[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be called only by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off-chain below.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: (Proof of Code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

```
1 cast storage 0x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3
```

You can now parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function; however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit There are no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the PasswordStore.sol test file.

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
2
3
           vm.prank(randomAddress);
4
           string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
5
6
7
           vm.prank(owner);
8
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10
       }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add a conditional to the setPassword function.

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStorage_NotOwner();
}
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

[I-1] The PasswordStore: getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

```
/*
/*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.

* @param newPassword The new password to set.

*/
5
// @audit there is no param to be passed (documentation fix)
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() which the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

Impact: The natspec is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: NONELikelihood: HIGH

• Severity: Informational/Gas/Non-crits