < 0.05$). *Acer* had the lowest leaf consumption rate, significantly lower than in *Quercus* (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.02$) and just 13% of the rate of *Alnus*.

In *Experiment II*, no *Quercus* leaf litter was consumed by either *G. fossarum* or *D. villosus* (Fig. 2b). The prevalence of mesocosms where no leaf litter was consumed varied with both leaf litter type and amphipod species: *G. roeselii* consumed leaf litter in more mesocosms than *D. villosus* (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.01$), but neither species was significantly different than *G. fossarum* (Tukey's HSD, both $p > 0.20$). There was no significant interaction between amphipod species and leaf type (ΔAIC between models with and without the interaction = 1.5).

Among mesocosms where leaf litter was consumed consumption rates varied among amphipod species and leaf types, with a significant interaction between the two ($F_{86,38} = 14.11$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.64$; Fig. 2c). For *Alnus*, *G. roeselii* had a higher consumption rate than

G. fossarum (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.03$), with *D. villosus* having an intermediate consumption rate between the other two species. For both *G. roeseli* and *D. villosus*, consumption rates of *Alnus* were significantly higher than those of the other two leaf types (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.05$). For *G. fossarum*, the consumption rates of *Alnus* and *Fagus* were not significantly different (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.09$), just as had been found in *Experiment I* (Fig. 2a).

Effects of leaf richness on consumption rates

Consumption rates in some leaf mixtures were higher than would be predicted based on rates in relevant single-species treatments, and in other mixtures were lower (Fig. 3A). For the six-species mix ($t_{14} = 7.004$, $p < 0.001$), there was a significant decline in leaf consumption rate compared to the expectation calculated from monocultures. Within the mixtures, *Alnus* consumption rates varied significantly between different treatments ($F_{6,48} = 8.518$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.46$). Of all the mixtures, the highest consumption rates of *Alnus* leaves came when they were combined with *Quercus* ($0.15 \text{ mg mg}^{-1} \text{ amphipod day}^{-1}$), whereas the consumption rate when placed in the six-species mix was zero (Fig. 3B). Consumption rates of *Alnus* in the six-species mix as well as the *Populus* and *Salix* mixes were lower than when in monocultures (simultaneous linear tests, $p < 0.015$; $p > 0.05$ for all other mixes). For the other five leaf types, there was a significant interaction between focal species and the treatment/mixture the species was included in ($F_{14,104} = 11.39$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.55$). For all species except for maple, consumption rates in mixtures were significantly lower than in monocultures (Fig. 3C; simultaneous linear tests, all $p < 0.01$; maple, both $p > 0.3$).

Effects of amphipod richness on leaf consumption rates

Survival of amphipods varied with species and richness treatment ($F_{20,146} = 10.32$, $p < 0.0001$). *G. fossarum* and *G. roeseli* had ~50% and ~20–25% lower survival, respectively, in mesocosms also containing *D. villosus* than when kept in single-species mesocosms or alongside one another (Fig. 4). Survival of *D. villosus* did not vary with amphipod combination but was slightly lower across all treatments than that of either *G. fossarum* or *G. roeseli* when kept in monocultures. Consumption rates in mesocosms with mixed amphipod species were most often lower than expected based on rates in single-species mesocosms, though only significantly so for the *G. fossarum*-*G. roeseli* combination feeding on *Quercus* ($t_{14} = -2.50$, $p = 0.02$), the *G. roeseli*-*D. villosus* combination feeding on *Quercus* ($t_{14} = -2.37$, $p = 0.03$), and the three-species mixture feeding on *Fagus* ($t_{14} = -2.17$, $p = 0.04$). The feeding rates of all mixed-species mesocosms on *Quercus* was near zero (Fig. 5).

Meta-analysis of single-species consumption rates

Across species and study conditions in all 497 total data points, consumption rates of leaf litter averaged $1.030 \text{ mg amphipod day}^{-1}$ (95% confidence interval 0.873–1.187, $n = 172$) or $0.175 \text{ mg mg}^{-1} \text{ amphipod day}^{-1}$ (95% confidence interval 0.155–0.195, $n = 387$). This dataset comprised five native species (*G. fossarum*, *Gammarus pulex*, *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*, *Echinogammarus berilloni*, and *Echinogammarus meridionalis*) and three non-native species (*G. roeseli*, *Gammarus tigrinus*, and *D. villosus*).

Model selection for body-weight adjusted consumption rates measured at constant temperatures (358 data points) showed that amphipod species, leaf type, length of experiment, and water contamination were included in the best-fitting model (model weight = 0.38). This model explained 45.58% of the heterogeneity in the dataset, as did the second-best model

($\Delta AICc = 0.01$, model weight = 0.38), which also included water temperature. No other models were within two AICc units of these models. Multimodel inference showed that amphipod water temperature was relatively unimportant (importance = 0.50, the lowest of any potential explanatory factors in the best two models, with all others having importance > 0.99).

Because we were interested in determining leaf consumption rates in natural ecosystems and water contamination was shown to have a significant impact on leaf consumption rates, we decided to assess the impact of water contamination separately, and first repeat the general analysis with only measurement made with uncontaminated water ($n = 280$). For this subset of data, model selection showed that amphipod species, leaf type, length of experiment, water temperature, and amphipod density were included in the best-fitting model. There was one other models within two AICc units, which did not include water temperature and carried 29.4% of the weight in the model set vs. 70% of the weight going to the best-fitting model. Indeed, model-averaged importance of water temperature was only 0.70, whereas the importance of all the other factors was > 0.99. And when the five factors tested separately using the Knapp-Hartung adjustment (Knapp and Hartung 2003), each factor explained a significant ($p < 0.001$) amount of variability except for temperature ($p = 0.06$). For ease of visual interpretation, the effects of each explanatory variable are presented separately.

Leaf consumption in non-contaminated water varied by amphipod species (Fig. 6a), with highest body-weight adjusted consumption rates by the native *G. pulex* and non-native *G. roeseli*, and the lowest by the non-native *D. villosus*. However, data was not evenly distributed among amphipod species, with only 18.2% of the leaf consumption rates measurements made on the three non-native species (*D. villosus*, *G. tigrinus*, and *G. roeseli*) compared to 81% of measurements on the five native species. Similarly, not all leaf types were studied for all

amphipod species. For many amphipod species, nitrogen-rich *Alnus* leaves were the most efficiently consumed resource (Fig. 6b). This could partially bias the estimates of mean consumption rates by different amphipod species, in particular by *G. roeseli* as *Alnus* was their most highly-consumed leaf type and comprised 78% of the measurements of leaf consumption rate for this species, whereas only 19-38% of measurements were made on *Alnus* for other species, and *G. pseudolimnaeus* was never measured consuming *Alnus*, perhaps causing its overall low consumption rate in the meta-analysis. Consumption rates also varied with experimental or abiotic conditions. Increasing temperature was included in some of the best models but not considered important (Fig. 6c), while experimental duration (Fig. 6d) and density of amphipods (Fig. 6e) both had negative effects on leaf consumption rates. However, examination of these moderators showed that not all experimental (or natural) conditions are equally well-represented in the dataset, with more studies using short periods of time and single-amphipod mesocosms, introducing bias into predictions of community-level consumption rates.

