

University of Minnesota  
School of Physics and Astronomy

**2026 Spring Physics 8502**  
**General Relativity II**  
Assignment Solution

Lecture Instructor: Professor Keith Olive

Zong-En Chen  
chen9613@umn.edu

February 9, 2026

# Problem Set 1 due on Due Feb 9 at 11:59pm

## Question 1

- (a) Consider the outer surface of the ergosphere for a Kerr black hole. Construct the normal vector to this surface and show that it is a 2-way surface.
- (b) Using the condition  $n_\alpha n^\alpha = 0$  for a null surface, derive the equation equation for the critical 1-way surface for a time-independent axial symmetric surface. That is start with some  $u(r, \theta)$  to derive the equation defining this surface. What is its physical interpretation.

## Answer

(a)

Starting with the metric for a Kerr black hole in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates,

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right)dt^2 - \frac{4Mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}dtd\phi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2Ma^2r\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\right)\sin^2\theta d\phi^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta$  and  $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$ . The outer surface of the ergosphere is defined by the condition  $g_{tt} = 0$ , which gives

$$1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma} = 0 \implies r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \cos^2\theta = 0. \quad (2)$$

We can define a surface function  $f(r, \theta) = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \cos^2\theta = 0$ . The normal vector to this surface is given by the gradient of  $f$ :

$$n_\mu = \partial_\mu f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right) = (0, 2r - 2M, -2a^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta, 0). \quad (3)$$

To show that this is a 2-way surface, we need to compute the norm of the normal vector:

$$n_\mu n^\mu = g^{\mu\nu} n_\mu n_\nu. \quad (4)$$

We try to write out the matrix form of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right) & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2Mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma} \\ 0 & \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Sigma & 0 \\ -\frac{2Mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma} & 0 & 0 & \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2Ma^2r\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\right)\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Since we are only interested in the  $rr$  and  $\theta\theta$  components for the norm calculation, we can focus on those:

$$n_\mu n^\mu = g^{rr} n_r n_r + g^{\theta\theta} n_\theta n_\theta = g^{rr}(2r - 2M)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(-2a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta)^2. \quad (6)$$

Calculating  $g^{rr}$  and  $g^{\theta\theta}$  from the inverse metric, we find:

$$g^{rr} = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}, \quad g^{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{\Sigma}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting these back into the norm expression:

$$n_\mu n^\mu = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}(2r - 2M)^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma}(-2a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta)^2 \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{4}{\Sigma} [\Delta(r - M)^2 + a^4 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta] \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{4}{\Sigma} [(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2)(r - M)^2 + a^4 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta]. \quad (10)$$

We have  $r^2 - 2Mr = -a^2 \cos^2 \theta$ , and  $(r - M)^2 = r^2 - 2Mr + M^2 = -a^2 \cos^2 \theta + M^2$  on the ergosphere, so substituting this in:

$$n_\mu n^\mu = \frac{4}{\Sigma} [a^2 \sin^2 \theta(r - M)^2 + a^4 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta] = \frac{4a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} [(r - M)^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta]. \quad (11)$$

Since for a Kerr black hole  $a \neq 0$  and  $\sin \theta \neq 0$  except at the poles, we have  $n_\mu n^\mu > 0$  almost everywhere on the ergosphere, indicating that the normal vector is spacelike. Therefore, the ergosphere is a 2-way surface.

(b)

For a time-independent axially symmetric surface, we can define the surface function as  $u(r, \theta) = 0$ . The normal vector to this surface is given by:

$$n_\mu = \partial_\mu u = \left(0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}, 0\right). \quad (12)$$

Using the condition for a null surface,  $n_\mu n^\mu = 0$ , we have:

$$n_\mu n^\mu = g^{rr} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = 0. \quad (13)$$

If we plug the  $g^{rr} = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}$  and  $g^{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{\Sigma}$  from the Kerr metric, we get:

$$\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

If we want this equation to hold, we can have following condition:

1.  $\Delta = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0$ . This corresponds to the event horizon of the Kerr black hole, which is a 1-way surface.
2.  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0$ . This would imply that  $u$  is constant, which is not a valid surface definition.

