



BALLB (H)

Family Law – II **PAPER CODE: 204**

UNIT-I Joint Hindu Family

- a. Mitakshara and Dayabhaga
- b. Formation and Incident under the coparcenary property under *Dayabhaga* and *Mitakshara*
- c. Karta of Joint Family: Position, Powers and privileges; Alienation of property by Karta
- d. Debts ó Doctrine of pious obligation and antecedent debts

UNIT-II Partition

- a. Meaning, Division of right and division of property
- b. Persons entitled to demand partition
- c. Partition how effected; Suit for partition
- d. Re-opening of partition; Re-union
- e. Points of similarity and distinction between the Mitakshara and the Dayabhaga Laws

UNIT-III Principles of Inheritance

- a. The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 General rules of succession of a Hindu male and female dying intestate under the Hindu Succession Act
- b. Stridhan and Women's estate
- c. Principles of inheritance under Muslim Law (*Sunni Law*)

UNIT-IV Religious and Charitable Endowments

- a. Endowments
 - Meaning, kinds and essentials.
 - Math ó Kinds, Powers and obligations of Mahant and Shefai
 - Removal and replacement of Idol
- b. Waqf
 - (i) Meaning, Kinds, Rights and Characteristics,
 - (ii) Advantages and disadvantages
 - Objects and purpose
 - (iii) Mosques ó objects, kind, requisites
 - (iv) Methods of creation of waqf
- c. Pre-emption ó Origin, Definition, Classification, Subject matter, formalities, effects, constitutional validity



UNIT-I

Joint Hindu Family

A Hindu joint family consists of the common ancestor and all his lineal male descendants upon any generation together with the wife or wives (or widows) and unmarried daughters of the common ancestor and of the lineal male descendants. The existence of the common ancestor is necessary for bringing a joint family into existence, for its continuance common ancestor is not a necessity.

According to Sir Dinshah Mulla, "A joint Hindu family consists of all persons lineally descended from a common ancestor, and includes their wives and unmarried daughters. A daughter ceases to be a member of her father's family on marriage, and becomes a member of her husband's family."

A joint and undivided family is the normal condition of Hindu society. An undivided Hindu family is ordinarily joint not only in estate, but also in food and worship. The existence of joint estate is not an essential requisite to constitute a joint family and a family, which does not own any property, may nevertheless be joint. Where there is joint estate, and the members of the family become separate in estate, the family ceases to be joint. Mere severance in food and worship does not operate as a separation.

The property of a joint family does not cease to be joint family property belonging to any such family merely because the family is represented by a single male member who possesses rights which an absolute owner of a property may possess. It may even consist of two females members. There must be at least two members to constitute Joint Hindu family. A single male or female cannot make a Hindu joint family even if the assets are purely ancestral.

In *Narendra Nath v. Commissioner of Wealth Tax*, the Supreme Court held that the expression 'Hindu undivided family' in the wealth Tax Act used in the sense in which a Hindu joint family is understood in the personal law of Hindus and a joint family may consist of a single male member and his wife and daughters and there is nothing in the scheme of the Wealth Tax Act to suggest that a Hindu undivided family as assessable unit must consist of a least two male members.

In *Commissioner of Income Tax v. Gomedalli Lakshminarayan* there was a joint family consisting of a father and his wife and a son and his wife, the son being the present assessee. On the death of father the Question raised is whether the assessee is to be assessed as an individual or as a member of the joint Hindu family, It was held that the son's right over the property is not absolute because two females in the family has right of maintenance in the property, therefore the income of the assessee should be taxed as the income of a Hindu undivided family.



In Anant v. Shankar it was held that on the death of a sole surviving coparcener, a Hindu Joint Family is not finally terminated so long as it is possible in nature or law to add a male member to it. Thus there can also be a joint family where there are widows only.

a. Mitakshara and Dayabhaga

MITAKSHARA SCHOOL:

The Mitakshara School exists throughout India except in the State of Bengal and Assam. The Yagna Valkya Smriti was commented on by Vigneshwara under the title Mitakshara. The followers of Mitakshara are grouped together under the Mitakshara School. Mitakshara school is based on the code of yagnavalkya commented by vigneshwara, a great thinker and a law maker from Gulbarga, Karnataka. The Inheritance is based on the principle of propinquity i.e. the nearest in blood relationship will get the property. The school is followed throughout India except Bengal state. Sapinda relationship is of blood. The right to Hindu joint family property is by birth. So, a son immediately after birth gets a right to the property. The system of devolution of property is by survivorship. The share of co-parcener in the joint family property is not definite or ascertainable, as their shares are fluctuating with births and deaths of the co-parceners. The co-parcener has no absolute right to transfer his share in the joint family property, as his share is not definite or ascertainable.

Women could never become a co-parcener. But, the amendment to Hindu Succession Act of 2005 empowered the women to become a co-parcener like a male in ancestral property. A major change enacted due to western influence. The widow of a deceased co-parcener cannot enforce partition of her husband's share against his brothers.

There are four Sub-Schools under the Mitakshara School:

- i. DRAVIDIAN SCHOOL OF THOUGHT : (MADRAS SCHOOL)

It exists in South India. In the case of adoption by a widow it has a peculiar custom that the consent of the sapindas was necessary for a valid adoption. (-Sapindas - blood relation)

Collector of Madura vs. Mootoo Ramalinga Sethupathy (Ramnad case): The zaminder of Ramnad died without sons and in such a condition, the zamindari would have escheated to the Government, the widow Rani Parvatha vardhani made an adoption of a son, with the consent of the sapindas of her husband.

But on the death of the widow, the Collector of Madura notified that the Zamindari would escheat to the State. The adopted son brought a suit for declaration of the validity of the adoption. It was a



question whether a widow can make a valid adoption without her husband's consent but his sapinda's consent.

The Privy Council, after tracing the evolution of the various Schools of Hindu law, held that Hindu law should be administered from clear proof of usage which will outweigh the written text of law. Based on the Smriti Chandrika and Prasara Madhviya, the Privy Council concluded that in the Dravida School, in the absence of authority from the husband, a widow may adopt a son with the assent of his kindred.

Ii. MAHARASHTRA SCHOOL: (BOMBAY SCHOOL OF THOUGHT)

It exists in Bombay (Mumbai), from the above four bases, there are two more bases. They are Vyavakara, Mayukha and Nimaya Sindhu. The Bombay school has got an entire work of religious and Civil laws.

Iii. BANARAS SCHOOL OF THOUGHT:

It exists in Orissa and Bihar. This is a modified Mitakshara School.

Iv. MITHILA SCHOOL OF THOUGHT:

It exists in Uttar Pradesh near the Jamuna river areas. Apart from the above schools, there are four more schools which are now existent today. They are Vyavakara, Mayukha Nimaya and Sindhu Schools.

DAYABHAGA SCHOOL OF THOUGHT

It exists in Bengal and Assam only. The Yagna Valkya smriti is commented on by Jimootavagana under the title Dayabhaga. It has no sub-school. It differs from Mistakshara School in many respects. Dayabhaga School is based on the code of yagnavalkya commented by Jimutuvahana, Inheritance is based on the principle of spiritual benefit. It arises by pinda offering i.e. rice ball offering to deceased ancestors. This school is followed in Bengal state only. Sapinda relation is by panda offerings. The right to Hindu joint family property is not by birth but only on the death of the father. The system of devolution of property is by inheritance. The legal heirs (sons) have definite shares after the death of the father. Each brother has ownership over a definite fraction of the joint family property and so can transfer his share. The widow has a right to succeed to husband's share and enforce partition if there are no male descendants. On the death of the husband the widow becomes a co-parcener with other brothers of the husband. She can enforce partition of her share.



Coparcenary

A Hindu coparcenary is a much narrower body than the joint family. It includes only those persons who acquire by birth an interest in the joint or coparcenary property. These are the sons, grandsons and great-grandsons of the holder of the joint property for the time being, in other words, the three generations next to the holder in unbroken male descent.

Ancestral property is a species of coparcenary property. As stated above if a Hindu inherits property from his father, it becomes ancestral in his hands as regards his son. In such a case, it is said that the son becomes a coparcener with the father as regards the property so inherited, and the coparcenary consists of the father and the son. However, this does not mean that coparcenary can consist only of the father and his sons. It is not only the sons but also the grandsons and great grandsons who acquire an interest by birth in the coparcenary property. Coparcenary begins with a common male ancestor with his lineal descendants in the male line within four degrees counting from and inclusive of such ancestor. The Mitakshara concept of coparcenary is based on the notion of son's birth right in the joint family property.

Though every coparcenary must have a common ancestor to start with, it is not to be supposed that every extant coparcenary is limited to four degrees from the common ancestor. When a member of a joint family is removed more than four degrees from the last holder, he cannot demand a partition, and therefore he is not a coparcener. On the death, however, of the last holder, he would become a member of the coparcenary, if he was fifth in descent from him and would be entitled to a share on partition, unless his father, grandfather and great-grandfather had all predeceased the last holder. Whenever a break of more than three degrees occurs between any holder of property and the person who claims to enter the coparcenary after his death the line ceases in that direction and the survivorship is confined to those collaterals and descendants who are within the limit of four degrees.

In *Ceylon- Attorney-General of Ceylon v. A. R. Arunachalam Chettiar* case a father and his son constituted a joint family governed by Mitakshara School of Hindu Law. The father and the son were domiciled in India and had trading and other interests in India. The undivided son died and father became the sole surviving coparcener in a Hindu Undivided family to which a number of female members belonged. In this the court said that the widows in the family including the widow of the predeceased son had the power to introduce coparceners in the family by adoption and that power was exercised after the death of son.

In *Gowli Buddanna v. Commissioner of Income-Tax*, Mysore a family consisting of father, his wife, his two unmarried daughters and his adopted son. After the death of father question arises whether the sole male surviving coparcener of the Hindu joint family, his widowed mother and sisters constitute a Hindu undivided family within the meaning of the Income tax Act? In this case it was held by the court property of a joint family does not cease to belong to the family merely because the family is



represented by a single coparcener who possesses rights which an owner of property may possess. The property which yielded the income originally belonged to a Hindu undivided family.

In *Moro Vishvanath v. Ganesh Vithal* plaintiffs and defendants are descendants of one Udhav. The defendants are all fourth in descent from him. The plaintiffs, however are, some fifth, and others sixth in descent from him. The question, however, whether, assuming them to be undivided, the plaintiffs are entitled to sue at all for a partition according to Hindu Law, is one of considerable importance and difficulty. It was urged that Plaintiffs cannot claim from the defendants any partition of property descended from that common ancestor. It was held that upon a consideration of the authorities cited, it seems to me that it would be difficult to uphold the appellants' contention that a partition could not, in any case be demanded by descendants of a common ancestor, more than four degrees removed, of property originally descended from him.

Suppose a coparcenary consisted originally of A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H, with A as the common ancestor. Suppose A dies first, then B, then C, then D, and then E, and that G has then a son I, and H has a son J and J has a son K. On E's death the coparcenary will consist of F,G,H,I,J and K. Suppose that G,H and J die one after another , and the only survivors of the joint family are F,I and K. Are I and K coparceners with F? Yes, though I is fifth in descent from A, and K is sixth in descent from A. The reason is that either of them can demand a partition of the family property from Here the coparcenary consists of three Collaterals, namely, F,I and K.

The essence of a coparcenary under Mitakshara law is unity of ownership. The ownership of the coparcenary property is in the whole body of coparceners. According to the true notion of an undivided family governed by Mitakshara law, no individual member of that family, whilst it remains undivided, can predicate, of the joint and undivided property, that he, that particular member, has a definite share. His interest is a fluctuating interest, capable of being enlarged by deaths in the family, and liable to be diminished by births in family. It is only on partition that he becomes entitled to a definite share. The most appropriate term to describe the interest of a coparcener in coparcenary property is 'undivided coparcenary interest'. If a Mitakshara coparcener dies immediately on his death his interest devolves on the surviving coparceners.

The Supreme Court has summarized the position and observed that the coparcenary property is held in collective ownership by all the coparceners in a quasi-corporate capacity. The incidents of coparcenary are:

- 1 The lineal male descendants of a person upto the third generation, acquire on birth ownership in the ancestral properties of such person;
- 2 such descendants can at any time work out their rights by asking for partition;



3 till partition each member has got ownership extending over the entire property conjointly enjoyment of the properties is common;

4 as a result of such co-ownership the possession and enjoyment of the properties is common;

5 no alienation of the property is possible unless it is for necessity, without the concurrence of the coparceners and

6 the interest of a deceased member passes on his death to the surviving coparceners.

Every coparcener and every other member of the joint family has a right of maintenance out of the joint family property. The right of maintenance subsists through the life of the member so long as family remains joint. No female can be a coparcener under Mitakshara law. Even wife, though she is entitled to maintenance.

Difference between Joint Hindu Family and Coparcener

1 In order to constitute a Joint Hindu family the existence of any kind of property is not required whereas in Coparcenary there exists a ancestral property.

2 Joint Hindu families consist of male and female members of a family whereas in Coparcenary no female can be a coparcener.

3 Coparcenars are members of the Joint Hindu Family whereas all the members of Joint Hindu family are not Coparcenars.

Dayabhaga School on Coparcenar and Joint Hindu Family:

According to the Dayabhaga law, the sons do not acquire any interest by birth in ancestral property. Their rights arise for the first time on the father's death. On the death they take such of the property as if left by him, whether separate or ancestral, as heirs and not by survivorship. Since the sons do not take any interest in ancestral property in their father's lifetime, there can be no coparcenary in the strict sense of the word between a father and sons according to the Dayabhaga law. The father can dispose of ancestral property, whether movable or immovable by sale, gift, will or otherwise in the same way as he can dispose of his separate property. Since sons do not acquire any interest by birth in ancestral property, they cannot demand a partition of such property from the father. A coparcenary under the Dayabhaga law could thus consist of males as well as females. Every coparcenar takes a defined share in the property, and he is owner of that share. It does not fluctuate with birth and deaths in family.



b. Formation and Incident under the coparcenary property under Dayabhaga and Mitakshara

Co parcence - The system of copartitionary

Formation of Mitakshara coparcenary - A single person cannot form a coparcenary. There should be at least two male members to constitute it. Like a Hindu joint family, the presence of a senior most male member is a must to start a coparcenary. A minimum of two members are required to start and to continue a coparcenary. Moreover, the relation of father and son is essential for starting a coparcenary. For example, a Hindu male obtains a share at a time of partition from his father and then gets married. Till the son is born, he is the sole male in this family, but he alone will not form a coparcenary. On the birth of his son, a coparcenary comprising of him and his son, will come into existence. When this son gets married, and a son is born to him, the coparcenary will comprise the father F, his son S, and his grandson SS.

S

SS

When a coparcenary is started, the senior most male member, with his son, that is, lineal male descendant, till four generations (inclusive of him) of male line will form a coparcenary. If there is a lineal male descendant in the fifth generation, he will be the member of the joint family, but will not be a coparcener as he is removed from the senior most male member by more than four generations.

When all the coparceners die, leaving behind only one of them, the surviving coparcener is called the sole surviving coparcener. As a minimum of two male members are required to form a coparcenary, a sole surviving coparcener cannot form a coparcenary all by him.

Why is coparcenary limited? The coparcenary is limited to three generations of lineal male decadence of the last holder of the property owner. According to the tenets of Hinduism, only descendants up to three generations can offer spiritual ministrations to the common ancestor. Besides, only males can be coparceners because the females invariably leave the father's house and assume domestic duties as they enter in the husband's home.

Unmarried women, until 1956 only had the right of maintenance from the joint property, which included only the marriage expenses. The 1937 legislation allowed a widow to move into the shoes of her deceased husband and inherit his share. However, she does NOT become a coparcener to this joint property.

Doctrine of reverters: Hindu Succession Act, 1956: - for the first time, the widow got full rights in her husband's property S. 14 of the Act 2005 Act -daughters, by birth, got coparcenary rights.



Women as coparcenary: Under Mitakshara coparcenary, women cannot be coparceners. A wife, under Hindu law, has a right of maintenance out of her husband's property. Yet she is not a coparcener with him. Even a widow succeeding to her deceased husband's share in the joint family, under the Hindu Women's (right to property) Act, 1937, is not a coparcenary.

Unity of possession and community of interest - One of the basic features of coparcenary is unity of possession, and community of interest. All the coparceners jointly own the coparcenary property and till a partition takes place, and their shares are specifically demarcated, no one can claim ownership over any specific item of the coparcenary property. The proceeds of undivided family are enjoyed by its members as till a partition takes place, they hold everything jointly. Coparcenary property suggests ownership by one group collectively, and enjoyment and possession of it by not only this group exclusively, but by the joint family members who are outside this group.

Doctrine of survivorship - The shares of the coparceners are not specific and are subject to change with the births and deaths of the coparceners, in the family. Under the traditional or the classical law, on the death of the coparcener in a joint family, his interest in the family property is immediately taken by those coparceners who survive him, and thus, he leaves nothing behind out of his interest in the coparcenary property for his female dependants. This phenomenon is called the doctrine of survivorship. On birth, he takes an interest, enjoys it during his life time, but leaves nothing for his female dependants on his death. In Dayabhaga system, one is entitled to succeed the property after the death of the male holder. Till then, he is just an heir.

Notional Partition ó The 1956 Act brought some changes in the coparcener system. Notional partition was taken into consideration to compute and demarcate the shares. i.e. Father and 2 sons 1/3rd each, though not specified as to what the specific exact division is.

Commencement of coparcenary ó One of the primary differences between Mitakshara and Dayabhaga Law is the commencement or the starting of coparcenary itself. Under the Mitakshara law, the starting point of the coparcenary is the birth of the son in the family of a person, who after inheriting the property from his father, or paternal grandfather, or paternal great-grandfather or obtaining property on partition hold it as a sole surviving coparcener. For example, in a coparcenary consisting of a father F, and his two sons A and B, A demands a partition, takes his share and then gets married, when a son is born to him, he will form a coparcenary with his son. Thus, the birth of a son is the starting point or reviving point of Mitakshara coparcenary.

In complete contrast to it, under the Dayabhaga Law, the father so long as he is alive, holds the property as a sole or exclusive owner of it. On his death, if he is survived by two or more sons, they inherit the property, and form a coparcenary. It is the death of the father that becomes the starting point of the formation of coparcenary, under the Dayabhaga Law.



Notional Partition ó It was generally felt that radical reform was required in Mitakshara Law of coparcenary and that where one of the coparceners died, it was necessary that in respect of his undivided interest in the coparcenary property, there should be equal distribution of that share between his male and female heirs, and particularly between his son and daughter. The Hindu Women's (Right to Property) Act, 1937 conferred new rights on the widows of coparceners.