To independently assess the effect of water contamination while holding other variables constant, we conducted a meta-analysis of the 19 studies comprising 125 data points where water quality was manipulated (Table 3). In our separate analysis of the effects of water contamination, we found a strong negative effect (overall log response ratio = -0.26; Fig. 7) of contamination on leaf consumption rate. For the log response ratio to water contamination model selection showed that amphipod species, leaf type, and water temperature were included in the best-fitting model. There was one other model within two AICc units, which did not include leaf type and carried 27.8% of the weight in the model set vs. 27.9% of the weight going to the best-fitting model. After model averaging, leaf type only had an importance of 0.46, while the model-averaged importance of water temperature was 0.91 and amphipod species was 0.99. Interestingly, the

type of stressor (for examples, metals vs. fungicides or pesticides) was not a factor determining the strength of response to stress, with model-averaged importance of only 0.09. In the studies we assessed, *G. fossarum* had generally showed generally small reductions in leaf consumption rate in response to water contamination (Fig. 7a), while responses of *E. meridionalis*, *G. roeseli*, and *G. pulex* varied substantially between studies.

The 120 per-amphipod consumption rate data points gathered in the meta-analysis were measured on only six of the focal species (*E. meridionalis*, *G. fossarum*, *G. pulex*, *G. roeseli*, *D. villosus*, and *G. tigrinus*) with fewer measurements per species. Using these data, amphipod species, leaf genus, contamination of water, and length of experiment were included in the best-fitting model, which explained 57.42% of the heterogeneity in the dataset. While the second-best model ($\Delta\text{AIC} = 1.96$) also included amphipod density as a factor, multimodel inference showed that amphipod species (importance = 1.00) and length of experiment (importance = 0.99) were the most essential factors, while all others were less important (importance < 0.69). Thus, across all 120 extracted data points, we only further examined the effects of amphipod species and study duration. Tested separately, each factor explained a significant ($p < 0.0001$) amount of variability. Leaf consumption varied by amphipod species (Fig. 8a), with highest per-individual consumption rates by the native *G. fossarum* and the lowest by the native *E. meridionalis* and non-native *G. tigrinus*. However, data was even less evenly distributed in terms of leaf resource types than in the per-body-weight dataset, with none of the *G. tigrinus* measurements, for example, being made on nitrogen-rich alder leaves, likely biasing results. Consumption rates also varied with experimental conditions; in particular experimental duration had a negative effect (Fig. 8b), however a great bulk of the data came from very short-term experiments. As in the

meta-analysis of body-weight adjusted consumption rates, not all experimental (or natural) conditions are equally well-studied, also introducing bias.

Discussion

In our experiments, increasing the richness of decomposers and detritus often depressed leaf consumption rates. Both experiments and meta-analysis showed that non-native amphipod species can have lower consumption rates than native species, as we had hypothesized. Combining the two approaches, we show that replacement of native shredders by non-native species would result in lower leaf breakdown rates in streams, but coexistence of native and non-native species could partially mitigate these declines. The ecosystem-level effect of non-native species replacing native species would also depend on a community's prior structure and the leaf consumption rate of the previously dominant species, and abiotic factors such as the presence of chemical stressors also has an important role in determining breakdown rates in changing ecosystems. Finally, the magnitude of any effect of non-native amphipod species would depend on the types of detritus resources available in local ecosystems.

Effects of leaf richness

As expected, native *G. fossarum* consumed nitrogen-rich *Alnus* leaves more quickly than lower-nutrient leaf resources. But contrary to our hypothesis that more diverse leaf litter mixtures would be consumed more quickly, we found that increasing the species richness of litter offered to the most common Central European native amphipod species, *G. fossarum*, did not promote leaf consumption rates. In some cases litter consumption rates were lower than would be predicted from relevant monocultures. However, we did find some indications of preferential

543 feeding on nutrient-rich resources: in three of six mixtures, consumption rates of *Alnus* leaves
544 were not significantly different than in *Alnus* monocultures, while consumption rates of other
545 species were low. In these cases, amphipods chose the resources with the most nitrogen and
546 phosphorus. In three other mixtures, however, consumption rates of both *Alnus* and the other leaf
547 types were lower than in relevant monocultures. For the most species-rich mixture in particular,
548 this resulted in extremely low, near-zero leaf consumption rates. This is contrasting some
549 previous work with *G. fossarum* (Jabiol and Chauvet 2012), as well as some other aquatic and
550 terrestrial work finding that litter diversity increased decomposition (Swan et al. 2009, Lecerf et
551 al. 2011, Handa et al. 2014). Since consumption rates of leaf mixtures were more similar than
552 consumption rates of the different monocultures, resource diversity may have the effect of
553 homogenizing leaf decomposition and resource provisioning to freshwater ecosystems through
554 time (Swan 2011). Most laboratory or field experiments assessing leaf breakdown rates,
555 however, use leaf monocultures, often of *Alnus*, which has a particularly high consumption rate
556 by macroinvertebrates due to its high nutrient content and rarely grows in monocultures,
557 meaning that estimates of leaf consumption rates or even amphipod behavior when offered only
558 this food source may lead to unrealistic conclusions. *Fagus* was also often commonly used in
559 experiments, and this is much more realistic as many central European forests, including those in
560 our study area, are beech-dominated. Furthermore, our result provide further empirical support
561 for the idea that for decomposition, species composition is more important than species richness
562 (Kominoski and Pringle 2009, Bruder et al. 2014). Logistical constraints related to the number of
563 mesocosms we could use prevented a factorial examination of the effects of litter diversity and
564 amphipod species identity or richness on leaf consumption rates. However, the surprising decline
565 in leaf consumption rates by *G. fossarum* in leaf mixtures – as has been found in isopods, smaller

shredding invertebrates, where such a pattern was attributed to preferential feeding and interactions with microbial communities (Swan and Palmer 2006) – suggests that such an experiment should be performed using non-native species in order to obtain more accurate estimates of the effects of invaders on breakdown in realistic ecological settings.

Effects of amphipod richness

Increasing amphipod species richness in an experimental setting never led to comparable or higher leaf consumption rates as were found in the best-performing single-species mesocosms. In line with our hypothesis, increasing amphipod richness sometimes suppressed consumption rates of non-preferred resources (*Quercus* and *Fagus*) compared to weighted predictions made from relevant single-species measurements. This is particularly notable because as species richness increased in our substitutive design, intraspecific competition within each species should have decreased, and as found in the meta-analysis (Fig. 6e), lower densities of amphipods in monocultures have somewhat higher per-capita leaf consumption rates. However, this release from intraspecific competition did not lead to an increase in leaf breakdown in the multi-species amphipod mixtures. Observed consumption rates of the preferred *Alnus* leaf resource never significantly differed from predictions. This was achieved partially through a selection effect: the observed consumption rates were more similar to that of the most-efficient species of the mix than to the mean predicted value. This is in line with other observations that selection effects can make consumer species identity in a community more important than species richness *per se*, especially in low-diversity systems, but that this effect can lead to either higher- or lower-than-predicted consumption rates (McKie et al. 2008, Godbold et al. 2009). Furthermore, regardless of resource quality, the difference in consumption between two species in monocultures was much

greater than the difference between consumption by one species individually compared to a more diverse community.

