



### problem description

- zero liaison
  - absence of a liaison in nasal vowel liaisons
  - proposed by Sampson, Rodney.
- third pattern rather than the two patterns we typically think of in liaison
- used the PFC corpus to see if this third pattern arises

```
a. -\tilde{V} (ZERO-liaison) : productive, default (mignon)
```

b. -VN : non-productive, minor rule (mon)

c. -VN : non-productive, suppletive (bon)





### previous work

oral critical review + class reading:

Rodney, Sampson. Liaison, nasal vowels, and productivity.

- **claim**: Zero-liaison is "established, or on the way to becoming established, as the default arrangement" for liaison
  - inspiration for pilot study
- no corpus used; participant study but cited in PFC studies
- used list of tested words in my study

fin, souverain, lointain, mignon, malin, and hautain

- previous work on PFC corpus:

Durand, Jacques. French liaison in the light of corpus data.

- Durand has more publications working with PFC corpus
- mentions that prenominal adjectives appear 'in large numbers in the base, but only rarely in liaison'
  - I encountered this as well, making it difficult to observe zero liaison if there weren't many in the first place



### data: what is the PFC corpus?

- Phonologie du français contemporain (PFC) corpus
  - Phonology of contemporary French
- more than 50 surveys (francophone countries) documenting more than 400 native speakers
- different portal for schwas and liaisons; categorized by country, region, speech type, etc.
- also includes transcriptions

#### PFC liaison coding system

- Field 1:
- $\circ$  1 = one syllable
- o 2 = two syllables or more
- Field 2: is drawn from the set {0, 1, 2, 3, 4} where:
- o o = absence of liaison
- o 1 = liaison enchaînée (forward linked liaison)
- 2 = liaison non enchaînée (liaison consonant present but not forward linked)
- $\circ$  3 = uncertainty
- o 4 = 'epenthetic' liaison
- Field 3: indicates the phonetic nature of the consonant when the liaison is realized (z, t, p, n, etc.)
- Field 4: indicates either that a forward linked liaison is preceded by a slight
  pause, a hesitation (h), or that the liaison is present before a consonant
  initial word (C).
- Field 5: is used only for liaison after underlying nasal vowels to indicate
  whether the liaison in [n] is preceded by an oral vowel (VO) or by a nasal
  yowel (VN).



the coding system for the corpus



### Recherche dans la base PFC - Liaisons PFC database liaison search

[Enquêtes] [Transcriptions] [Liaisons] [Schwas] [Base HPOL] [Logout]

| ◀ Site PFC • PFC Site ◀ Début • First ❷ Aid                                       | de « Help   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| Votre recherche ici Your search here  |   | Q Recherche S                                 | Search  |
|   |   |   |   |
| Recherche dans la transcription :   | ( ® Tous les mots/All of these words   ○ Un de ces mots/Any of these words   ○ Cette phrase/This phrase | )   |   |
|   | transcription, enquêtes, régions, etc.)   | ,   |   |
| Tous les mots/All of these words :  |   |   |   |
| Un de ces mots/Any of these words :   | •   | A droite de la liaison :                      | ( ®All / ○ Any / ○ Phrase / ○ Whole Field / ○ Field ending with )   |
| Cette phrase/This phrase :  | (or at least of the words)  ■  Match whole field only   | Type de discours:<br>Syllabes du mot          | Liste de mots Texte lu Conversation guidés Conversation libre   |
| Aucun de ces mots/None of these words    Allow stemming (bridge matches bridging) | of these words :  (must have at least one of the above) atches hidding, bridges etc (po)                |   | ☐ 1 syll. ☐ 2 syll.s ☐ 3+   |
| O Exact word matching   | -   | Présence/absence de liaison:                  | ☐ Liaison présente ☐ Liaison absente ☐ Liaison incertaine   |
| A gauche de la liaison :  Mot de la liaison :                                     | (@All / O Any / O Phrase / O Whole Field / O Field ending with )  | Consonne de<br>liaison/Liaison<br>consonnant: | □ Z □ T □ N □ R □ P □ K □ Hésitation  |
|   | ( ®All / ○ Any / ○ Phrase / ○ Whole Field ) ○ Field ending with )                                       | Voyelle nasale/orale:                         | □ Voyelle nasale □ Voyelle orale  |
|   |   | Partie du discours/Part of Speech:            | □ ADJ □ ADV □ DET:RAT □ DET:POS □ KON □ NAM □ NOM □ NUM □ PRO:DEM □ PRO:IND □ PRO:PER □ PRP □ PRP:det □ VER:cond □ VER:impf □ VER:impf □ VER:pper □ VER:pper □ VER:pres   |
|   |   | Zones:  | ☐ France ☐ Afrique Subsaharienne ☐ Canada ☐ Belgique ☐ Suisse   |
|   |   | Regions:                                      | □ Afrique subsaharienne □ Alberta □ Aquitaine □ Bourgogne □ Bretagne □ Canton de Vaud □ Centre □ Genève □ Ile de France □ Languedoc-Roussillon □ Lorraine □ Maghreb □ Maurice - Ile de la Réunion □ Midi-Pyrénées □ Neuchâtel □ Normandie □ Provence Cote d'Azur □ Pyrénées Atlantiques □ Québec □ Rhône Alpes □ Wallonie |
|   |   | Enquêtes:                                     | Abidjan   |
|   |   | Locuteur Sexe:                                | □M□F  |



