



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2018

---

## **Spatio-temporal trends and trade-offs in ecosystem services: An Earth observation based assessment for Switzerland between 2004 and 2014**

Braun, Daniela ; Damm, Alexander ; Hein, Lars ; Petchey, Owen L ; Schaepman, Michael E

**Abstract:** Understanding and monitoring pressures on ecosystems and their consequences for ecosystem services (ES) is essential for management decisions and verification of progress towards national and international policies (e.g. Aichi Biodiversity Targets, Sustainable Development Goals). Remote sensing (RS) offers a unique capability to assess ES systematically and regularly across spatial and temporal scales. We aim to evaluate the benefits of RS to monitor spatio-temporal variations of ES by assessing several ES in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. We coupled mechanistic ES models and RS data to estimate time series of three regulating (i.e. carbon dioxide regulation (CO<sub>2</sub>R), soil erosion prevention (SEP), and air quality regulation (AQR)) and one cultural ES (re-creational hiking (RH)). The resulting ES were used to assess spatial and temporal changes, trade-offs and synergies of ES potential supply and flow in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. Resulting ES trends showed diverse spatial patterns across Switzerland with largest changes in CO<sub>2</sub>R and AQR. ES interactions revealed a scale and elevation dependency. We identified weak to strong synergies between all ES combinations except for trade-offs between CO<sub>2</sub>R–AQR and AQR–RH at Swiss scale. Spatially, all ES interactions revealed a heterogeneous mix of synergies and trade-offs within Switzerland. Our results demonstrate the strength of RS for systematic and regular spatio-temporal ES monitoring and contribute insights to the large potential of RS, which will be extended with future Earth observation missions. Derived spatially explicit ES information will facilitate decision-making in landscape planning and conservation and will allow examining progress towards environmental policies.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.016>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-150262>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:


Braun, Daniela; Damm, Alexander; Hein, Lars; Petchey, Owen L; Schaepman, Michael E (2018). Spatio-temporal trends and trade-offs in ecosystem services: An Earth observation based assessment for Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. *Ecological Indicators*, 89:828–839.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.016>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Ecological Indicators

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolind](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolind)

## Research paper

## Spatio-temporal trends and trade-offs in ecosystem services: An Earth observation based assessment for Switzerland between 2004 and 2014

Daniela Braun<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alexander Damm<sup>a,b</sup>, Lars Hein<sup>c</sup>, Owen L. Petchey<sup>d</sup>, Michael E. Schaepman<sup>a</sup><sup>a</sup> Remote Sensing Laboratories, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland<sup>b</sup> Department of Surface Waters – Research and Management, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland<sup>c</sup> Environmental Systems Analysis Group, Wageningen University, PO Box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands<sup>d</sup> Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Regulating services

Cultural services

Remote sensing

MODIS

Time series

Synergies

## ABSTRACT

Understanding and monitoring pressures on ecosystems and their consequences for ecosystem services (ES) is essential for management decisions and verification of progress towards national and international policies (e.g. Aichi Biodiversity Targets, Sustainable Development Goals). Remote sensing (RS) offers a unique capability to assess ES systematically and regularly across spatial and temporal scales. We aim to evaluate the benefits of RS to monitor spatio-temporal variations of ES by assessing several ES in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. We coupled mechanistic ES models and RS data to estimate time series of three regulating (i.e. carbon dioxide regulation (CO<sub>2</sub>R), soil erosion prevention (SEP), and air quality regulation (AQR)) and one cultural ES (recreational hiking (RH)). The resulting ES were used to assess spatial and temporal changes, trade-offs and synergies of ES potential supply and flow in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. Resulting ES trends showed diverse spatial patterns across Switzerland with largest changes in CO<sub>2</sub>R and AQR. ES interactions revealed a scale and elevation dependency. We identified weak to strong synergies between all ES combinations except for trade-offs between CO<sub>2</sub>R–AQR and AQR–RH at Swiss scale. Spatially, all ES interactions revealed a heterogeneous mix of synergies and trade-offs within Switzerland.

Our results demonstrate the strength of RS for systematic and regular spatio-temporal ES monitoring and contribute insights to the large potential of RS, which will be extended with future Earth observation missions. Derived spatially explicit ES information will facilitate decision-making in landscape planning and conservation and will allow examining progress towards environmental policies.

## 1. Introduction

Safe planetary boundaries of several Earth system processes, in particular biogeochemical flows of phosphorus and nitrogen, land use change, climate change, and freshwater use have already been crossed (Steffen et al., 2015). Associated and often irreversible environmental change influences ecosystems and the services they supply in multiple ways. These modifications emphasize the need and importance of monitoring and protecting ecosystems and ecosystem services (ES) (MA, 2005). Additionally, assessing changes in ecosystem services has become crucial for current environmental policies like IPBES (Díaz et al., 2015), the Aichi Biodiversity Targets (Convention on Biological Diversity, 2010), the European Union Biodiversity Strategy (European Commission, 2011) and the Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2015) that aim to ensure sustainable development of socio-ecological systems. Such environmental policies particularly require

regular and systematic monitoring of ES to improve management decisions and to verify progress towards environmental policies and effectiveness of conservation measures and payment schemes (Scullion et al., 2011). However, required ES information is often complex as not only a single ES is of interest, but knowledge of multiple ES and their interactions is needed (Bennett et al., 2009). Additionally, appropriate methods linking ES to processes in ecosystems are still lacking (Lavorel et al., 2017). As consequence, dedicated monitoring systems for regular and systematic monitoring of ES are still missing.

One promising concept for assessing spatio-temporal changes in ecosystems and related ES to foster sustainable development is ecosystem accounting (European Commission et al., 2013). It is considered as an accounting framework that complements existing national accounts thereby acting as global comprehensive statistical standard for measuring economic activity. The development of ecosystem accounting as complementing framework to national accounts involves

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [daniela.braun@geo.uzh.ch](mailto:daniela.braun@geo.uzh.ch) (D. Braun).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.016>Received 13 June 2017; Received in revised form 5 September 2017; Accepted 10 October 2017  
1470-160X/ © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.




Fig. 1. The study area Switzerland with its different geographical regions. A digital elevation model is used as background and spatial data of Swiss lakes (courtesy of Swisstopo).

valuing the contribution of ES to human well-being in biophysical and economic terms. Ecosystem accounting relies on approaches to spatially measure and continuously monitor the conditions of ecosystems, their capacity to sustainably provide ES, and the effective flow of ES to society (Hein et al., 2015). Ensuring sustainable development of socio-ecological systems requires understanding and assessing the spatial and temporal changes in ES for improved management decisions and verification of progress towards national and international policies (e.g. Aichi Biodiversity Targets, Sustainable Development Goals). To accomplish this, the guidelines of the System for Environmental Economic Accounts Experimental Ecosystem Accounting (SEEA EEA) stress the need to capture spatial heterogeneity in ecosystems and related services (European Commission et al., 2013). The Ecosystem Accounting framework differentiates between ES flow, the amount of a service used by humans in a given time period, and potential supply defined as amount of a service generated by an ecosystem irrespective of its human use (Hein et al., 2016). Investigating both aspects is important to assess independently changes in ecosystem processes and in human use of ES.

Spatial ES modeling and mapping approaches have rapidly evolved in the past two decades covering a wide range of ES mapping approaches across various spatial scales (Burkhard et al., 2012; Maes et al., 2016; Malinga et al., 2015; Rabe et al., 2016; Schröter et al., 2014). Information of intra- and inter-ecosystem variability and related ES is essential to ensure sustainable development of socio-ecological systems, e.g. by improved management decisions and by the implementation of ecosystem accounting. However, there is a lack of accurate spatially explicit ES quantifications at larger scales (Lavorel et al., 2017). This justifies the request for new ES mapping approaches providing quantitative ES data compared to existing proxy indicators or models relying on land cover and expert judgments (Remme et al., 2014).

We argue that the use of remote sensing (RS) data offers new pathways for ecosystem accounting, particularly for the monitoring of status and trends in ecosystem conditions and ES across space and time.

