

**QUESTION 1    THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION SHOULD GO IN BLUEBOOK I  
OR IN EXAMPLIFY ANSWER SCREEN 1**

In 2016, Darren surprised Samantha by giving her a \$3,000 gemstone necklace to commemorate their 20 years of special friendship. Over the years, the pair had confidentially shared with each other private details of their lives. Samantha wore the necklace almost daily for three years. Subsequently, Darren and Samantha parted ways and Darren demanded the necklace back when he discovered that Samantha had shared a private detail of his life with a mutual friend. Samantha refuses to return the necklace, claiming that it is a gift that she gets to keep.

Tyson gave Debra a \$15,000 engagement ring. Debra wore it faithfully and the couple married a year later. After 6 months of marriage, the pair separated. Tyson claims that the marriage was a farce from the beginning and demands the ring back. Debra refuses to return it.

Jeremy's grandfather, Papa Earl, offered Jeremy an operable vintage car that Papa Earl kept well maintained and stored in his garage. Eager to make space for more storage, Papa Earl told Jeremy that if he wanted the car, he would have to retrieve the keys and title from Papa Earl and move the car from the garage soon. When Jeremy failed to do so after several months, Papa Earl gave the car to Jeremy's cousin Liza, who promptly retrieved the keys and title from her grandfather and took the car to her home and ensured that title was transferred to her name. Jeremy now claims that the car is his because Papa Earl first said that Jeremy could have it. Liza is ignoring Jeremy's assertion that the car belongs to him.

**Applying Michigan law, fully discuss:**

**Whether Samantha, Debra and Liza are obligated to return the necklace, engagement ring, and car, respectively.**

**\*\*\*\*\*THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION SHOULD GO IN BLUEBOOK I\*\*\*\*\*  
OR IN EXAMPLIFY ANSWER SCREEN 1**