

University of Minnesota
School of Physics and Astronomy

2025 Fall Physics 8011
Quantum Field Theory I
Assignment Solution

Lecture Instructor: Professor Zhen Liu

Zong-En Chen
chen9613@umn.edu

December 3, 2025

HW6 Due to December 4 11:59 PM

Question 1

Problem 36.3

- (a) Prove the *Fierz identities*

$$(\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) = -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \quad (36.58)$$

$$(\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) = (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_4)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_2) \quad (36.59)$$

- (b) Define the Dirac fields

$$\Psi_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_i \\ \xi_i^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_i^C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \xi_i \\ \chi_i^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (36.60)$$

Use eqs. (36.58) and (36.59) to prove the Dirac form of the Fierz identities,

$$(\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_2)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_4) = -2(\bar{\Psi}_1 P_R \Psi_3^C)(\bar{\Psi}_4^C P_L \Psi_2) \quad (36.61)$$

$$(\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_2)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_4) = (\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_4)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_2) \quad (36.62)$$

- (c) By writing both sides out in terms of Weyl fields, show that

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_R \Psi_2 = -\bar{\Psi}_2^C \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_1^C \quad (36.63)$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 P_L \Psi_2 = \bar{\Psi}_2^C P_L \Psi_1^C \quad (36.64)$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 P_R \Psi_2 = \bar{\Psi}_2^C P_R \Psi_1^C. \quad (36.65)$$

Combining equations (36.63–36.65) with equations (36.61–36.62) yields more useful forms of the Fierz identities.

Answer

- (a)

We start from the left-hand side of equation (36.58):

$$(\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) = (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{a}b} (\chi_2)_b (\chi_3^\dagger)_{\dot{c}} (\bar{\sigma}_\mu)^{\dot{c}d} (\chi_4)_d \quad (1)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} (\chi_2)_b (\chi_3^\dagger)_{\dot{c}} (\chi_4)_d (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{a}b} (\bar{\sigma}_\mu)^{\dot{c}d} \quad (2)$$

Using the identity in equations (35.4),(35.19)

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{aa}(\sigma_\mu)_{bb} = -2\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon_{ab} \quad (35.4)$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{a}a} \equiv \epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}(\sigma_\mu)_{bb} \quad (35.19)$$

we have

$$(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{a}b}(\bar{\sigma}_\mu)^{\dot{c}d} = \epsilon^{be}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{f}}(\sigma^\mu)_{ef}\epsilon^{dg}\epsilon^{\dot{c}\dot{h}}(\sigma_\mu)_{gh} \quad (3)$$

$$= \epsilon^{be}\epsilon^{dg}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{f}}\epsilon^{\dot{c}\dot{h}}(\sigma^\mu)_{ef}(\sigma_\mu)_{gh} \quad (4)$$

$$= -2\epsilon^{be}\epsilon^{dg}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{f}}\epsilon^{\dot{c}\dot{h}}\epsilon_{eg}\epsilon_{\dot{f}\dot{h}} \quad (5)$$

$$= -2\epsilon^{be}\delta_e^d\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{f}}\delta_{\dot{f}}^{\dot{c}} \quad (6)$$

$$= -2\epsilon^{bd}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}} \quad (7)$$

Substituting this back, we get

$$(\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) = -2(\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}(\chi_2)_b(\chi_3^\dagger)_{\dot{c}}(\chi_4)_d\epsilon^{bd}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}} \quad (8)$$

$$= -2(\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}(\chi_3^\dagger)_{\dot{c}}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}(\chi_2)_b(\chi_4)_d\epsilon^{bd} \quad (9)$$

$$= -2(\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{c}}(\chi_3^\dagger)_{\dot{c}}(\chi_2)_d(\chi_4)^d \quad (10)$$

$$= -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \times (-1)(-1) \quad (11)$$

$$= -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \quad (12)$$

This proves equation (36.58). Similarly, we can prove equation (36.59):

$$(\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) = -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \quad (13)$$

$$= -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_4 \chi_2) \quad (14)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_4)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_2) \quad (15)$$

This proves equation (36.59).