The large potential of RS for monitoring and mapping ecosystem services and biodiversity is already widely recognized (Cord et al., 2017; Cord et al., 2015; Pettorelli et al., 2016; Skidmore et al., 2015; Tallis et al., 2012). The integration of RS in ES models is, however, less elaborated compared to ES assessments without RS data. Three main gaps for ES monitoring can be identified: i) Land use and land cover are still the most common RS information used in ES models (e.g. InVEST (Sharp et al., 2016), ARIES (Villa et al., 2014)) (de Araujo Barbosa et al., 2015; Lavorel et al., 2017), often resulting in underestimated intra-class heterogeneity in ES supply due to the assumption of the same biophysical values per land cover class (Eigenbrod et al., 2010). Some recent studies started extracting the spatial explicitness of RS data to overcome this problem and consider spatial heterogeneity of ES in their mapping approaches (Braun et al., 2017; Remme et al., 2014; Schröter et al., 2014; Strauch and Volk, 2013). Nevertheless, there remains a lack of quantitative approaches that link ES to ecosystem processes at larger scales (Lavorel et al., 2017). ii) Many studies neglect the advantage of large temporal coverage by RS products. Nearly 30 % of ES studies using Earth observation data, are based upon monotemporal imagery and 56 % of the studies covered only 10 years or less (de Araujo Barbosa et al., 2015). iii) A particular lack is related to RS based assessments of multiple ES across space and time.

With this study we make a contribution to a requested paradigm shift in ES assessment from purely mapping to spatially explicit monitoring of ES (Cord et al., 2017; Cord et al., 2015; Karp et al., 2015; Tallis et al., 2012). In this study, we aim at demonstrating the contribution of RS to quantitative and spatially explicit ES monitoring for sustainable development and natural resource management. This is demonstrated by investigating spatio-temporal trends in potential supply and flow of ES in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014 using the ecosystem accounting framework. We applied mechanistic models in combination with RS data to estimate three regulating services, i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> regulation (CO<sub>2</sub>R), soil erosion prevention (SEP), air quality regulation (AQR), as well as the cultural service recreational hiking (RH). We use

the obtained ES to assess spatio-temporal changes in ES potential supply and flow and to quantify ES trade-offs and synergies in Switzerland between 2004 and 2014.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Study area

Switzerland is located in the center of Europe with an area of approximately 4.1 million ha and an altitudinal range from 196 m to 4634 m above sea level (a.s.l.). The country can be divided into four biogeographical regions: Jura, Swiss Midlands, Central Alps, and Southern Alps (Fig. 1). Land use and land cover are characterized by urban and settled area covering 7.5 % of the surface area, agriculture (35.8 %), wooded areas (31.3%), surface water (4.3 %), and remaining natural environment (21.0 %) (Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2016). During the last 25 years, Swiss landscape has constantly changed due to urban expansion particularly in the Swiss Midlands (Jaeger and Schwick, 2014), and due to land abandonment in Alpine areas followed by expansion of wooded areas (Gellrich et al., 2007).

### 2.2. Remote sensing data

Several products derived from data of the Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program Operational Linescan System (DMSP-OLS) were used to assess the various ES and their spatio-temporal changes.

We utilized the MODIS products “Land cover” (MCD12Q1) (Friedl et al., 2010), “NDVI” (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) (MOD13A1) (Didan, 2015), “LAI” (Leaf Area Index) (MOD15A2H) (Myneni et al., 2015), and “NPP” (Net Primary Production) (MOD17A3H) (Running et al., 2015), all of them belonging to product version #006 except for the “land cover” product that belongs to version #055. The data have a spatial resolution of 500 m, a temporal resolution of 16 days (16 day-composites) and cover the time period from 2004 until 2014

(cf. [https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset\\_discovery/modis/modis\\_products\\_table](https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table)).

Additionally, we used a time series of the DMSP-OLS nighttime product “stable lights” (version #4) with a global spatial resolution of 0.0083° from 2005 to 2013 (<https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/eog/dmsp/downloadV4composites.html>). The product contains light emissions from cities, towns, and other sites with persistent lighting. Temporary light emissions, such as fires and background noise, are excluded from the product. We resampled the data set to 500 m spatial resolution using bilinear interpolation.

### 2.3. Ecosystem services models

Following SEEA EEA (European Commission et al., 2013), ES are contributions of ecosystems to human benefits. The aim of ecosystem accounting is to measure ES in a manner that facilitates integration with national accounts (Hein et al., 2015). In this context, the SEEA EEA framework suggests the quantification of ecosystem assets to particularly determine ES potential supply and in combination with the use by people, ES flow (European Commission et al., 2013). Potential supply and flow differ for provisioning, regulating and cultural ES. While there is often a spatial match for most provisioning services between ES potential supply and flow related to resource extraction, potential supply of regulating services covers sometimes larger areas than ES flow. Soil erosion prevention of a meadow, for example, provides both ES potential supply and flow as it is used for farming. However, the same potential supply provided by an alpine grassland in an inaccessible area results in no ES flow, as the ES potential supply is not used by people. Similarly, cultural ecosystem services often provide a high potential supply in services, such as aesthetic beauty or recreation, but the, partly

conscious, lack of infrastructure to access untouched nature results in low ES flow.

We selected four ES according to four criteria and mapped their potential supply and flow between 2004 and 2014. The selection criteria include that ES should (i) cover different Earth spheres (e.g. biosphere, atmosphere and pedosphere), (ii) be relevant to the well-being of Swiss inhabitants, (iii) contain a RS input, which allows regular and spatially explicit monitoring of the respective ES, and (iv) require additional *in situ*, modeled or literature data that were available at the appropriate spatial and temporal resolution.

#### 2.3.1. Carbon dioxide regulation ( $CO_2R$ )

The process of plant photosynthesis determines vegetated ecosystems as crucial for the global carbon cycle: Vegetation acts as sink of atmospheric  $CO_2$  and allows mitigating trends and effects of rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  by human activity (i.e. burn of fossil fuels, land cover change, deforestation). Here, we define carbon dioxide regulation ( $CO_2R$ ) of ecosystems as net ecosystem production (NEP) of all vegetated areas (i.e. forest, agricultural and other ecosystems) to receive a spatially continuous ES map. We consider neither harvest losses due to a lack of temporally and spatially explicit harvest data nor carbon losses from fires, since they are very rare in Switzerland. NEP ( $g\ C\ m^{-2}\ yr^{-1}$ ) was calculated as difference of annual net primary production (NPP) ( $g\ C\ m^{-2}\ yr^{-1}$ ) and annual soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) ( $g\ C\ m^{-2}\ yr^{-1}$ ) as:

$$CO_2R = NEP = NPP - R_s, \text{ with} \quad (1)$$

$$R_s = \Sigma 1.250 \cdot e^{(0.05452 \cdot T_a)} \cdot \left[ \frac{P}{4.259 + P} \right] \quad (2)$$

Annual NPP was derived from the annual MODIS NPP (MOD17A3H) product.  $R_s$  was estimated as yearly sum according to Raich et al. (2002) based on 1 km spatial mean monthly air temperature ( $T_a$ ) ( $^{\circ}C$ ) and mean monthly precipitation ( $P$ ) (mm) data from 2004 to 2014 (MeteoSwiss, 2013) and was downscaled to 500 m.

The actual use of this ES is global and relatively indirect, so we assume that the potential supply of carbon dioxide regulation directly translates into its ES flow, determining ES potential supply and flow as the same.

#### 2.3.2. Air quality regulation (AQR)

Air pollution can severely affect human health in various ways (Künzli et al., 2010; Rückerl et al., 2011). One of the most important and well-documented atmospheric pollutants in Switzerland is particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ) (Eeftens et al., 2015).  $PM_{10}$  is defined as particulate matter with a diameter of less than  $10\ \mu m$  and is detrimental to human health already at low concentrations. Vegetation has the ability to remove  $PM_{10}$  from the atmosphere (Manes et al., 2016) and consequently decrease human health risks (Powe and Willis, 2004). We define the potential supply of air quality regulation (AQR) as yearly sum of vertical capture of  $PM_{10}$  per  $m^3$  by an ecosystem as (adapted from Nowak, 1994 and Manes et al., 2016):

$$AQR = \sum C \cdot V_d \cdot LAI \cdot T \cdot 0.5, \quad (3)$$

where  $C$  is the  $PM_{10}$  concentration in the air ( $\mu g\ m^{-3}$ ),  $V_d$  is the dry deposition velocity for  $PM_{10}$  ( $m\ s^{-1}$ ),  $LAI$  is the leaf area index ( $m^2\ m^{-2}$ ),  $T$  is the time step (s) corresponding to one year, and 0.5 is the suspension rate of deposited  $PM_{10}$  returning back to the atmosphere (Zinke, 1967).

