

University of Minnesota  
School of Physics and Astronomy

**2025 Fall Physics 8901**  
**Elementary Particle Physics I**  
Assignment Solution

Lecture Instructor: Professor Tony Gherghetta

Zong-En Chen  
chen9613@umn.edu

October 24, 2025

# Problem Set 4 due 9:30 AM, Monday, October 27th

## Question 1

### Gell-Mann Okubo for the baryon octet

The generators  $t_a (a = 1, \dots, 8)$  of  $SU(3)$  are normalized as  $t_a = \frac{\lambda_a}{2}$  with  $\text{Tr}(t_a t_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}$ , where  $\lambda_a$  are the Gell-Mann matrices. They satisfy  $[t_a, t_b] = i f_{abc} t_c$  and  $\{t_a, t_b\} = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ab} \mathbf{1} + d_{abc} t_c$ , where  $f_{abc}$  are totally antisymmetric and  $d_{abc}$  are totally symmetric structure constants.

Let  $B$  and  $\bar{B}$  be the baryon octet  $3 \times 3$  traceless matrices, expanded in the generator basis as  $B = B^i t_i$  and  $\bar{B} = \bar{B}^i t_i$  where  $B^i, \bar{B}^i$  are the adjoint components. Define the two bilinear combinations  $O_A \equiv [\bar{B}, B] = \bar{B}B - B\bar{B}$  and  $O_S \equiv \{\bar{B}, B\} - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \text{Tr}(\bar{B}B)$ .

- (a) Show that both  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  are traceless and therefore transform in the adjoint (octet) representation.
- (b) Expand  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  in components using the generator basis and show that  $O_A = i (\bar{B}^i B^j) f_{ijk} t_k$  and  $O_S = (\bar{B}^i B^j) d_{ijk} t_k$ , so that  $(O_A)^k = i f_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j$  and  $(O_S)^k = d_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j$ .
- (c) Introduce a flavor-breaking spurion  $H_8 = H_8^i t_i$ , with real components  $H_8^i$ . Construct the two independent  $SU(3)$ -invariant mass terms:

$$S_f = (O_A)_b^a (H_8)_a^b, \quad S_d = (O_S)_b^a (H_8)_a^b \quad (1)$$

Assuming  $H_8$  points in the 8-direction (i.e.  $H_8^i \propto \delta_{i8}$ ), argue that  $S_f$  and  $S_d$  correspond to the  $f$ -type and  $d$ -type symmetry breaking terms in the baryon mass operator, respectively.

- (d) Given that an adjoint operator  $O_8$  acts on an octet state  $B$  as  $O_8(B) = [O_8, B]$ , show that the invariant scalars in (c) are equivalent to the matrix elements  $S_f \propto \langle \bar{B} | t_8 | B \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(\bar{B} [t_8, B])$  and  $S_d \propto \langle \bar{B} | d_{8ij} t_i t_j | B \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(\bar{B} [d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, B]]])$ .
- (e) Hence, argue that for each entry  $B_{ij}$  of the baryon octet matrix,  $S_f \propto Y$  and  $S_d \propto I(I+1) - Y^2/4$  where  $I, Y$  are the isospin and hypercharge of the baryon  $B$ , respectively, thereby reproducing the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula for the baryon octet.

(Hint: Verify, entrywise, that  $\left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} t_8, B \right] = Y B$  and the normalized operator  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, B]] + \frac{1}{3} [t_i, [t_i, B]] = (I(I+1) - Y^2/4) B$  acts diagonally on each baryon field. The  $[t_i, [t_i, B]]$  term is the adjoint Casimir ( $SU(3)$  singlet) which just shifts all octet components uniformly so that the  $\Lambda$  eigenvalue becomes 0. It can be absorbed into the overall singlet part of the GMO formula. On the diagonal remember  $B_{11}, B_{22}$  and  $B_{33}$  mix  $\Sigma^0$  and  $\Lambda$ , so  $B_{\text{diag}} = \Sigma^0 \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)/\sqrt{2} + \Lambda \text{diag}(1, 1, -2)/\sqrt{6}$ .)

