



verichains

*SECURITY AUDIT OF*  
**CYBALL SMART CONTRACTS**



**Public Report**

*Nov 05, 2021*

**Verichains Lab**

[info@verichains.io](mailto:info@verichains.io)

<https://www.verichains.io>

*Driving Technology > Forward*

## ABBREVIATIONS

Name	Description
<b>Ethereum</b>	An open source platform based on blockchain technology to create and distribute smart contracts and decentralized applications.
<b>Ether (ETH)</b>	A cryptocurrency whose blockchain is generated by the Ethereum platform. Ether is used for payment of transactions and computing services in the Ethereum network.
<b>Smart contract</b>	A computer protocol intended to digitally facilitate, verify or enforce the negotiation or performance of a contract.
<b>Solidity</b>	A contract-oriented, high-level language for implementing smart contracts for the Ethereum platform.
<b>Solc</b>	A compiler for Solidity.
<b>ERC20</b>	ERC20 (BEP20 in Binance Smart Chain or xRP20 in other chains) tokens are blockchain-based assets that have value and can be sent and received. The primary difference with the primary coin is that instead of running on their own blockchain, ERC20 tokens are issued on a network that supports smart contracts such as Ethereum or Binance Smart Chain.

---

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Security Audit Report prepared by Verichains Lab on Nov 05, 2021. We would like to thank the CyBall for trusting Verichains Lab in auditing smart contracts. Delivering high-quality audits is always our top priority.

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the Cyball Smart Contracts. The scope of the audit is limited to the source code files provided to Verichains. Verichains Lab completed the assessment using manual, static, and dynamic analysis techniques.

During the audit process, the audit team had identified some vulnerable issues in the application, along with some recommendations.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1. About Cyball Smart Contracts .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.2. Audit scope .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.3. Audit methodology .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.4. Disclaimer .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2. AUDIT RESULT .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.1. Overview .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.2. Findings .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.2.1. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Reuse signature in buy function LOW .....	7
2.2.2. CyBlocPack.sol - Unsafe packs opening function LOW .....	9
<b>2.3. Additional notes and recommendations.....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.3.1. CyballMentorManager.sol - Useless code in finishMentor function INFORMATIVE .....	10
2.3.2. CyballMentorManager.sol - Useless code in startMentor function INFORMATIVE .....	11
2.3.3. CyBlocPack.sol - Unused SafeMath, SafeERC20, Ownable INFORMATIVE .....	13
2.3.4. CyBlocPack.sol - Inaccurate require statement message INFORMATIVE .....	13
2.3.5. CyBlocPack.sol - Reuse signature INFORMATIVE .....	13
2.3.6. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Unnecessary usage of SafeMath library in Solidity 0.8.0+ INFORMATIVE .....	14
2.3.7. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Unused SafeERC20 library, Ownable abstract contract INFORMATIVE .....	16
<b>3. VERSION HISTORY .....</b>	<b>17</b>

## **1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY**

### **1.1. About Cyball Smart Contracts**

CyBall is a football-themed, NFT-based game with a Play-to-Earn model that allows you to test your might against players from around the world

### **1.2. Audit scope**

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the smart contracts of Cyball game. It was conducted on the source code provided by the CyBall team.

### **1.3. Audit methodology**

Our security audit process for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using public and RK87, our in-house smart contract security analysis tool.
- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

- Integer Overflow and Underflow
- Timestamp Dependence
- Race Conditions
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- DoS with (Unexpected) revert
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Gas Usage, Gas Limit and Loops
- Redundant fallback function
- Unsafe type Inference
- Reentrancy
- Explicit visibility of functions state variables (external, internal, private and public)
- Logic Flaws

For vulnerabilities, we categorize the findings into categories as listed in table below, depending on their severity level:

SEVERITY LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
<b>CRITICAL</b>	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning; creates a critical risk to the contract; required to be fixed immediately.
<b>HIGH</b>	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with high impact; needs to be fixed with high priority.
<b>MEDIUM</b>	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with medium impact in a specific scenario; needs to be fixed.
<b>LOW</b>	An issue that does not have a significant impact, can be considered as less important.