We allocated different  $V_d$  values according to different land cover types following Remme et al. (2014):  $0.0080\ m\ s^{-1}$  for needle-leaved forest,  $0.0032\ m\ s^{-1}$  for broad-leaved forest,  $0.0010\ m\ s^{-1}$  for grassland, cropland and other nature, and  $0\ m\ s^{-1}$  for water and urban infrastructure.

The Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (2015) provided modeled annual  $PM_{10}$  concentrations for Switzerland based on 72



measurement stations resulting in a spatial resolution of 200 m. For the LAI, the MODIS LAI product (MOD15A2H) was used. Potential supply of air quality regulation is defined as removal of PM<sub>10</sub> from the atmosphere due to vegetation. ES flow is the actual use of this service, so the utilization of filtered air by humans. Following Hein et al. (2016), potential ecosystem service supply registers strictly what the ecosystem does, in a given biophysical environment, without considering if people benefit from the service or not. Air filtration leads to an actual service supply (and a benefit) if there are people living in the area where pollution levels are lower because of air filtration by vegetation. If air filtration leads to cleaner air in a place where no-one is living or (virtually) no-one is ever visiting then it is a potential but not an actual service. Therefore, we calculated the flow of AQR as its potential supply but restricted to urban (i.e. residential) areas including a buffer area of 1 km following (Remme et al., 2014). In these areas people live and spend most of their time, consequently consuming the ES AQR. We assume that in other areas this ES flow is negligible small due to low duration of stay by people.

### 2.3.3. Soil erosion prevention (SEP)

Soil erosion by water is still a main environmental problem and causes strong economic losses in agriculture by the loss of fertile topsoil (CEC, 2006). In Switzerland, the problem was widely recognized but research mainly focused on soil erosion risk (Prasuhn et al., 2013). The ES of soil erosion prevention (SEP) has not been investigated so far in Switzerland. We define the potential supply of SEP ( $E_{pot}$ ) in tonnes per hectare ( $t\ ha^{-1}$ ) according to Guerra et al. (2016) as the difference between the structural impact Y (i.e. the total soil erosion impact in absence of SEP = potential soil erosion) and the remaining impact not mitigated by this ES  $\beta$  (i.e. the remaining soil erosion that was not regulated by SEP) as:

$$E_{pot} = Y - \beta = Y(1 - C_v), \text{ with} \quad (4)$$

$$Y = R \cdot K \cdot LS \quad (5)$$

Y is the structural impact ( $tha^{-1}$ ),  $C_v$  is the vegetation cover (–), R is the rainfall erosivity ( $MJ\ mm\ ha^{-1}\ h^{-1}$ ), K is the soil erodibility ( $t\ ha\ h\ ha^{-1}\ MJ^{-1}\ mm^{-1}$ ), and LS describes the effect of topography on soil erosion (–) with L representing the impact of slope length and S quantifying the effect of slope steepness. Vegetation cover  $C_v$  was estimated as function of NDVI as suggested by Guerra et al. (2016):

$$C_v = \exp\left[-2 \cdot \frac{NDVI}{(1 - NDVI)}\right] \quad (6)$$

Annual rainfall erosivity and soil erodibility of Switzerland were provided as spatial data with a resolution of 500 m by the Joint Research Center (JRC) European Soil Data Centre (ESDAC) (Panagos et al., 2015a; Panagos et al., 2014). We estimated the length and steepness factor according to Panagos et al. (2015b) by using a digital elevation model (DEM) of 25 m spatial resolution as input to the terrain analysis module of the SAGA (System for Automatic Geoscientific Analyses) software, which incorporates a multi flow algorithm to estimate LS (Pilesjö and Hasan, 2014). The ES flow of soil erosion prevention ( $E_{flow}$ ) was equal to its potential supply in agricultural areas and forests. In these ecosystems humans use SEP and benefit from avoided soil loss on their agricultural fields and in forests. Therefore, the flow of this ES was estimated by clipping its potential supply to agricultural areas and forest using the MODIS land cover product (MCD12Q1).

### 2.3.4. Recreational hiking (RH)

The Swiss landscape is well known for its nature recreation potential. Particularly hiking is a popular outdoor activity, while about 44 % of 15–74 years old Swiss inhabitants regularly spend time on the approximately 65'000 km long network of hiking trails in Switzerland (Bundesamt für Strassen (ASTRA) und Schweizer Wanderwege, 2015).

We estimate the potential supply of recreational hiking ( $RH_{pot}$ ) using a model based on a simple ratio of hiking path density as infrastructural parameter and RS derived nighttime stable lights (NSL), a parameter describing the natural- and remoteness of a landscape, as:

$$RH_{pot} = \frac{PD}{1 + NSL}, \quad (7)$$

where PD is the hiking path density as length of path per pixel (km). NSL (–) are derived from DMSP-OLS satellite data.

The flow of RH ( $RH_{flow}$ ) was estimated based on its potential supply in combination with preferences of hikers derived from the Flickr data as:

$$RH_{flow} = \frac{PD \cdot (1 + PUD)}{1 + NSL}, \quad (8)$$

where PD was multiplied by PUD, the photo user days estimated per pixel (–). All variables of the equations were scaled between 0 and 1.

We estimated PUD by applying the recreation model of InVEST (Sharp et al., 2016), a widely used open source ecosystem service toolbox. The model calculates the distribution of photo-user-days (PUD) for recreation based on geo-tagged photographs posted on the photo-sharing website Flickr ([www.flickr.com](http://www.flickr.com)) (see Fig. A1 in Supplementary data). This results in a proxy for visitation frequency and preferences of hiking trails (Keeler et al., 2015; Wood et al., 2013). We defined April to October as core hiking season and summarized PUD during these months. We normalized PUD by the average PUD per year to account for the increasing use of Flickr data during our investigation period. As Flickr data were first available in 2005, RH was computed from 2005 to 2013.

## 2.4. Spatial and temporal analysis of ecosystem services

Annual spatial maps of retrieved ES were used to quantify the temporal variability of each ES in Switzerland and its four regions (Fig. 1). Therefore, we calculated the annual mean value of ES potential supply and flow per biogeographical region and represented results as time series.

Trends of ES between 2004 and 2014 were analyzed for all ES and spatially represented. We applied a linear regression analysis per pixel; slopes above zero indicated an increase of the respective ES over time and values below zero showed a decreasing ES trend. Results were represented in a map and were later utilized to calculate average ES trends for Switzerland and each Swiss region.

Additionally, annual total ES potential supply and flow of Switzerland were calculated and stratified by four ecosystem types, namely forest, grassland, agriculture and urban. Ecosystem types were selected from raster-based CORINE land cover 2006 (250 m) (Steinmeier, 2013) and resampled to 500 m spatial resolution based on majority count of the pixel and its nearest neighbours. We selected this classification to stratify the different ecosystem types during the investigation period, since land use change per year in Switzerland is negligibly small with approximately 1 % (Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2016).

Annual spatial maps of ES per year were used to estimate ES relationships and their temporal change during the investigation period. We identified ES synergies and trade-offs among ES potential supply and flow for all pair-wise combinations of ES by calculating annual Pearson correlation coefficients. A synergy between two ES occurs if a high value of one ES correspond to a high value of another ES (Bennett et al., 2009). In this case, the Pearson correlation coefficient is larger than 0. A trade-off between two ES occurs if a certain ES value corresponds to an opposite value of another ES (Rodríguez et al., 2006), resulting in a Pearson correlation coefficient smaller than 0. Annual maps of Pearson correlation coefficients per ES pair combination were calculated at national and regional scale and visualized as time series.

Temporal ES synergies and trade-offs were analyzed for all ES pair

**Table 1**

Overview of investigated ecosystem services (ES), their potential supply (P) and flow (F) as well as their remote sensing inputs. The transformations were applied to provide comparability for the trade-off and synergy analysis.