The initial part of section 6 of the 1956 Act does not interfere with the special rights of those who are members of Mitakshara coparcenary, except to the extent that it seems to ensure the female heirs and daughter's son, specified in Class I of the schedule, a share in the interest of a coparcener in the event of his death by introducing the concept of a notional partition immediately before his death, and carving out his share in the coparcenary property, as of that date. The section proceeds first by making provision for the retention of the right of survivorship and then engrafts on that rule the important qualification enacted by the provision. The proviso operates only where the deceased has left surviving him a daughter's son, or any female heir specified in Class I of the schedule.

Illustrations ó A and his son B are members of a Mitakshara coparcenary. A dies intestate. Surviving him is his only son B. His undivided interest in the coparcenary property will devolve upon B by survivorship as clearly envisaged in the initial part of the section and not by succession.

A and his sons B and C are members of a Mitakshara coparcenary. A dies intestate in 1958. Surviving him is his widow A1 and his two sons. B and C continue to be members of the joint family. A's undivided interest in the coparcenary property will not devolve by survivorship upon B and C, but will devolve by succession upon A1, B, and C.

The amending act of 2005 is an attempt to remove the discrimination as contained in the amended section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 by giving equal rights to daughters in the Hindu mitakshara coparcenary property as the sons have. Simultaneously, section 23 of the Act, as disentitles the female heir to ask for partition in respect of dwelling house wholly occupied by a joint family, until a male heir chooses to divide their respective shares therein, has been amended by the amending Act of 2005. As a result, the disabilities of female heirs were removed. This great step and is the product of 174th report of the Law Commission of India.

If P dies, leaving behind a mother M, and two sons A and B, and three daughters, E, F, G, how would the property devolve? - 1/6th each. If P dies, leaving behind a mother M, and a son S, and two daughters B and D, how would the property devolve ó 1/4th each? P dies, leaving behind a widow W, and his mother M, and his two sons, A and B. ó 1/4th each. P dies, leaving behind his mother M, and his two widows A and B, and a son S.- 1/3rd, 1/6th, 1/6th, and 1/3rd resp. P dies, leaving behind a son A and a daughter B of a pre-deceased SS, and two sons C, E and a daughter F of a predeceased daughter D. Triple succession. P dies, leaving behind his two widows A and B, his mother M, two



widows C and D and a son S of a pre-deceased son and two daughters E and F and a son G of a predeceased daughter.

Under the old Hindu law, conversion by a Hindu to another religion was a disqualification, which was removed by the Caste Disabilities Removal Act, 1850. Under the Act, conversion does not disqualify an heir from inheriting the property of the intestate, but descendants of a convert are disqualified from inheriting the intestate.

Thus, the children of the convert and descendants of the children are disqualified, but if at the time of death of the intestate, any one of them is a Hindu, he is not disqualified. Succession to the property of a convert is regulated by the personal law applicable to the convert after his conversion. The provision of S. 26 may be explained by some illustrations:

An intestate dies leaving behind two sons A and B, and a grandson SS, from a pre-deceased son, who had converted to Islam before SS was born to him. SS is disqualified, and the entire property is inherited by A and B.

P had three sons A, B, and C. C converted to Christianity on 1.1.1979. P died on 1.1.1982. C will get the property (CDRA) P had three sons, A, B and C. A son R was born to C on 1.1.1976. On 1.1.1978, C converted to Islam. On 1.1.1979, after his conversion, S, a daughter was born to him. C died on 1.1.1980. P dies on 1.3.1982.

Agnates ó A person is said to be an agnate of another if the two are related by blood, or adoption only through males. S. 8 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 does not give the list of agnates, or state the order in which they are entitled to succeed, but S. 12 of the HSA lays down certain rules of preference, which are determinative of order of succession among agnates, and S. 13 lays down certain rules for determining that order by computation of degrees, both of ascent and descent. In accordance with the rules laid down in S. 12, agnates and cognates may conveniently be divided into the following subcategories or grades:

Agnates: Agnates who are descendants ó They are related to the intestate by no degree of ascent. Such, for instance, are son♂ son♂ son♂ son, and son♂ son♂ son♂ daughter. Agnates who are ascendants ó They are related to the intestate only by degrees of ascent and no degrees of descent. Such, for instance, are father♂ father♂ father and father♂ father♂ mother.

Agnates who are collaterals ó They are related to the intestate by degrees, both of ascent and descent, such, for instance, are father♂ brother♂ son, and father♂ brother♂ daughter.

Cognates ó A person is said to be a cognate of another if the two are related by blood or adoption, but not wholly through males. They may be related through one or more females. Thus, a mother♂ brother♂ son and brother♂ daughter♂ daughter are cognates. The three categories of cognates are:



Cognates who are descendants ó Such, for instance are son’s daughter’s son’s son, and daughter’s son’s son’s son.

Cognates who are ascendants ó Such for instance, are father’s mother’s father, and mother’s father’s father.

Cognates who are collaterals ó They are related to the intestate by degrees, both of ascent and descent. Such, for instance, are Father’s sister’s son and Mother’s brother’s son.

Computation of degrees ó Application of the rules of preference governing order of succession laid down in S. 12 involves computation of the degrees of relationship between the intestate and his agnates or cognates. That relationship is to be reckoned from the intestate to the heir in terms of degrees with the propositus (intestate) as the starting point. There is no rule of discrimination or preference between male and female heirs, and both, male and female relatives by blood or adoption are treated equally. The computation of degrees of ascent or descent is to be so made that it is inclusive of the intestate. The relationship must be reckoned from the propositus to the heir on terms of degrees with the propositus as the terminus aquo (S. 13(ii)). The other rule is that every generation constitutes a degree, either ascending or descending (S. 13(iii)).

Rules of preference ó The order of succession among agnates or cognates is governed by three rules of preference, laid down in S. 12, which are common to both the categories of heirs. In order to determine which of the two or more claimants in the category of agnates or of cognates, recourse must be taken to rule 1 and 2, laid down in S. 12, and initially to rule 1. When one competing heir is not entitled to be preferred to the other under rule 1 or 2, they take simultaneously, under Rule 3.

Rule 1 ó This rule is pivotal and enacts that, of two heirs, the one who has fewer or no degrees of ascent is preferred. Illustration ó If the two competing heirs are two collateral agnates, that is, brother’s son’s daughter, (father’s son’s son’s daughter), and b) paternal uncle’s son (father’s father’s son’s son). The former, who has only 2 degrees of ascent, is to be preferred to the latter that has three degrees of ascent.

Rule 2 ó This rule enacts that where the number of degrees of ascent is the same, the one who has fewer or no degrees of descent is preferred. Illustration ó The competing heirs are two collateral agnates, a) brother’s son’s daughter (father’s son’s son’s daughter), and b) brother’s son’s son’s daughter (father’s son’s son’s son’s daughter). Again, the former is to be preferred, because, in spite of having two degrees of ascent, each, the former has only three degrees of descent compared to the latter’s .

Rule 3 ó This rule enacts that where neither heir is entitled to be preferred, under rule 1 or two, they take simultaneously. Illustration ó The competing heirs are two agnates, a) son’s son’s son’s son, and b) son’s son’s son’s daughter. There are no degrees of ascent, and the number of degrees of descent is



the same in case of both, and both stand in the same degree of descent. Therefore, neither heir is entitled to be preferred. Illustration 2 ó The competing heirs are two cognates, a) daughter& son& son, and b) son& daughter& son. The position is similar, to that of illustration 1 and they take simultaneously.

Property of a female Hindu to be her absolute property (S. 14 of HSA, 1956) prior to the coming into force of this Act, a woman&s ownership of property was hedged in by certain delimitations on her right of disposal and also on her testamentary power in respect of that property. The restrictions imposed by Hindu Law on the proprietary rights of a woman depended on her status as a maiden, as a married woman, and as a widow. The rule laid down in Subsection 1 has very wide and extensive application, and the act overrides the old law on the subject of Stridhana in respect of all property possessed by a female, whether acquired by her before or after the commencement of the Act, and this section declares that all such property shall be held by her as full owner. The Act confers full heritable capacity on the female heir, and this section dispenses with the traditional limitations on the powers of a female Hindu to hold and transmit property.

The word acquired in subsection 1 is to be given the widest possible meaning, and the interpretation of the expression -possessed, in the initial part of the section appears to have been deliberately used by the legislature. The Supreme Court expressed, in the context of property, possessed by a female Hindu, òobviously mean that the property must be in possession of the female concerned at the date of the commencement of the Act. The possession might have the either actual or constructive or in any form recognized by law.

The word -possessed is used in this section in a broad sense, and as pointed out by the Supreme Court, it means òthe state of owing or having in one&s hand all power. It did not mean actual, physical possession or personal occupation of the property by the female, but maybe possession in law.

Inheritance, how to be allotted among sharers (Hanafi law)

The sharers receive their respective shares according to the following rules:

Father ó When there is a child or child of a son, how low so ever, the father takes 1/6th. But, where there is a child, or child of a son, how low so ever, the father inherits as a residue.

True Grandfather (from the father&s side, i.e. father&s father) ó Grandfather can never take any share where there is father , but where there is no father , but there is a child, or child of a son, how low so ever, the true grandfather takes 1/6th.

Husband ó takes 1/4th of his wife&s estate, where there are children, or child of a son, how low so ever, and a moiety, that is, half when there are none of the above relations.



Widow The widow takes 1/8th of her husband's estate, where there is a child, or child of a son HLS, and a fourth where there are none. In case of two or more wives, the share is not increased. The wives divide the share equally amongst themselves.

Mother ó Mother, when co-existing with the child, or the propositus, or a child of a son HLS, or two or more brothers or sisters, whether full, consanguine or uterine, takes 1/6th. Where there are no children, nor sons' children, and only one brother or sister, the mother will take one third with the widow,

True grandmother ó Grandmothers, both maternal and paternal can never take any share of the property, when there is a mother nor can paternal grandmothers inherit when there is a father, or nearer true-grandmother, either paternal or maternal, or an intermediate true-grandfather. The share of a maternal grandmother is one sixth, and the same share belongs to the paternal grandmother. The share is not increased in case of two or more true grandmothers.

Daughter ó When there is no son, and there is only one daughter, she takes a moiety (half of the property as a legal share). Where there is no son, and two or more daughters, they together take 2/3rd of property. If a daughter co-exists with a son, she inherits as a residuary, the son getting twice than that of the daughter.

Son's daughter ó Where only one and no child or son's son or other lineal male descendant, she gets half. B) When two or more, and no child or son's sons, or other lineal male descendants, she takes two-third. C) When coexisting with one daughter and no son, or son's son, or other lineal male descendant, she gets one-sixth.

Uterine brother - When two or more, they take one-third provided there is no child or child of a son, HLS, or father, or true grandfather. **Uterine sister** ó The uterine sister takes, like the uterine brother. Full sister, where only one and no child, child of a son HLS or father, or true grandfather, or daughter or son's daughter, or full brother, she takes half, but when two or more, they jointly take two third of the inheritance. With the full brother, she becomes a residuary.

Manu Smriti ó Manu's law (not written by him, but an anonymous person). Yagnavalkya Narada ó (Nepali saint, not the one who said नारायण नारायण). Therefore, mitakshara was a commentary written on Yagnavalkya, which became the law in the whole of India, except Bengal, parts of Bihar, and Orissa. **Dayabhaga** ó Digest on Hindu Law, written by Saint Jimut Vahan. Coparcenary property is never inherited, but always goes by doctrine of survivorship. **Survivorship** ó In a coparcenary, whoever survives, takes the property. Testamentary disposition of the coparcenary property was not allowed by the classical law. Survivorship cannot be applied to separate property, or property after partition. Anything acquired with the help of joint family funds takes the character of joint family



property. Illustration ó A person uses joint family funds to improve upon his personal property. The latter assumes the character of joint family, if the funds were not taken with the intention of a loan.

Hindu Gains of Learning Act, 1930: If a person is educated out of joint family property, his salary is separate property (before this Act, there was a lot of confusion over the issue, and the court on one occasion, even held that the salary is joint property).

The first legislative inroad in the classical concept of coparcenary came in 1937. This enactment was to improve the rights of those who became members of a joint family by marriage. It was found out that even though women were entitled to maintenance out of the coparcenary property, it was seen that the surviving coparceners were quick in taking the property, but did not provide maintenance. In order to deal with this problem, the legislature came up with the H W Rt to property Act, saying that the widow would step into the shoes of the deceased coparcener, and hold that property till their death. This was only for those who entered the family by marriage (and not daughters). This implied that the application of doctrine of survivorship was put on hold and postponed as long as the widows were alive (or remarried).

Hindu Succession Act, 1956 ó Under this Act, several inroads were made into the classical concept of coparcenary. In case the coparcener wanted to make a testamentary disposition of his share, he was allowed to do so. Before this Act, a coparcener had to ask for a partition before he could testamentary dispose off his share. Therefore, the undivided share could not be disposed off before the partition. S. 30 of the HSA provided for such disposition. If any member died as part of Mitakshara undivided coparcenary, his share in the undivided property would go by intestate succession under the act, and not by survivorship, if he left behind any female heirs, specified in Class I of the schedule. Laws of inheritance would apply to such property, and not survivorship.

In Kerala, the entire concept of joint family was abolished in 1975-76.

In Andhra Pradesh, unmarried daughters were introduced as coparceners, in 1985.

In Tamil Nadu, an identical Act was passed in 1989.

Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu followed suit in 1994.

Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 ó Daughters made coparceners.

No application of doctrine of survivorship for Hindu Male Coparceners. Survivorship has expressly been retained for female coparceners. Therefore, if a female coparcener died, it was ensured that the property would not go to her husband, but back to the coparceners in her father's home.



In classical law, at the time of partition, the women who had entered the family, by marriage were entitled to get an equal share. However, this was the case only in the three sub-schools of Mitakshara, i.e. Benares, Mithila, and Bombay. In the Dravida School, this was not allowed.

Each of them takes the property as their separate property. Therefore, if in a family of Father, Mother, and three sons, in case of partition, father and mother [2] will take 1/5th each as their separate property.

Indian Succession Act, 1925:-

Originally passed in 1865, and consolidated in 1925.

Majority of the Christian Population (except Goa, Daman & Diu etc.) All those persons who marry under SMA, and the property of the issue of such marriage, except to Hindus after 1976 (after *Indira Gandhi v. Maneka Gandhi*) 1976 ó Two Hindus marrying under SMA, succession to their issues, shall NOT be governed by the ISA. ISA is based on Roman and English principles. If a man or a woman dies, the scheme does not change, i.e. the sex of the intestate is irrelevant. No recognition of joint family property; only separate property is recognized. No discrimination b/w agnates and cognates. The following order of preference is followed.

- (i) Father.
- (ii) Mother, Brother, Sister.
- (iii) Kindred ó grandparents and their children up to the 2nd level.

No difference b/w half blood, full-blood and uterine relations. However, illegitimate children are not recognized (S. 100).

General Comment ó In case of succession, one has to see from the perspective of the deceased to see which law will apply, and not from the point of view of the heir.

MUSLIM LAW ó Residuaries ó Muslim Law Class 2 Agnatic heirs (residuaries or asabat) ó Agnatic heirs in preference is generally used to the misleading term óresiduariesø Residue and óresiduaryø gives an impression that what is left of the property after the share of Class I heirs are satisfied, according to their specification, but it is not true because the bulk of the property remains as residue. This important class belongs to son, father (in few cases), brother, paternal uncle, etc., who are important male relations and expected to get more.

Classification of these heirs is recognitions of Pre-Islamic customs, and Class I is given preference, owing to the respect in Koran. Else, the bulk of the property devolves to agnatic heirs, the persons whose rights were always recognized by tribal law.



Classes of agnatic heirs ó The male heirs in the list of residuaries, who are heirs in their own rights:

Son

This class of residuaries derives their right from another. They are 4 females: Daughter in the right of son, The son's daughter HLS as a residuary in the right of son's son, HLS Full sister in the right of full brother, and consanguine sister in the right of consanguine brother. This class becomes a residuary with others in certain circumstances full sister, and consanguine sister, when they succeed with daughters and son's daughter, HLS.

PARSI LAW OF SUCCESSION ó Division of intestate's property among widow, widower, children and parentsó Legislative change and its effect ó Section 57 has been substituted in place of S. 51, which was incorporated in the Statute by the Act of 1939, by the amending Act of 1991 wef from December 9, 1991. Accordingly, in case a Parsi dying before 9th Dec, 1991, his property shall be distributed in accordance with the law at the time of his death. But the new law will be applicable when the intestate died on or after 9 dec, 1991. Drastic changes have been made in the matter of distribution of property of a Parsi intestate by the amending Act of 1991.

The right of the widower is recognized for the first time. The daughter's share shall now be equal to that of a son and widow or widower as the case may be. Distribution of shares under Sub sec 1 is subject to the rule contained in sub sec. 2. The rule enacted in clause A of sub section 1, may be conveniently explained in the following way:

Consider a situation in which there are four children and a widow/widower. The widow or the widower as the case may be, and each child shall receive equal shares. Thus, each of them in the above case shall get 1/5th of the Estate.

Clause (b) deals with the manner of inheritance, where there is no surviving widow or widower. In that event, the distribution shall be made among the children in equal shares. Subsection (2) ó the distribution of shares in accordance with sub sec (1), shall be varied when the intestate Parsi dies leaving one or both parents, in addition to children or widow or widower and children. In that event, the estate of the deceased shall be so divided that the parents of each of the parents shall receive a share equal to half of the share of each child.

Division of intestate's predeceased lineal descendant's share ó the rule enacted in different clauses of S. 53 provides how the intestate's predeceased lineal descendant's share shall have to be distributed. The principle in this regard is that if any child had predeceased intestate, the share of the child, which such child would have taken, if he were alive at the time of the intestate's death shall be in accordance with clauses (a), (b), (c) or (d).



If the predeceased child was a son, and he died leaving widow and children, then his share shall be divided in accordance with S. 51(1A).

This clause deals with a case where the pre-deceased's lineal descendant was a daughter. Her share shall go to her surviving children only. Her husband shall not inherit from her.

PARSI INTESTATE SUCCESSION -

Relatives specified in Part I, schedule II are

Father and mother,

Brothers and sisters, and Lineal descendants of such of them,

Paternal and Maternal grandparents,

Children of Paternal and maternal grandparents and the lineal descendants of such of them.

Paternal and Maternal grandparents' parents

Paternal and maternal grandparents' parents' children and the lineal descendants.