*Table 1. Severity levels*

#### 1.4. Disclaimer

Please note that security auditing cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities, and even an audit in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee for a 100% secure smart contract. However, auditing allows discovering vulnerabilities that were unobserved, overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary.

## 2. AUDIT RESULT

### 2.1. Overview

The initial review was conducted on Oct 5, 2021 and a total effort of 7 working days was dedicated to identifying and documenting security issues in the code base of the Cyball Smart Contracts.

The following files were made available in the course of the review:

FILE	SHA256 SUM
AccessControl.sol	6dedd959946a74e55de0150198c69b9910fbea69
AccessControlBase.sol	e6c1862434f7f8f5fecc665a5c395fa519e92b5c
CyBlocBase.sol	2d861263fbd7def941d164c4df19b59295810f18
CyBlocCore.sol	87bf80c843548ba92918594d9b154863b2568b2a
CyBlocMentorManager.sol	29e4b4c80edc049f04c7ad782dce82c0fafa52f4
CyBlocPack.sol	f4906a1ff1e188e2d7b0ed1d628088ca1601cbc4
CyBlocPackSale.sol	05fcbfc14f58bbe0b6b551bb553d629f2ce40654
CyblocUtil.sol	02e04c999155ddd16191e7f5355fc6cf062ae6a3

### 2.2. Findings

#### 2.2.1. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Reuse signature in **buy** function **LOW**

In the contract, **buy** function uses **verifyProof** function to verify **max** value but it doesn't check if the signature was used or not. So the caller can use an old signature with old **max** values to bypass required statement.

```

76 function buy(uint256 quantity, uint256 max, Proof memory _proof) ext...
    ernal payable started {
77     require(quantity > 0, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid quantity");
78     require(verifyProof(abi.encodePacked(msg.sender, max), _proo...
    f), "CyBlocPackSale: Wrong proof");
79     require(quantity <= TOTAL_PACK.sub(numberOfSoldPack), "CyBlo...
    cPackSale: Not enough packs for you");

```

```
80     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= max, "CyBloc...
PackSale: You buy too much");
81     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= MAX_PER_USER...
, "CyBlocPackSale: You buy too much2");
82
83     uint totalPrice = PACK_PRICE * quantity;
84
85     require(msg.value >= totalPrice, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid ms...
g.value");
86
87     uint packId = numberOfSoldPack;
88
89     numberOfSoldPack += quantity;
90     userPackCount[msg.sender] += quantity;
91
92     for (uint256 i = 0; i < quantity; i++) {
93         uint256 tokenId = CYBLOC_PACK.mint(msg.sender, PACK_TYPE...
);
94         tokenIdToPackId[tokenId] = packId + 1; // if tokenId map...
to packId, it must be greater than 0
95         packId++;
96     }
97
98     payable(owner()).transfer(totalPrice);
99
100    if (msg.value > totalPrice) {
101        payable(msg.sender).transfer(msg.value.sub(totalPrice));
102    }
103
104    emit CyblocPackPurchased(msg.sender, quantity);
105 }
```

*Snippet 1. CyBlocPackSale.sol Reuse signature in `buy` function*

## RECOMMENDATION

We recommend adding a module to `verifyProof` function which ensures signature is used once.

## UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.



### 2.2.2. CyBlocPack.sol - Unsafe packs opening function **LOW**

The `open` function is used to open packs. Its parameter includes `tokenId` and `gene` that are sent from users, these parameters can be discarded and request new ones. Therefore they have enough information to not to call the method and reopen to ensure better packs.

```
78 function open(PackOpen[] memory openPacks, Proof[] memory _proofs) external {
79     require(openPacks.length > 0, "CyBlocPack: empty openPacks");
80
81     for (uint256 i = 0; i < openPacks.length; i++) {
82         PackOpen memory pack = openPacks[i];
83
84         require(ownerOf(pack.tokenId) == msg.sender, "CyBlocPack: Wrong pack");
85         require(locks[pack.tokenId], "CyBlocPack: Pack must be locked before open");
86         require(verifyProof(abi.encodePacked(pack.tokenId, pack.gene), _proofs[i]), "CyBlocPack: Wrong proof");
87
88         _burn(pack.tokenId);
89
90         NFTContract.newCyBloc(msg.sender, pack.gene, 0, 0);
91         emit CyblocPacksOpened(msg.sender, pack);
92     }
93 }
```

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the server implement a mechanism to freeze the data pack after the first `open` function call like caching or storing them.