Section	ES	ES potential supply	ES flow	Remote sensing input	Transformation	
					P	F
Regulating	CO <sub>2</sub> regulation (CO <sub>2</sub> R)	Sequestered CO <sub>2</sub> [kg Cm <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	Same as potential supply	MODIS: Land cover (MCD12Q1), net primary production (MOD17A3H)	x <sup>0.5</sup>	x <sup>0.5</sup>
	Air quality regulation (AQR)	PM <sub>10</sub> removal [μg m <sup>-2</sup> ]	PM <sub>10</sub> removal [μg m <sup>-2</sup> ] in urban areas	MODIS: Leaf area index (LAI) (MOD15A2H)	x <sup>0.25</sup>	x <sup>0.25</sup>
	Soil erosion prevention (SEP)	Potential soil erosion [t ha <sup>-1</sup> ]	Potential soil erosion [t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] in agricultural areas	MODIS: NDVI (MOD13A1) Digital elevation model	x <sup>0.2</sup>	x <sup>0.2</sup>
Cultural	Recreational hiking (RH)	Density of hiking paths combined with nighttime stable lights as natural-/remoteness parameter [–]	Recreational hiking potential weighted with visitation preference [–]	DMSP-OLS: Nighttime stable lights	x <sup>0.6</sup>	x <sup>0.15</sup>


combinations and spatially represented. A temporal synergy between two ES occurs if both ES fluctuate in synchrony (e.g. both increase) over time, while a temporal trade-off is defined by opposite temporal trends of two ES (e.g. one increases while the other decreases). We computed temporal synergies and trade-offs using the Pearson correlation coefficient to describe the relationship between two ES time series per pixel. Positive correlations represented temporal synergies between two ES, while negative correlations represented temporal trade-offs. Preprocessing steps of the correlation analysis included a transformation (Table 1) and a standardization of each ES (i.e. mean of zero, standard deviation of one), since the variables must have a linear relationship and be normally distributed. The best transformation was selected by checking quantile-quantile plots. Resulting Pearson correlation coefficients per ES pair are presented in a map.

### 3. Results


#### 3.1. Spatial and temporal trends in ecosystem services

The temporal analysis of ES in Switzerland revealed changes in yearly averages of both ES potential supply and flow (Fig. 2). Temporal fluctuations were synchronous between the different Swiss regions. However, temporal variability within a region could be of similar magnitude to differences between regions, e.g. for CO<sub>2</sub>R and AQR (Fig. 2a, d, e). In contrast, temporal fluctuations of SEP and RH within a region were smaller compared to differences between regions (Fig. 2b, c, f, g). ES potential supply and flow showed slightly differing changes during the investigated period.

CO<sub>2</sub>R displayed ES values for Jura and Swiss Midlands above the national average, while Central and Southern Alps had lower values



**Fig. 2.** Average temporal change of ES potential supply (a, b, d, f) and flow (a, c, e, g) in Switzerland and its regions between 2004 and 2014: (a) carbon dioxide regulation, (b, c) soil erosion prevention, (d, e) air quality regulation, and (f, g) recreational hiking (only 2005–2013). ES potential supply and flow are the same for carbon dioxide regulation (a), but is only shown here once.



**Fig. 3.** Temporal trends in ecosystem service potential supply (a–d) and flow (a, e–g) during 2004 and 2014: (a) carbon dioxide regulation, (b, e) soil erosion prevention, (c, f) air quality regulation, and (d, g) recreational hiking (only 2005–2013). ES potential supply and flow are the same for carbon dioxide regulation (a), but is only shown once here.

compared to the national average (Fig. 2a). Temporal CO<sub>2</sub>R pattern are consistent across all regions with a decrease in the service since 2011. Temporal variations in SEP potential supply and flow per region were low relative to differences among the regions (Fig. 2b, c), though with apparently larger temporal fluctuations in the Southern Alps, where SEP was highest, approximately threefold the Swiss SEP average. AQR showed fluctuating temporal trends in ES potential supply and flow for all investigated regions characterized by an overall decrease, but with two maxima in 2007 and in 2011 (Fig. 2d, e). Highest average AQR potential supply was found in Jura, followed by Swiss Midlands, Southern Alps, and Central Alps. AQR ES flow was nearly the same for all regions except for the Southern Alps, which was larger compared to the other regions. RH averages of potential supply and flow slightly decreased during investigated period with an absolute minimum in 2010 (Fig. 2f, g). ES potential supply of RH revealed the highest averages for the Central Alps and Southern Alps, followed by Jura and Swiss Midlands. In contrast, averages of ES flow were highest for the Southern Alps and remained relatively stable for Jura and Swiss Midlands within the investigation period.

Spatial patterns of temporal trends in ES potential supply and flow were rather diverse (Fig. 3, significant trends see Fig. B1 in Supplementary data). Nearly nationwide, CO<sub>2</sub>R showed decreasing trends (Fig. 3a). Highest negative trends were located in the Southern Alps, while partly increasing trends were detected in the western and eastern Central Alps. SEP potential supply and flow remained relatively stable in large areas of Switzerland between 2004 and 2014, with spatially scattered increasing trends in the Central Alps and Southern Alps (Fig. 3b, e).

Temporal trends of AQR revealed spatial heterogeneity with mainly decreasing trends for AQR potential supply in the Jura, Swiss Midlands and in valleys of the Central and Southern Alps (Fig. 3c). AQR flow showed stable trends in urban areas with decreasing trends at their borders (Fig. 3f). RH potential supply remained stable in most parts of Switzerland with some spatially scattered decreasing trends all-over Switzerland (Fig. 3d). RH flow showed no trends in the Jura and the Swiss Midlands, but revealed some fluctuating spatial pattern of increasing and decreasing trends in the Central and Southern Alps (Fig. 3g).


Swiss annual total ES potential supply and flow revealed the same relative importance of ecosystem types for all investigated ES (Fig. 4). In general, forest was the most important ecosystem type to provide ES potential supply and flow, followed by agricultural areas, grasslands and urban areas. The strongest changes between ES potential supply and flow were found for grasslands with reduced contributions for SEP and AQR (Fig. 4b–c, d–e) and urban areas with increased contributions for AQR (Fig. 4d–e). The temporal variability in ES potential supply and flow revealed slight changes for all ecosystem types during the investigation period (Fig. 5). Forest and agriculture were characterized by the strongest variability in ES potential supply and flow. Furthermore, forests displayed relatively strong temporal variability in CO<sub>2</sub>R and RH flow and urban vegetation in potential supply and flow of AQR.

### 3.2. Spatial and temporal ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies

Retrieved annual spatial maps of ES were used to estimate at an annual base ES synergies and trade-offs for all pair-wise combinations of ES and their temporal changes during the investigation period (Fig. 6). In general, identified patterns fluctuated over time and substantially differed across regions. Swiss-wide synergies were identified between ES potential supply of CO<sub>2</sub>R–SEP ( $R_{\text{mean}} = 0.15$ ), CO<sub>2</sub>R–RH ( $R_{\text{mean}} = 0.05$ ), SEP–AQR ( $R_{\text{mean}} = 0.02$ ), SEP–RH ( $R_{\text{mean}} = 0.17$ ), AQR–RH ( $R_{\text{mean}} = 0.02$ ). Only one trade-off was found for CO<sub>2</sub>R–AQR ( $R_{\text{mean}} = -0.70$ ). ES flow showed the same patterns of synergies and trade-offs.

The analysis of ES relationships at national and regional scale revealed two patterns. Firstly, regional and altitudinal differences in






**Fig. 4.** Annual total Swiss ES potential supply (a, b, d, f) and flow (a, c, e, g) stratified by ecosystem types between 2004 and 2014: (a) carbon dioxide regulation, (b, c) soil erosion prevention, (d, e) air quality regulation, and (f, g) recreational hiking (only 2005–2013). ES potential supply and flow are the same for carbon dioxide regulation (a), but is only shown here once.

synergies and trade-offs of both ES potential supply and flow became evident. Several ES combinations revealed a split in Jura and Swiss Midlands versus Central and Southern Alps and in low and high elevations, respectively (e.g. ES flow of CO<sub>2</sub>R-RH and ES potential supply of CO<sub>2</sub>R-AQR) (Fig. 6).

Secondly, ES relationships were depending on spatial scale. Pearson correlation coefficients were e.g. positive for ES potential supply of CO<sub>2</sub>R and SEP at national scale representing a synergy, but they were negative at regional scale resulting in trade-offs. Similar patterns were identified for ES potential supply of CO<sub>2</sub>R-RH and AQR-RH.



**Fig. 5.** Temporal variability in ES potential supply (a, b, c, d, f) and flow (a, c, e, g) stratified by ecosystem types between 2004 and 2014: (a) carbon dioxide regulation, (b, c) soil erosion prevention, (d, e) air quality regulation, and (f, g) recreational hiking (only 2005–2013). ES potential supply and flow are the same for carbon dioxide regulation (a), but is only shown here once.






Fig. 6. Annual Pearson correlation coefficients of ecosystem service potential supply (upper right corner) and flow (lower left corner) combinations between 2004 and 2014.  $R_{\text{mean}}$  is the Swiss mean correlation coefficient of two ecosystem services during the investigation period. Blue background colour indicates positive correlations, while red represents negative correlations (for interpretation of the references to colour in this figure caption, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).