Part II of Schedule II (s. 55)

Father and mother,

Brothers and sisters, and lineal descendants

Paternal and maternal grandparents

Children of paternal and maternal

Paternal

Paternal and

Half brothers and sisters and their lineal descendants (not uterine) Widows of brother or half brother or sister or half sister

Law of Domicile ó The domicile of a person is that place or country in which his habitation is fixed without any present intention of shifting there-from. According to Halsbury, a person's domicile is that country in which he has, or is deemed to have his permanent home. Domicile is generally identified with home, but whereas a person may have no home, or more than one, the law requires him to have a one and only domicile. Domicile may be acquired in three ways, namely



By birth,

By choice,

By operation of law: A married woman acquires the domicile of her husband if they don't have the same one. Nationality and domicile are the two terms which connote entirely different concepts in the realm of private international law. A man may have one nationality and a different domicile; a man may change his domicile without changing his nationality. Sub sec (1) of S. 5 of the ISA lays down that succession to the immovable in India shall be regulated by the law of India, wherever such person may have had the domicile at the time of his death. The term 'immovable property' has not been defined in the Act. Accordingly, the definition of immovable property as given in the General Clauses Act shall be taken for the purpose of S. 5(1) of the Act. Immovable property includes land, benefits to arise out of land, and things attached to the earth or permanently fastened to anything attached to the Earth.

Sub. 1 lays down that Succession to the immovable property in India shall be governed by the law of India. This is in conformity with the rules of International law that succession to immovable property of an intestate is determined by the Lex Loci reisitae, that is, by the law of the land and not by the domicile of the owner.

Sub (2) ó Succession to movables óThe term 'movable property' has also not been defined in the Act. In terms of the definition provided in the General Clauses Act, movable property means property of every description, except immovable property. Succession the movable property of the deceased is regulated by the law of the country, in which the deceased had his domicile at the time of his death. The suits of movables is a domicile of the owner and accordingly, if a person whose domicile is not in India, dies leaving movable property in India, the administration of that property and its application is to be regulated by the law of India. Lex domicillee.

A has three children, John, Henry and Mary. John died, leaving four children, and Mary died leaving behind one. A left no child, but left 8 grandchildren, and two children of a deceased grandchild. 8X1/9, 2X1/18 A has three children, John, Mary and Henry. John dies leaving four children, and one of John's children dies, leaving two children. Mary dies, leaving one child. A afterwards, dies intestate.

A dies intestate, survived by his mother and two brothers of the full-blood John and Henry, and a sister Mary, by half blood. = 1/4th each. = S. 43.

A, the intestate leaves his mother, his brothers John and Henry, and also one child of a deceased sister, Mary, and two children of George, a deceased brother of the half blood. 4X1/5th, 2X1/10th.



Hindu Law ó An intestate Hindu Female dies, leaving behind the following relations: (a) Son S, (b) Daughter D, (c) Pre-deceased daughter&s two sons, P and Q, (d) Pre-deceased son&s two sons, A, B, and a daughter DD, and (e), husband H. Son-1/5th , Daughter-1/5th, P,Q => 1/10th each, (d) 3X1/15th each, (e) 1/5th. An intestate Hindu female dies, leaving behind the following relations: (a) brother B, (b) two sisters, S and SS, (c) Pre-deceased Brother&s two sons, P and Q, (d) Pre-deceased Sister&s daughter D, (e) Step-mother M, (f) Paternal Uncle U, and (g) Stepfather F. Paternal uncle, and stepfather do not get anything. The rest get 1/6th, distributed by representation.

c.Karta of Joint Family: Position, Powers and privileges; Alienation of property by Karta

Concept of Karta in Hindu Joint Family

In a Hindu Joint Family, the Karta or Manager occupies a pivotal and unique place in that there is no comparable office or institution in any other system in the world. His office is independent of any other and hence his position is termed as *sui generis*.

POSITION

Who can be a Karta?

Senior-most Male Member: The senior-most male member of the family is entitled to this position and it is his right. His right is not subject to any agreement or any other understanding between the coparceners. He may be aged, infirm or ailing, yet if he is still alive, then he shall be entitled to Kartaship.

But once the Karta dies, the position passes to the next senior-most male member; it may be the uncle, or brother or son.

Junior Male Member: By agreement between the coparceners, any junior male member can be made a by agreement between the coparceners, any junior male member can be made a Karta. In this case, withdrawal of the coparcener&s consent is allowed at any point of time.

Female Members as Karta: Regarding the issue of female members of a family assuming Kartaship, there has been considerable amount of discussion in the Supreme Court of India as well as the High Courts. The Nagpur High court once held that though a mother is not a coparcener, she can be the Karta in absence of male members. But the Supreme Court reversed the Nagpur High Court&s findings in another judgment and declared that no female member can assume Kartaship whatsoever.

To put an end to this controversy, few States namely, Kerala, Andhra Pradesh & Karnataka have amended their succession laws so that equal rights are provided to females as compared to the males in the family.



CHARACTERISTICS OF A KARTA: Karta's position is sui generis. As had been explained earlier, his position/ office are independent and there is no comparable office in any system in the world.

He has unlimited powers and even though he acts on behalf of other members, he is not a partner or agent.

He manages all the affairs of the family and has widespread powers.

Ordinarily he is accountable to no one. The only exception to this rule is if charges of misappropriation, fraud or conversion are leveled against him.

He is not bound to save, economise or invest. That is to say that he need not invest in land if the land prices are about to shoot up, and hence miss out on opportunities etc. He has the power to use the resources as he wishes, unless the above mentioned charges are leveled against him.

He is not bound to pay income of joint family in any fixed proportion to other members. This means that the Karta need not divide the income generated from the joint family property equally among the family members. He can discriminate one member from another and is not bound to treat everyone impartially. Only responsibility is that he has to pay everyone something so that they can avail themselves of the basic necessities such as food, clothing, shelter, education etc.

Apart from all the unlimited powers that are bestowed upon the Karta, he also has liabilities thrust on him.

Karta's Liabilities: Karta has to maintain all the members of the joint family properly. If there is any shortfall in his maintenance, then any of the members can sue for maintenance.

He is responsible for marriage of all the unmarried members in the family. Special emphasis is laid with respect to daughters in this case.

In case of any partition suit, the Karta has to prepare accounts.

He has to pay taxes on behalf of the family.

Karta represents the family in all matters including legal, religious and social matters.

Powers of Karta: The powers of a Karta are divided into two parts:

Power of Alienation: The most important case with respect to Karta's power of alienation is *Rani v. Shanta*. The Karta has very limited powers with respect to alienation of the joint family property. The Karta can alienate the joint family property only with the consent of the coparceners. Alienation can be done only for three purposes:



Legal Necessity: The term 'legal necessity' has not been expressly defined in any law or judgment. It is supposed to include all those things which are deemed necessary for the members of the family. 'Necessity' is to be understood, not in the sense of what is absolutely indispensable, but what would be regarded as proper and reasonable. If it is shown that family's need was for a particular thing, and if property was alienated for the satisfaction of that particular need, then it is enough proof that there was a legal necessity.

A few illustrative cases are:

- a) Food, shelter and clothing.
- b) Marriage (second marriages are not considered a legal necessity).
- c) Medical care.
- d) Defense of person accused of a crime (exception to this rule is murder of a family member).
- e) Payments of debts, taxes etc.
- f) Performance of ceremonies (like marriage, grihapravesham).
- g) Rent etc.

PRIVILEGES

Benefit of Estate: Karta, as a prudent manager, can do all those things which are in furtherance of the family's advancement, to prevent probable losses, provided his acts are not purely of speculative or visionary nature. The last clause means that the property cannot be converted into money just because the property is not yielding enough income.

Indispensable Duties: This term implies the performance of those acts which are religious, pious or charitable. Examples of indispensable duties are marriages, grihapravesham etc. In this case there is a requirement to differentiate between alienation made for indispensable duties and gifts for charitable purposes. The difference lies in the fact that in the former case while discharging indispensable duties, the Karta has unlimited powers in the sense that he can alienate the entire property for that purpose. But in the case of gifts for charitable purposes, only a small portion can be alienated.

Note: If the alienation is not made for any of the three purposes, then the alienation is not void but voidable at the instance of any coparcener.

POWERS OF KARTA: These powers of the Karta are almost absolute. There are nine powers in all and each of them has been dealt with in brief below:

Powers of Management: It is an absolute power. The Karta may mismanage or may discriminate between members and cannot be questioned on such aspects. But the Karta cannot deny maintenance and occupation of property to any member altogether. The check on his powers in this case is the power of 'partition' vested in the coparcener.



Right to Income: All incomes of the joint family property should be brought to the Karta and it is for the Karta to allot funds to members and to look after their needs and requirements.

Right to Representation: The Karta represents the family in all matters legal, social and religious. His acts are binding on the family.

Power of compromise: The Karta has the power to compromise in all disputes relating to the family property or management. His acts are binding on the members of the family; but in case of a minor, it has to be approved by the court under O.32, Rule 7, CPC. The compromise made by the Karta can be challenged in court by any of the coparceners only on the ground of malafide.

Power to refer a dispute to Arbitration: The Karta has the power to refer any dispute with respect to family property or management to an arbitration council and the decision is binding on the family.

Power of Acknowledgement: The Karta can acknowledge any debt due to the family or pay interest on a debt or make part or full payment of principal etc. But the Karta has no power to acknowledge a time-barred debt.

Power to Contract Debts: The Karta has implied authority to contract debts and pledge the credit and property of the family. His decision is binding on the members of the joint family.

Loan on Promissory Note: When the Karta takes a loan for family purposes and executes a promissory note, and then the other members may be sued as well even if they are not parties to the note. But the members are liable to the extent of their shares whereas the Karta is personally liable on the note.

Power to enter into Contracts: The Karta has the power to enter into contracts which are binding on the family.

Burden of Proof: If the alienation is challenged in court of law, then it is for the alienee to show that there was a legal necessity. In effect, he has to show two aspects:

- a) Proof of actual necessity.
- b) Proof that he made a bonafide enquiries about the existence of legal necessity and that he did all that reasonable to satisfy himself of the existence of the necessity.

Thus this presentation has discussed all the important aspects with respect to Karta in a joint Hindu family, viz., who can be a Karta, the characteristics, liabilities, powers and finally the burden of proof in case of a challenge.



d. Debts – Doctrine of pious obligation and antecedent debts

The DOCTRINE OF PIOUS OBLIGATION is not based on any necessity for the protection of third but is based on the pious obligation of the sons to see their father's debts paid. (*Sat Narain v. Sri Kishen Das, 63 L.A. 384: A.I.R. 1936 P.C. 277*). The doctrine of pious obligation under which sons are held liable to discharge their father's debts is based solely on religious consideration.

It is thought that if a person's debts are not paid and he dies in a state of indebtedness, his soul may have to face evil consequences, and it is the duty of his sons to save him from such evil consequences. The basis of the doctrine is thus spiritual and its sole object is to confer spiritual benefit on the father.

It is not intended in any sense for the benefit of the creditor. The doctrine inevitable postulates that the father's debts which it is the pious obligation of the sons to repay must not be avyavaharika. If the debts are not vyavahrika, or are avyavaharika, the doctrine of pious obligation cannot be invoked. (*Luhar Amrit Lai Naggi V. Doshi Jayantilal Jethlal, A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 964*).

The doctrine of pious obligation applies (or the liability of the sons to pay father's debts exists) during the life time as well as the death of the father. *Muniswami v. Kuittty, A.I.R. 1933 Mad. 708 and Thadi Murali Mohan Reddi v. Medapati Gangaraju, 197 I.C. 199: A.I.R. 1941 Mad. 772 (F.B.)*.

The creditors can proceed against the entire joint family properly for the debt of the father (grandfather and great grandfather included) during his life time and after his death provided that debt is not tainted with illegality or immorality. If the debt is so tainted there is no liability on the son for its payment. It should, however, be noted that the son cannot be sued alone during the father's life-time.

The Vanniya Tamil Christians of Chittr Taluk are governed by the Mitakshara School of Hindu law in regard to inheritance and succession. The son of a member of such community gets by birth an interest in ancestral property owned by the father. The doctrine of pious obligation applied and the son is bound to discharge his father's debts not tainted by illegality or immorality.

The doctrine of pious obligation is not merely a religious doctrine but has passed by the realm of law. It is a necessary and logical corollary to the doctrine of the right of the son by birth to a share of the ancestral property, and both these conceptions are correlated.

The liability imposed on the son to pay the debt of his father is not a gratuitous obligation thrust on him by Hindu law but is a salutary counterbalance to the principle that the son from the moment of his birth acquires along with his father an interest in joint family property, it is therefore, not possible to accept the argument that, though the community of Vanniya Tamil Christians of Chittur Taluk is



governed as a matter of custom by the Mitakshara School of Hindu law, the doctrine of pious obligation is not applicable.

(*Anthonyswami v. Chinaswami Koundan, A.I.R. 1970 S.C. 223: (1969) 2 S.C.W.R. 706*). The doctrine of pious obligation is an integral part of the Mitakshara School of Hindu law. It is in consonance with Justice, equity and good conscience and is not opposed to any principle of Christianity.

In *V. Narasimhulu v. V. Ramayya, A.I.R. 1979, A.P. 36* it has been laid down that a father as a manager of a joint family can mortgage the family property and incur debts. He represents the family as a whole, when he incurs the liability. The sons cannot impeach the mortgage unless the debt is for illegal or immoral purposes. The sons are bound to pay the debt by virtue of the terror of pious obligation.

There was conflict of opinion between the High Courts of India on the point whether any pious obligation on the sons to pay the debts of the father exists in the life-time of the father or whether the pious obligation arises for the first time after the father's death. The difference of judicial opinion has been set at rest by the decision of the Privy Council in the leading case of *Brij Narain Rai v. Mangla Prasad, 51 I.A. 129 A.I.R. 1924 P.C. 50*. Their Lordships of the Privy Council held that the sons were liable for the father's debts, whether the father was alive or dead when the liability attached. This decision modified the old Hindu Law, on that point. According to ancient Hindu Law this liability of the sons did not arise until after the death of the father.ø

Under the law, as it now stands, the obligation of the sons is not a personal obligation existing irrespective of the receipt of any assets it is a liability to the assets received by him in his share of the joint family property or to his interest in the same. The obligation exists whether the sons are major or minor or whether the father is alive or dead. If the debts contracted by the father are not immoral or irreligious, the interest of the sons in the coparcenary property can always be made liable for such debts.

It has been further held that to saddle the sons with this pious obligation to pay their father's debts, it is not necessary that the father should be the manager or karta of the joint family or that the family must be composed of the father and his sons and no other member. It is also not necessary that the sons should be made parties to the money suit or to the execution proceedings. (*Sidheswar Mukherjee v. Bhubneshwar Prasad Narian Singh, 1954 A.L.J. 54: (1954) S.C.R. 177: A.I.R. 1953 S.C. 487*).

The pious obligation of the sons to discharge the father's debts lasts only so long as the liability of the father subsists. The son's liability is neither joint nor joint and several. An illustration can be given in order to elucidate the point. Suppose the father is adjudged insolvent for the debt incurred which release the father from the debt. As no suit can be filed against the father in respect of debts, none can be maintained against the sons in respect of that debt.



The son is not liable for a debt contracted by the father after partition. But the son is liable after partition for a debt contracted by the father before partition. (*Annabhat v. Shivappa* 110 I.C. 269 : A.I.R. 1928 Bom. 252 ; *Bankey lal v. Durga Prasad*, 135 I.C. 139 : A.I.R. 1931 All. 512 ; *Subramania v. Sabapati* 51 Mad. 361 : A.I.R. 1928 Mad. 657 F.B. : (firm *Govindram Dwarkadas v. Nathulal*, A.I.R. 1937 Nag. 45 etc.).

After partition it is necessary that the creditor should institute the suit against the father as well as against the son so that the decree can be executed against the son. (*Firm Govindram Dwarkadas v. Nathulal*, I.L.R. 1938 Nag. 10 and *Atul Krishna Roy v. Nandji* (1935) 14 Pat. 732 F.B. A.I.R. 1935 Pat. 275).

In the Case of *Panna Lal v. Naraini*, A.I.R. 1952 S. C. 170, the Supreme Court has held that a son is liable even after partition for the pre-partition debts of his father which are not immoral or illegal and for payment of which no arrangement was made at the time of the partition of the joint family property.

It has further held that a decree passed against the separated sons as the legal representatives of the deceased father in respect of a debt incurred before partition can be executed against the shares obtained by such sons at the partition and this can be done in execution proceedings and it is not necessary to bring a separate suit for the purpose.

According to the Supreme Court the major-ity decision in *Atul Krishna Roy v. Nandji*, 14 Pat. 732 over-looked the point that Sec. 47 C.P.C. could have no application when the decree against the father was sought to be executed against the sons during his life-time and consequently the liabil-ity of the latter must have to be established in an independent proceedings. In cases under Sec. 50 and 52 C.P.C., on the other hand, the decree would be capable of being executed against the sons as legal representatives of their father.

ANTECEDENT DEBT

Antecedent literally means prior or preceding in point of time, but the words "antecedent debt" as used in Hindu Law implies two things, (a) antecedent in time, and (b) antecedent in fact in nature, that is to say, the debt must be truly independent of and not part of the transaction impeached. Lord Dunedin defined the antecedent debt as "antecedent in fact as well as in time". Thus, two conditions are necessary:

- (a) The debt must be prior in time, and
- (b) The debt must be prior in fact.



The Supreme Court re-affirmed that the ôantecedent debtö means antecedent in fact as well as in time, that is to say, that the debt must be truly independent of and not part of the transaction impeached. The debt may be incurred in connection with a trade started by the father. The privilege of alienating the whole of joint family property for payment of an antecedent debt is the privilege only of the father, the grand-father and great grand-father qua the son or grand-son only.

Where the father executed a simple mortgage and total consideration of Rs. 10,000/- was received by the mortgagor in which Rs. 7,000/- was received in installments and Rs. 3,000/- at the time of mortgage. Rs. 7,000/- was advanced on express condition that a mortgage would be executed later. In this case it was held, that the amount of Rs. 7,000/- was not an antecedent debt so as to fasten the liability on sons of mortgagor.

Thus, it is now well settled that the father of Hindu joint family enjoys full right to sell or mortgage the joint family property including the son's interest therein to discharge antecedent debt. A sale of joint family property, which is made to discharge a debt taken at that very time or as a part of the sale transaction, is not valid because the debt in this case is not an antecedent debt.