Thus, the critical 1-way surface is defined by  $\Delta = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0$ . That is,  $u(r, \theta) = u(r)$  only, and the surface is located at the event horizon of the Kerr black hole. The condition  $\Delta = 0$  corresponds to the event horizon, which is a null surface that allows one-way passage of information.  $\square$

## Question 2

Show that

$$(L_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} = (\nabla_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} - \sum_i T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^{a_i}_{;j} + \sum_i T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^j_{;b_i} \quad (16)$$

## Answer

In the course, we have already know the definition of the Lie derivative of a tensor field  $T$  along a vector field  $X$ :

$$(L_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} = X^c \partial_c T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} - \sum_i T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^{a_i}_{;j} + \sum_i T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^j_{;b_i}. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, the covariant derivative of  $T$  along  $X$  is given by:

$$(\nabla_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} = X^c \partial_c T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} + \sum_i \Gamma^{a_i}_{cj} T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^c - \sum_i \Gamma^j_{cb_i} T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^c. \quad (18)$$

Subtracting the two expressions, we get:

$$(L_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} - (\nabla_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} = - \sum_i T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^{a_i}_{;j} + \sum_i T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^j_{;b_i} \quad (19)$$

$$- \sum_i \Gamma^{a_i}_{cj} T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^c + \sum_i \Gamma^j_{cb_i} T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^c \quad (20)$$

$$= - \sum_i T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^{a_i}_{;j} + \sum_i T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^j_{;b_i}. \quad (21)$$

We have used the definition of the covariant derivative of a vector field,  $X^a_{;b} = X^a_{,b} + \Gamma^a_{cb} X^c$ , to rewrite the terms involving the Christoffel symbols. Thus, we have shown that:

$$(L_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} = (\nabla_X T)^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} - \sum_i T^{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 \dots j \dots a_r} b_1 b_2 \dots b_s} X^{a_i}_{;j} + \sum_i T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}_{\phantom{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r} b_1 \dots j \dots b_s} X^j_{;b_i}. \quad (22)$$

□

## Question 3

Consider an arbitrary unit vector,  $X$  transported along a latitude line on the surface of the sphere. Use  $ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$ , and recall that there are only 2 distinct  $\Gamma$ 's.

- (a) Show the behavior of the angle between that vector and the tangent vector,  $T$ , which generates the isometry (latitude line) as that vector is parallel transported along the latitude line.
- (b) Do the same assuming the vector is Lie transported. That is instead of  $\nabla_T X = 0$ , assume that  $L_T X = 0$ .

## Answer

Before we start, let's write down the non-zero Christoffel symbols for the metric on the surface of the sphere:

$$\Gamma_{\phi\phi}^\theta = -\sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad \Gamma_{\theta\phi}^\phi = \Gamma_{\phi\theta}^\phi = \cot \theta. \quad (23)$$

(a)

The metric on the surface of the sphere is given by  $ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$ . The tangent vector to the latitude line is  $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ , which generates the isometry. The unit vector  $X$  can be expressed in terms of the coordinate basis as  $X = X^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + X^\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ . The angle  $\alpha$  between  $X$  and  $T$  is given by:

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{g(X, T)}{\sqrt{g(X, X)g(T, T)}}. \quad (24)$$

Since  $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ , we have  $g(T, T) = g_{\phi\phi} = \sin^2 \theta$ . The inner product  $g(X, T)$  is given by:

$$g(X, T) = g_{\phi\phi} X^\phi = \sin^2 \theta X^\phi. \quad (25)$$

The norm of  $X$  is given by:

$$g(X, X) = g_{\theta\theta}(X^\theta)^2 + g_{\phi\phi}(X^\phi)^2 = (X^\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (X^\phi)^2. \quad (26)$$

For convenience, we set the length of  $X$  to be 1, Thus, the cosine of the angle is:

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{\sin^2 \theta X^\phi}{\sqrt{((X^\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (X^\phi)^2) \sin^2 \theta}} = \frac{\sin \theta X^\phi}{\sqrt{(X^\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (X^\phi)^2}} \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{\sin \theta X^\phi}{\sqrt{1}} = \sin \theta X^\phi. \quad (28)$$

To find how the angle changes as  $X$  is parallel transported along the latitude line, we need to solve the parallel transport equation  $\nabla_T X = 0$ . This gives us:

$$\nabla_T X^\theta = T^\phi \partial_\phi X^\theta + \Gamma_{\phi\phi}^\theta T^\phi X^\phi = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\nabla_T X^\phi = T^\theta \partial_\theta X^\phi + \Gamma_{\phi\theta}^\phi T^\theta X^\phi + \Gamma_{\phi\phi}^\phi T^\phi X^\phi = 0. \quad (30)$$

Substituting  $T^\phi = 1$  and the Christoffel symbols, we get:

$$\partial_\phi X^\theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta X^\phi = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\partial_\phi X^\phi + \cot \theta X^\theta = 0. \quad (32)$$

Now we can try to solve these coupled differential equations. From the first equation, we can express  $X^\phi$  in terms of  $X^\theta$ :

$$X^\phi = \frac{1}{\sin \theta \cos \theta} \partial_\phi X^\theta. \quad (33)$$

Substituting this into the second equation gives us a second-order differential equation for  $X^\theta$ :

$$\partial_\phi \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta \cos \theta} \partial_\phi X^\theta \right) + \cot \theta X^\theta = 0 \quad (34)$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sin \theta \cos \theta} \partial_\phi^2 X^\theta + \cot \theta X^\theta = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$\implies \partial_\phi^2 X^\theta + \cot \theta \sin \theta \cos \theta X^\theta = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$\implies \partial_\phi^2 X^\theta + \cos^2 \theta X^\theta = 0. \quad (37)$$

The general solution to this equation is:

$$X^\theta = A \cos((\cos \theta)\phi) + B \sin((\cos \theta)\phi) = C \sin((\cos \theta)\phi + \delta), \quad (38)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $\delta$  are constants determined by the initial conditions. Substituting this back into the expression for  $X^\phi$ , we get:

$$X^\phi = \frac{1}{\sin \theta \cos \theta} \partial_\phi X^\theta = \frac{C}{\sin \theta} \cos((\cos \theta)\phi + \delta). \quad (39)$$

Now we can compute the angle  $\alpha$  as a function of  $\phi$ :

$$\cos \alpha(\phi) = \sin \theta X^\phi = C \cos((\cos \theta)\phi + \delta). \quad (40)$$

Thus, the angle between the vector  $X$  and the tangent vector  $T$  oscillates as  $X$  is parallel transported along the latitude line, with a frequency determined by  $\cos \theta$ .

(b)

Now we assume that the vector  $X$  is Lie transported along the latitude line, which means that  $L_T X = 0$ . The Lie derivative of  $X$  along  $T$  is given by:

$$(L_T X)^\theta = T^\phi \partial_\phi X^\theta - X^\phi \partial_\phi T^\theta = \partial_\phi X^\theta, \quad (41)$$

$$(L_T X)^\phi = T^\phi \partial_\phi X^\phi - X^\theta \partial_\phi T^\phi = \partial_\phi X^\phi. \quad (42)$$

Setting  $L_T X = 0$  gives us:

$$\partial_\phi X^\theta = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\partial_\phi X^\phi = 0. \quad (44)$$

This means that both  $X^\theta$  and  $X^\phi$  are constants along the latitude line. Therefore, the angle  $\alpha$  between  $X$  and  $T$  is also constant:

$$\cos \alpha = \sin \theta X^\phi = \text{constant}. \quad (45)$$

Thus, when the vector  $X$  is Lie transported along the latitude line, the angle between  $X$  and the tangent vector  $T$  remains constant, in contrast to the oscillatory behavior observed in the case of parallel transport.  $\square$