Fig. 7. Pair-wise spatial correlations of ES time series from 2004 to 2014. Correlations between ES potential supplies are located in the upper right corner, between ES flow in the lower left corner. Correlations  $> 0$  represent synergies and  $< 0$  are trade-offs. Note that correlations with recreational hiking (RH) refer to the time period 2005–2013.

Pixel-wise correlations of time series of ES pairs revealed a spatially heterogeneous mix of temporal synergies (positive correlation) and trade-offs (negative correlations) (Fig. 7). Mainly temporal trade-offs

were found for CO<sub>2</sub>R-AQR potential supply and flow across Switzerland. CO<sub>2</sub>R-SEP potential supply partly showed synergies in the Swiss Midlands and Southern Alps, but trade-offs in the Jura and the Central

Alps. In contrast, ES flow displayed partly opposite patterns. A spatially heterogeneous mix of synergies and trade-offs characterized CO<sub>2</sub>R-RH, SEP-RH, AQR-RH, and SEP-AQR all-over Switzerland for both ES potential supply and flow.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Spatial and temporal pattern in potential supply and flow of ecosystem services

We observed a declining trend of CO<sub>2</sub>R between 2004 and 2014 across regions in Switzerland. Considering the stimulating effect of increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration for photosynthesis (Kirschbaum, 2011) and a general increase of biomass in Switzerland (de Jong et al., 2013), this result seems contradicting. We defined CO<sub>2</sub>R as equivalent to NEP that was approximated by MODIS NPP and modeled soil respiration. MODIS NPP was lower in 2013 and 2014 compared to the years before, since we used a simple linear model to describe the trend, the two relatively low NPP values likely impact the calculated trend and partly explain the observed decline in CO<sub>2</sub>R. The use of linear models to estimate ES trends could influence the results of all ES, since these models are sensitive to extreme values, in particular when a relatively short time period of 10 years was investigated. Further, increasing temperatures between 2004 and 2014 also contributed to the decreasing trend of CO<sub>2</sub> net uptake, because of its enhancing effect on soil respiration (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010; Reichstein and Beer, 2008). Inaccuracies in modeled soil respiration could render another explanation eventually causing the decreasing trend of CO<sub>2</sub>R: Schröter et al. (2014) applied a similar approach for mapping the annual carbon storage in a study area in Norway. The authors restricted their analysis to forested areas, while arguing that modeled soil respiration highly exceeded actual NPP in other ecosystems, where a neutral carbon balance can be assumed. This seems to indicate a problem to model soil respiration spatially explicit based on the approach of Raich et al. (2002). Some ecosystems, in particular with sparse vegetation such as grasslands in alpine areas, seem particularly sensitive to correct soil respiration estimations. In contrast to such underestimations, the influence of agricultural areas to CO<sub>2</sub>R was partly overestimated, since carbon losses due to harvest removal were not considered. Apart of the general decline in CO<sub>2</sub>R, we also observed increasing CO<sub>2</sub>R trends in the Central Alps. These could originate from land abandonment in Alpine areas followed by expansion of wooded areas (Gellrich et al., 2007). Wooded areas enable higher CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Bolliger et al., 2008), hence, increasing CO<sub>2</sub>R during the investigated period. An alternative to our approach of estimating CO<sub>2</sub>R is the measurement of change in carbon stocks, in particular in forests. This can be achieved e.g. by measuring over time the increment in standing biomass in a forest using light detection and ranging (LiDAR) (Babcock et al., 2016).

Swiss-wide SEP remained relatively stable during the investigation period. Only few areas in the Central and Southern Alps showed increasing trends of SEP. Compared to the study of Guerra et al. (2016), who developed and exemplified the SEP model for a Mediterranean test case, our estimates of SEP are lower. This difference can be explained by different climatic conditions, soil characteristics and agricultural practices. Furthermore, Switzerland has had several legal frameworks to protect soils, for example the Soil Pollution Ordinance from 1986 and the voluntary agri-environmental scheme as part of the agricultural legislation since 1993. 98% of Swiss farmers participate in this agri-environmental scheme and receive direct monetary support if ecological standards are fulfilled (Prasuhn et al., 2013). Therefore, the contribution of agricultural ecosystems to annual total SEP was relatively high with approximately 20 % after forests as main contributor. Since the legal frameworks have already existed for approximately 25 years, ES trends in our investigation period were very small. Nevertheless, obtained results of SEP reveal the huge service ecosystems provide and

confirm the success of Swiss legislation to effectively protect soils against soil erosion.

Analysis of AQR potential supply and flow showed a decreasing trend. This, however, does not imply something negative or a decreasing capability of ecosystems for AQR: The applied AQR model highly depends on actual PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations. Since PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations decreased during the investigation period, the actual service diminished as well, indicating a positive effect for human well-being. It is important to notice the inverse relationship between the trend in AQR and the effect for human well-being. This negative relationship is different compared to the other ES and could cause confusion when interpreting results or discussing further trajectories of environmental protection. Our average AQR values per year for Switzerland are in the range of other studies (Manes et al., 2016; Remme et al., 2014). The Swiss Midlands displayed the most heterogeneous picture with small-scale changes in AQR trends due to high particulate matter emissions by industry, traffic, heating systems of private households, and agriculture on the one hand and scattered green space in urban areas on the other hand. Urban vegetation had a higher contribution to AQR flow than to potential supply indicating the benefits of urban green space for aerosol removal and contributing to the small-scale spatial variability in ES potential supply and flow.

Trends in RH revealed stagnation in large parts of Switzerland. A decreasing trend of RH was found in some Alpine valleys and along lakes, suggesting decreasing recreational potential in these areas. We argue that this finding is mainly caused by the strategy and underlying data used to calculate RH, which does not lead to a clear indicator yet. Increasing nighttime light emissions, for example, represent less natural- and remoteness of the landscape compared to the years before. A decreasing amount of Flickr data at these locations suggests declining visitation rates, however the direct link of Flickr data to visitation rates is missing and requires additional research in the future. While the night-light data represent a reliable quantitative measure, the use of Flickr data is problematic. When using Flickr data, one has to account for temporal and spatial biases. A temporally increasing bias is caused by the fact that the website was created in 2004 and has shown a strong increase in users since then. A spatial bias is due to an uneven and clustered distribution of social media data (Li et al., 2013; Wood et al., 2013). Additionally, only a limited amount of hikers take geotagged photographs and share them on Flickr, causing a preference in the used RH model towards a specific group of hikers using Flickr: Social media users are typically younger, better educated, and wealthier than average (Li et al., 2013). However, female hikers in Switzerland represent a nearly equal share between 15–74 years, while the proportion of male hikers increases with age (Bundesamt für Strassen (ASTRA) und Schweizer Wanderwege, 2015). Therefore, a large part of older hikers and their preferred hiking areas are likely not represented in the Flickr data, and hence in this analysis. Nevertheless, our results of RH indicated that even though the RH potential supply was the highest in forest and agricultural ecosystems, ES flow took mainly place in grasslands and forests. This represents a preference for alpine and natural landscapes instead of agricultural ecosystems and a realistic picture of hiking preferences in Switzerland (Bundesamt für Strassen (ASTRA) und Schweizer Wanderwege, 2015). In general, the use of social media data for analyzing people's preferences for recreation in combination with RS-based estimates of natural- and remoteness of a landscape offers many opportunities for more detailed investigations, in particular when neglecting the temporal component of Flickr data (Levin et al., 2015). Examples are the identification of nature elements that attract people or whether changes in ecosystems will modify visitation rates. In the future, estimations of recreation based on Flickr data can provide a more comprehensive picture by analyzing not only the photo user days, but as well the semantics attached to the geotagged photos and the content of the photo. This can provide a better inside about the executed activity (e.g. hiking, mountain biking, go for a walk etc.) and the landscape preferences (e.g. view, lake side, waterfall,

alpine grassland etc.).

#### 4.2. Spatio-temporal pattern in ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies

Identified synergies and trade-offs of different ES potential supply and flow combinations were relatively stable between 2004 and 2014. Except for the CO<sub>2</sub>R-AQR combination, representing a trade-off in our analysis, our results are in agreement with findings of a European study by Jopke et al. (2015).