Thus, the father has got the power to sell or mortgage the joint family property for the payment of the debt, may it be for his personal benefit. It would be binding on sons, provided (a) the debt was antecedent to the alienation, and (b) It was not contracted for an immoral purpose. In *Brij Narain v. Mangala Pd.* the Privy Council laid down the following propositions:

1. The Karta of a joint family except for legal necessity cannot alienate the joint property nor can mortgage it.
2. If a decree has been passed for the payment of the debt it can be executed against the entire estate, provided the son and the father living jointly.
3. He cannot mortgage the joint family property unless the mortgage was done for the payment of some antecedent debt.
4. ôAntecedent debtö means a debt which is prior in time as well as in fact.
5. The fact that the father is alive or dead does not affect the liability.

1. Alienation by Father:

The father of a joint family may sell or mortgage joint family property including the son's interest in the property to discharge a debt contracted by him for his personal benefit, provided the following two conditions are satisfied:



- (a) The debt, for which alienation is made, must be antecedent in time.
- (b) The debt must not have been taken for an illegal or immoral purpose.

The Kerala High Court has held that in absence of a plea that the debt, for the discharge of which a Hindu father has alienated the ancestral property was vitiated by illegality or immorality, the sale is not liable to be challenged, if it is shown that it has been executed for the discharge of the antecedent debt of the father.

If the alienation has been shown to have been made by the father for the payment of an antecedent debt, the son can still get rid of it, provided he is able to prove that the debt was tainted with illegality or immorality. The burden of proving both these facts is not on the alienee but on the son himself.

2. Moral Obligation:

It is also a moral duty of the sons to pay the debt of the father as they inherit the property from him. One, who inherits the estate of another, must pay such other's debt. A Hindu heir is, therefore, liable to pay the debts of the deceased out of the assets; he has inherited from the deceased. The liability is moral and therefore absolute irrespective of the fact that the debt was incurred for moral or immoral purposes. The successor is bound to pay his ancestor's immoral debts out of such property.

3. Legal Obligation:

Besides religious and moral duties, there is also a legal obligation to pay back the debt secured by the father. With respect to a money debt of the father, sons may be bound by proper proceedings taken in a Court of law by a creditor against the father, although the sons are not made parties to the suit. The whole family property is liable for debts, incurred for the benefit of the family, by the father as manager. Reasonable interest on such debt is also payable by the family.

UNIT-II: Partition

a. Meaning, Division of right and division of property

Partition means bringing the joint status to an end. On partition, the joint family ceases to be joint and nuclear families or different joint families come into existence. There are members of the joint family who can ask for partition and are entitled to a share also. There is another category of the members of the joint family who have no right to partition but, if partition takes place, they are entitled to share. A reunion can be made only between the parties to partition.

- (a) What is partition?



- (b) Subject matter of partition
- (c) Partition how effected
- (d) Persons who have a right to claim partition and who are entitled to a share
- (e) Rules relating to division of property

b. Persons who are entitled to Demand the Partition of a Hindu Joint Family Property

The partition of a joint Hindu family may take place at the instance of the following persons:ô

1. Sons and Grand-Sons:

Under the Mitakshara Law, the right of a son, a grand-son and a great grand-son as well as every other adult member of the coparcenary, can demand a partition even against the consent of the others. The Bombay High Court in a case has said that a son is not entitled to ask for a partition in the lifetime of his father without his consent, when the father is not already separate from his own father or brothers and nephews.

But this view no longer stands valid. The Bombay High Court in a later case accepting the authority of the Supreme Court in *Puttorangamma v. Rangamma* held that a suit for partition and separate possession of ancestral joint family properties by one of the coparceners is maintainable even if their father is joint with his brother and is not willing and does not consent to such a partition.

The Delhi High Court clearly maintained that a son can demand partition during the lifetime of his father without any hindrance. This view was again supported by the Bombay High Court in its latest pronouncement.

2. After-Born Sons:

After-born sons can be classified under two heads. Firstly, those born as well as begotten after the partition and secondly, those born after partition but begotten before it. A son in his mother's womb is treated in law in existence and is entitled to re-open the partition to receive a share equal to that of his brothers.

In the case of a son born as well as begotten after partition, if his father has taken a share for himself and separated from the other sons, then the after-born son is entitled to his father's share at the



partition and also his separate property to the exclusion of the separated sons and is not entitled to re-open the partition.

3. Illegitimate Sons:

An illegitimate son among the three upper classes does not have any vested interest in the property and therefore, cannot demand a partition, although he is entitled to maintenance out of his father's estate. The Madras and Allahabad High Courts have held that an illegitimate son of a Sudra may enforce a partition against his illegitimate brothers but not against his father or his father's coparceners. The Bombay High Court has also taken the same view but the Calcutta High Court has taken an opposite view.

The share of an illegitimate son is half of what he would have got had he been a legitimate son and according to others; his share is half of that of a legitimate son. The Madras High Court in a case held that after the institution of the partition suit, the father can still fix the shares of his illegitimate sons. He can exercise this right according to his discretion so long as the partition has not become final.

4. Widows:

A widow, though not a coparcener under Mitakshara law could still claim a partition of the joint estate under the Hindu Womens' Right to Property Act, 1937. Mere partition of the estate between two widows does not destroy the right of survivorship of each to the properties allotted to the other. The party, who asserts that there was an arrangement, by which the widows agreed to relinquish the right of survivorship, must establish it by clear and cogent evidence.

5. Adopted Son:

An adopted son like a natural born son would be entitled to demand a partition any time after adoption. But where a son has been adopted by the parents and a natural son is begotten subsequently, although the adopted son was to be treated at par with wife the natural son yet the quantum of his share in the joint family property differed in different schools.

In Bengal, he took 1/3rd share, in Banaras he took 1/4th and in Bombay and Madras he took 1 /5th share of the property. The Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956 has done away with the discrimination and enabled the adopted son to get a share equal to that of natural born son on partition.

6. Minor Coparcener:

A minor coparcener is also entitled to affect a partition in case the joint status does not remain beneficial to his interest. He cannot file the suit himself but any other person on his behalf can file such a suit. His minority or the minority of other members of the family would not be a hindrance to



affect a partition by him. If the partition has already taken effect detrimental to his interest, he could challenge it on attaining majority.

7. Alienee:

An alienee of a coparcener's interest, if such an alienation is valid, has a right to demand partition. In *Smt. Kailashpati Devi v. Smt. Bhuvaneshwari Devi*, the Supreme Court held that the purchaser of joint family property from a member of a joint Hindu family may have the right to file a general suit for partition against the members of the joint family and that may be the proper remedy for him to adopt to effectuate his purchase. An execution purchaser of a member's interest and purchaser of the same for value in Bombay and Madras is entitled to demand partition in the rights of that member.

8. Female Sharers:

The term "female sharers" include three types of females, namely, (1) the wife, (2) widowed mother, and (3) paternal grand-mother. These female sharers cannot demand a partition but, however, entitled to get their share when the joint family property is actually divided on partition. Where a suit for partition filed by a coparcener has been withdrawn, the female sharer will not be entitled to continue the suit or to press a demand of his share.

If the suit has been dismissed for any other reasons, the mother would not be entitled to demand partition in the property. The mother and the grand-mother would be entitled to get a share on partition only when the partition is effected between the sons and grand-sons. The female sharers would not be entitled to any share in the property merely by the fact that a suit for partition has been filed or a preliminary decree has been obtained in the suit. So long the actual partition is not affected; there is no question of allotment any share to them.

Section 23 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 postpones the right of female heirs to claim partition of the dwelling house until male heirs choose to divide their respective shares therein.

After passing Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, now the position has been changed, now Section 23 of the principal Act has been omitted by Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act. Now daughters have the same rights as sons to reside in and to claim for partition of the parental dwelling house.

c. Partition how effected; Suit for partition

If a partition is affected orally, it can be subsequently recorded by a memorandum. If affected in writing, then, it requires registration. In India, until recently the term "partition" had come to be synonymous with the wrenching separation of near and dear, with wailing mothers and weeping wives, with stone faced men carrying trunks of belongings into a waiting vehicle.



More recently, however, a partition has come to be recognized as a very effective means of dividing properties amongst siblings or cousins who no longer feel the need to remain/reside in close proximity to one another.

By metes and bounds

A partition is a means by which several joint owners of a piece of property divide that property as between themselves into separate demarcated and delineated extents. Such partitions are known as partitions "by metes and bounds".

A partition of the properties of a joint family may be effected either orally or in writing. If a partition is effected orally, it can be subsequently recorded by means of a memorandum. If a partition is effected in writing, then, it requires registration.

An instrument of partition is defined by the law as any instrument whereby co-owners of any property divide or agree to divide such property in severalty. The definition of this term is very wide and has been taken to include within its fold even a partition decree passed by a civil court, or an award of partition by an arbitrator. It would be useful to remember here that the memorandum or record of a pre-existing oral partition would not fall within the scope of the term "instrument of partition", and would not therefore be liable for incidence of stamp duty.

An instrument of partition is required by law to be stamped. The stamp duty payable on an instrument of partition would depend upon the value of the largest of the separated shares involved at the partition.

For the sake of those readers who are interested in legal niceties and abstruse expositions of law, I add a footnote here that the Madras High Court has in one judgment held that the stamp duty payable as above is not upon the market value of the property suffering partition, but is upon the value set forth in the instrument itself. I also add that the correctness or otherwise of this judgment is awaiting a final decision by a Division Bench (this is the term used to refer to a Court having two Judges, and therefore, superior adjudicatory power to that of a Court having one Judge alone).

Partition through Court

Now, all of the above can be effected if the co-owners agree amongst themselves to partition the properties. What is to be done if one or more co-owners simply refuse to acquiesce in any kind of process for partitioning the properties?

The answer lies in the Courts. If you are being deprived of your lawful share in your father's or mother's property, and if your siblings are taking your share as well, or even a portion of your lawful



entitlement, then, if all avenues and means of amicable resolution have failed, then, you can approach the Courts for redressed.

In such cases, you would file a suit for partition and separate possession of your share. The Government has, in its wisdom, granted a concessional court fee tariff for partition suits filed by persons who are in possession of the properties sought to be partitioned. Since a co-owner of properties is deemed to be in joint possession of all properties in which he or she has a co-ownership right, most people approaching the Court for the relief of partition would be entitled to the benefit of the concessional fee tariff.

While filing such a suit for partition, you would also be entitled to ask the persons who had been denying you of your lawful share, to compensate you for the period that you had been deprived of your lawful share. This compensation will be determined by the Court separately, once your lawful share has been ascertained. The name given for such compensation is "mesne profits" (pronounced as mean).

If you succeed in having your share ascertained, then, the Court will either carve your share out of the joint properties, or if such carving out cannot reasonably be done, then, the Court will sell the properties and give you your share in cash.

There are some exceptions to the above:

First, if the property in question is the sole dwelling house of the family and a male co-owner is in possession thereof, then, a female co-owner cannot seek partition of this dwelling house, but can instead seek a right of residence therein.

Second, when the property is being sold, the right of first refusal would be given to the co-owners.

These then, briefly put, are the rules governing partitions.

d. Re-opening of partition; Re-union

Legal Provisions Regarding the Re-union of Hindu Joint Family after Partition:-

Once a partition is effected as a general rule, it cannot be re-opened. However, there is an exception to this general rule which is based on a text of Vrihaspati who says, öHe, who, being once separated, dwells again through affection with his father, brother or paternal uncle, is termed öreunited.ö



The Mitakshara, the Dayabhag and the Madras School of Hindu Law interpret the above text literally and hold that a member of a joint family once separated can reunite only with the father, brother and paternal uncle but not with any other relations.

According to Mithila and Mayukha Schools of Hindu Law, the words ‘father’, ‘brother’ and ‘paternal uncle’ are used in an illustrative sense and a reunion can be effected between others provided they were parties to the original partition. The Supreme Court in *Bhagwan Dayal v. Reoti Devi* held that if a joint Hindu family separates, the family or any member of it may agree to reunite as a joint Hindu family, but such a reuniting is for obvious reasons, which would apply in many cases under the Law of the Mitakshara, of very rare occurrence, and when it happens it must be strictly proved.

To constitute a reunion there must be an intention of the parties to reunite in estate and interest. It is implicit concept of a reunion that there shall be an agreement between the parties to reunite in estate with an intention to revert to their formal status of members of a joint Hindu family. Such an agreement need not be express, but may be implied from the conduct of the parties alleged to have re-united. But the conduct must be of such an incontrovertible character that an agreement of reunion must be necessarily implied therefrom.

The Madras High Court in a case held that where reunion is between two parties of a joint family, one of whom was a party to preliminary partition and the other was the son of the second party to partition who had separated, the reunion between them was held to be valid.

The incident of reunion must be proved like any other event. Just as in absence of a clear proof of partition, having been effected it is presumed that the family is joint, so also, on a partition there is a presumption that the family is disjointed unless there is reunion among the members. The burden of proof of reunion is on the member who asserts it.

Reunion is possible between the parties to the preliminary partition. Therefore a reunion cannot be effected by an adopted grandson of a coparcener living with his father and holding the shares of his branch jointly.

Effect of Reunion:

The effect of reunion is to revert the united members to their status as members of joint Hindu family. But the separate property of a reunited coparcener does not pass by survivorship to the other reunited coparceners but passes by succession to his heirs according to special rules.



e. Points of similarity and distinction between the Mitakshara and the Dayabhaga Laws

Mitakshara

1. The son gets a right by birth in the joint family property. In case he is adult, he can demand partition even during the life time of his father.
2. He has a say and can prevent his father from unauthorized alienation of ancestral property.
3. A coparcener has no right to alienate his share in the joint family property. On his death without male issue, his interest survives to his brother.
4. The widow of the deceased coparcener cannot enforce partition. She has a right of maintenance.
5. The essence of a coparcenary is unity of ownership.

Dayabhaga

1. He has no right in the joint family property so long as his father is alive.
2. The father is absolute owner of the property and can deal with it the way he likes.
3. Each adult member male or female has a right to demand partition and can alienate his/her interest and on death his/her share will be inherited by his/her heirs.
4. The widow becomes a coparcener with her husband's brother and can demand partition.
5. The essence is unity of possession and not ownership.

Unit – III: Principles of Inheritance

a. The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 General rules of succession of a Hindu male and female dying intestate under the Hindu Succession Act

section 15 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 which deals with general rules of Succession in the case of female Hindus dying intestate in view of the fact that there have been vast changes in the social scene in the past few years.



The Law Commission felt that where amendments have been made entitling a woman to inherit property from her parental side as well as from her husband's side, it is justified if equal right is given to her parental heirs with the heirs on her husband's side to inherit her property earned by her own skill, in case she dies intestate. Further, social justice demands that the women should be treated equally both in the economic and social sphere.

It is, in this context, the Commission proposed an amendment to section 15 of the Hindu Succession Act. To achieve the objective stated above, Report No. 207 has been submitted by me.

Scheme of Succession in the case of a Hindu Female

Section 15 of the Hindu Succession Act propounds a definite and uniform scheme of Succession to the property of a female Hindu who dies intestate. There are also rules set out in section 16 of the Hindu Succession Act which have to be read along with section 15 of the Act.

Section 16 of the Hindu Succession Act provides for the order of succession and the manner of distribution among heirs of a female Hindu.

Relevance of Source of Acquisition

The group of heirs of the female Hindu dying intestate is described in 5 categories as 'a' to 'e' of section 15(1) which is illustrated as under:

In a case where she dies intestate leaving property, her property will firstly devolve upon her sons and daughters so also the husband. The children of any pre-deceased son or daughter are also included in the first category of heirs of a female Hindu;

In case she does not have any heir as referred to above , i.e. , sons, daughters and husband including children of any pre-deceased sons or daughters (as per clause 'a') living at the time of her death, then the next heirs will be the heirs of the husband;

Thirdly, if there are no heirs of the husband, the property would devolve upon the mother and father;

Fourthly, if the mother and father are not alive, then the property would devolve upon the heirs of the father which mean brothers, sisters etc;

The last and the fifth category are the heirs of the mother upon whom the property of the female Hindu will devolve in the absence of any heirs falling in the four preceding categories.

This is the general rule of Succession, but the section also provides for two exceptions which are stated in sub-section (2). Accordingly, if a female dies without leaving any issue, then the property inherited by her from her father or mother will not devolve according to the rules laid down in the five entries as stated earlier, but upon the heirs of father. And secondly, in respect of the property



inherited by her from her husband or father-in-law, the same will devolve not according to the general rule, but upon the heirs of the husband.

The basis of inheritance of a female Hindu's property who dies intestate would thus be the SOURCE from which such female Hindu came into possession of the property and the manner of inheritance which would decide the manner of devolution.

Self Acquired Property - A Grey Area

The term 'property' though not specified in this section means property of the deceased inheritable under the Act. It includes both movable and immovable property owned and acquired by her by inheritance or by devise or at a partition or by gift or by her skill or exertion or by purchase or prescription. The section does not differentiate between the property inherited and self-acquired property of a Hindu female; it only prescribes that if a property is inherited from husband or father-in-law, it would go to her husband's heirs and if the property is inherited from her father or mother, in that case, the property would not go to her husband's, but to the heirs of the father and mother.

This section has not clearly enumerated and considered about succession of a female Hindu property where it is self-acquired. Or to put it this way, the Legislators did not contemplate that Hindu females would be in later years having self-acquired property and in certain cases, where her heirs in the first category fail, the property would devolve totally upon her husband's heirs who may be very remotely related as compared to her own father's heirs.

This is very aptly illustrated by the following illustration:- A married Hindu female dies intestate leaving the property which is her self-acquired property. She has no issue and was a widow at the time of her death. As per the present position of law, her property would devolve in the second category, i.e. to her husband's heirs. Thus, in a case where the mother of her husband is alive, her whole property would devolve on her mother-in-law. If the mother-in-law is also not alive, it would devolve as per the rules laid down in case of a male Hindu dying intestate i.e. if the father of her deceased husband is alive, the next to inherit will be her father-in-law and if in the third category, the father-in-law is also not alive, then her property would devolve on the brother and sister of the deceased husband.

Thus, in case of the self-acquired property of a Hindu married female dying intestate, her property devolves on her husband's heirs. Her paternal and maternal heirs do not inherit, but the distant relations of her husband would inherit as per husband's heirs.

Conclusions



In the present scenario, when amendments are made to the effect that women have been entitled to inherit property from her parental side as well as from husband's side, it will be quite justified if equal right is given to her parental heirs along with her husband's heirs to inherit her property.

It is, therefore, proposed that in order to bring about a balance, section 15 should be amended, so that in case a female Hindu dies intestate leaving her self-acquired property with no heirs, as mentioned in clause 'a' of section 15, the property should devolve on her husband's heirs and also on the heirs of her paternal side.

b. Stridhan and Women's estate

Stridhan means woman's property. In the entire history of Hindu Law, woman's rights to hold and dispose of property have been recognized.