#### UPDATES

- *2021-10-31*: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team and the server has been implemented a mechanism to prevent this issue.

## 2.3. Additional notes and recommendations

### 2.3.1. CyballMentorManager.sol - Useless code in **finishMentor** function **INFORMATIVE**

**Require** statement conditions in this function are identical to the ones in the wrapped function. It is a waste of gas.

```

57 function finishMentor(uint256 tokenId, uint256 randomNumber) external...
    {
58     require(tokenId != 0, "Oops");
59     require(CyBloc.ownerOf(tokenId) == msg.sender, "You are not o...
    wner of token");
60
61     require(uint256(keccak256(abi.encodePacked(randomNumber))) ==...
    commitments[tokenId], "Wrong random number");
62
63     CyBloc.finishMentor(msg.sender, tokenId, randomNumber);
64     }

```

*Snippet 2. CyballMentorManager.sol Useless code in `finishMentor` function*

In the above snippet, first two **require** statements are not necessary because they will be checked again inside **Cyblock.finishMentor()** method, implemented in **CyblockCore.sol**, quoted in the following snippet:

```

91 function finishMentor(address _owner, uint256 _tokenId, uint256 _see...
    d) external whenNotPaused onlyMentorManager {
92     require(_tokenId != 0, "Oops"); //duplicated with this state...
    ment
93     require(ownerOf(_tokenId) == _owner, "Not your token"); //du...
    plicated with this statement
94     require(isReadyGraduation(_tokenId), "Cannot open");
95
96     CyBloc storage _cb = cyblocs[_tokenId];
97
98     uint geneMentor1 = cyblocs[_cb.mentoredById].gene;
99     uint geneMentor2 = cyblocs[_cb.mentoredById2].gene;
100
101     _cb.cooldownEndBlock = block.number + MENTOR_COOLDOWN_BLOCK;
102     _cb.gene = geneScientist.mixGenes(
103         geneMentor1,
104         _cb.mentoredById2 == 0 ? 0 : geneMentor2,
105         _seed
106     );

```

```
107
108     emit FinishMentor(_tokenId, _owner);
109 }
```

*Snippet 3. CyblockCore.sol The wrapped function includes duplicated statements*

## RECOMMENDATION

Removing the first two `require` statements.

## UPDATES

- 2021-10-31: This issue has been acknowledged and fixed by the CyBall team.

### 2.3.2. CyballMentorManager.sol - Useless code in `startMentor` function **INFORMATIVE**

`Require` statement conditions in this function are identical to the ones in the wrapped function. It is a waste of gas.

```
57 function startMentor(uint256 _mentor, uint256 _mentor2, uint256 _comm...
   itment, Proof memory _proof) external returns (uint256) {
58     require(_mentor != 0, "Mentor is 0");
59     require(CyBloc.ownerOf(_mentor) == msg.sender, "You are not o...
   wner of mentor");
60     require(_mentor2 == 0 || CyBloc.ownerOf(_mentor2) == msg.send...
   er, "You are not owner of mentor2");
61
62     bytes memory encode = abi.encodePacked(
63         _mentor, CyBloc.getMentorCount(_mentor),
64         _mentor2, CyBloc.getMentorCount(_mentor2),
65         _commitment,
66         msg.sender
67     );
68
69     require(verifyProof(encode, _proof), "Wrong proof");
70
71     payMentorFee();
72     uint256 newCyblocId = CyBloc.startMentor(msg.sender, _mentor,...
   _mentor2);
73
74     commitments[newCyblocId] = _commitment;
75
76     return newCyblocId;
77 }
```