The presence of vegetation explains observed synergies between CO<sub>2</sub>R-SEP, CO<sub>2</sub>R-RH, and SEP-RH as it determines CO<sub>2</sub>R due to CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by photosynthesis, enhances SEP due to mitigated soil erosion by vegetation cover, and is enjoyed while hiking. The trade-off between AQR-CO<sub>2</sub>R was caused by a mismatch in areas of high PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations, typically less covered with vegetation, and densely vegetated areas with potentially high rates of photosynthetic activity and thus CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. For other ES combinations including AQR (i.e. AQR-SEP, and AQR-RH) slight synergies were found but it is difficult to explain them mechanistically.

In general, research on ES trade-offs and synergies has particularly focused on trade-offs between provisioning and regulating ES (Maes et al., 2012; Rodriguez et al., 2006). Trade-offs within regulating or between regulating and cultural ES have not yet been extensively investigated so far. Observed temporal changes of ES trade-offs and synergies were relatively small, indicating robustness of the determined relationships over time. This is important for sustainable decision-making in agricultural management, landscape planning and conservation.

#### 4.3. What can remote sensing contribute to spatio-temporal ecosystem service assessments?

RS-based assessments of spatio-temporal trends in ES potential supply and flow provide new insights and facilitate ES research and monitoring. We could demonstrate the added value of including RS data in ES assessments compared to commonly applied ES mapping approaches that use land cover information as key input in combination with expert knowledge (Burkhard et al., 2012; Jacobs et al., 2015) and ES models that assign the same biophysical values to each land cover class (Nelson and Daily, 2010). Such approaches often quantify only the change of an ES providing area (Eigenbrod et al., 2010), while RS allows detailed insights into the variability of ES potential supply and flows across spatial scales and time. This is particularly relevant since ES potential supply and flow are similarly important concerning natural capital accounting within SEEA. Further, the high spatio-temporal granularity of derived ES information allows relating changes in ES to environmental condition and supports the definition of appropriate spatial scales for monitoring purposes of ES, their trade-offs, and synergies.

Suggested RS-based approaches to assess ES avoid the use of less informative proxies or indicators of ES and rather rely on biophysical models to determine ES potential supply and flow. Trend analyses based on multi-temporal ES monitoring directly represent changes in ES, rendering another advantage compared to currently used ES indicators. Shepherd et al. (2016), for example, identified ES indicators for trend analyses at global scale. Only for 62% of the investigated indicators they could find a strong ability to detect trends in relevant ES. Particularly for some regulating services (e.g. local climate and air quality, erosion prevention and soil fertility) and any cultural service, no suitable ES potential supply indicators could be identified at all. RS-based approaches can partly fill this gap for several ES.

Further, RS-based ES assessments foster a regular monitoring of ES at critical time intervals (i.e. monthly, half-yearly or yearly). Non-RS derived ES indicators or land cover-based ES maps are challenged with a regular monitoring in sufficiently short intervals to capture ES changes and facilitate decision-making with temporal ES assessments.

Although a study by Maes et al. (2014) developed more than 300 ES indicators for a European ES mapping attempt, only a small fraction of these ES indicators (i.e. 15% for forests, 27% for agro-ecosystems, 13% for freshwater, 42% for marine systems) can be mapped regularly in short intervals. Further, some of the often used ES indicators rely on land-use data with a rather long update interval, e.g. the five yearly updated CORINE Land Cover product. Further, even though RS technology can provide land cover maps more regularly and accurate, direct estimates of ES potential supply and flow using biophysical models can avoid the use of rather static land cover classifications (Karp et al., 2015).

Detailed RS-based information of ES potential supply and flow, as well their trends, trade-offs, and synergies facilitate research and evidences of ES changes relevant for stakeholders and decision-makers. Regular spatially explicit monitoring of ES using RS data can enable the evaluation whether decisions in politics, economy, and conservation have a positive or negative influence on ES (Rose et al., 2015).

## 5. Conclusion

We conclude on the importance of RS and its versatile advantages for spatio-temporal monitoring of ES compared to indicator based mapping approaches. Particularly the analysis of spatial time series data enables the detection of ES trends and ES interactions in both time and space, eventually contributing to advance decision-making in landscape planning and conservation and to verify progress towards policy targets (e.g. Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Sustainable Development Goals). Spatial ES data can enable regular evaluations of e.g. the efficiency of agri-environmental schemes and of payments for ES.

We suggest exploiting the capability of RS data for ES assessments and implementing them at a regular base. Depending on the spatial and temporal scale as well as the spectral requirements of the ES assessment, a variety of RS sensor is available such as IKONOS, Landsat, Sentinel-2, AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer), which partly enable either long (but spatially coarse) or dense (but temporally short) ES time series. The limited range of (partly) assessable ES with RS data (except for land cover), however, must be carefully taken into consideration. Nevertheless, the huge potential of RS has not yet been fully exploited, in particular, for regulating and cultural ES. Upcoming Earth observation missions will extend this potential in the future and will allow more holistic and regular monitoring of ES.

## Acknowledgements

DB and AD were supported by a grant of the Swiss University Conference and ETH board in frame of the project Swiss Earth Observatory Network (SEON). The contributions of MES and OLP were supported by the University of Zurich Research Priority Program on ‘Global Change and Biodiversity’. The authors’ sequence is listed following the FLAE approach (doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050018). We thank both anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.016>.

## References

- Babcock, C., Finley, A.O., Cook, B.D., Weiskittel, A., Woodall, C.W., 2016. Modeling forest biomass and growth: coupling long-term inventory and LiDAR data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 182, 1–12.
- Bennett, E.M., Peterson, G.D., Gordon, L.J., 2009. Understanding relationships among multiple ecosystem services. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 1394–1404.
- Bolliger, J., Hagedorn, F., Leifeld, J., Böhl, J., Zimmermann, S., Soliva, R., Kienast, F.,