Notably, our results suggest that the extent to which a community is invaded determines the strength of any effect on leaf breakdown rates. If a low-efficiency invader completely eliminates and replaces a native amphipod population, leaf breakdown may indeed be strongly depressed. However, if the same non-native species arrives and instead coexists with a native community, particularly one made up of relatively low-efficiency native species such as *G. fossarum* (see also Dangles & Malmqvist 2004), effects on leaf breakdown may be minimal. Thus, the strength of a non-native or even invasive species' effect on community structure is an important consideration when identifying risks to ecosystem function. In our experiment, leaf consumption rates were sometimes depressed in mesocosms where *D. villosus* was mixed with other amphipod species, but not to the same low level as in *D. villosus* monocultures. This true even when *D. villosus* had a negative effect on survival of others species.

A further consideration is total biomass of the community. We adjusted all of the leaf consumption rates measured in our experiments by the amount of amphipod biomass in each mesocosm. This serves to compare the leaf consumption efficiencies of communities of equal sizes. Yet if the arrival of a non-native species changed not only community structure but also total shredder biomass – for instance in the replacement of *G. duebeni celticus* by the non-native *G. pulex* in Ireland (Kelly and Dick 2005) – then this will also have a large impact on leaf breakdown rates. Effects could then be predicted by combining information about the leaf consumption rates of different species with data on community size.

Consumption rates by native and non-native amphipods

Our meta-analysis demonstrated that native amphipod species have a wide range of leaf consumption rates, from intermediate to high, meaning that litter breakdown may vary substantially between catchments with different locally dominant native species. Furthermore, species also showed different consumption rates across the range of leaf resources available, thus forest composition and the mixture of leaves shed into the aquatic ecosystems also determine catchment-level leaf breakdown rates. Meanwhile, leaf consumption rates were generally intermediate to low for non-native amphipod species, indicating that, as we had hypothesized, replacement of native species by non-native species has the strong potential to diminish litter breakdown as a key ecosystem process. No measurements of leaf consumption rate were found for non-native species inside their native ranges, so it is unknown whether they have intrinsically lower trait values or whether they may consume familiar resources more quickly and efficiently.

Generally, our meta-analysis highlighted that current experiments are insufficient to predict the effects of non-native species on breakdown in realistic biological contexts. For instance, no experiments working with non-native amphipod species used temperatures lower than 15°C, which could lead to mischaracterization of leaf consumption by Ponto-Caspian species which evolved in conditions typically warmer than in Central Europe and the Alps where they have invaded. Higher temperatures have the additional effect of increasing microbial decomposition and reducing the contribution of invertebrates to litter breakdown (Boyero et al. 2011). The meta-analysis showed variable effects of temperature on breakdown rates, and did not lend support to our hypothesis that higher temperatures would lead to higher leaf consumption due to metabolic demands; but this may have been due to the uneven distribution of experimental conditions between amphipod species, combined with the different thermal preferences of the species (Table 1). Also, no experiments in the meta-analysis measured

consumption at temperatures below 8°C, even though leaf litter availability is most pronounced in winter (after autumn leaf litter deposition). Furthermore, consumption rates by some amphipod species were only measured on a subset of possible leaf litter types, which may not even be the most common/available ones. This not only biases predictions, but prevents up-scaling/forecasting of breakdown rates to the whole ecosystem level. We thus strongly recommend that future studies should emphasize using locally common leaves when measuring consumption rates, rather than solely focusing on the most common study materials – such as the unusually high-quality resource, nitrogen-rich *Alnus*.

Because of their low consumption rates, it is unlikely that more efficient use of allochthonous detritus is a major contributing factor to the successful invasions by non-native amphipod species such as *G. tigrinus* or *D. villosus*. These species are placed firmly in the omnivore category, with *D. villosus* occupying trophic positions ranging from 1.9 to 2.6 (Hellmann et al. 2015). There is evidence that *D. villosus* feeding behavior in an invaded habitat changes over time (Van Riel et al. 2006) and differs among invaded rivers (Hellmann et al. 2015). Thus it is unclear to what extent detrital shredding efficiency structures gammarid communities, or what role it plays in invasion success. The establishment of amphipod invaders may be linked to life history traits, such as greater reproductive capacity (Pöckl 2009) or higher survival rates in degraded habitats (MacNeil et al. 2004), with differences in leaf consumption rates as a consequence rather than a cause of success.

Finally, our meta-analysis made clear that contamination from pesticides, herbicides, heavy metals, and mine drainage significantly decrease leaf breakdown rates regardless of native or non-native dominance of a community. Our dataset is not exhaustive in this respect; many more ecotoxicological studies have been performed using measurements like percent leaf loss

which, however, are not translatable into one common response unit that allows an across-study comparison. Thus, the magnitude of such declines in functioning may very well depend on species identity. However, it is clear that shredding rates by native amphipods are severely impacted by pollution (Thompson et al. 2016a). As a consequence, when the arrival of non-native species accompanies stream degradation, the effect of these abiotic conditions on native species' functioning should be explicitly considered as a baseline. Changes in leaf breakdown rates resulting from shifting community structure can then be predicted, and finally the potential interactive effects of non-native species and abiotic stressors should be examined (Didham et al. 2007).

Conclusions

Evidence from our own experiments and meta-analysis show that different native and non-native species in an important freshwater shredder guild have different leaf consumption efficiencies and prefer different leaf resources. However, the leaf consumption traits of non-native amphipod species are neither in line to facilitate their invasion success, nor to necessarily predict a crash in leaf breakdown and carbon provisioning in stream ecosystems after their establishment. This does not preclude such species from affecting ecosystem function in other ways, for instance indirectly by shifting biomass and community structure. Nevertheless, effects of non-native species on ecosystem functioning should take into account biotic interactions such as competition and coexistence with similar native species, as well as what resources are realistically available and how efficiently potentially invasive species can exploit those resources.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Emanuel A. Fronhofer, Eric Harvey, Pravin Ganesanandamoorthy, Elvira Mächler, Chris Lindsay, Daniel Steiner, and Serge Robert for help with lab and field work, and Gregory Goldsmith, Brad Taylor, Eric Harvey, Roman Alther, and Elvira Mächler for helpful comments on the manuscript, as well as to four anonymous reviewers. This project was funded by Swiss National Science Foundation grant PP00P3_150698.

Statement of Authorship

CJL and FA jointly developed the experimental design. CJL performed the experiment. CJL conceived the meta-analysis and analyzed all experimental and meta-analytical data. CJL wrote the initial draft of the manuscript and both authors contributed to revisions.

Data Accessibility

Data will be uploaded to Dryad Data Depository upon publication of the manuscript.

References

- Agatz, A., and C. D. Brown. 2014. Variability in feeding of *Gammarus pulex*: moving towards a more standardised feeding assay. *Environmental Sciences Europe* 26:15.
- Alofs, K. M., and D. A. Jackson. 2014. Meta-analysis suggests biotic resistance in freshwater environments is driven by consumption rather than competition. *Ecology* 95:3259–3270.
- Altermatt, F., R. Alther, C. Fišer, J. Jokela, M. Konec, D. Küry, E. Mächler, P. Stucki, and A. M. Westram. 2014. Diversity and distribution of freshwater amphipod species in Switzerland (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *PloS one* 9:e110328.