Kinds of Woman's Property

What is the character of property that is whether it is stridhan or woman's estate, depends on the source from which it has been obtained. They are: ¾ Gifts and bequests from relations- Such gifts may be made to woman during maidenhood, coverture or widowhood by her parents and their relations or by the husband and his relation. Such gifts may be inter vivos or by will. The Dayabhaga School doesn't recognize gifts of immovable property by husband as stridhan. ¾ Gifts and bequests from non-relations- Property received by way of gift inter vivos or under a will of strangers that is, other than relations, to a woman, during maidenhood or widowhood constitutes her stridhan. The same is the position of gifts given to a woman by strangers before the nuptial fire or at the bridal procession. Property given to a woman by a gift inter vivos or bequeathed to her by her strangers during covertures is stridhan according to Bombay, Benaras and Madras schools. ¾ Property acquired by self exertion, science and arts-A woman may acquire property at any stage of her life by her own self exertion such as by manual labour, by employment, by singing, dancing etc., or by any mechanical art. According to all schools of Hindu Law, the property thus acquired during widowhood or maidenhood is her stridhan. But, the property thus acquired during covertures does not constitute her stridhan according to Mithila and Bengal Schools, but according to the rest of the schools it is stridhan. During husband's lifetime it is subject to his control.

Property purchased with the income of stridhan- In all schools of Hindu Law it is a well settled law that the properties purchased with stridhan or with the savings of stridhan as well as all accumulations and savings of the income of stridhan, constitute stridhan. ¾ Property purchased under a compromise- When a person acquires property under a compromise; what estate he will take in it, depends upon the compromise deed. In Hindu Law there is no presumption that a woman who obtains property



under a compromise takes it as a limited estate. Property obtained by a woman under a compromise where under she gives up her rights, will be her stridhan. When she obtains some property under a family arrangement, whether she gets a stridhan or woman's estate will depend upon the terms of the family arrangement.

¾ Property obtained by adverse possession- Any property acquired by a woman at any stage of her life by adverse possession is her stridhan.

¾ Property obtained in lieu of maintenance- Under all the schools of Hindu Law payments made to a Hindu female in lump sum or periodically for her maintenance and all the arrears of such maintenance constitute stridhan. Similarly, all movable or immovable properties transferred to her by way of an absolute gift in lieu of maintenance constitute her stridhan.

¾ Property received in inheritance- A Hindu female may inherit property from a male or a female; from her parents' side or from husband's side. The Mitakshara constituted all inherited property a stridhan, while the Privy Council held such property as woman's estate.

¾ Property obtained on partition- When a partition takes place except in Madras, father's wife mother and grandmother take a share in the joint family property. In the Mitakshara jurisdiction, including Bombay and the Dayabhaga School it is an established view that the share obtained on partition is not stridhan but woman's estate her absolute property, the female has full rights of its alienation. This means that she can sell, gift, mortgage, lease, and exchange her property. This is entirely true when she is a maiden or a widow. Some restrictions were recognized on her power of alienation, if she were a married woman. For a married woman stridhan falls under two heads:

Éthe sauadayika (gifts of love and affection)- gifts received by a woman from relations on both sides (parents and husband).

Éthe non-saudayika- all other types of stridhan such as gifts from stranger, property acquired self-exertion or mechanical art.

Over the former she has full rights of disposal but over the latter she has no right of alienation without the consent of her husband. The husband also had the power to use it. On her death all types of stridhan passed to her own heirs. In other words, she constituted an independent stock of descent. In *Janki v. Narayansami*, the Privy Council aptly observed, "her right is of the nature of right of property, her position is that of the owner, her powers in that character are, however limited." So long as she is alive, no one has vested interest in the

c. Principles of inheritance under Muslim Law (Sunni Law)



Inheritance is an important branch of the family law of the Muslims. The death of a person brings about a transfer of most of his rights to persons who are called his heirs and representatives. The transferable rights include all rights to property, usufruct, many dependant rights, such as debts and (unrecognizable word) in action, rights to compensation, etc., and the transmissible obligations are those capable of being satisfied out of the estate of the deceased. What is left after the payment of funeral expenses and the discharge of his debts and obligations is to be distributed according to the law of inheritance.

The rules regulating inheritance are based on the principle that the deceased's property should devolve on those who by reason of consanguinity or affinity have the strongest claim to be benefitted by it and in proportion to the strength of such claim. There is no distinction in the Muhammadan law between movable and immovable property or between ancestral or self-acquired property. There is no such thing as a Joint Muslim family nor does the law recognize (anything) in common [with] a Muslim family birth right is not recognized and the right of an heir apparent or presumptive comes into existence for the first time [up]on the death of the ancestor to which he would succeed.

According to the Sunni law, the expectant right of an heir-apparent cannot pass by succession to his heir, nor can it pass by bequest to a legatee under his Will, nor can it be the subject of transfer or release.

Obstacles to succession

There are certain impediments to succession: (1) Slavery, because a slave has no right to property. (2) Homicide, a person killing another does not inherit from the latter, (3) Difference of religion, (4) Difference of territorial jurisdiction either natural or constructive.

The Hanafi Law of Succession

The Sunni law recognizes three classes of heirs:

- (1) Ashabul faraiz --The sharers whose shares or proportions have been fixed in the Quran. They take their specific portions and the residue is then divided among the Agnates.
- (2) The Asabah or Agnates, also called by English writers as Residuaries.
- (3) Dhauil-arham or Cognates or Uterine Relations. They are also called Distant kindred i.e. relations who do not fall in the category of sharers or Agnates.

The Sharers

The sharers or Ashabul-faraiz are altogether twelve in number - four males and eight females.



The four males are: (1) the father, (2) the grandfather or lineal male ascendant (when not excluded), (3) the uterine brothers, and (4) the husband.

The females are: (the) (1) wife, (2) daughter, (3) son's daughter or the daughter of a lineal male descendant howsoever low, (4) mother, (5) true grandmother, (6) full sister, (7) consanguine sister i.e. half sister on the father's side, and (8) uterine sister i.e. half-sisters on the mother's side.

The Hanafis divide the ascendants for purposes of succession into two classes viz., true and false. (The) true grandfather is an ascendant in whose line of relationship to the deceased no female intervenes. For example, a father's father is a true grandfather, whereas a mother's father is a false grandfather. A true grandmother is a female ancestor in whose line of relationship with the deceased no false grandfather intervenes. Thus a mother's mother or a father's father's mother are true grandmothers, whereas mother's father's mother is a false grandmother.

The shares of the sharers

1. Father: gets 1/6th when the deceased leaves a son or son's son or any other male line descendant.
2. Father's father or any other lineal male ascendant gets the share of the father i.e. 1/6th.
3. Uterine brother: When only one, and no child or the child of a son, father or true grandfather..... 1/6th. When two or more and no child or the child of a son or father or true grandfather 1/3rd.
4. Husband:
 - (1) When the deceased leaves a child or the child of a son howsoever low..... 1/4th.
 - (2) Without them 1/2 half.
5. Widow:
 - (1) When the deceased has left no child or the child of a son -1/4th
- When there is no child or the child of a son-1/8th
6. Daughter:
 - (1) When only one and no son so as to render a residuary - 1/2.
 - (2) When two or more-2/3rd
7. Sons:



तेजस्वि नावधीतमस्तु
ISO 9001:2008 & 14001:2004

- (1) When only one and no child or sons daughter : son or other male lineal descendant - 1/2.
- (2) When two or more and no child or son's son or other male lineal descendant-2/3.
- (3) When co-existing with one daughter and no son or son's son or other male lineal descendant-1/6. When there are two daughters, the son's daughters are excluded unless there happens to be with them a lineal male descendant of the same or lower degree. The son's daughters or the daughters of any lineal male descendant are excluded by a son or by a lineal male descendant nearer in degree than themselves.

8. Mother:

- (1) When co-existing with a child of the propositus [the person immediately concerned] or a child of his or her son, or two or more brothers and sisters whether consanguine or uterine-1/6.
- (2) When not-1/3.

9. The grandmother - However high when not excluded by a nearer true female ancestor-1/6.

10. Full sisters -

- (I) When only one and no son or son's son, true grandfather, daughter, son's daughter or brother-1/2.
- (2) When two or more and no such excluders-2/3.

11. Consanguine sisters --

- (1) When only one and no excluder as above - 1/2.
- (2) When one, and co-existing with one full sister-1/6.
- (3) When two or more and no such excluder-1/3.

12. Uterine sisters - Get the same share as uterine brothers - 1/6.

All these shares are specified in the Quran. If it be found on assigning respective shares of the sharers that total of the shares exceeds unity, the share of each sharer is proportionally diminished by reducing the fractional shares to a common denominator and increasing the denominator so as to make it equal to the sum of numerators.

Asabah or Residuaries

This class of heirs is called asaba or residuaries because they take the residue after such of the sharers as are not excluded have been satisfied. They are divided into three classes:



- (1) Residuaries in their own right;
- (2) Residuaries in another's right, and
- (3) Residuaries together with another.

Residuaries in their own right

To this class belong all (the) male relations in the chain of whole relationship, no female enters. They are divided into four subclasses:

- (1) Parts of the deceased, i.e. his sons and grandsons howsoever low.
- (2) His roots i.e. the ascendants, his father and true grandfather, how high so ever.
- (3) The offspring of his father viz. full brothers and consanguine brothers and their lineal male descendants.
- (4) Parts or offspring of the true grandfather, how high so ever, i.e., lineal male descendants, however remote, of lineal male ascendants, however remote.

Receiving another's rights

Residuaries in another's right are those females who as sharers are entitled to one-half or two-thirds and who become residuaries if they co-exist with their brothers. For example, if the heirs of a deceased person are his widow, brother and sister, the widow will get one-fourth, and of the remaining three-fourths the brother will get two portions and the sister one portion as residuaries. Residuary together with another is a female heir who becomes residuary because of her co-existing with another female heir, for instance, where there is a sister with a daughter.

If there be no residuary, the residue returns to the sharers by consanguinity in proportion to their shares.

Distant kindred

The next class of heirs is known as Dhauil-arham or distant kindred. They include the relations who are neither sharer nor residuaries; they inherit only if there are no sharers or residuaries. Shafi'iis and Malikis do not treat them as heirs at all. The distant kindred are divided into four subclasses:

- (1) The offspring of the deceased viz
 - (a) The children of daughters and their descendants
 - (b) The children of son's daughters and their descendants howsoever low.



(2) The root of the deceased or his ascendants

(a) Male ascendants however remote, in whose line of relations to the deceased there occurs female and who are therefore called false grandfathers. e. g. [a] deceased's mother's father [or a] father's mother's father.

(b) Female ancestors technically called false grandmothers.

(3) The offspring of his parents viz. the daughters of full brothers and of full brother's sons, sister's children.

(4) The offspring of grandparents and other ascendants however remote.

(a) daughter of half paternal uncles by the father.

(b) Paternal aunts, full consanguine or uterine and their children.

(c) Daughters of full paternal uncles and their sons.

(d) Maternal uncles and aunts and their children.

(e) Paternal uncles by the mother.

The general order of succession is according to their classification, the first class occupying [the] first and so on.

Among the individuals of the various classes, succession is regulated by proximity to the deceased, the nearer in degree always excluding the more remote.

Exclusion

In order to regulate the number of relations who might inherit together, the doctrine of hujub or exclusion is applied. The son, father, husband, daughter, mother and the wife are never totally excluded. Exclusion is based on two principles.

(1) A person who is related to the deceased through another is excluded by the latter, for example, the father excludes the grandfather, brother and sister in the sun exclude the grandson and this principle is extended to the residuaries so as to give preference to the proximity of degree, for instance, a son excludes another son's son. Secondly, the closest in blood excludes the others. A relation of full blood always inherits in preference to a relation by the father only. Thus a brother excludes a consanguine brother or sister. There is an exception to the first rule, namely that the mother does not exclude brothers and sisters and the second rule is subject to the exception that uterine relations are not excluded on that ground.



(2) Exclusion may sometimes be partial. There is also a general rule that when the deceased leaves behind a male and a female heir of the same class and degree, the latter will get half of the former.

Section 3 (1) (j) - 'related' means related by legitimate kinship - Provided that illegitimate children shall be deemed to be related to their mother and to one another, and their legitimate descendants shall be deemed to be related to them and to one another, and any word expressing relationship or denoting a relative shall be construed accordingly.

Section 3 (2): In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires, words importing the masculine gender shall not be taken to include females.

Section 4 (2): For the removal of doubts it is hereby declared that nothing contained in this Act shall be deemed to affect the provisions of any law for the time being in force providing for the prevention of fragmentation of agricultural holdings or for the fixation of ceiling or for the devolution of tenancy rights in respect of such holdings.

Section 6: Devolution of interest of Coparcenary property: When a male Hindu dies after the commencement of this Act, having at the time of his death an interest in a Mitakshara coparcenary property, his interest in the property shall devolve by survivorship upon the surviving members of the coparcenary and not in accordance with this Act. Provided that, if the deceased has left surviving a female relative specified in class I of the Schedule or a male relative specified in that class who claims through such female relative, the interest of the deceased in the Mitakshara, coparcenary property shall devolve by testamentary or intestate succession, as the case may be, under this Act and not by survivorship.

Section 8: General Rules of Succession in the case of Males - The property of a male Hindu dying intestate shall dissolve according to the provisions of this Chapter - a) First, upon the heirs, being the relatives specified in class I of the schedule; b) Secondly, if there is no heir of class I, then upon the heirs, being relatives specified in Class II of the schedule. C) Thirdly, if there is no heir of any of the two classes, then upon the agnates of the deceased; and d) lastly, if there is no agnate, then upon the cognates of the deceased.

Section 15 : General Rules of succession in the case of female Hindus: 1) The property of a female Hindu dying intestate shall devolve - a) firstly, upon the sons and daughters (including the children of any pre-deceased son or daughter) and the husband, b) secondly, upon the heirs of the husband, c) thirdly, upon the mother and father, d) fourthly, upon the heirs of the father; and e) lastly, upon the heirs of the mother. 2) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section 1) - a) any property inherited by a female Hindu from her father or mother shall devolve, in the absence of any son or daughter of the deceased (including the children of any pre-deceased son or daughter) not upon other heirs referred to in sub-section 1) in the order specified therein but upon the heirs of the father.



Section 23 : Special Provision respecting dwelling houses: Where a Hindu intestate has left surviving his or her both male and female heirs specified in class I of the schedule and his or her property includes a dwelling house wholly occupied by members of his or her family, then, notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, the right of any such female heir to claim partition of the dwelling house shall not arise until the male heirs choose to divide their respective shares therein; but the female heir shall be entitled to a right to residence therein. Provided that where such female heir is a daughter, she shall be entitled to a right of residence in the dwelling house only if she is unmarried or has been deserted by or has separate from her husband or is a widow.

Section 30 : Testamentary Succession - Any Hindu may dispose of by will or other testamentary disposition any property, which is capable of being disposed of by him, in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 or any other law for the time being in force and applicable to Hindus. Explanation - The interest of a male in a Mitkshara coparcenary property or the interest of a member of a tarwad, tavazhi, illom, Kutumba or kavaru in the property of the tarwad, tavazhi, illom, Kutumba or kavaru shall notwithstanding anything contained in this Act or in any other law for the time being in force, be deemed to be property capable of being disposed of by him or by her within the meaning of this (section).

Suggested Amendments by NCW

1. Proviso under Section 3 (1) (j) - 'related' means related by legitimate kinship with the following - 'Provided that illegitimate children whose paternity is known or has been established shall be deemed to be related to their mother and father, and their legitimate descendants shall be deemed to be related to them, and any word expressing relationship or denoting a relative shall be construed accordingly'.
2. Section 3 (2) which gives primacy to a male and expressly excludes the female should be deleted.
3. tarwad, tavazhi, illom, Kutumba or kavaru: Over-riding effect of Act - Section 4 (2) of the Act should be deleted.
4. Section 6: Devolution of interest of coparcenary property should be amended as follows:

In a joint Hindu family governed by Mitakshara law, the daughter of a coparcener shall be by birth become coparcener in her own right in the same manner as the son and have the same rights in the coparcenary property as she would have had if she had been a son inclusive of the right subjected to the same liabilities and disabilities in respect thereto as the son;

At a partition in such a Joint Hindu family the coparcenary property shall be so divided as to allot to each child the same share. Provided that the Share which a predeceased child would have got at the partition if he or she had been alive at the time of the partition, shall be allotted to the surviving child of such predeceased child; Provided further that the share allocable to the predeceased child of a



predeceased son or of a predeceased daughter, if such child had been alive at the time of the partition, shall be allotted to the child of such predeceased child of the predeceased son or of such predeceased daughter, as the case may be;

any property to which a female Hindu becomes entitled by virtue of the provisions of clause (a) shall be held by her with the incidents of coparcenary ownership and shall be regarded, notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, or any other law for the time being in force, as property capable of being disposed of by her by will or other testamentary disposition.

To ensure that the degrees of descent in representation in the case of males and females remain equal, the NCW recommended that Section 8 of the Act be amended as follows: Section 8: General rules of succession - The property of a Hindu dying intestate shall dissolve according to the provision of this chapter - a) Firstly, upon the heirs, being the relatives specified in Class I of the schedule; b) Secondly, if there is no heir of class I, then upon the heirs, being the relatives specified in Class II of the schedule; c) Thirdly, if there is no heir of any of the two classes, then upon the agnates of the deceased; and d) Lastly, if there is no agnate, then upon the cognates of the deceased'.

Section 15: General Rules of Succession in the case of Female Hindus - Section 15 of the act should be deleted.

Section 23: Special provision respecting dwelling houses - ' where a Hindu intestate has left surviving his or her heirs specified in Class I of the schedule and his, or her property includes a dwelling house wholly occupied by Members of his or her family, then, notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, the rights of any such heir to claim partition of the dwelling house shall not arise until widowed mother's rights (in case the deceased is a male intestate) have been settled.

Section 30: Testamentary succession - 'Any Hindu may dispose of by will or other testamentary disposition any property, which is capable of being so disposed of by him, in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 or any other law for the time being in force and applicable to Hindus proved that bequests beyond one half of the property shall be void.

Explanation: The interest of a male in a Mitakshara coparcenary property or the interest of a Member of a tarwad, tavazhi, illom, Kutumba or Karvaru in the property of the tarwad, tavashi, illom, kutumba or kavaru shall notwithstanding anything contained in this Act or in any other law for the time being in force, be deemed to be property capable of being disposed of by him or by her within the meaning of this section.