2008. Effects of land-use change on carbon stocks in Switzerland. *Ecosystems* 11, 895–907.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., Thomson, A., 2010. Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record. *Nature* 464, 579–582.
- Braun, D., Damm, A., Paul-Limoges, E., Revill, A., Buchmann, N., Petchey, O.L., Hein, L., Schaepman, M.E., 2017. From instantaneous to continuous: using imaging spectroscopy and in situ data to map two productivity-related ecosystem services. *Ecol. Indic.* 82, 409–419.
- Bundesamt für Strassen (ASTRA) und Schweizer Wanderwege, 2015. Wandern in der Schweiz 2014. Bern, Switzerland.
- Burkhard, B., Kroll, F., Nedkov, S., Müller, F., 2012. Mapping ecosystem service supply, demand and budgets. *Ecol. Indic.* 21, 17–29.
- CEC, 2006. Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, Belgium COM 2006/231.
- Convention on Biological Diversity, 2010. Decision Document UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/X/2; The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets. <https://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions>.
- Cord, A.F., Seppelt, R., Turner, W., 2015. Sustainable development goals: monitor ecosystem services from space. *Nature* 525, 33.
- Cord, A.F., Brauman, K.A., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Huth, A., Ziv, G., Seppelt, R., 2017. Priorities to advance monitoring of ecosystem services using earth observation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 416–428.
- Díaz, S., Demissew, S., Carabias, J., Joly, C., Lonsdale, M., Ash, N., Larigauderie, A., Adhikari, J.R., Arico, S., Báldi, A., Bartuska, A., Baste, I.A., Bilgin, A., Brondizio, E., Chan, K.M.A., Figueroa, V.E., Duraipah, A., Fischer, M., Hill, R., Koetz, T., Leadley, P., Lyver, P., Mace, G.M., Martin-Lopez, B., Okumura, M., Pacheco, D., Pascual, U., Pérez, E.S., Reyers, B., Roth, E., Saito, O., Scholes, R.J., Sharma, N., Tallis, H., Thaman, R., Watson, R., Yahara, T., Hamid, Z.A., Akosim, C., Al-Hafedh, Y., Allahverdiyev, R., Amankwah, E., Asah, S.T., Asfaw, Z., Bartus, G., Brooks, L.A., Caillaux, J., Dalle, G., Darnaedi, D., Driver, A., Erpul, G., Escobar-Eyzaguirre, P., Failleur, P., Fouda, A.M.M., Fu, B., Gundimeda, H., Hashimoto, S., Homer, F., Lavorel, S., Lichtenstein, G., Mala, W.A., Mandivenyi, W., Matczak, P., Mbizi, C., Mehrdadi, M., Metzger, J.P., Mikissa, J.B., Moller, H., Mooney, H.A., Mumby, P., Nagendra, H., Neshover, C., Oteng-Yeboah, A.A., Pataki, G., Roué, M., Rubis, J., Schultz, M., Smith, P., Sumaila, R., Takeuchi, K., Thomas, S., Verma, M., Yeo-Chang, Y., Zlatanova, D., 2015. The IPBES conceptual framework – connecting nature and people. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 14, 1–16.
- Didan, K., 2015. MOD13A1 MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices 16-Day L3 Global 500 M SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.
- de Araujo Barbosa, C.C., Atkinson, P.M., Dearing, J.A., 2015. Remote sensing of ecosystem services: a systematic review. *Ecol. Indic.* 52, 430–443.
- de Jong, R., Verbesselt, J., Zeileis, A., Schaepman, M.E., 2013. Shifts in global vegetation activity trends. *Remote Sens.* 5, 1117–1133.
- Eeftens, M., Phuleria, H.C., Meier, R., Aguilera, I., Corradi, E., Davey, M., Ducret-Stich, R., Fierz, M., Gehrig, R., Ineichen, A., Keidel, D., Probst-Hensch, N., Ragettli, M.S., Schindler, C., Künzli, N., Tsai, M.-Y., 2015. Spatial and temporal variability of ultra-fine particles  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  absorbance,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$  in Swiss study areas. *Atmos. Environ.* 111, 60–70.
- Eigenbrod, F., Armsworth, P.R., Anderson, B.J., Heinemeyer, A., Gillings, S., Roy, D.B., Thomas, C.D., Gaston, K.J., 2010. The impact of proxy-based methods on mapping the distribution of ecosystem services. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 47, 377–385.
- European Commission, Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development, United Nations, World Bank, 2013. System of Environmental-Economic Accounting 2012.
- European Commission, 2011. Our Life Insurance, Our Natural Capital: an EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Document COM(2011) 244 final.
- Friedl, M.A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., Huang, X., 2010. MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 168–182.
- Gellrich, M., Baur, P., Koch, B., Zimmermann, N.E., 2007. Agricultural land abandonment and natural forest re-growth in the Swiss mountains: a spatially explicit economic analysis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 118, 93–108.
- Guerra, C.A., Maes, J., Geijzendorffer, I., Metzger, M.J., 2016. An assessment of soil erosion prevention by vegetation in Mediterranean Europe: current trends of ecosystem service provision. *Ecol. Indic.* 60, 213–222.
- Hein, L., Obst, C., Edens, B., Remme, R.P., 2015. Progress and challenges in the development of ecosystem accounting as a tool to analyse ecosystem capital. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 14, 86–92.
- Hein, L., Bagstad, K., Edens, B., Obst, C., de Jong, R., Lesschen, J.P., 2016. Defining ecosystem assets for natural capital accounting. *PLoS One* 11, e0164460.
- Jacobs, S., Burkhard, B., Van Daele, T., Staes, J., Schneiders, A., 2015. ‘The Matrix Reloaded’: A review of expert knowledge use for mapping ecosystem services. *Ecol. Modell.* 295, 21–30.
- Jaeger, J.A.G., Schwick, C., 2014. Improving the measurement of urban sprawl: weighted urban proliferation (WUP) and its application to Switzerland. *Ecol. Indic.* 38, 294–308.
- Jopke, C., Kreyling, J., Maes, J., Koellner, T., 2015. Interactions among ecosystem services across Europe: bagplots and cumulative correlation coefficients reveal synergies, trade-offs, and regional patterns. *Ecol. Indic.* 49, 46–52.
- Künzli, N., Perez, L., Rapp, R., 2010. Air quality and health, Lausanne. Switzerland.
- Karp, D.S., Tallis, H., Sachse, R., Halpern, B., Thonicke, K., Cramer, W., Mooney, H., Polasky, S., Tietjen, B., Waha, K., Walz, A., Wolny, S., 2015. National indicators for observing ecosystem service change. *Global Environ. Change* 35, 12–21.
- Keeler, B.L., Wood, S.A., Polasky, S., Kling, C., Filstrup, C.T., Downing, J.A., 2015. Recreational demand for clean water: evidence from geotagged photographs by visitors to lakes. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 13, 76–81.
- Kirschbaum, M.U., 2011. Does enhanced photosynthesis enhance growth? Lessons learned from  $\text{CO}_2$  enrichment studies. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 117–124.
- Lavorel, S., Bayer, A., Bondeau, A., Lautenbach, S., Ruiz-Frau, A., Schulp, N., Seppelt, R., Verburg, P., Teeffelen, A. v. Vannier, C., Arneith, A., Cramer, W., Marba, N., 2017. Pathways to bridge the biophysical realism gap in ecosystem services mapping approaches. *Ecol. Indic.* 74, 241–260.
- Levin, N., Kark, S., Crandall, D., 2015. Where have all the people gone? Enhancing global conservation using night lights and social media. *Ecol. Appl.* 25, 2153–2167.
- Li, L., Goodchild, M.F., Xu, B., 2013. Spatial, temporal, and socioeconomic patterns in the use of Twitter and Flickr. *Cartography Geographic Inf. Sci.* 40, 61–77.
- MA, 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis. Washington, D.C., USA.
- Maes, J., Paracchini, M.L., Zulian, G., Dunbar, M.B., Alkemade, R., 2012. Synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem service supply, biodiversity, and habitat conservation status in Europe. *Biol. Conserv.* 155, 1–12.
- Maes, J., Teller, A., Erhard, M., Murphy, P., Paracchini, M.L., Barredo, J.I., Grizzetti, B., Cardoso, A., Somma, F., Petersen, J.E., Meiner, A., Gelabert, E.R., Zal, N., Kristensen, P., Bastrup-Birk, A., Biala, K., Romao, C., Piroddi, C., Egoh, B., Fiorina, C., Santos, F., Naruševičius, V., Verboven, J., Pereira, H.M., Bengtsson, J., Gocheva, K., Marta-Pedroso, C., Snäll, T., Estreguil, C., San Miguel, J., Braat, L., Grêt-Regamey, A., Perez-Soba, M., Degeorges, P., Beaufarion, G., Lillebo, A.I., Malak, D.A., Liqueite, C., Condé, S., Moen, J., Östergård, H., Czúcz, B., Drakou, E.G., Zulian, G., Lavalle, C., 2014. Mapping and assessment of ecosystems and their services – indicators for ecosystem assessments under action 5 of the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020.
- Maes, J., Liqueite, C., Teller, A., Erhard, M., Paracchini, M.L., Barredo, J.I., Grizzetti, B., Cardoso, A., Somma, F., Petersen, J.E., Meiner, A., Gelabert, E.R., Zal, N., Kristensen, P., Bastrup-Birk, A., Biala, K., Piroddi, C., Egoh, B., Degeorges, P., Fiorina, C., Santos, F., Naruševičius, V., Verboven, J., Pereira, H.M., Bengtsson, J., Gocheva, K., Marta-Pedroso, C., Snäll, T., Estreguil, C., San-Miguel-Ayaz, J., Pérez-Soba, M., Grêt-Regamey, A., Lillebo, A.I., Malak, D.A., Condé, S., Moen, J., Czúcz, B., Drakou, E.G., Zulian, G., Lavalle, C., 2016. An indicator framework for assessing ecosystem services in support of the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 17, 14–23.
- Malinga, R., Gordon, L.J., Jewitt, G., Lindborg, R., 2015. Mapping ecosystem services across scales and continents – a review. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 13, 57–63.
- Manes, F., Marando, F., Capotorti, G., Blasi, C., Salvatori, E., Fusaro, L., Cianarella, L., Mircea, M., Marchetti, M., Chirici, G., Munafò, M., 2016. Regulating ecosystem services of forests in ten Italian metropolitan cities: air quality improvement by  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{O}_3$  removal. *Ecol. Indic.* 67, 425–440.
- MeteoSwiss, 2013. Documentation of MeteoSwiss grid-data products – monthly and yearly precipitation: RhiresM and RhiresY.
- Myneni, R., Knyazikhin, Y., Park, T., 2015. MOD15A2H MODIS/Terra Leaf Area Index/FPAR 8-Day L4 Global 500 m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.
- Nelson, E.J., Daily, G.C., 2010. Modelling ecosystem services in terrestrial systems. *F1000 Biol. Rep.* 2, 53.
- Nowak, D.J., 1994. Air pollution removal by Chicago's urban forest. In: McPhearson, E.G., Nowak, D.J., Rowntree, R.A. (Eds.), *Chicago's Urban Forest*.
- Panagos, P., Meusburger, K., Ballabio, C., Borrelli, P., Alewell, C., 2014. Soil erodibility in Europe: a high-resolution dataset based on LUCAS. *Sci. Total Environ.* 479–480, 189–200.
- Panagos, P., Ballabio, C., Borrelli, P., Meusburger, K., Klik, A., Rousseva, S., Tadic, M.P., Michaelides, S., Hrabalíkova, M., Olsen, P., Aalto, J., Lakatos, M., Rymaszewicz, A., Dumitrescu, A., Begueria, S., Alewell, C., 2015a. Rainfall erosivity in Europe. *Sci. Total Environ.* 511, 801–814.
- Panagos, P., Borrelli, P., Meusburger, K., 2015b. A new European slope length and steepness factor (LS-Factor) for modeling soil erosion by water. *Geosciences* 5, 117–126.
- Pettorelli, N., Wegmann, M., Skidmore, A., Múcher, S., Dawson, T.P., Fernandez, M., Lucas, R., Schaepman, M.E., Wang, T., O'Connor, B., Jongman, R.H.G., Kempeneers, P., Sonnenschein, R., Leidner, A.K., Böhm, M., He, K.S., Nagendra, H., Dubois, G., Fatoyinbo, T., Hansen, M.C., Paganini, M., de Klerk, H.M., Asner, G.P., Kerr, J.T., Estes, A.B., Schmeller, D.S., Heiden, U., Rocchini, D., Pereira, H.M., Turak, E., Fernandez, N., Lausch, A., Cho, M.A., Alcaraz-Segura, D., McGeoch, M.A., Turner, W., Mueller, A., St-Louis, V., Penner, J., Vihervaa, P., Belward, A., Reyers, B., Geller, G.N., 2016. Framing the concept of satellite remote sensing essential biodiversity variables: challenges and future directions. *Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.* 2, 122–131.
- Pilesjö, P., Hasan, A., 2014. A triangular form-based multiple flow algorithm to estimate overland flow distribution and accumulation on a digital elevation model. *Trans. GIS* 18, 108–124.
- Powe, N.A., Willis, K.G., 2004. Mortality and morbidity benefits of air pollution ( $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{PM}_{10}$ ) absorption attributable to woodland in Britain. *J. Environ. Manage.* 70, 119–128.
- Prasuhn, V., Liniger, H., Gisler, S., Herweg, K., Candinas, A., Clément, J.-P., 2013. A high-resolution soil erosion risk map of Switzerland as strategic policy support system. *Land Use Policy* 32, 281–291.
- Rückerl, R., Schneider, A., Breitner, S., Cyrys, J., Peters, A., 2011. Health effects of particulate air pollution: a review of epidemiological evidence. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 23, 555–592.
- Rabe, S.-E., Koellner, T., Marzelli, S., Schumacher, P., Grêt-Regamey, A., 2016. National ecosystem services mapping at multiple scales – The German exemplar. *Ecol. Indic.* 70, 357–372.
- Raich, J.W., Potter, C.S., Bhagawati, D., 2002. Interannual variability in global soil respiration, 1980–94. *Global Change Biol.* 8, 800–812.
- Reichstein, M., Beer, C., 2008. Soil respiration across scales: the importance of a model-data integration framework for data interpretation. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 171,