*Snippet 4. CyballMentorManager.sol Useless code in `startMentor` function*

In the above snippet, first 3 `require` statements are not necessary because they will be checked again inside `Cyblock.startMentor()` method, implemented in `CyblockCore.sol`, quoted in the following snippet:

```

91 function startMentor(address _owner, uint256 _mentor, uint256 _mento...
   r2) external whenNotPaused onlyMentorManager returns (uint256) ...
   {
92     require(_mentor != 0, "Mentor is 0"); //duplicated with this...
   statement
93     require(ownerOf(_mentor) == _owner, "You are not owner of me...
   ntor"); //duplicated with this statement
94     require(isReadyMentor(_mentor), "Mentor is not ready");
95
96     cyblobs[_mentor].cooldownEndBlock = block.number + MENTOR_CO...
   OLDOWN_BLOCK;
97     cyblobs[_mentor].mentorCount++;
98
99     if (_mentor2 != 0) { //duplicated with this logic
100         require(_mentor2 != _mentor, "Use same mentor");
101         require(ownerOf(_mentor2) == _owner, "You are not owner ...
   of mentor2");
102         require(isReadyMentor(_mentor2), "Mentor2 is not ready");
103
104         cyblobs[_mentor2].cooldownEndBlock = block.number + MENT...
   OR_COOLDOWN_BLOCK;
105         cyblobs[_mentor2].mentorCount++;
106     }
107
108     uint256 newCyblocId = _spawn(_owner, 0, _mentor, _mentor2);
109     cyblobs[newCyblocId].cooldownEndBlock = block.number + OPEN_...
   COOLDOWN_BLOCK;
110     emit StartMentor(newCyblocId, _owner);
111
112     return newCyblocId;
113 }

```

*Snippet 5. CyblockCore.sol The wrapped function includes duplicated statements*

## RECOMMENDATION

Remove the first three `require` statements.

#### UPDATES

- 2021-10-31: This issue has been acknowledged and fixed by the CyBall team.

#### 2.3.3. CyBlocPack.sol - Unused SafeMath, SafeERC20, Ownable **INFORMATIVE**

In the head of the source code, the contract imported `SafeMath`, `SafeErc20` libraries and `Ownable` abstract contract but it doesn't use inside the `CyBlocPack` contract.

#### RECOMMENDATION

We suggest removing them for readability.

#### UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.

#### 2.3.4. CyBlocPack.sol - Inaccurate require statement message **INFORMATIVE**

Error message on `require` statement is inaccurate.

```
37 modifier onlySellerOrOwner(uint256 _packType) {
38     require(Sellers[_packType] == msg.sender || owner() == msg.se...
    nder, "CyBlockPack: wrong seller");
39     _;
40 }
```

*Snippet 6. CyBlocPack.sol Inaccurate require statement message*

#### RECOMMENDATION

Change message to `wrong seller or owner`.

#### UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.

#### 2.3.5. CyBlocPack.sol - Reuse signature **INFORMATIVE**

The contract uses `verifyProof` function to verify transactions but it doesn't check if the signature was used or not. So the caller can use an old signature to make another transaction. Currently, it doesn't affect the contract but it may cause issues in future development.

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend adding a module to `verifyProof` function which ensures signature is used once.

## UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.

### 2.3.6. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Unnecessary usage of SafeMath library in Solidity 0.8.0+ INFORMATIVE

All safe math usage in the contract are for overflow checking, solidity 0.8.0+ already do that by default, the only usage of safemath now is to have a custom revert message which isn't the case in the auditing contracts. We suggest to use normal operators for readability and gas saving.