(b)

First $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_a \\ (\xi^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix}$, so $\bar{\Psi} = (\xi^a, (\chi^\dagger)_{\dot{a}})$. Also, $P_L \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P_R \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\xi^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix}$. Thus,

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_2 = (\xi_1^a, (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{ab}^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}\dot{b}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_2)_b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$= \xi_1^a \sigma_{ab}^\mu (\chi_2)_b \quad (17)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2) \quad (18)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_4 = (\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) \quad (19)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_2)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_4) &= (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) \\ &= -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \end{aligned} \quad (20) \quad (\text{from (a)})$$

Now, for the right-hand side of equation (36.61):

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 P_R \Psi_3^C = (\xi_1^a, (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\xi_3)_b \\ (\chi_3^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} (\chi_3^\dagger)^{\dot{a}} \quad (22)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger) \quad (23)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\Psi}_4^C P_L \Psi_2 = ((\xi_4^a, (\chi_4^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_2)_b \\ (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix}) \quad (24)$$

$$= (\xi_4^a)(\chi_2)_a \quad (25)$$

$$= (\chi_4 \chi_2) = (\chi_2 \chi_4) \quad (26)$$

Thus,

$$-2(\bar{\Psi}_1 P_R \Psi_3^C)(\bar{\Psi}_4^C P_L \Psi_2) = -2(\chi_1^\dagger \chi_3^\dagger)(\chi_2 \chi_4) \quad (27)$$

This proves equation (36.61). Similarly, we can prove equation (36.62):

$$(\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_2)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_4) = (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_2)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_4) \quad (28)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi_4)(\chi_3^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi_2) \quad (\text{from (a)})$$

$$= (\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_4)(\bar{\Psi}_3 \gamma_\mu P_L \Psi_2) \quad (29)$$

This proves equation (36.62).

(c)

First, we compute the left-hand side of equation (36.63):

$$\bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_R \Psi_2 = (\xi_1^a, (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{ab}^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu ab} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

$$= \xi_1^a \sigma_{ab}^\mu (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \quad (31)$$

Next, we compute the right-hand side of equation (36.63):

$$\overline{\Psi}_2^C \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_1^C = (\chi_2^a, (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{ab}^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}\dot{b}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\xi_1)_b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

$$= (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}\dot{b}} (\xi_1)_b \quad (33)$$

Using the identity

$$(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{a}a} \equiv \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} (\sigma_\mu)_{b\dot{b}} \quad (35.19)$$

we have

$$(\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}\dot{b}} (\xi_1)_b = (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \epsilon^{bc} \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} (\sigma_\mu)_{cb} (\xi_1)_b \quad (34)$$

$$= -\xi_1^c \sigma_{cb}^\mu (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \quad (35)$$

$$= -\xi_1^a \sigma_{ab}^\mu (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{b}} \quad (36)$$

$$= \overline{\Psi}_2^C \gamma^\mu P_L \Psi_1^C = -\overline{\Psi}_1 \gamma^\mu P_R \Psi_2 \quad (37)$$

This proves equation (36.63). Similarly, we can prove equations (36.64) and (36.65):

$$LHS = \overline{\Psi}_1 P_L \Psi_2 = (\xi_1^a, (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_2)_a \\ (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

$$= \xi_1^a (\chi_2)_a = (\xi_1 \chi_2) \quad (39)$$

$$RHS = \overline{\Psi}_2^C P_L \Psi_1^C = (\chi_2^a, (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\xi_1)_a \\ (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

$$= (\chi_2)_a \xi_1^a = (\chi_2 \xi_1) = (\xi_1 \chi_2) \quad (41)$$

This proves equation (36.64).

$$LHS = \overline{\Psi}_1 P_R \Psi_2 = (\xi_1^a, (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_2)_a \\ (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (42)$$

$$= (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} (\xi_2^\dagger)^{\dot{a}} = (\chi_1^\dagger \xi_2^\dagger) \quad (43)$$

$$RHS = \overline{\Psi}_2^C P_R \Psi_1^C = (\chi_2^a, (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\xi_1)_a \\ (\chi_1^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (44)$$

$$= (\xi_2^\dagger)_{\dot{a}} (\chi_1^\dagger)^{\dot{a}} = (\xi_2^\dagger \chi_1^\dagger) = (\chi_1^\dagger \xi_2^\dagger) \quad (45)$$

This proves equation (36.65). \square

Question 2

38.1

Use equation (38.12) to compute $u_s(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_s(\mathbf{p})$ explicitly. Hint: Show that the matrix $2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ has eigenvalues ± 1 , and that, for any matrix A with eigenvalues ± 1 , $\exp(cA) = \cosh(c) + A \sinh(c)$, where c is an arbitrary complex number.