## Answer

- (a)

To show that both  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  are traceless, we first understand their definitions:

$$O_A = [\bar{B}, B] = \bar{B}B - B\bar{B} = [\bar{B}, B] \quad (2)$$

$$= [\bar{B}^i t_i, B^j t_j] = \bar{B}^i B^j [t_i, t_j] = i \bar{B}^i B^j f_{ijk} t_k \quad (3)$$

Taking the trace of  $O_A$ :

$$\text{Tr}(O_A) = \text{Tr}(i \bar{B}^i B^j f_{ijk} t_k) = i \bar{B}^i B^j f_{ijk} \text{Tr}(t_k) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, for  $O_S$ :

$$O_S = \{\bar{B}, B\} - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \text{Tr}(\bar{B}B) = \bar{B}B + B\bar{B} - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \text{Tr}(\bar{B}B) \quad (5)$$

$$= (\bar{B}^i t_i)(B^j t_j) + (B^j t_j)(\bar{B}^i t_i) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \text{Tr}(\bar{B}^i t_i B^j t_j) \quad (6)$$

$$= \bar{B}^i B^j \{t_i, t_j\} - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \text{Tr}(\bar{B}^i B^j t_i t_j) \quad (7)$$

$$= \bar{B}^i B^j \left( \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} + d_{ijk} t_k \right) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{B}^i B^j \delta_{ij} \right) \quad (8)$$

$$= \bar{B}^i B^j d_{ijk} t_k \quad (9)$$

Taking the trace of  $O_S$ :

$$\text{Tr}(O_S) = \text{Tr}(\bar{B}^i B^j d_{ijk} t_k) = \bar{B}^i B^j d_{ijk} \text{Tr}(t_k) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Thus, both  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  are traceless and transform in the adjoint (octet) representation.

(b)

Expanding  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  in components using the generator basis, we have already derived:

$$O_A = i \bar{B}^i B^j f_{ijk} t_k \quad (11)$$

$$O_S = \bar{B}^i B^j d_{ijk} t_k \quad (12)$$

Thus, the components are:

$$(O_A)^k = i f_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j \quad (13)$$

$$(O_S)^k = d_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j \quad (14)$$

(c)

Introducing a flavor-breaking spurion  $H_8 = H_8^i t_i$ , with real components  $H_8^i$ , we can construct the two

independent SU(3)-invariant mass terms:

$$S_f = (O_A)_b^a (H_8)_a^b = \text{Tr}(O_A H_8) \quad (15)$$

$$S_d = (O_S)_b^a (H_8)_a^b = \text{Tr}(O_S H_8) \quad (16)$$

Assuming  $H_8$  points in the 8-direction (i.e.,  $H_8^i \propto \delta_{i8}$ ), we can write:

$$H_8 = H_8^i t_i = H_8^8 t_8 \quad (17)$$

Substituting this into the expressions for  $S_f$  and  $S_d$ :

$$S_f = \text{Tr}(O_A H_8) = \text{Tr}(i f_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j t_k H_8^l t_l) = i H_8^8 f_{ij8} \bar{B}^i B^j \text{Tr}(t_k t_8) = \frac{i}{2} H_8^8 f_{ij8} \bar{B}^i B^j \quad (18)$$

$$S_d = \text{Tr}(O_S H_8) = \text{Tr}(d_{ijk} \bar{B}^i B^j t_k H_8^l t_l) = H_8^8 d_{ij8} \bar{B}^i B^j \text{Tr}(t_k t_8) = \frac{1}{2} H_8^8 d_{ij8} \bar{B}^i B^j \quad (19)$$

Since  $O_A$  and  $O_S$  are octet operators,  $S_f$  and  $S_d$  correspond to the  $f$ -type and  $d$ -type symmetry breaking terms in the baryon mass operator, respectively.

(d)

$$\langle \bar{B} | t_8 | B \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(\bar{B}[t_8, B]) \quad (20)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\bar{B}(t_8 B - B t_8)) = \text{Tr}(\bar{B} t_8 B) - \text{Tr}(\bar{B} B t_8) \quad (21)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(B \bar{B} t_8) - \text{Tr}(\bar{B} B t_8) \quad (22)$$

$$= \text{Tr}((\bar{B} B - B \bar{B}) t_8) = \text{Tr}(O_A t_8) \propto S_f \quad (23)$$

This is because  $S_f = \text{Tr}(O_A H_8) = \text{Tr}(O_A H_8^8 t_8) = H_8^8 \text{Tr}(O_A t_8)$ . Similarly, for  $S_d$ :

$$\langle \bar{B} | d_{8ij} t_i t_j | B \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(\bar{B} d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, B]]) \quad (24)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta t_\alpha d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, t_\beta]]) \quad (25)$$

$$= \bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta d_{8ij} \text{Tr}(t_\alpha [t_i, [t_j, t_\beta]]) \quad (26)$$

$$= \bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta d_{8ij} \text{Tr}(t_\alpha [t_i, i f_{j\beta\gamma} t_\gamma]) \quad (27)$$

$$= i \bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta d_{8ij} f_{j\beta\gamma} \text{Tr}(t_\alpha [t_i, t_\gamma]) \quad (28)$$

$$= i \bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta d_{8ij} f_{j\beta\gamma} i f_{i\alpha\delta} \text{Tr}(t_\alpha t_\delta) \quad (29)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{B}^\alpha B^\beta d_{8ij} f_{j\beta\gamma} f_{i\alpha\gamma} \quad (30)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{B}^a B^b d_{8ij} f_{jbc} f_{iac} \quad (31)$$