- 705 Altermatt, F., R. Alther, and E. Mächler. 2016. Spatial patterns of genetic diversity, community
706 composition and occurrence of native and non-native amphipods in naturally replicated
707 tributary streams. *BMC Ecology* 16:23.
- 708 Aßmann, C., K. Rinke, J. Nechwatal, and E. von Elert. 2011. Consequences of the colonisation
709 of leaves by fungi and oomycetes for leaf consumption by a gammarid shredder. *Freshwater*
710 *Biology* 56:839–852.
- 711 Balvanera, P., A. B. Pfisterer, N. Buchmann, J.-S. He, T. Nakashizuka, D. Raffaelli, and B.
712 Schmid. 2006. Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning
713 and services. *Ecology Letters* 9:1146–56.
- 714 Banning, M. 1990. Der RheoIndex- eine Möglichkeit zur Berechnung der Auswirkungen des
715 Flußstaus auf die benthische Lebensgemeinschaft. *Erweiterte Zusammenfassung der*
716 *Jahrestagung der DGL, Essen, Germany.*
- 717 Banning, M. 1998. Auswirkungen des Aufstaus größerer Flüsse auf das Makrozoobenthos
718 dargestellt am Beispiel der Donau. *Essener ökologische Schriften, Band 9, Westarp-Wiss.*
- 719 Bärlocher, F. 1990. Factors that delay colonization of fresh alder leaves by aquatic
720 hyphomycetes. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 119:249–255.
- 721 Beracko, P., A. Sýkorová, and A. Štangler. 2012. Life history, secondary production and
722 population dynamics of *Gammarus fossarum* (Koch, 1836) in a constant temperature
723 stream. *Biologia* 67:164–171.
- 724 Bird, G. A., and N. K. Kaushik. 1985. Processing of elm and maple leaf discs by collectors and
725 shredders in laboratory feeding studies. *Hydrobiologia* 126:109–120.
- 726 Boeker, C., and J. Geist. 2015. Effects of invasive and indigenous amphipods on physico-
727 chemical and microbial properties in freshwater substrates. *Aquatic Ecology* 49:467–480.

- 728 Boyero, L., R. G. Pearson, M. O. Gessner, L. A. Barmuta, V. Ferreira, M. A. S. Graña, D.
729 Dudgeon, A. J. Boulton, M. Callisto, E. Chauvet, J. E. Helson, A. Bruder, R. J. Albariño,
730 C. M. Yule, M. Arunachalam, J. N. Davies, R. Figueroa, A. S. Flecker, A. Ramírez, R. G.
731 Death, T. Iwata, J. M. Mathooko, C. Mathuriau, J. F. Gonçalves, M. S. Moretti, T. Jinggut,
732 S. Lamothe, C. M’Erimba, L. Ratnarajah, M. H. Schindler, J. Castela, L. M. Buria, A.
733 Cornejo, V. D. Villanueva, and D. C. West. 2011. A global experiment suggests climate
734 warming will not accelerate litter decomposition in streams but might reduce carbon
735 sequestration. *Ecology Letters* 14:289–294.
- 736 Van den Brink, F. W. B., G. Van der Velde, and A. Bij de Vaate. 1991. Amphipod invasion on
737 the Rhine. *Nature* 352:576.
- 738 Brose, U., and H. Hillebrand. 2016. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in dynamic
739 landscapes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
740 371:20150267.
- 741 Bruder, A., M. H. Schindler, M. S. Moretti, and M. O. Gessner. 2014. Litter decomposition in a
742 temperate and a tropical stream: The effects of species mixing, litter quality and shredders.
743 *Freshwater Biology* 59:438–449.
- 744 Bundschuh, M., R. Gergs, S. Schadt, and R. Schulz. 2013. Do differences in sensitivity between
745 native and invasive amphipods explain their coexistence in Lake Constance? A case study
746 with lambda-cyhalothrin. *Chemosphere* 92:483–489.
- 747 Bundschuh, M., T. Hahn, M. O. Gessner, and R. Schulz. 2017. Antibiotic mixture effects on
748 growth of the leaf-shredding stream detritivore *Gammarus fossarum*. *Ecotoxicology*
749 26:547–554.
- 750 Bundschuh, M., J. P. Zubrod, S. Kosol, L. Maltby, C. Stang, L. Duester, and R. Schulz. 2011.

- 751 Fungal composition on leaves explains pollutant-mediated indirect effects on amphipod
752 feeding. *Aquatic Toxicology* 104:32–37.
- 753 Calcagno, V. 2013. glmulti: Model selection and multimodel inference made easy.
- 754 Capps, K. A., and A. S. Flecker. 2015. High impact of low-trophic-position invaders: nonnative
755 grazers alter the quality and quantity of basal food resources. *Freshwater Science* 34:784–
756 796.
- 757 Cardinale, B. J., K. L. Matulich, D. U. Hooper, J. E. Byrnes, E. Duffy, L. Gamfeldt, P.
758 Balvanera, M. I. O’Connor, and A. Gonzalez. 2011. The functional role of producer
759 diversity in ecosystems. *American journal of botany* 98:572–92.
- 760 De Castro-Català, N., I. Muñoz, J. L. Riera, and A. T. Ford. 2017. Evidence of low dose effects
761 of the antidepressant fluoxetine and the fungicide prochloraz on the behavior of the
762 keystone freshwater invertebrate *Gammarus pulex*. *Environmental Pollution* 231:406–414.
- 763 Colas, F., J. M. Baudoin, E. Chauvet, H. Clivot, M. Danger, F. A. Guérol, and S. Devin. 2016.
764 Dam-associated multiple-stressor impacts on fungal biomass and richness reveal the initial
765 signs of ecosystem functioning impairment. *Ecological Indicators* 60:1077–1090.
- 766 Collins, S. M., T. J. Kohler, S. A. Thomas, W. W. Fetzer, and A. S. Flecker. 2016. The
767 importance of terrestrial subsidies in stream food webs varies along a stream size gradient.
768 *Oikos* 125:674–685.
- 769 Constable, D., and N. J. Birkby. 2016. The impact of the invasive amphipod *Dikerogammarus*
770 *haemobaphes* on leaf litter processing in UK rivers. *Aquatic Ecology* 50:1–9.
- 771 Coulaud, R., O. Geffard, B. Xuereb, E. Lacaze, H. Quéau, J. Garric, S. Charles, and A. Chaumot.
772 2011. In situ feeding assay with *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea): Modelling the influence
773 of confounding factors to improve water quality biomonitoring. *Water Research* 45:6417–