In the contract, it is still used in the below function.

```
76 function buy(uint256 quantity, uint256 max, Proof memory _proof) external payable {
77     require(quantity > 0, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid quantity");
78     require(verifyProof(abi.encodePacked(msg.sender, max), _proof), "CyBlocPackSale: Wrong proof");
79     require(quantity <= TOTAL_PACK.sub(numberOfSoldPack), "CyBlocPackSale: Not enough packs for you");
80     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= max, "CyBlocPackSale: You buy too much");
81     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= MAX_PER_USER, "CyBlocPackSale: You buy too much2");
82
83     uint totalPrice = PACK_PRICE * quantity;
84
85     require(msg.value >= totalPrice, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid msg.value");
86
87     uint packId = numberOfSoldPack;
88
89     numberOfSoldPack += quantity;
90     userPackCount[msg.sender] += quantity;
91
92     for (uint256 i = 0; i < quantity; i++) {
93         uint256 tokenId = CYBLOC_PACK.mint(msg.sender, PACK_TYPE...
94     );
95     tokenIdToPackId[tokenId] = packId + 1; // if tokenId map...
```

```
    to packId, it must be greater than 0
95         packId++;
96     }
97
98     payable(owner()).transfer(totalPrice);
99
100    if (msg.value > totalPrice) {
101        payable(msg.sender).transfer(msg.value.sub(totalPrice));
102    }
103
104    emit CyblocPackPurchased(msg.sender, quantity);
105 }
```

*Snippet 7. CyBlocPackSale.sol buy function recommend fixing*

## RECOMMENDATION

Change `sub` function to subtract operator.

```
76 function buy(uint256 quantity, uint256 max, Proof memory _proof) ext...
    ernal payable started {
77     require(quantity > 0, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid quantity");
78     require(verifyProof(abi.encodePacked(msg.sender, max), _proo...
    f), "CyBlocPackSale: Wrong proof");
79     require(quantity <= TOTAL_PACK - numberOfSoldPack, "CyBlocPa...
    ckSale: Not enough packs for you");
80     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= max, "CyBloc...
    PackSale: You buy too much");
81     require(userPackCount[msg.sender] + quantity <= MAX_PER_USER...
    , "CyBlocPackSale: You buy too much2");
82
83     uint totalPrice = PACK_PRICE * quantity;
84
85     require(msg.value >= totalPrice, "CyBlocPackSale: Invalid ms...
    g.value");
86
87     uint packId = numberOfSoldPack;
88
89     numberOfSoldPack += quantity;
90     userPackCount[msg.sender] += quantity;
91
92     for (uint256 i = 0; i < quantity; i++) {
93         uint256 tokenId = CYBLOC_PACK.mint(msg.sender, PACK_TYPE...
```

```
);  
94         tokenIdToPackId[tokenId] = packId + 1; // if tokenId map...  
        to packId, it must be greater than 0  
95         packId++;  
96     }  
97  
98     payable(owner()).transfer(totalPrice);  
99  
100    if (msg.value > totalPrice) {  
101        payable(msg.sender).transfer(msg.value - totalPrice);  
102    }  
103  
104    emit CyblocPackPurchased(msg.sender, quantity);
```

*Snippet 8. CyBlocPackSale.sol buy function recommend fixing*

## UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.

### 2.3.7. CyBlocPackSale.sol - Unused SafeERC20 library, Ownable abstract contract INFORMATIVE

In the head of the source code, the contract imported `SafeErc20` library and `Ownable` abstract contract. The `SafeER20` is used for `IERC20` at line 17 but the `IERC20` doesn't use anywhere. `Ownable` abstract contract is the same.

```
16 using SafeMath for uint256;  
17     using SafeERC20 for IERC20;  
18  
19     CyBlocPack public CYBLOC_PACK;  
20     uint256 public PACK_TYPE;
```

*Snippet 9. CyBlocPackSale.sol Unnecessary statement in the contract*

## RECOMMENDATION

We suggest removing them for readability (including the codes at line 17 which uses `SafeERC20` for `IERC20`)

## UPDATES

- 2021-11-02: This issue has been acknowledged by the CyBall team.



### 3. VERSION HISTORY

Version	Date	Status/Change	Created by
<b>1.0</b>	<i>2021-10-05</i>	Private Report	Verichains Lab
<b>2.0</b>	<i>2021-10-31</i>	Private Report	Verichains Lab
<b>2.1</b>	<i>2021-11-02</i>	Private Report	Verichains Lab
<b>2.2</b>	<i>2021-11-05</i>	Public Report	Verichains Lab

*Table 2. Report versions history*