Extra question: What is the expression in the large energy limit $E_{\mathbf{p}} \gg m$? Please write down the result.

$$u_s(\mathbf{p}) = \exp(i\eta\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K})u_s(\mathbf{0}), \quad v_s(\mathbf{p}) = \exp(i\eta\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K})v_s(\mathbf{0}) \quad (38.12)$$

Answer

We start by showing that the matrix $2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ has eigenvalues ± 1 . The boost generators \mathbf{K} in the Dirac representation are given by

$$K^j = \frac{i}{2}\gamma^j\gamma^0 = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^j \end{pmatrix} \quad (46)$$

Thus,

$$2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K} = 2i \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{p}_j K^j = 2i \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{p}_j \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^j \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

Now we want to prove $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ has eigenvalues ± 1 , since $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is a unit vector. The characteristic polynomial of $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is given by

$$\det(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_3 - \lambda & \hat{p}_1 - i\hat{p}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 + i\hat{p}_2 & -\hat{p}_3 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (\hat{p}_3 - \lambda)(-\hat{p}_3 - \lambda) - (\hat{p}_1 - i\hat{p}_2)(\hat{p}_1 + i\hat{p}_2) \quad (48)$$

Simplifying this, we get

$$\det(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - (\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2 + \hat{p}_3^2) = \lambda^2 - 1 \quad (49)$$

Setting the determinant to zero, we find the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \implies \lambda^2 = 1 \implies \lambda = \pm 1 \quad (50)$$

Thus, $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ has eigenvalues ± 1 . Consequently, the matrix $2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ has eigenvalues ± 1 as well. Now we can use the identity for any matrix A with eigenvalues ± 1 :

$$\exp(cA) = \cosh(c) + A \sinh(c) \quad (51)$$

where c is an arbitrary complex number. Applying this to our case with $A = 2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ and $c = i\eta/2$, we have

$$\exp(i\eta\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}) = \cosh\left(\frac{i\eta}{2}\right) + (2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}) \sinh\left(\frac{i\eta}{2}\right) \quad (52)$$

$$= \cos\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right) + i(2i\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}) \sin\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right) \quad (53)$$

$$= \cos\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right) - 2(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{K}) \sin\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right) \quad (54)$$

Question 3

45.2

Use the Feynman rules to write down (at tree level) $i\mathcal{T}$ for the processes: $e^+e^+ \rightarrow e^+e^+$ and $\varphi\varphi \rightarrow e^+e^-\varphi$.

Remark: Do not write $\varphi\varphi \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Also, please draw Feynman diagrams when doing this problem. Remember the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi - \frac{1}{2}m_\varphi^2\varphi^2 + \bar{\Psi}(i\cancel{\partial} - m)\Psi + g\varphi\bar{\Psi}\Psi. \quad (55)$$

Answer

Question 4

48.2

Compute $\langle |\mathcal{T}|^2 \rangle$ for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \varphi\varphi$. You should find that your result is the same as that for $e^-\varphi \rightarrow e^-\varphi$, but with $s \leftrightarrow t$, and an extra overall minus sign. This relationship is known as *crossing symmetry*. There is an overall minus sign for each fermion that is moved from the initial to the final state.

Remark: Please compute for $e^-\varphi \rightarrow e^-\varphi$, do not compute for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \varphi\varphi$. Please also draw Feynman diagrams. Remember the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi - \frac{1}{2}m_\varphi^2\varphi^2 + \bar{\Psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi + g\varphi\bar{\Psi}\Psi. \quad (56)$$

Answer