- 774 6429.
- 775 Creed, R. P., R. P. Cherry, J. R. Pflaum, and C. J. Wood. 2009. Dominant species can produce a
776 negative relationship between species diversity and ecosystem function. *Oikos* 118:723–
777 732.
- 778 Danger, M., J. Arce Funck, S. Devin, J. Heberle, and V. Felten. 2013. Phosphorus content in
779 detritus controls life-history traits of a detritivore. *Functional Ecology* 27:807–815.
- 780 Dangles, O., and E. Chauvet. 2003. Effects of stream acidification on fungal biomass in decaying
781 beech leaves and leaf palatability. *Water Research* 37:533–538.
- 782 Dangles, O., and B. Malmqvist. 2004. Species richness-decomposition relationships depend on
783 species dominance. *Ecology Letters* 7:395–402.
- 784 Dedourge-Geffard, O., L. Charron, C. Hofbauer, V. Gaillet, F. Palais, E. Lacaze, A. Geffard, and
785 O. Geffard. 2013. Temporal patterns of digestive enzyme activities and feeding rate in
786 gammarids (*Gammarus fossarum*) exposed to inland polluted waters. *Ecotoxicology and*
787 *Environmental Safety* 97:139–146.
- 788 Dehedin, A., C. Maazouzi, S. Puijalon, P. Marmonier, and C. Piscart. 2013a. The combined
789 effects of water level reduction and an increase in ammonia concentration on organic matter
790 processing by key freshwater shredders in alluvial wetlands. *Global Change Biology*
791 19:763–774.
- 792 Dehedin, A., C. Piscart, and P. Marmonier. 2013b. Seasonal variations of the effect of
793 temperature on lethal and sublethal toxicities of ammonia for three common freshwater
794 shredders. *Chemosphere* 90:1016–1022.
- 795 Devin, S., C. Piscart, J. N. Beisel, and J. C. Moreteau. 2004. Life History Traits of the Invader
796 *Dikerogammarus villosus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the Moselle River, France.

- 797 International Review of Hydrobiology 89:21–34.
- 798 Didham, R. K., J. M. Tylianakis, N. J. Gemmell, T. A. Rand, and R. M. Ewers. 2007. Interactive
- 799 effects of habitat modification and species invasion on native species decline. Trends in
- 800 Ecology and Evolution 22:489–496.
- 801 Dray, M. W., T. W. Crowther, S. M. Thomas, A. D. A’Bear, D. L. Godbold, S. J. Ormerod, S. E.
- 802 Hartley, and T. H. Jones. 2014. Effects of elevated CO₂ on litter chemistry and subsequent
- 803 invertebrate detritivore feeding responses. PloS one 9:1–7.
- 804 Dunoyer, L., L. Dijoux, L. Bollache, and C. Lagrue. 2014. Effects of crayfish on leaf litter
- 805 breakdown and shredder prey: Are native and introduced species functionally redundant?
- 806 Biological Invasions 16:1545–1555.
- 807 Eder, E., W. Hödl, O. Moog, H. Neumann, P. M., and K. Wittmann. 1995. Crustacea. Page *in*
- 808 O. Moog, editor. Fauna Aquatica Austriaca. Wasserwirtschaftskataster, Bundesministerium
- 809 für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Umwelt und Wasserwirtschaft, Vienna, Austria.
- 810 Ferreira, V., B. Castagnyrol, J. Koricheva, V. Gulis, E. Chauvet, and M. A. S. Graça. 2015. A
- 811 meta-analysis of the effects of nutrient enrichment on litter decomposition in streams.
- 812 Biological Reviews 90:669–688.
- 813 Ferreira, V., J. Koricheva, S. Duarte, D. K. Niyogi, and F. Guðrød. 2016. Effects of
- 814 anthropogenic heavy metal contamination on litter decomposition in streams - A meta-
- 815 analysis. Environmental Pollution 210:261–270.
- 816 Flores, L., Z. Banjac, M. Farré, A. Larrañaga, E. Mas-Martí, I. Muñoz, D. Barceló, and A.
- 817 Elosegi. 2014. Effects of a fungicide (imazalil) and an insecticide (diazinon) on stream
- 818 fungi and invertebrates associated with litter breakdown. Science of the Total Environment
- 819 476–477:532–541.

- 820 Foucreau, N., C. Piscart, S. Puijalon, and F. Hervant. 2013a. Effect of climate-related change in
821 vegetation on leaf litter consumption and energy storage by *Gammarus pulex* from
822 continental or Mediterranean populations. PLoS ONE 8:e77242.
- 823 Foucreau, N., C. Piscart, S. Puijalon, and F. Hervant. 2016. Effects of rising temperature on a
824 functional process: consumption and digestion of leaf litter by a freshwater shredder.
825 Fundamental and Applied Limnology / Archiv für Hydrobiologie 187:295–306.
- 826 Foucreau, N., S. Puijalon, F. Hervant, and C. Piscart. 2013b. Effect of leaf litter characteristics
827 on leaf conditioning and on consumption by *Gammarus pulex*. Freshwater Biology
828 58:1672–1681.
- 829 Franken, R. J. M., B. Waluto, E. T. H. M. Peeters, J. J. P. Gardeniers, J. A. J. Beijer, and M.
830 Scheffer. 2005. Growth of shredders on leaf litter biofilms: The effect of light intensity.
831 Freshwater Biology 50:459–466.
- 832 Friberg, N., and D. Jacobsen. 1994. Feeding plasticity of two detritivore-shredders. Freshwater
833 Biology 32:133–142.
- 834 Früh, D., S. Stoll, and P. Haase. 2012. Physicochemical and morphological degradation of
835 stream and river habitats increases invasion risk. Biological Invasions 14:2243–2253.
- 836 Galic, N., and V. E. Forbes. 2017. Effects of temperature on the performance of a freshwater
837 amphipod. Hydrobiologia 785:35–46.
- 838 Gergs, R., and K. O. Rothhaupt. 2008. Feeding rates, assimilation efficiencies and growth of two
839 amphipod species on biodeposited material from zebra mussels. Freshwater Biology
840 53:2494–2503.
- 841 Gessner, M. O., C. M. Swan, C. K. Dang, B. G. McKie, R. D. Bardgett, D. H. Wall, and S.
842 Hättenschwiler. 2010. Diversity meets decomposition. Trends in Ecology and Evolution

- 843 25:372–380.
- 844 Godbold, J. A., M. Solan, and K. Killham. 2009. Consumer and resource diversity effects on
- 845 marine macroalgal decomposition. *Oikos* 118:77–86.
- 846 Goedmakers, A. 1972. *Gammarus fossarum* Koch, 1835: redescription based on neotype material
- 847 and notes on its local variation (Crustacea, Amphipoda). *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde*
- 848 42:124–138.
- 849 Gonçalves, A. L., E. Chauvet, F. Bärlocher, M. A. S. Graça, and C. Canhoto. 2014. Top-down
- 850 and bottom-up control of litter decomposers in streams. *Freshwater Biology* 59:2172–2182.
- 851 Haddaway, N. R., A. M. Collins, D. Coughlin, and S. Kirk. 2015. The role of Google Scholar in
- 852 evidence reviews and its applicability to grey literature searching. *PLoS ONE* 10:1–17.
- 853 Handa, I. T., R. Aerts, F. Berendse, M. P. Berg, A. Bruder, O. Butenschoen, E. Chauvet, M. O.
- 854 Gessner, J. Jabiol, M. Makkonen, B. G. McKie, B. Malmqvist, E. T. H. M. Peeters, S.
- 855 Scheu, B. Schmid, J. van Ruijven, V. C. a Vos, and S. Hättenschwiler. 2014. Consequences
- 856 of biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. *Nature* 509:218–221.
- 857 Hättenschwiler, S., A. V. Tiunov, and S. Scheu. 2005. Biodiversity and litter decomposition in
- 858 terrestrial ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 36:191–218.
- 859 Hellmann, C., S. Worischka, E. Mehler, J. Becker, R. Gergs, and C. Winkelmann. 2015. The
- 860 trophic function of *Dikerogammarus villosus* (Sowinsky, 1894) in invaded rivers: a case
- 861 study in the Elbe and Rhine. *Aquatic Invasions* 10:385–397.
- 862 Hooper, D., F. S. I. Chapin, J. Ewel, A. Hector, P. Inchausti, S. Lavorel, J. Lawton, D. Lodge, M.
- 863 Loreau, S. Naeem, B. Schmid, H. Setälä, A. Symstad, J. Vandermeer, and D. A. Wardle.
- 864 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge.
- 865 *Ecology* 75:3–35.