- 344–354.
- Remme, R.P., Schröter, M., Hein, L., 2014. Developing spatial biophysical accounting for multiple ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 10, 6–18.
- Rodriguez, J.P., Beard Jr, T.D., Bennett, E.M., Cumming, G.S., Cork, S.J., Agard, J., Dobson, A.P., Peterson, G.D., 2006. Trade-offs across space, time, and ecosystem services. *Ecol. Soc.* 11, 28.
- Rose, R.A., Byler, D., Eastman, J.R., Fleishman, E., Geller, G., Goetz, S., Guild, L., Hamilton, H., Hansen, M., Headley, R., Hewson, J., Horning, N., Kaplin, B.A., Laporte, N., Leidner, A., Leimgruber, P., Morissette, J., Musinsky, J., Pintea, L., Prados, A., Radeloff, V.C., Rowen, M., Saatchi, S., Schill, S., Tabor, K., Turner, W., Vodacek, A., Vogelmann, J., Wegmann, M., Wilkie, D., Wilson, C., 2015. Ten ways remote sensing can contribute to conservation. *Conserv. Biol.* 29, 350–359.
- Running, S., Mu, Q., Zhao, M., 2015. MOD17A3H MODIS/Terra Net Primary Production Yearly L4 Global 500 m SIN Grid V006 NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.
- Schröter, M., Barton, D.N., Remme, R.P., Hein, L., 2014. Accounting for capacity and flow of ecosystem services: a conceptual model and a case study for Telemark, Norway. *Ecol. Indic.* 36, 539–551.
- Scullion, J., Thomas, C.W., Vogt, K.A., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Logsdon, M.G., 2011. Evaluating the environmental impact of payments for ecosystem services in Catepec (Mexico) using remote sensing and on-site interviews. *Environ. Conserv.* 38, 426–434.
- Sharp, R., Tallis, H.T., Ricketts, T., Guerry, A.D., Wood, S.A., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Nelson, E., Ennaanay, D., Wolny, S., Olwero, N., Vigerstol, K., Pennington, D., Mendoza, G., Aukema, J., Foster, J., Forrest, J., Cameron, D., Arkema, K., Lonsdorf, E., Kennedy, C., Verutes, G., Kim, C.K., Guannel, G., Papenfus, M., Toft, J., Marsik, M., Bernhardt, J., Griffin, R., Glowinski, K., Chaumont, N., Perelman, A., Lacayo, M., Mandle, L., Hamel, P., Vogl, A.L., Rogers, L., Bierbower, W., 2016. InVEST 3.3.2, The Natural Capital Project. Stanford University, University of Minnesota, The Nature Conservancy, and World Wildlife Fund.
- Shepherd, E., Milner-Gulland, E.J., Knight, A.T., Ling, M.A., Darrah, S., van Soesbergen, A., Burgess, N.D., 2016. Status and trends in global ecosystem services and natural capital: assessing progress toward Aichi Biodiversity Target 14. *Conserv. Lett.* 9, 429–437.
- Skidmore, A.K., Pettorelli, N., Coops, N.C., Geller, G.N., Hansen, M., Lucas, R., Múcher, C.A., O'Connor, B., Paganini, M., Pereira, H.M., Schaepman, M.E., Turner, W., Wang, T., Wegmann, M., 2015. Environmental science: agree on biodiversity metrics to track from space. *Nature* 523, 403–405.
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S.E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E.M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S.R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C.A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G.M., Persson, L.M., Ramanathan, V., Reyers, B., Sörlin, S., 2015. Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science* 347.
- Steinmeier, C., 2013. CORINE Land Cover 2000/2006 Switzerland. Final report. . [published online January 2013]. Available from World Wide Web: <http://www.wsl.ch/publikationen/pdf/12359.pdf>. Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL). 30 pp., Birmensdorf, Switzerland.
- Strauch, M., Volk, M., 2013. SWAT plant growth modification for improved modeling of perennial vegetation in the tropics. *Ecol. Modell.* 269, 98–112.
- Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2016. Taschenstatistik der Schweiz 2016. Neuchâtel, Switzerland.
- Swiss Federal Office for the Environment, 2015. Karten von Jahreswerten der Luftbelastung in der Schweiz. Bern, Switzerland.
- Tallis, H., Mooney, H., Andelman, S., Balvanera, P., Cramer, W., Karp, D., Polasky, S., Reyers, B., Ricketts, T., Running, S., Thonicke, K., Tietjen, B., Walz, A., 2012. A global system for monitoring ecosystem service change. *Bioscience* 62, 977–986.
- United Nations, 2015. Transforming Our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Document A/RES/70/1, Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015.
- Villa, F., Bagstad, K.J., Voigt, B., Johnson, G.W., Portela, R., Honzak, M., Batker, D., 2014. A methodology for adaptable and robust ecosystem services assessment. *PLoS One* 9, e91001.
- Wood, S.A., Guerry, A.D., Silver, J.M., Lacayo, M., 2013. Using social media to quantify nature-based tourism and recreation. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 7.
- Zinke, P.J., 1967. Forest interception studies in the United States. In: Sopper, W.E., Lull, H.W. (Eds.), *Forest Hydrology*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 137–161.