UNIT-IV Religious and Charitable Endowments



a. Endowments

- Meaning, kinds and essentials.

MEANING

The definition of endowments recognized by the courts since long includes the properties set apart or dedicated by gift or devise for the worship of some particular deity or for the maintenance of a religious or charitable institution, or for the benefit of the public or some section of the public in the advancement of religion, knowledge, commerce, health, safety or for any other object beneficial to the mankind.

Amongst the religious and charitable endowments, hospitals, schools, universities alms houses (for distribution of food to Brahmanas or poor), establishment of idols etc., are included. According to Raghvachariar an endowment is referred to as the setting apart of property for religious and charitable purposes in which there is a Karta and a specific thing which can be ascertained. A disposition in India to be a public trust must be made with the purpose of advancement of either religion, knowledge, commerce, health, safety or other objects beneficial to the mankind.

KINDS OF ENDOWMENTS

Endowments are of different kinds which can be placed in different categories in the following manner:

(a) Public or private; (b) Real or apparent; (c) Absolute or partial; (d) Religious or charitable; (e) Valid or invalid.

PUBLIC AND PRIVATE ENDOWMENT:

In order to ascertain the nature of the endowment as to whether it is public or private the subsequent conduct of the settler and use of the evidence of the property set apart by the public at large are to be considered. In fact when a temple is thrown open for public at large for worship, a valid inference can be drawn that a public trust has been intended to have been created. Where the outsiders along with the members of the family of the settler take part in worship in celebration of festivals in a temple as in public temples, the state of affairs point out to the public nature of the endowment.

In contrast private endowment is that in which the public has no ingress, as an endowment for the worship of the family deity of the settler. Where the property is kept separate safely for the worship of family deity by family members only, with whom the public has nothing to do, it is a private endowment.



Even if other Hindu worshippers are allowed to worship a family deity, it will not confer public nature to the endowment. In *Venugopalaswamy v. H. R.E. Board*, it was laid down that where the temple was initially set apart for the use of the family members only and subsequently if some outsiders are allowed ingress therein, it will not automatically alter the private nature to public.

The Madras High Court too in *Keshav Gounder v. D.C. Rajan*, held that there is very minute difference between the public and private endowment. In public endowment the interest of general public or of a group of persons is protected and involved, wherein in private endowment the interest of the settler of the trust or his family members only is protected and involved. In fact in private trust the interest is to dedicate pleasurable to the family deity something and the public has nothing to do with it.

Again the Supreme Court in *G.S. Kaha Lakshmi v. Shah Ranchod Das*, said that the temple of Sri Gokulnath Nadeyad in a public temple and the trust created is of public nature dedicated and created by the Ballabh cult and its supporters of Nadiad. The fact that any individual could enter into the temple only after the worshipping by goswami is over, does not militate in any way the public nature of the temple. Further the fact that temple is having house like appearance does not clearly establish that the temple is not a public temple.

The Supreme Court has delivered an important judgment after a lapse of a decade in *Radha Kant Deo v. The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Charitable Endowments*. The Court observed that a religious endowment of private nature cannot be conceived under English law. It can only be thought of in Hindu law. The court laid down the following test to determine the public and private nature of endowment:

- (1) Where the origin of endowment cannot be ascertained, the question to be determined is as to whether the members of public use it by way of right.
- (2) Another fact to be determined is that whether it is controlled by a group of persons or by the founder of endowment only.
- (3) It may be concluded that the endowment is of public nature where the document with respect to its creation is available and it is clear from the language of the deed that the control over the endowment is vested in the founder or in his family and a greater part of the property of the founder has been dedicated in the endowment to that temple.
- (4) Again in absence of any evidence to show that the founder has given any classification with respect to the fact that the member of public would contribute any share to it, this proves that the endowment is of private nature.

The Allahabad High Court too in Suit. *Sarjoo v. Ayodhya Pd*, founded the view that it is not possible to conclude about the nature of endowment from a single characteristic alone. In fact the entire



evidence and circumstances are to be examined under which it was created. Non-appointment of a pujari shows the private nature; but appointment of pujari by members of different families establishes the public nature.

Even giving permission to the members of public to perform puja will not convert it into public. In *Radha Kant Deo v. The Commissioner of Hindu Religious Charitable*, the Supreme Court took the view that the idea of a private religious trust could be conceived in Hindu law only and is foreign to the English law.

In such an endowment the prime purpose of the beneficiary is to establish a temple for the family worshippers. Though public may be allowed access to such a temple but that will not convert its nature as the property in facts vests with the beneficiaries and not with the deity. Certain worth mentioning tests were formulated by the court which is as under:

- (1) Where the origin of endowment is not known, the question arises as to whether members of public use it by way of right.
- (2) The fact whether it is controlled by a group of persons or by the founder of endowment will also be considered.
- (3) Where the documentary evidence is available which clearly establishes that the control invested in the founder or in his family and a greater part has been dedicated to the temple, so that it may be properly managed, it all will suggest that the endowment is of a private nature.
- (4) Again in absence of any proof available to show that the founder intended the public to contribute any share to it, it will be treated as a private endowment.

ESSENTIALS OF ENDOWMENTS

Where the gifts are made for charitable purposes such as for the institution of Dharmashala, Anathashram (choultries), Sadavrata of the establishment of educational and medical institutions or/and for the construction of Anathashrams (orphanage) tanks, wells and bathing ghats etc., they are known as charitable endowments. In such endowments property is dedicated through the usual ceremonies of Sankalpa and Utsarga.

The popular charitable institutions created through endowments and recognized under the Hindu law are Dharmashala or rest houses, Sadavrata Anathashram, public institutions, constructions of tanks, wells, groves etc. Dharmashalas are the rest houses provided for the travellers known as Pratishraya Griha in ancient times.

The property dedicated to the Dharmashalas vest in Dharmashalas itself. Its management may vest in the founder himself or a committee constituted by the founder. The benefit of Dharmashala may be



available to public in general or it may be restricted to the members of a community or to the followers of a particular religion.

Sometimes gifts are made for the establishment of educational institutions or hospitals. Imparting free education to the people in general has been one of the prime objects of charity throughout the ages amongst Hindus. Similarly hospitals and dispensaries known as Arogashalas have also been the objects of charitable endowments.

The establishment and maintenance of Goshalas is also a valid charitable purpose. Similarly the excavation of tanks and wells has also been recognized as charitable objects from the very beginning. According to Dharmashastra, construction of a well is equal to Agnistoma sacrifice; in desert it equals the Aswamedha. The well flowing with drinking water destroys all sins. The well maker, attaining heaven, enjoys all the worldly pleasures. The consecration of trees and groves is also a well recognized charitable purpose amongst Hindus. Dedications for groves and trees have been held valid.

Similarly Sadavrats, where free distribution of food and alms to the needy and poor are arranged, have been well known charitable institutions amongst Hindus. Langars and Anathashram are species of Sadavrats. Endowments for them have been held valid. Similarly endowments for reciting sacred books and for the food and maintenance of Brahmacharies and Brahamans have also been held valid.

Math – Kinds, Powers and obligations of Mahant and Shefai

In its ordinary parlance math means an abode or residence of ascetics. In its legal connotation it is a monastic institution presided over by its head known as mahant, a superior ascetic and established for the use and benefit of ascetics, generally or of ascetics belonging to a particular, ordinarily the disciples of the mahant. The basic purpose of a math is to encourage and foster spiritual learning and knowledge by maintenance of a competent line of teachers who impart religious knowledge to disciples and followers of the Math and strengthen the doctrine of the sect or school to which Math subscribes. The presiding element in a math is the mahant or the religious teacher. Even when a temple is attached with a math, the presiding element in the math remains the mahant. It is in the Debutter that the presiding element is the idol. A math comes into existence when dedication of properties is made to Math. A math may also come into existence as an off-shoot of an already existing math. In such a case the second math is subordinated to the parent math and the latter exercises some control over the former. There are several types of maths existing in our country. Each of them is governed by its own customs and usages. Broadly speaking, Maths are of three types:



Mourushi Math, when the office of Mahant is elective and Hakimi math, when the founder has reserved the power of nomination of mahant.

PROPERTY OF A MATH VESTS WITH THE MATH

The mahant is the head of the Math, but the property dedicated to a math does not vest in him. The Hindu law like the Roman law recognizes not only corporate bodies with right of property vested in the corporations apart from its individual members, but also juridical person or subject called foundation. When property is dedicated for a particular purpose, the property itself gets impressed with that purpose, is raised to the status of a juristic person and can become in law, the bearer of rights and duties. Thus the endowed property in the case of a Math vests in the Math itself as a juristic person and not in the mahant. A math is a juristic person and is capable of acquiring, holding and vindicating legal rights through the medium of some human agency which is ordinarily the agency of mahant. However the terms of a grant may provide differently and may law down that the property will vest in an individual or a committee or a body of trustees. In that case the property will vest accordingly.

LEGAL POSITION OF MAHANT

The mahant is neither a trustee nor a corporation sole. He is just the manager of the math with wider powers than those possessed by a manager, trustee or dharmakarta of a temple. He has dual capacity. He is the manager of properties and the spiritual head of the Math. In *Ram Prakash v. Anand Das*, the Privy Council observed: öthe Mahant is the head of the institution. He sits upon the gaddi, he initiates the candidates into the mysteries of the cult, he superintends the worship of the idol and the accustomed spiritual rites, he manages the properties of the institution, and he administers its affairs.ö In *Vindhyanuthi v. Balusani* the Privy Council said, öCalled by whatever name, he is only a manager and custodian of the idol or institution. In almost every case he is given the right to a part of usufruct, the mode of enjoyment and the amount of the usufruct, depending again on the usage and custom. In no case the property is conveyed to or vested in him, nor is he a trustee in the English sense of the term, although in view of the obligations and duties resting on him, he is answerable as a trustee in the general sense for maladministration. This position clearly emerges from his power and functions. When the Mahant himself is guilty of mismanagement or misappropriation, a suit can be filed by any person interested in the endowment. In *Thenappa v. Karruppan*, the Supreme Court held that even in the case of a private trust, a suit can be filed for the removal of the trustee or for the settlement of a scheme for the purpose of effectively carrying out of the object of the trust. If there is a breach of trust in the mismanagement on the part of the trustee, a suit can be brought by any person interested in the Math and in the proper administration of endowment.

SHEBAIT



The person in whom the management of the debutter is vested is known by various names: the term Shebait is commonly used in Bengal; he is called the Dharmakarta in Tamilnadu and Andhra Pradesh; and Panchayatdar in Tanjore and Malabar.

KINDS

Dharmakarta

Manager

POWERS AND OBLIGATIONS OF SHEBAIT

The duties of Shebait are both spiritual and temporal. In respect of spiritual duties, he must perform seva and puja of the idol.

Alienation of endowed property

Suit on behalf of the deity

Removal and replacement of Idol

Apart from the termination of mahantship on the death of the holder of the office, there are other ways also by which the mahantship may be terminated. The mahantship may be terminated-

- (a) By relinquishment of the office by mahant during his lifetime
- (b) By supervising disability of mahant- The matter is not free from doubt. Incurring of a subsequent disability law by a mahant does not amount to automatic forfeiture of office. His removal will be necessary.
- (c) By removal- A mahant may be removed from his office on account of his mental infirmity, bodily disease or on account of mismanagement or waste. He may also be removed if he is leading an immoral life, or is acting contrary to the tenets or usage of the math.

b. Waqf

(i) Meaning, Kinds, Rights and Characteristics,

MEANING



Literal meaning of Wakf is detention, stoppage, or tying up as observed in *M Kazim vs. A Asghar Ali AIR 1932*. Technically, it means a dedication of some specific property for a pious purpose or secession of pious purposes. As defined by Muslim jurists such as Abu Hanifa, Wakf is the detention of a specific thing that is in the ownership of the waqif or appropriator, and the devotion of its profits or usufruits to charity, the poor, or other good objects, in the manner of areeat or commodity loan.

Wakf Act 1954 defines Wakf as, "Wakf means the permanent dedication by a person professing the Islam, of any movable or immovable property for any purpose recognized by Muslim Law as religious, pious, or charitable."

CHARACTERISTICS OF A VALID WAKF

1. Permanent Dedication of any property - There are actually three aspects in this requirement. There must be a dedication, the dedication must be permanent, and the dedication can be of the property. There is no prescribed form of dedication. It can be written or oral but it must be clear to convey the intention of dedication. According to Abu Yusuf, whose word is followed in India, mere declaration of dedication is sufficient for completion of Wakf. Neither delivery of possession or appointment of Mutawalli is necessary.

The dedication must be permanent: A temporary dedication such as for a period of 10 yrs or until death of someone is invalid.

The subject of Wakf can be any tangible property (mal) which can be used without being consumed. In *Abdul Sakur vs. Abu Bakkar 1930*, it was held that there are no restrictions as long as the property can be used without being consumed and thus, a valid Wakf can be created not only of immovable property but also of movable property such as shares of a company or even money. Some subjects that Hanafi law recognizes are immovable property, accessories to immovable property, or books.

The subject of the Wakf must be in the ownership of the dedicator, wakif. One cannot dedicate someone else's property.

2. By a Muslim- A Wakf can only be created by a Muslim. Further, the person must have attained the age of majority as per Indian Majority Act and should be of sound mind.

3. For any purpose recognized by Muslim Law - The purpose is also called the object of Wakf and it can be any purpose recognized as religious, pious, or charitable, as per Muslim Law. It is not necessary that a person must name a specific purpose. He can also declare that the property may be used for any welfare works permitted by Shariat.



In *Zulfiqar Ali vs. Nabi Bux*, the settlers of a Wakf provided that the income of certain shops was to be applied firstly to the upkeep of the mosque and then the residue, if any, to the remuneration of the mutawalli. It was held to be valid however; it was also pointed out that if a provision of remuneration was created before the upkeep of the mosque, it would have been invalid.

The following are some of the objects that have been held valid in several cases - Mosques and provisions of Imam to conduct worship, celebrating birth of Ali Murtaza, repairs of Imambaras, maintenance of Khanqahs, burning lamps in mosques, payment of money to fakirs, grant to an idgah, grant to colleges and professors to teach in colleges, bridges and caravan sarais.

In *Kunhamutty vs. Ahman Musaliar AIR 1935*, Madras HC held that if there are no alms, the performing of ceremonies for the benefit of the departed soul is not a valid object.

Some other invalid objects are - building or maintaining temple or church, providing for the rich exclusively, objects which is uncertain.

Shia Law - Besides the above requirements, Shia law imposes some more requirements for a valid Wakf. There are -

Delivery of possession to the first person in whose favour the Wakf has been created is essential.

Dedication must be absolute and unconditional.

The property must be completely taken away from the wakif. It means that the wakif cannot keep or reserve any benefit or interest, or even the usufructs of the dedicated property.

KINDS OF WAKFS

A Wakf can be classified into two types -Public and Private. As the name suggests, a public Wakf is for the general religious and charitable purposes while a private Wakf is for the creators own family and descendants and is technically called Wakf alal aulad. It was earlier considered that to constitute a valid wakf there must be a complete dedication of the property to God and thus private wakf was not at all possible. However, this view is not tenable now and a private wakf can be created subject to certain limitation after Wakf Validating Act 1913. This act allows a private wakf to be created for one's descendants provided that the ultimate benefits are reserved for charity. Muslim Law treats both public and private wakfs alike. Both types of wakf are created in perpetuity and the property becomes inalienable.

Wakf alal aulad

Wakf on one's children and thereafter on the poor is a valid wakf according to all the Muslim Schools of Jurisprudence. This is because, under the Mohammedan Law, the word charity has a much wider



meaning and includes provisions made for one's own children and descendants. Charity to one's kith and kin is a high act of merit and a provision for one's family or descendants, to prevent their falling into indigence, is also an act of charity. The special features of wakf-alal-aulad are that only the members of the wakif's family should be supported out of the income and revenue of the wakf property. Like other wakfs, wakf alal-aulad is governed by Muhammadan Law, which makes no distinction between the wakfs either in point of sanctity or the legal incidents that follow on their creation. Wakf alal aulad is, in the eye of the law, Divine property and when the rights of the wakif are extinguished, it becomes the property of God and the advantage accrues to His creatures. Like the public wakf, a wakf-alal-aulad can under no circumstances fail, and when the line of descendant becomes extinct, the entire corpus goes to charity.

The institution of private wakf is traced to the prophet himself who created a benefaction for the support of his daughter and her descendants and, in fact, placed it in the same category as a dedication to a mosque.

Thus, it is clear that a wakf can be created for one's own family. However, the ultimate benefit must be for some purpose which is recognized as pious, religious or charitable by Islam.

Quasi public Wakf

Sometimes a third kind of wakf is also identified. In a Quasi public wakf, the primary object of which is partly to provide for the benefit of particular individuals or class of individuals which may be the settler's family, and partly to public, so they are partly public and partly private.

Contingent Wakf

A wakf, the creation of which depends on some event happening is called a contingent wakf and is invalid. For example, if a person creates a wakf saying that his property should be dedicated to god if he dies childless is an invalid wakf. Under Shia law also, a wakf depending on certain contingencies is invalid.

In Khaliluddin vs. Shri Ram 1934, a Muslim executed a deed for creating a wakf, which contained a direction that until payment of specified debt by him, no proceeding under the wakfnama shall be enforceable. It was held that it does not impose any condition on the creation of the wakf and so it is valid.

Conditional Wakf

If a condition is imposed that when the property dedicated is mismanaged, it should be divided amongst the heirs of the wakf, or that the wakif has a right to revoke the wakf in future, such a wakf would be invalid. But a direction to pay debts or to pay for improvements, repairs or expansion of the



wakf property or conditions relating to the appointment of Mutawalli would not invalidate the wakf. In case of a conditional wakf, it depends upon the wakif to revoke the illegal condition and to make the wakf valid, otherwise it would remain invalid.

Completion of wakf

The formation of a wakf is complete when a mutawalli is first appointed for the wakf. The mutalwalli can be a third person or the wakif himself. When a third person is appointed as mutawalli, mere declaration of the appointment and endowment by the wakif is enough. If the wakif appoints him as the first mutawalli, the only requirement is that the transaction should be bona fide. There is no need for physical possession or transfer of property from his name as owner to his name as mutawalli.

In both the cases, however, mere intention of setting aside the property for wakf is not enough. A declaration to that effect is also required.

In *Garib Das vs. M A Hamid AIR 1970*, it was held that in cases where founder of the wakf himself is the first mutawalli, it is not necessary that the property should be transferred from the name of the donor as the owner in his own name as mutawalli.