- 866 Hothorn, T., F. Bretz, and P. Westfall. 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric
867 models. *Biometrical Journal* 50:346–363.
- 868 Jabiol, J., and E. Chauvet. 2012. Fungi are involved in the effects of litter mixtures on
869 consumption by shredders. *Freshwater Biology* 57:1667–1677.
- 870 Jourdan, J., B. Westerwald, A. Kiechle, W. Chen, B. Streit, S. Klaus, M. Oetken, and M. Plath.
871 2016. Pronounced species turnover, but no functional equivalence in leaf consumption of
872 invasive amphipods in the river Rhine. *Biological Invasions* 18:763–774.
- 873 Kelly, D. W., and J. T. A. Dick. 2005. Effects of environment and an introduced invertebrate
874 species on the structure of benthic macroinvertebrate species at the catchment level. *Archiv*
875 *für Hydrobiologie* 164:69–88.
- 876 Kenna, D., W. N. W. Fincham, A. M. Dunn, L. E. Brown, and C. Hassall. 2017. Antagonistic
877 effects of biological invasion and environmental warming on detritus processing in
878 freshwater ecosystems. *Oecologia* 183:875–886.
- 879 Kley, A., and G. Maier. 2006. Reproductive characteristics of invasive gammarids in the Rhine-
880 Main-Danube catchment, South Germany. *Limnologica* 36:79–90.
- 881 Knapp, G., and J. Hartung. 2003. Improved tests for a random effects meta-regression with a
882 single covariate. *Statistics in Medicine* 22:2693–2710.
- 883 Kominoski, J. S., and C. M. Pringle. 2009. Resource-consumer diversity: Testing the effects of
884 leaf litter species diversity on stream macroinvertebrate communities. *Freshwater Biology*
885 54:1461–1473.
- 886 Krauss, G., K. R. Sridhar, and F. Bärlocher. 2005. Aquatic hyphomycetes and leaf
887 decomposition in contaminated groundwater wells in Central Germany. *Archiv für*
888 *Hydrobiologie* 162:416–428.

- 889 Lagrue, C., J. S. Kominoski, M. Danger, J. M. Baudoin, S. Lamothe, D. Lambrigot, and A.
 890 Lecerf. 2011. Experimental shading alters leaf litter breakdown in streams of contrasting
 891 riparian canopy cover. *Freshwater Biology* 56:2059–2069.
- 892 Lecerf, A., G. Marie, J. S. Kominoski, C. J. Leroy, C. Bernadet, and C. M. Swan. 2011.
 893 Incubation time, functional litter diversity, and habitat characteristics predict litter-mixing
 894 effects on decomposition. *Ecology* 92:160–169.
- 895 Leuven, R. S. E. W., G. van der Velde, I. Baijens, J. Snijders, C. van der Zwart, H. J. R. Lenders,
 896 and A. bij de Vaate. 2009. The river Rhine: A global highway for dispersal of aquatic
 897 invasive species. *Biological Invasions* 11:1989–2008.
- 898 Little, C. J., and F. Altermatt. 2017. Do priority effects outweigh environmental filtering in a
 899 guild of dominant freshwater macroinvertebrates? *bioRxiv*:1–30.
- 900 Loreau, M., S. Naeem, P. Inchausti, J. Bengtsson, J. P. Grime, A. Hector, D. U. Hooper, M. A.
 901 Huston, D. Raffaelli, B. Schmid, D. Tilman, and D. A. Wardle. 2001. Biodiversity and
 902 ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* 294:804–8.
- 903 Maazouzi, C., C. Piscart, F. Legier, and F. Hervant. 2011. Ecophysiological responses to
 904 temperature of the “killer shrimp” *Dikerogammarus villosus*: Is the invader really stronger
 905 than the native *Gammarus pulex*? *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology- Part A :*
 906 *Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 159:268–274.
- 907 MacDougall, A. S., and R. Turkington. 2005. Are invasive species the drivers or passengers of
 908 change in degraded ecosystems? *Ecology* 86:42–55.
- 909 Macedo-Sousa, J. A., J. L. T. Pestana, A. Gerhardt, A. J. A. Nogueira, and A. M. V. M. Soares.
 910 2007. Behavioural and feeding responses of *Echinogammarus meridionalis* (Crustacea,
 911 Amphipoda) to acid mine drainage. *Chemosphere* 67:1663–1670.

- 912 MacNeil, C., J. T. a. Dick, D. Platvoet, and M. Briffa. 2011. Direct and indirect effects of species
913 displacements: an invading freshwater amphipod can disrupt leaf-litter processing and
914 shredder efficiency. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 30:38–48.
- 915 MacNeil, C., and D. Platvoet. 2005. The predatory impact of the freshwater invader
916 *Dikerogammarus villosus* on native *Gammarus pulex* (Crustacea: Amphipoda); influences
917 of differential microdistribution and food resources. *Journal of Zoology* 267:31.
- 918 MacNeil, C., J. Prenter, M. Briffa, N. J. Fielding, J. T. A. Dick, G. E. Riddell, M. J. Hatcher, and
919 A. M. Dunn. 2004. The replacement of a native freshwater amphipod by an invader: roles
920 for environmental degradation and intraguild predation. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
921 *Aquatic Sciences* 61:1627–1635.
- 922 Marchant, R., and H. B. N. Hynes. 1981. The distribution and production of *Gammarus*
923 *pseudolimnaeus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) along a reach of the Credit River, Ontario.
924 *Freshwater Biology* 11:169–182.
- 925 Mas-Martí, E., A. M. Romani, and I. Muñoz. 2015. Consequences of warming and resource
926 quality on the stoichiometry and nutrient cycling of a stream shredder. *PLoS ONE* 10:1–21.
- 927 McKie, B. G., G. Woodward, S. Hladysz, M. Nistorescu, E. Preda, C. Popescu, P. S. Giller, and
928 B. Malmqvist. 2008. Ecosystem functioning in stream assemblages from different regions:
929 Contrasting responses to variation in detritivore richness, evenness and density. *Journal of*
930 *Animal Ecology* 77:495–504.
- 931 Melbourne, B. A., H. V. Cornell, K. F. Davies, C. J. Dugaw, S. Elmendorf, A. L. Freestone, R. J.
932 Hall, S. Harrison, A. Hastings, M. Holland, M. Holyoak, J. Lambrinos, K. Moore, and H.
933 Yokomizo. 2007. Invasion in a heterogeneous world: Resistance, coexistence or hostile
934 takeover? *Ecology Letters* 10:77–94.