## analysis: successes + failures

- two methods
  - 1. searching liaison words from Sampson's study; only successful with fin
  - 2. searching by 'nasal vowel' tag and finding less common words (so no *un*, *mon*, *bon*) then searching for all liaisons (absent *and* present) with that word
- searched all regions; all speech/discourse types (free, guided, text)
- additional constraint for (2)
   more than one syllable; eliminate more common words
  - more than one syllable; eliminate more common words known to be realized in liaison (talked about in Sampson)
  - total words found with method (2): bien, certain, and aucun
    - all mentioned in Sampson's study





[h] indicates

hesitation

# method one: liaison words from Sampson study

- fin, souverain, lointain, mignon, malin, and hautain
- Only got results for fin; all were liaison absente





# method two: 'nasal vowel' tag

| bien  | l certain   | l aucun  |
|---|---|--|
| <ul> <li>244 responses total</li> <li>138 absent liaisons</li> <li>~ 57% of responses were zero liaison</li> <li>overwhelmingly zero liaison</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>7 responses total</li> <li>0 absent liaisons</li> <li>as expected per Sampson's study</li> <li>some (outside I'Hexagone) were realized as oral vowels</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>6 responses total</li> <li>1 absent liaisons</li> <li>~ 17% of responses</li> <li>were zero liaison</li> <li>as expected</li> </ul> |

## implications + going back to Sampson

- Sampson outlined two lists of words in already-established patterns:

#### nasal vowel + linking consonant

1(a) un, aucun, non, en, on, rien, bien, mon, ton, son, commun

### oral vowel + linking consonant

1(b) bon, -ien / -yen (e.g. ancien, moyen), -ain (e.g. vain), -ein (e.g. plein)

- bien and aucun in 1(a), certain in 1(b)
- with bien, we see a pattern of zero-liaison instead of a nasal vowel + linking consonant
  - most of responses were free conversation, an informal environment; most liaisons are realized at a formal register
  - bien is a filler word (thus not used as a prenominal adjective)
- thus, results for *bien* could be skewed by these confounding factors or it could be a zero liaison
  - but Sampson notes little change in liaison realization for these categories of words



### setbacks

- PFC corpus
  - coding system needs improvement
    - **ex.** when working with 'liaison absente' tag, little information about the liaison word; makes it very tedious
  - audio recordings often do not work
  - difficult to obtain an account/login system does not work
  - missing information or sometimes wrong?
- perhaps a more controlled study is better
  - with corpus: little control for environment, education, etc. so difficult to see a
    pattern of zero-liaison that is not due to an informal register, other confounding
    factors; not enough data to study this phenomenon
  - participant study: create a formal environment to ensure zero-liaison arises



## moving forward

- to improve my study:
  - go back to *bien* and consider only *bien* as a prenominal adjective (difficult since audio is often missing, thus difficult to determine context)
- do we see the same pattern with zero liaison being the default in L2 speakers as we do with L1?
  - potentially easier to do a participant study due to availability of L2 French speakers on campus
  - see what L2 speaker's sense of liaison is
- other projects concerning liaison and corpus studies
  - or see what patterns arise without necessarily looking for them
- hard to find a balance when studying zero-liaison
  - formal vs. informal setting, corpus or participant study, etc.
- more to explore in terms of realizing the nasal vowel liaison: oral vs. nasal
  - noticed a mix of oral and nasal vowels when realizing some of the liaisons, could be due to diff. factors?