Shia law -

Delivery of possession to the mutawalli is required for completion when the first mutawalli is a third person.

Even when the owner himself is the first mutawalli, the character of the ownership must be changed from owner to mutawalli in public register.

The objectives of Wakf recognized in Islam as religious, pious and charitable include, though are not limited to, the following

RIGHT OF WAQF

Establishing, maintaining and fostering the educational institutions, hostels, libraries, sports facilities and so on. Awarding of scholarships so as to promote education.

Providing health care, relief and financial aid to all poor include the victims of communal riots and natural disasters.

Construction of Musafir Khanas and Marriage halls for community use.

Maintenance of Mosques, Dargahs, Graveyards and consolidation of Wakf properties.



तेजस्वि नावधीतमस्तु
ISO 9001:2008 & 14001:2004

Financial support to poor widows, indigent and physically handicapped persons; arranging the marriage of indigent girls and maintenance of divorced woman

Payment of salary to Imams and Muazzins as ordered by Supreme Court.

(ii) Advantages and disadvantages

- Objects and purpose

Benefits of Making a Waqf- Being generous are a spiritual investment.

From the Qur'an & Sunnah

Revival of a Sunnah. öHe who revives an unpracticed Sunnah will get the reward of a hundred martyrsö (Mishkaat) Thawaab Jariyya ö perpetual Thawaab until Qiyamah. The Prophet (SAW) said: öVerily charity appeases wrath of the Lord and removes pangs of death.ö (Tirmizi) Generosity purifies and rejuvenates the soul of the patron and consoles and supports the disadvantaged. Kindness enhances the relationship between Allah and the contributor. It promotes love, cooperation and welfare among members of the community. Not only does giving increase reward, it increases wealth. Charity awakens feelings of compassion. It reinforces the human bond with less fortunate people and strengthens theties of humanity and fraternity. öBut those who give away their wealth out of genuine desire to please Allah, and out of their own inner certainty, are like a garden on a hillside. When heavy rain falls upon it, it yields up twice its normal produce. If no heavy rain falls on it, then a light drizzle will suffice, Allah sees all that you do.ö

Benefits ö (Thawaab)

The benefits of establishing a waqf and the institution of a wqaf in South Africa cannot be measured in terms of rands and cents only. Insha Allah, the benefits will accrue to you, the Muslim ummah as a collective, the poor and disadvantaged in general, and, our country. You are giving a öbeautiful loanö (qard hassan) to Allah, for His pleasure. He will repay it to you in many ways. You are making a financial contribution which the Prophet (s.a.w.) said öwill bring great reward to youö. It is a sadaqa jariya which brings you continuous thawaab until the day of Qiyama. Further, by making a waqf, you will get the thawaab for all the benefit your waqf will collectively bring to all those that benefit therefrom. The waqf has several benefits and advantages and you, as an individual, professional, or business, are contributing towards a major new initiative by:

- Establishing shariah, a sunnah, and, a sahaba (r.a.) practice



- Creating a long term, massive, powerful community capital fund
- Establishing and supporting projects from wqaf revenues on a sustainable basis.
- Promoting a working unity of stakeholders
- Promoting independence and self reliance
- Raising the self-esteem of the ummah
- Making dawah more meaningful
- Contributing towards poverty alleviation
- Developing leadership through projects at grassroots level
- Empowering Muslim, poor, and disadvantaged communities
- Contributing towards becoming an empowered, influential, and benevolent community
- Contributing towards the growth and development of our country
- Leaving a legacy that future generations will be justly proud of
- Benefiting from the dues of the poor and disadvantaged
- Making history – you are part of a history making initiative
- Giving in jama'a, like salah in jama'a is better than giving or performing salah individually

(iii) Mosques – objects, kind, requisites

Mosque, Arabic masjid or جامع, any house or open area of prayer in Islam. The Arabic word masjid means ‘a place of prostration’ to God, and the same word is used in Persian, Urdu, and Turkish. Two main types of mosques can be distinguished: the masjid جامع, or ‘collective mosque’, a large state-controlled mosque that is the centre of community worship and the site of Friday prayer services; and smaller mosques operated privately by various groups within society.

The first mosques were modeled on the place of worship of the Prophet Muhammad – the courtyard of his house at Medina – and were simply plots of ground marked out as sacred. Though the mosque as such has undergone many architectural changes, the building remains essentially an open space, generally roofed over, containing a mihrab and a minbar, with a minaret sometimes attached to it. The mihrab, a semicircular niche reserved for the imam to lead the prayer, points to the qiblah, i.e., the direction of Mecca. The minbar, a seat at the top of steps placed at the right of the mihrab, is used by the preacher (khatib) as a pulpit. In the early days of Islam the rulers delivered their speeches from the minbar. Occasionally there is also a maqsarah, a box or wooden screen near the mihrab, which was originally designed to shield a worshiping ruler from assassins. Mats or carpets cover the floor of the mosque, where the ritual prayer (salat) is performed by rows of men who bow and prostrate themselves under the imam's guidance.

Outside the mosque stands the minaret (ma'dhanah), which was originally any elevated place but now usually a tower. It is used by the muezzin (crier) to proclaim the call to worship (adhan) five times



each day. A place for ablution, containing running water, is usually attached to the mosque but may be separated from it.

Beginning with Muhammad's own house, mosques came to be used for many public functions—military, political, social, and educational. Schools and libraries were often attached to medieval mosques (e.g., al-Azhar mosque in Cairo). The mosque also functioned as a court of justice until the introduction of secular law into many Islamic countries in modern times. Whereas many of the social, educational, and political functions of the mosque have been taken over by other institutions in modern times, it remains a centre of considerable influence. In some cases a maktab (elementary school) is attached to a mosque, mainly for the teaching of the Qur'an, and informal classes in law and doctrine are given for people of the surrounding neighborhood.

The mosque differs from a church in many respects. Ceremonies and services connected with marriages and births are not usually performed in mosques, and the rites that are an important and integral function of many churches, such as confession, penitence, and confirmation, do not exist there. Prayer is performed by bows and prostrations, with no chairs or seats of any kind. Men stand in rows, barefooted, behind the imam and follow his movements. Rich and poor, prominent and ordinary people, all stand and bow together in the same rows. Women may participate in the prayers, but they must occupy a separate space or chamber in the mosque. No statues, ritual objects, or pictures are used in the mosque; the only decorations permitted are inscriptions of Qur'anic verses and the names of Muhammad and his Companions. Professional chanters (qurrā') may chant the Qur'an according to rigidly prescribed systems taught in special schools, but no music or singing is allowed.

(iv) Methods of creation of waqf

Creation of Wakf

Muslim law does not prescribe any specific way of creating a Wakf. If the essential elements as described above are fulfilled, a Wakf is created. Though it can be said that a Wakf is usually created in the following ways -

By an act of a living person (*inter vivos*) - when a person declares his dedication of his property for Wakf. This can also be done while the person is on death bed (*marj ul maut*), in which case, he cannot dedicate more than 1/3 of his property for Wakf.

By will - when a person leaves a will in which he dedicates his property after his death. Earlier it was thought that Shia cannot create Wakf by will but now it has been approved.



By Usage - when a property has been in use for charitable or religious purpose for time immemorial, it is deemed to belong to Wakf. No declaration is necessary and Wakf is inferred.

Legal Consequences (Legal Incidents) of Wakf

Once a wakf is complete, the following are the consequences -

Dedication to God- The property vests in God in the sense that nobody can claim ownership of it. In *Md. Ismail vs. Thakur Sabir Ali AIR 1962*, SC held that even in wakf alal aulad, the property is dedicated to God and only the usufructs are used by the descendants.

Irrevocable - In India, a wakf once declared and complete, cannot be revoked. The wakif cannot get his property back in his name or in any other's name.

Permanent or Perpetual - Perpetuality is an essential element of wakf. Once the property is given to wakf, it remains for the wakf for ever. Wakf cannot be of a specified time duration. In *Mst Peeran vs. Hafiz Mohammad*, it was held by Allahbad HC that the wakf of a house built on a land leased for a fixed term was invalid.

Inalienable - Since Wakf property belongs to God, no human being can alienate it for himself or any other person. It cannot be sold or given away to anybody.

Pious or charitable use - The usufructs of the wakf property can only be used for pious and charitable purpose. It can also be used for descendants in case of a private wakf.

Extinction of the right of wakif - The wakif loses all rights, even to the usufructs, of the property. He cannot claim any benefits from that property.

Power of court's inspection- The courts have the power to inspect the functioning or management of the wakf property. Misuse of the property of usufructs is a criminal offence as per Wakf Act.1995.

Revocation of Wakf

In India, once a valid wakf is created it cannot be revoked because nobody has the power to divest God of His ownership of a property. It can neither be given back to the wakif nor can it be sold to someone else, without court's permission.

A wakf created inter vivos is irrevocable. If the wakif puts a condition of revocability, the wakf is invalid. However, if the wakf has not yet come into existence, it can be canceled. Thus, a testamentary wakf can be canceled by the owner himself before his death by making a new will. Further, wakf created on death bed is valid only up till 1/3 of the wakif's property. Beyond that, it is invalid and the property does not go to wakf but goes to heirs instead.



Mutawalli

Mutawalli is nothing but the manager of a wakf. He is not the owner or even a trustee of the property. He is only a superintendent whose job is to see that the usufruits of the property are being utilized for valid purpose as desired by the wakif. He has to see that the intended beneficiaries are indeed getting the benefits. Thus, he only has a limited control over the usufruits.

In *Ahmad Arif vs. Wealth Tax Commissioner AIR 1971*, SC held that a mutawalli has no power to sell, mortgage, or lease wakf property without prior permission of the court or unless that power is explicitly provided to the mutawalli in wakfnama.

Who can be a mutawalli- A person who is a major, of sound mind, and who is capable of performing the functions of the wakf as desired by the wakif can be appointed as a mutawalli. A male or female of any religion can be appointed. If religious duties are a part of the wakf, then a female or a non-Muslim cannot be appointed.

In *Shahar Bano vs. Aga Mohammad 1907*, Privy Council held that there is no legal restriction on a woman becoming a mutawalli if the duties of the wakf do not involve religious activities.

Who can appoint a mutawalli - Generally, the wakif appoints a mutawalli. He can also appoint himself as a mutawalli. If a wakf is created without appointing a mutawalli, in India, the wakf is considered valid and the wakif becomes the first mutawalli in Sunni law but according to Shia law, even though the wakf remains valid, it has to be administered by the beneficiaries. The wakif also has the power to lay down the rules to appoint a mutawalli. The following is the order in which the power to nominate the mutawalli transfers if the earlier one fails - founder executor of founder mutawalli on his death bed the court, which should follow the guidelines - it should not disregard the directions of the settler but public interest must be given more importance. Preference should be given to the family member of the wakif instead of utter stranger.

Powers of a mutawalli - Being the manager of the wakf, he is in charge of the usufruits of the property. He has the following rights - He has the power to utilize the usufruits as he may deem fit in the best interest of the purpose of the wakf. He can take all reasonable actions in good faith to ensure that the intended beneficiaries are benefited by the wakf. Unlike a trustee, he is not an owner of the property so he cannot sell the property. However, the wakif may give such rights to the mutawalli by explicitly mentioning them in wakfnama.

He can get a right to sell or borrow money by taking permission from the court upon appropriate grounds or if there is an urgent necessity.



He is competent to file a suit to protect the interests of the wakf.

He can lease the property for agricultural purpose for less than three years and for non-agricultural purpose for less than one year. He can exceed the term by permission of the court.

He is entitled to remuneration as provided by the wakif. If the remuneration is too small, he can apply to the court to get an increase.

Removal of a mutawalli -

Generally, once a mutawalli is duly appointed, he cannot be removed by the wakif. However, a mutawalli can be removed in the following situations -

By court- if he misappropriates wakf property. Even after having sufficient funds, does not repair wakf premises and wakf falls into disrepair. Knowingly or intentionally causes damage or loss to wakf property. In *Bibi Sadique Fatima vs. Mahmood Hasan AIR 1978*, SC held that using wakf money to buy property in wife's name is such breach of trust as is sufficient ground for removal of mutawalli. He becomes insolvent.

By wakf board - Under section 64 of Wakf Act 1995, the Wakf board can remove mutawalli from his office under the conditions mentioned therein.

By the wakif - As per Abu Yusuf, whose view is followed in India, even if the wakif has not reserved the right to remove the mutawalli in wakf deed, he can still remove the mutawalli.

c. Pre-emption – Origin, Definition, Classification, Subject matter, formalities, effects, constitutional validity

Pre-emption.º the right of pre-emption or Shufa is a right to acquire by compulsory purchase, in certain cases, immovable property in preference to all other persons. ºIt is founded on the supposed necessities of a Mohammedan family, arising out of their minute division and inter- division of ancestral property, and as, the result of its existenceis generally adverse to the public interest, it certainly will not be recognized by this Court beyond the limits to which those necessities have been judicially divided to extend.ºThe pre-emption of the Muslim Law does not resemble the pre-emption of the Roman law but resembles the Retractretch of German Law. That was an institution known to Roman law and sanctioned an obligatory relation between the vendor and a person determined, binding the vendor to sail to that person if he offered as good conditions as the intended vendee. It arose from a contract and also from the provisions of positive written law. It was protected solely by



a personal action, and gave no right of action against the vendee to whom the property had been passed.ö

Origin of the right:-öPre-emption in village communities in British India had its origin in the Mohammedan Law as to pre-emption and was apparently unknown to India before the time of the Mughal rulers. In the course of time, customs of pre-emption grew up or were adopted among village communities. In some cases, the sharers in a village adopted or followed the rules of Mohammedan Law of pre-emption, and in such cases the custom of the village follows the rule of the Mohammedan Law of pre-emption. In other cases, where a custom of pre-emption exists, each village community has a custom of pre-emption which varies from the Mohammedan Law of pre-emption and is peculiar to the village in its provisions and its incidents. A custom of pre-emption was doubtless in all cases the result of agreement amongst the shareholders of the particular village, and may have been adopted in modern times and in villages which were first constituted in modern times. Rights of pre-emption have in some States been given by the Acts of the Indian Legislature. Rights of pre-emption have also been created by contract between the sharers in a village. But in all cases, the object is, as far as possible, to prevent strangers to a village from becoming sharers in the village. Rights of pre-emption when they exist are valuable rights, and when they depend upon a custom or upon a contract, the custom or the contract as the case may be, must, if disputed, be proved. In *indira Bai v. Nand Kishore*, the Supreme Court held the right of pre-emption is a weak right and it can be defeated by estoppel. Even in Muslim Law, which is the genesis of this right, as it was unknown to Hindu law and was brought in wake of Mohammedan Rule, it is settled that the right of pre-emption is lost by estoppel and acquiescence. Definition.ö the technical Arabic term for its Anglo-Mohammedan equivalent -pre-emptionö is Shufa which literally means adding. In law, pre-emption is defined as -a right which the owner of certain immovable property possesses, as such, for the quiet enjoyment of that immovable property, to obtain in substitution for the buyer, proprietary possession of certain other immovable property, not his own, on such terms as those on which such latter immovable property is sold to another person. Thus pre-emption is the right which the owner of an immovable property possesses to acquire another immovable property for the price for which it has been sold to another person. Literally, the term -pre-emptionö means purchase by one person before an opportunity is offered to others. It is derived from a right which signifies conjunction, i.e., the lands sold are conjoined to the land of pre-emptor. It is a right which is possessed by the owner of an immovable property acquires another immovable property for the price for which it has been sold to another person. According to Mulla, öthe right of Shufa or pre-emption is a right which the owner of an immovable property possesses to acquire by purchase another immovable property which has been sold to another person. The definition as it stands seems very difficult and complicated but is most scientific and comprehensive. The main ingredients of this definition are:ö

1. Pre-emption is a right which the owner of a certain immovable property possesses to obtain property possession of certain other immovable property, not his own.
2. The right is obtained in



substitution for the buyer (who has already purchased that other immovable property).3. The right of proprietary possession is obtained on the same terms on which that other immovable property is sold to the purchasers.4 the right is given by law for the quiet enjoyment of the property.

Sources of Pre-emption:- The law of pre-emption is based on the following sources:

1. Pre-emption is a part of Muslim Personal Law:

In some parts of India, the pre-emption existed among some Muslims as part of their Personal law. Where the law of pre-emption is neither territorial, nor customary, it is applicable as between Muslims as part of their personal law. In *Audh Behari Singh v. Gajadhar Jaipuria*, the Supreme Court observed: The law of pre-emption was introduced in India by the Muslims. There is no indication of any such conception in the Hindu law During the period of Moghal Emperors the law of pre-emption was administered as a rule of common law of the land in those parts of the country which came under the domination of the Muslims and Zimmees (non-Muslims) no distinction being made in this respect between persons of different races and creeds In course of time Hindus came to adopt pre-emption as a custom for reasons of convenience and the custom as largely to be found in provinces like Bihar and Gujarat which had once been integral parts of the Muslim Empire.

Pre-emption by Custom:

Subject to any law which is in force for the time being, pre-emption may be claimed on the basis of a custom. In some parts of India, the law of pre-emption was based on custom. Though the custom has been confined, in some cases, to a particular locality, but the right, when based on custom becomes law for the place and all lands belonging thereto are subjected to the law irrespective of religion, nationality or domicile of owners. But this right is limited to the persons who are residing or are domicile in such places, and not to those who simply own the property in that place. When the custom is proved to exist in a certain place, it could not be extended to other places.

Pre-emption by Statutes:

In some parts of India, the right of pre-emption existed under statutes. For example, in Oudh under the Oudh Laws Act, 1876, in Punjab under the Punjab Pre-emption Act, 1915, Agra Pre-emption Act, 1922, etc. In such areas, the law of pre-emption based on the statutes applies to both Muslims and non-Muslim. In such areas the Muslim law of pre-emption does not apply even to Muslims.

SUBJECT-MATTER OF PRE-EMPTION (SHUFAA):-

It is believed that Prophet had said that there was no pre-emption except in a house or a garden. In fact the Arabic word 'Aqar' (plural Aqarat) is subject of Shufaa. It is a wide term which may include all



fixtures which are permanently attached to land, thus it cannot be confined to land only. On this basis subject matter of Shufaa can be classified in following categories:

(i) Pre-emption of immovable property:

An immovable property can only be the subject of pre-emption. The term *aqar* includes immovable property divisible and indivisible, e.g., a bath, a mill, a well, a canal of a stream and houses.¹ Immovable property includes not only the houses, gardens, small parcels of land but also Zamindari land. So far as the accessories of *aqar* are concerned, they are also the subject of pre-emption and to such an extent of accessories, movable properties may be the subject of Pre-emption, for example, the sale of bath with water and utensils, etc.