- 935 Mitchell, M. G. E., E. M. Bennett, and A. Gonzalez. 2013. Linking landscape connectivity and
 936 ecosystem service provision: Current knowledge and research gaps. *Ecosystems* 16:894–
 937 908.
- 938 Navel, S., L. Simon, C. Lecuyer, F. Fourel, and F. Mermillod-Blondin. 2011. The shredding
 939 activity of gammarids facilitates the processing of organic matter by the subterranean
 940 amphipod *Niphargus rhenorhodanensis*. *Freshwater Biology* 56:481–490.
- 941 Nery, T., and D. Schmera. 2015. The effects of top-down and bottom-up controls on
 942 macroinvertebrate assemblages in headwater streams. *Hydrobiologia* 763:173–181.
- 943 Nyman, A.-M., A. Hintermeister, K. Schirmer, and R. Ashauer. 2013. The insecticide
 944 imidacloprid causes mortality of the freshwater amphipod *Gammarus pulex* by
 945 interfering with feeding behavior. *PloS one* 8:e62472.
- 946 Ortega, Y. K., and D. E. Pearson. 2005. Weak vs. strong invaders of natural plant communities:
 947 Assessing invasibility and impact. *Ecological Applications* 15:651–661.
- 948 Paganelli, D., S. Caronni, A. Marchini, A. Gazzola, and R. Sconfietti. 2016. Dynamics and
 949 population structure of native *Echinogammarus stammeri* (Karaman, 1931) (Crustacea:
 950 Amphipoda) and non-native *Gammarus roeselii* Gervais, 1835 (Crustacea: Amphipoda)
 951 occurring in sympatry in Northern Italy. *Italian Journal of Zoology* 83:563–570.
- 952 Pesce, S., O. Zoghalmi, C. Margoum, J. Artigas, A. Chaumot, and A. Foulquier. 2016. Combined
 953 effects of drought and the fungicide tebuconazole on aquatic leaf litter decomposition.
 954 *Aquatic Toxicology* 173:120–131.
- 955 Pestana, J. L. T., A. Ré, A. J. A. Nogueira, and A. M. V. M. Soares. 2007. Effects of Cadmium
 956 and Zinc on the feeding behaviour of two freshwater crustaceans: *Atyaephyra*
 957 *desmarestii* (Decapoda) and *Echinogammarus meridionalis* (Amphipoda).

- 958 Chemosphere 68:1556–1562.
- 959 Petrin, Z., G. Englund, and B. Malmqvist. 2008. Contrasting effects of anthropogenic and natural
960 acidity in streams: a meta-analysis. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society
961 275:1143–1148.
- 962 Pinkster, S. 1973. The Echinogammarus berilloni-group, a number of predominantly iberian
963 amphipod species (Crustacea). Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde 43:1–38.
- 964 Piscart, C., R. Genoel, S. Doledec, E. Chauvet, and P. Marmonier. 2009. Effects of intense
965 agricultural practices on heterotrophic processes in streams. Environmental Pollution
966 157:1011–1018.
- 967 Piscart, C., F. Mermillod-Blondin, C. Maazouzi, S. Merigoux, and P. Marmonier. 2011. Potential
968 impact of invasive amphipods on leaf litter recycling in aquatic ecosystems. Biological
969 Invasions 13:2861–2868.
- 970 Pöckl, M. 2007. Strategies of a successful new invader in European fresh waters: Fecundity and
971 reproductive potential of the Ponto-Caspian amphipod Dikerogammarus villosus in the
972 Austrian Danube, compared with the indigenous Gammarus fossarum and G. roeseli.
973 Freshwater Biology 52:50–63.
- 974 Pöckl, M. 2009. Success of the invasive Ponto-caspian amphipod <i>Dikerogammarus
975 villosus</i> by life history traits and reproductive capacity. Biological Invasions 11:2021–
976 2041.
- 977 Pöckl, M., and U. H. Humpesch. 1990. Intra- and inter-specific variations in egg survival and
978 brood development time for Austrian populations of Gammarus fossarum and G. roeseli
979 (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Freshwater Biology 23:441–455.
- 980 Pöckl, M., B. W. Webb, and D. W. Sutcliffe. 2003. Life history and reproductive capacity of

- 981 Gammarus fossarum and G. roeseli (Crustacea: Amphipoda) under naturally fluctuating
- 982 water temperatures: a simulation study. Freshwater Biology 48:53–66.
- 983 Quintaneiro, C., J. Ranville, and A. J. A. Nogueira. 2015. Effects of the essential metals copper
- 984 and zinc in two freshwater detritivores species: Biochemical approach. Ecotoxicology and
- 985 Environmental Safety 118:37–46.
- 986 R Core Team. 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
- 987 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 988 Reiss, J., R. A. Bailey, D. M. Perkins, A. Pluchinotta, and G. Woodward. 2011. Testing effects
- 989 of consumer richness, evenness and body size on ecosystem functioning. Journal of Animal
- 990 Ecology 80:1145–1154.
- 991 Ricciardi, A., and H. J. MacIsaac. 2000. Recent mass invasion of the North American Great
- 992 Lakes by Ponto-Caspian species. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 15:62–65.
- 993 Van Riel, M. C., G. Van Der Velde, S. Rajagopal, S. Marguillier, F. Dehairs, and A. Bij de
- 994 Vaate. 2006. Trophic relationships in the Rhine food web during invasion and after
- 995 establishment of the Ponto-Caspian invader *Dikerogammarus villosus*.
- 996 Hydrobiologia 565:39–58.
- 997 Rigling, A., and H. P. (Eds) Schaffer. 2015. Condition and Use of Swiss Forests.
- 998 Sainte-Marie, B. 1991. A review of the reproductive bionomics of aquatic gammaridean
- 999 amphipods: variation of life history traits with latitude, depth, salinity and superfamily.
- 1000 Hydrobiologia 223:189–227.
- 1001 Santschi, F., I. Gounand, E. Harvey, and F. Altermatt. (n.d.). Leaf litter diversity and structure of
- 1002 microbial decomposer communities modulate litter decomposition in aquatic systems.
- 1003 Functional Ecology.

- 1004 Schlieff, J., and M. Mutz. 2006. Palatability of leaves conditioned in streams affected by mine
1005 drainage: A feeding experiment with *Gammarus pulex* (L.). *Hydrobiologia* 563:445–452.
- 1006 Schmedtje, U., and M. Colling. 1996. Ökologische Typisierung der aquatischen Makrofauna.
1007 Informationsberichte des Bayerischen Landesamtes für Wasserwirtschaft, Munich,
1008 Germany.
- 1009 Schmidlin, L., S. von Fumetti, and P. Nagel. 2015a. Effects of increased temperatures on
1010 *Gammarus fossarum* under the influence of copper sulphate. *Ecotoxicology* 24:433–444.
- 1011 Schmidlin, L., S. von Fumetti, and P. Nagel. 2015b. Temperature effects on the feeding and
1012 electron transport system (ETS) activity of *Gammarus fossarum*. *Aquatic Ecology* 49:71–
1013 80.
- 1014 Schmidlin, L., S. von Fumetti, and P. Nagel. 2015c. Copper sulphate reduces the metabolic
1015 activity of *Gammarus fossarum* in laboratory and field experiments. *Aquatic Toxicology*
1016 161:138–145.
- 1017 Schmidt-Kloiber, A., and D. Hering. 2015. www.freshwaterecology.info - An online tool that
1018 unifies, standardises and codifies more than 20,000 European freshwater organisms and
1019 their ecological preferences. *Ecological Indicators* 53:271–282.
- 1020 Shea, K., and P. Chesson. 2002. Community ecology theory as a framework for biological
1021 invasions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17:170–176.
- 1022 Šidagytė, E., S. Solovjova, V. Šniaukškaitė, A. Šiaulys, S. Olenin, and K. Arbačiauskas. 2017.
1023 The killer shrimp *Dikerogammarus villosus* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) invades Lithuanian
1024 waters, South-Eastern Baltic Sea. *Oceanologia* 59:85–91.
- 1025 Sridhar, K. R., and F. Bärlocher. 1993. Seasonal changes in microbial colonization of fresh and
1026 dried leaves. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 128:1–12.