(ii) Permanent Fixtures, included if sold along with: All permanent fixtures, such as trees, houses and other accessories are included in the term *aquar* provided that they are sold as appendages of the land and are not intended for removal. For examples, if trees and houses are sold along with the land, the right of pre-emption would arise. But when the things attached to the earth are sold separately from the land, then no right of pre-emption relating to such accessories would arise. For example, if a house or trees are sold separately from the land on which they stand, then no right of pre-emption would arise in connection with such accessories. So, the sale merely of the superstructure of a house will not be the subject of pre-emption. If a house or tree is purchased with its foundations or roots then the right of pre-emption arises.

(iii) Pre-emption of divisible or indivisible immovable property:

In order to claim the right of pre-emption, it is necessary that the property should be immovable. Such property may be divisible or indivisible. Indivisible property is not capable of division. Indivisible property includes a bath, mill, well, canal or stream, small houses and private road, etc, But under Shia law, the right of Pre-emption is not available in respect of indivisible property such as bath so rivulets, ways, etc., because the division of the seething would cause damage. But if there is no damage with division then the right of pre-emption would arise. The right of pre-emption is a right of substitution but not of repurchase. If a house passes to a pre-emptor without the land on which it stands, it would be baseless for the vendee, and hence no right of pre-emption could arise in such a case. But if the house is sold with its foundation and the land on which it stands, then the right accrues.

(iv) Pre-emption of Movable Property: Every movable property which is part of a thing which is attached to land could be subject of pre-emption. If a bath is sold, the water and utensils etc. which are part of that bath, would become subject of pre-emption When a land is sold on which a crop is standing that crop would become subject of pre-emption. Persons Entitled to Right of Pre-Emption:- The person who has the right to Pre-emption is called, Shaft. Following are the qualification which a



person must fulfill to claim the right of pre-emption: (1) Male or female: The person claiming the right of pre-emption may be a male or female. (ii) Minor or major: The person may be a minor or an adult. A child in the womb is also entitled to the right of pre-emption if it is born within six months and if the father had died before the sale, then even if it is born after more than six months provided that it inherits the property from the father.

(ii) Owner of an Immovable Property:

The person claiming the right to preempt must be the owner of an immovable property. He or she should have full ownership it is immaterial that a pre-emptor is not in possession of property. The basis of the right of pre-emption is that only the adjacent owner of some immovable property has a right to acquire by purchase another immovable property sold to another person so, a tenant, lessee in perpetuity, occupancy tenant, spes successions, benamidar, grovehold, etc., have no right of pre-emption because these person cannot be said to be the owner of some immovable property and so would not be entitled to pre-emption.

CLASSIFICATION OF PRE-EMPTORS OR WHO MAY PRE-EMPT:-

Only three classes of persons may claim the exercise of the right under Muslim law. Under Muslim law, pre-emptor is classified into three categories:

- (i) The Co-sharers or Shaft-i-Shank
 - (ii) The Participants in Immunities or Shafi-i-Khalit, and
 - (iii) (The Owners of Adjacent Properties or Shafi-i-Jar
- (i) The Co-sharers or Shafi-i-Shank :-

The persons who are entitled to inherit the properties of a common ancestor are called co-sharers. The co-sharers have the preferential right of pre-emption against any other class of pre-emptors. For example, brothers or two sisters are the co-sharers. If one of them sells his/her house, the other is entitled to claim pre-emption. Co-sharers are given preference against other categories of pre-emptor because they are common blood-relations, i.e., related to each other on the ground of consanguinity. Since the list of blood-relations may be very long, the category of consanguine relations entitled to claim preferential right of pre-emption but that should not be unreasonable. With this ground in case of *Atam Prakash v. State of Haryana*, the Supreme Court held the right of pre-emption on the ground of category of consanguine relations is unconstitutional. But later on in *Krishna v. State of Haryana*, the Supreme Court has held that right of pre-emption to co-sharer is valid and it is not violative of Articles 14, 15 and 16 of the Indian Constitution. Vicinage as owner of a plot of homestead land adjoining the house, it is not necessary that a house on the land must have built.



RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION ACCRUES ONLY ON SALE

The right to claim pre-emption arises only when immovable property is sold validly, completely and in a bonafide manner. The right of pre-emption arises only in these two types of transfer of property. It does not arise in respect of transfer of property of any other type such as fit, Sadaqah, Waqf, bequest, Inheritance, mortgage or lease. For the purposes of pre-emption, there are two types of transfer of property, (i) sale and (ii) exchange. The right of pre-emption, when a sale is made: When the right of pre-emption arises in respect of a sale then the sale must be completed, bona fide and valid. Under Muslim law, the sale is completed, when the price is paid and possession is handed over to the purchaser. However for the purpose of right of pre-emption completion of sale or exchange is determined under the provisions of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882. Under this Act, the sale or exchange of an immovable property worth rupees one hundred or more, is valid and complete only after the deed has been duly registered.

The right of pre-emption when an exchange is made:

The right of pre-emption arises in respect of exchange, when it is completed, bonafide and valid. It may be noted here that according to Muslim law, a sale is exchange of property with mutual consent. The Transfer of Property Act does not include exchange with the ambit of sale as Muslim law considers it. But when a question arises, when a sale has taken place it would be determined according to the concepts of Muslim law for purposes of pre-emption.

Formalities for pre-emption:- Existence of right of pre-emption depends upon full and complete observance of formalities because it is feeble right and as such full of technicalities. It is ritualistic. If ceremonies are in any way incomplete or erroneous, the right of Shufaa does not take form, but remains unsubstantial. As the Supreme Court has rightly said that availability of this weak or archaic right has to be construed strictly. Non-observance of any of the essential formalities will be fatal to the suit of pre-emption. The formality for the claim of this right consists of three demands. The demand must be made by pre-emptor step by step and at proper time.

1. The First Demand (Talab-i-Mowasibat): The Arabic expression

—Talabô i-Mowasibat means 'Demand of Jumping' which shows that it must be made immediately. It is essential that the first demand must be made immediately on the hearing of the completion of sale. Every class of pre-emptor must demand immediately, meaning thereby that pre-emptor belonging to inferior class should not wait till a pre-emptor belonging to superior class waives his right for exercise of his right. Completion of sale or exchange is determined under the provisions of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882. Any improper or unreasonable delay will simply an election not to exercise the right of pre-emption.⁴ No witnesses or any form is required making Talab-i-Mowasibat. The only condition is promptness after receiving the news of completed sale. No particular words are



necessary for making the first demand. The words showing clear intention to claim the right of pre-emption is sufficient, such as, I do claim my Shufaa.

The Third Demand (Talab-i-Tamlik):

If the pre-emptor fails to get the desire result after making first two demands, he may take legal action. Therefore, if the purchaser sells the property to him, then no further formality is required and the pre-emptor is substituted in place of vendee. But, if after the first two demands, the pre-emptor fails to re-purchase the property, then he has to take legal action. In other words, the third and the last step are to maintain an action in a court of law. Filing of a suit for the claim of pre-emption is known as the Third Demand. This is also termed as *claim of possession*. Limitation for filing the suit is provided under the provisions of Limitation Act. If the property is corporeal, then the suit should be filed within one year from the date purchaser takes possession of the property and if the property is incorporeal then the limitation for filing the suit would start from the date of registration of sale deed. The pre-emptor claims re-purchase from the vendee, therefore, vendee is a necessary party in the suit for pre-emption. But, if the vendor (seller) is still in possession of the property sold, the suit must be filed against both. The pre-emptor must claim for entire property. There cannot be a partial claim. If it is not for entire property, the suit cannot be entertained by the court and claim of the pre-emptor is defeated. Mulla explains the rule against partial pre-emption in the following words: The principle of denying the right of pre-emption has been sold, some of which are not subject to pre-emption. The pre-emptor is entitled to exclude these properties from his suit. Similarly, where the sale deed is one but it contains two separate transactions of sale, the pre-emptor can pre-empt in respect of one property and exclude the other from his claim.

First and Second Demands may be Clubbed:

The pre-emptor may combine both the demands. If at the time of the first demand, the pre-emptor invokes the witnesses in the presence of the Vendor or the Vendee or on the property it will suffice for both the demands. If once both the demands have been combined and made, there would be no need to make the second demand subsequently, and if made it would be superfluous. In *Audh Behari Singh v. Gejadhar Jaipuri*, the Supreme Court observed: "The correct legal position seems to be that the law of pre-emption imposes a limitation or disability upon the ownership of a property to the extent that it restricts the owner's fettered right of sale and compels him to sell the property to the co-sharer or neighbour as the case may be."

When does the right arise?

Introduction. The right of pre-emption arises only in case of sale and only when such sale is complete. It does not arise in cases of transfer of immovable property without consideration, such as



by way of gift. But the transfer of property in lieu of mahr is treated as one for consideration and hence subject to pre-emption. So we take the two separately, as follows:

1. It arises in cases of sale. 2. It arises when the sale is complete. (1) Right arises only in case of sale. The right of claiming pre-emption arises only when the property which is the subject of pre-emption has been subjected to a valid sale. An intention to sell can never be a ground for claiming the right. Such sale must be bona fide exchange. However, it does not include gift, Sadaqa waqf, inheritance, bequest of a lease in perpetuity, i.e., in these cases a right cannot be claimed. (2) Right arises only when sale is complete. The right of making a claim of pre-emption arises when the sale is complete. Now the question arises as to when the sale is to be considered as complete. According to the Muslim Law, a sale is complete when the price is paid by the purchaser to the vendor and possession of the property is delivered by the vendor to the purchaser. The execution of an instrument of sale is not necessary. According to the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, Section 54, a sale of property of the value of Rs. 100 and upwards is not complete unless made by a registered instrument. Formerly, there was controversy on the point when a sale would be regarded as complete. The view of the Allahabad High Court was that if a complete sale effected under Muslim Law as where the price is paid and possession is delivered, the right of pre-emption will arise, though the sale may not be complete under the Transfer of Property Act. On the other hand, the view of Calcutta and Patna High Courts was that the right of pre-emption does not arise until after registration as required by the Transfer of Property Act. The above differences of opinion on this point were resolved by the Supreme Court in *Radhakishan Laxminarain v. Shridhar*. In this case the Court held that the transfer of property, where the Transfer of Property Act applies, has to be under the provisions of that Act only and Mohammedan Law or any other provisional law of transfer of property cannot override the statute. Therefore, unless the title has passed in accordance with the Act, no right to enforce pre-emption arises. The extent of continuation of the grounds of pre-emption. The ground of pre-emption arises when the sale is complete but it continues, not only up to the date of suit for pre-emption, but till the decree is passed. Thus, if a plaintiff, who claims pre-emption as an owner of the contiguous property, sells his property to another person after the institution of the suit (but before the decree is passed), he will not be entitled to a decree because he ceases to own the property which gave him ground to claim pre-emption. But it is not necessary that the right should be subsisting till the date of execution of decree or till the date of the decree of the Appellate Court. When right does not arise? The right of pre-emption does not arise out of 1. Gifts, 2. Sadaqa 3. Waqf, 4. Inheritance, 5. Bequest and 6. Lease, even though in perpetuity, 7. Mortgage, even though it may be by way of conditional sale. (But the right will accrue when the mortgage is foreclosed). 8. Conditional sale.

Right of Pre-Emption when Lost:-

The right of pre-emption may be lost in the following cases:



1. By acquiescence or estoppel or waiver or forfeiture: When the pre-emptor fails to observe necessary formalities prescribes, i.e., making three demands. There may be other circumstances also from which acquiescence on the part of pre-emptor may be observed:

(i) A pre-emptor may waive his right by acquiescence,

i.e., by not asserting his claim. Upon the sale of the pre-empted property, a pre-emptor may either assert his right by making demands or may willingly forego his claim by not making any demand.
(ii) The right of pre-empt is lost when the pre-emptor enters into a compromise with the vendee, not to claim the right of pre-emption.
(iii) The right is lost when the pre-emptor permits a sale to be made to another person.
(iv) When the pre-emptor acts as agent of the vendor in transaction then also the right is lost.
(v) The right to pre-empt is lost when the pre-emptor becomes a surety for payment of the consideration.

By death of the pre-emptor:

When the pre-emptor dies after making the two demands but before the filing of the suit, i.e., third demand then also the right of re-emption is lost, his legal representatives have no right to file the suit. However, under the Shia and Shafi law, if a pre-emptor dies during pendency of the suit, the right is not lost. But now the matter is governed by the Indian Succession Act and the suit may be continued by the legal heirs of the pre-emptor. The Act applies to all sects of Muslims in India. If the pre-emptor dies leaving a Will the suit may be continued by his executor and if the executor dies intestate the suit may be continued by his heirs.

By mis-joinder of plaintiffs:

When the pre-emptor joins himself as a co-plaintiff with a person who is not entitled to claim the right of pre-emption then also the right to pre-empt is lost. But if he joins with himself as co-plaintiff a person who could have filed a suit for pre-emption, but for the reason that he did not make the two demands the right to pre-empt will not be lost.
4.

By release:

The pre-emptor would lose his right if there is a release for consideration to be paid to the pre-emptor. In other words when the pre-emptor releases the property for consideration of something to be paid to him by the seller, then also the right to pre-empt is lost. But the right of pre-emptor would not be lost if before the sale was complete, he was offered the property and here fused to purchase. His right would be lost where, though the pre-emptor had information of sale but did not offer to buy it..

Loss of right before final decree:



If the pre-emptor loses his right before the final decree is passed, he would lose his right. Therefore, his right must exist till the date when final decree is passed by trial court.

By statutory disability:

The right of pre-emption may be forfeited if there is any statutory disability on the part of pre-emptor to repurchase the pre-empted property. In such a circumstance a pre-emptor who may otherwise be competent to enforce the right, is unable to claim the right because of statutory disability.

Effect of Pre-Emption

1. (Shufaa) :- Right of pre-emptor after Pre-emption: The pre-emptor may take the possession of the property which is the subject-matter of pre-emption, either by mutual consent or by paying the purchase money to the vendee, after a decree is passed in favor of him by the court. After taking possession of the property in the above manner, he is substituted for the vendee. Now the original purchaser becomes the seller and pre-emptor becomes the buyer.

2. Right of vendee after Pre-emption: With the reference of property to the preemptor, the rights of the vendee also emerge. The vendee is entitled to manse profits such as rents and profits, of the property between the date of the first sale and the date of transfer of the pre-emptor. The date of transfer is not the date of decree but the date when the pre-emptor pays the purchase money. And the pre-emptor becomes entitled to manse profit from the date on which he pays the purchase price after the decree in favor of him is passed. For example, if first sale of property is made to A on 1st January, 1993 but the pre-emptor pays the purchase money on 1st September, 1993. A decree in favor of the pre-emptor is passed on 1st August, 1993. Then the vendee A is entitled to manse profit of the property from the period of 31st January, 1993 to 31st August, 1993 even though the decree in favor of the pre-emptor, B is passed on 1st August, 1993. From 1st September, 1993 onwards B, the pre-emptor becomes entitled to menses profit.

3. Deterioration of property: When the property becomes deteriorated after the first sale, then the pre-emptor when pays the whole purchase money will be entitled to a proportionate reduction in price only if the following circumstances occur:(a) A proportionate reduction in price will be made only if the deterioration is due to made only if the deterioration is occurred due either by vendee or a stranger.(b) A proportionate reduction in price will be made if deterioration has occurred due to some natural calamity. For example, if a portion of the land is destroyed by any natural cause such as flood, earthquake, etc., then a proportionate reduction in price will be made.ø

4. Reduction in price by the vendee: The vendor and the vendee may change the price. If the vendor has made any reduction in the price, then the pre-emptor is entitled to such reduction. But if the whole price is remitted by the vendor, the vendee is entitled to the profits.



5. Increase in price: If any increase in the price is made, the pre-emptor would not be bound to pay the increased amount. But under the Shia law, the pre-emptor is bound to take the property at the contract price, irrespective of any increase or reduction made by the vendor and the vendee.Ø
6. Effect on pre-emption by disposition or death: The right of pre-emption cannot be defeated by any disposition or property made by the vendee. The right can also not be defeated by the death of the vendee.
7. No transference of the decree of pre-emption: The decree of pre-emption obtained by the pre-emptor cannot be transferred by him. On such transference, the transferee is not entitled to take possession of the pre-emptor's property.

Difference between Sunni and Shia Laws.

1. As to who can claim it.Ø Under the Sunni Law, a co-sharer a participant in the appendages and owners of adjoining lands, are entitled to claim pre-emption, whereas under the Shia Law, a co-sharer alone is entitled to pre-emption, and that too if the number of co-sharers does not exceed two.
2. As to right to sue.Ø Under Sunni Law if the pre-emptor dies before obtaining a decree in a suit for pre-emption the right to sue is extinguished whereas under Shia Law, the right to sue is not extinguished and the suit may be continued by the pre-emptor's heirs. But now, Indian Succession Act applies and in such a case the right to sue is not extinguished irrespective of the fact whether the pre-emptor is Sunni or Shia.
3. As to abatement of price.Ø Under the Sunni Law, if after the completion of the sale, the vendor makes an abatement of the price, the pre-emptor can claim the benefit of the abatement. Under the Shia Law, in such a case, the pre-emptor cannot claim the benefit of the abatement of the price.
4. As to the number of demands.Ø Under the Sunni Law, the talab-imowiisibat and theta ab islhad are the two conditions precedent to the exercise of the right of pre-emption. Under the Shia Law, the distinction between the two demands is not recognized; all that is necessary is that the pre-emptor should use reasonable diligence, without any unnecessary delay to make the assertion of his right after receiving the information.

References:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hindu_Marriage_Act

<http://snapbengal.org/Wakfobj.htm>



तेजस्वि नावधीतमस्तु

ISO 9001:2008 & 14001:2004

FAIRFIELD
Institute of Management & Technology
Managed by 'The Fairfield Foundation'
(Affiliated to GGSIP University, New Delhi)

http://www.lawyersclubindia.com/share_files/LL-B-Family-Law-Notes-5348.asp#.UsmFJ9IW0ZM

<http://hanumant.com/index.php/academics/law-notes/12-family-law-ii-muslim-law.html>

<http://law256.wordpress.com/2012/02/10/family-law-notes/>

<http://family-law-india.blogspot.in/>

<http://www.legalindia.in/category/family-law-act-rules>

Text books:

Modern Hindu Law ó Paras Diwan

Outlines of Mohammadan Law ó AAA Fyze