- 1027 Sridhar, K. R., G. Krauss, F. Bärlocher, N. S. Raviraja, R. Wennrich, R. Baumbach, and G. J.
1028 Krauss. 2001. Decomposition of alder leaves in two heavy metal-polluted streams in central
1029 Germany. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 26:73–80.
- 1030 Stachowicz, J. J., R. B. Whitlatch, and R. W. Osman. 1999. Species Diversity and Invasion
1031 Resistance in a Marine Ecosystem. *Science* 286:1577–1579.
- 1032 Strayer, D. L. 2010. Alien species in fresh waters: Ecological effects, interactions with other
1033 stressors, and prospects for the future. *Freshwater Biology* 55:152–174.
- 1034 Sutcliffe, D. W., T. R. Carrick, and L. G. Willoughby. 1981. Effects of diet, body size, age and
1035 temperature on growth rates in the amphipod *Gammarus pulex*. *Freshwater Biology*
1036 11:183–214.
- 1037 Swan, C. M. 2011. Consumer presence and resource diversity independently induce stability of
1038 ecosystem function in a Piedmont stream. *Ecosphere* 2:art136.
- 1039 Swan, C. M., M. A. Gluth, and C. L. Horne. 2009. Leaf litter species evenness influences
1040 nonadditive breakdown in a headwater stream. *Ecology* 90:1650–1658.
- 1041 Swan, C. M., and M. A. Palmer. 2006. Preferential feeding by an aquatic consumer mediates
1042 non-additive decomposition of speciose leaf litter. *Oecologia* 149:107–114.
- 1043 Tachet, H., P. Richoux, M. Bournaud, and P. Usseglio-Polatera. 2000. Invertébrés d’eau douce:
1044 systématique, biologie, écologie. CNRS editions, Paris, France.
- 1045 Thébault, E., and M. Loreau. 2006. The relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem
1046 functioning in food webs. *Ecological Research* 21:17–25.
- 1047 Thompson, M. S. A., C. Bankier, T. Bell, A. J. Dumbrell, C. Gray, M. E. Ledger, K. Lehmann,
1048 B. A. McKew, C. D. Sayer, F. Shelley, M. Trimmer, S. L. Warren, and G. Woodward.
1049 2016a. Gene-to-ecosystem impacts of a catastrophic pesticide spill: testing a multilevel

- 1050 bioassessment approach in a river ecosystem. *Freshwater Biology* 61:2037–2050.
- 1051 Thompson, P. L., B. Rayfield, and A. Gonzalez. 2016b. Loss of habitat and connectivity erodes
- 1052 species diversity, ecosystem functioning, and stability in metacommunity networks.
- 1053 *Ecography*:98–108.
- 1054 Tilman, D., F. Isbell, and J. M. Cowles. 2014. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annual*
- 1055 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 45:471–493.
- 1056 Truhlar, A. M., J. A. Dodd, and D. C. Aldridge. 2014. Differential leaf-litter processing by native
- 1057 (*Gammarus pulex*) and invasive (*Dikerogammarus villosus*) freshwater crustaceans under
- 1058 environmental extremes. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 24:56–
- 1059 65.
- 1060 Väinölä, R., J. D. S. Witt, M. Grabowski, J. H. Bradbury, K. Jazdzewski, and B. Sket. 2007.
- 1061 Global diversity of amphipods (Amphipoda; Crustacea) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia*
- 1062 595:241–255.
- 1063 Viechtbauer, W. 2010. Conducting meta-analyses in {R} with the {metafor} package. *Journal of*
- 1064 *Statistical Software* 36:1–48.
- 1065 Wallace, J. B., S. L. Eggert, J. L. Meyer, and J. R. Webster. 1997. Multiple trophic levels of a
- 1066 forest stream linked to terrestrial litter inputs. *Science* 277:102–104.
- 1067 Wallace, J. B., and J. R. Webster. 1996. The role of macroinvertebrates in stream ecosystem
- 1068 function. *Annual Review of Entomology* 41:115–139.
- 1069 Waters, T. F., and J. C. Hokenstrom. 1980. Annual Production and Drift of the Stream
- 1070 Amphipod *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus* in Valley Creek, Minnesota. *Limnology and*
- 1071 *Oceanography* 25:700–710.
- 1072 Webster, J. R., and E. F. Benfield. 1986. Vascular plant breakdown in freshwater ecosystems.

- 1073 Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 17:567–594.
- 1074 Woodward, G., and A. G. Hildrew. 2001. Invasion of a stream food web by a new top predator.
- 1075 Journal of Animal Ecology 70:273–288.
- 1076 Woodward, G., G. Papantoniou, F. Edwards, and R. B. Lauridsen. 2008. Trophic trickles and
- 1077 cascades in a complex food web: impacts of a keystone predator on stream community
- 1078 structure and ecosystem process. Oikos 117:683–692.
- 1079 Working group Aquatic Ecology (University Duisburg Essen). 2017. Dataset “Crustacea.”
- 1080 www.freshwaterecology.info.
- 1081 Zubrod, J. P., M. Bundschuh, and R. Schulz. 2010. Effects of subchronic fungicide exposure on
- 1082 the energy processing of *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea; Amphipoda). Ecotoxicology and
- 1083 Environmental Safety 73:1674–1680.
- 1084 Zubrod, J. P., R. Bundschuh, D. Englert, M. Rohrberg, M. V. Wieczorek, N. Bakanov, R. Schulz,
- 1085 and M. Bundschuh. 2017a. Transient effects following peak exposures towards pesticides -
- 1086 An explanation for the unresponsiveness of in situ measured functional variables.
- 1087 Environmental Pollution:1–5.
- 1088 Zubrod, J. P., D. Englert, S. Lüderwald, S. Poganiuch, R. Schulz, and M. Bundschuh. 2017b.
- 1089 History Matters: Pre-Exposure to Wastewater Enhances Pesticide Toxicity in Invertebrates.
- 1090 Environmental Science and Technology 51:9280–9287.
- 1091 Zubrod, J. P., D. Englert, R. R. Rosenfeldt, J. Wolfram, S. Lüderwald, D. Wallace, N. Schnetzer,
- 1092 R. Schulz, and M. Bundschuh. 2015. The relative importance of diet-related and waterborne
- 1093 effects of copper for a leaf-shredding invertebrate. Environmental Pollution 205:16–22.
- 1094