By **Mathematica**, we have

$$d_{8ij} f_{jbc} f_{iac} = 3/2 d_{8ab} \quad (32)$$

Thus,

$$\langle \bar{B} | d_{8ij} t_i t_j | B \rangle = -\frac{3}{4} \bar{B}^a B^b d_{8ab} = -\frac{3}{2} \text{Tr}(O_S t_8) \propto S_d \quad (33)$$

This is because  $S_d = \text{Tr}(O_S H_8) = \text{Tr}(O_S H_8^8 t_8) = H_8^8 \text{Tr}(O_S t_8)$ .

(e)

First, we write down the explicit form of  $B$ :

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^0}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^0}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ -\Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2\Lambda^0}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (34)$$

Next, we write down the hypercharge  $Y$  and isospin  $I$  and  $I(I+1) - Y^2/4$  values for each baryon:

$$p : Y = 1, I = 1/2, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 3/4 - 1/4 = 1/2 \quad (35)$$

$$n : Y = 1, I = 1/2, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 3/4 - 1/4 = 1/2 \quad (36)$$

$$\Sigma^+ : Y = 0, I = 1, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 2 - 0 = 2 \quad (37)$$

$$\Sigma^0 : Y = 0, I = 1, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 2 - 0 = 2 \quad (38)$$

$$\Sigma^- : Y = 0, I = 1, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 2 - 0 = 2 \quad (39)$$

$$\Xi^0 : Y = -1, I = 1/2, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 3/4 - 1/4 = 1/2 \quad (40)$$

$$\Xi^- : Y = -1, I = 1/2, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 3/4 - 1/4 = 1/2 \quad (41)$$

$$\Lambda^0 : Y = 0, I = 0, I(I+1) - Y^2/4 = 0 - 0 = 0 \quad (42)$$

Now, we compute  $\left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} t_8, B \right]$ , and it can be easily show that (see my *Mathematica* notebook for details):

$$\left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} t_8, B \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & n \\ -(-\Xi^-) & -\Xi^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = YB \quad (43)$$

where  $Y$  is the hypercharge of the baryon  $B$ . Next, we compute the normalized operator  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, B]] + \frac{1}{3} [t_i, [t_i, B]]$ :

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{8ij} [t_i, [t_j, B]] + \frac{1}{3} [t_i, [t_i, B]] \quad (44)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\Sigma^0 & 2\Sigma^+ & \frac{1}{2}p \\ 2\Sigma^- & -\sqrt{2}\Sigma^0 & \frac{1}{2}n \\ \frac{1}{2}(-\Xi^-) & \frac{1}{2}\Xi^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} & 2\Sigma^+ & \frac{1}{2}p \\ 2\Sigma^- & -2\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}n \\ \frac{1}{2}(-\Xi^-) & \frac{1}{2}\Xi^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left( I(I+1) - \frac{Y^2}{4} \right) B \quad (45)$$

where  $I(I+1) - \frac{Y^2}{4}$  is the value for each baryon  $B$ . Thus, we have shown that for each entry  $B_{ij}$  of

the baryon octet matrix,  $S_f \propto Y$  and  $S_d \propto I(I+1) - Y^2/4$ , thereby reproducing the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula for the baryon octet, meaning

$$M_B(Y, I) = M_0 + M_A Y + M_S \left( I(I+1) - \frac{Y^2}{4} \right), \quad (46)$$

where  $M_0, M_A, M_S$  are constants.  $\square$

## Question 2

### $\rho$ - $\omega$ mixing

The vector mesons  $\rho(770)$  and  $\omega(782)$  are very close in mass. For this reason the effects of isospin violation are somewhat enhanced in these mesons and can be parametrized in terms of  $\rho$ - $\omega$  mixing. Namely, the physical  $\rho^0$  and  $\omega$  mesons can be viewed as orthogonal mixed states of a pure isospin triplet and isospin singlet:

$$\rho^0 = \cos \theta \frac{(u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})}{\sqrt{2}} + \sin \theta \frac{(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})}{\sqrt{2}},$$
$$\omega = -\sin \theta \frac{(u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})}{\sqrt{2}} + \cos \theta \frac{(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})}{\sqrt{2}},$$

where  $\theta$  is a (small) mixing angle.

- (a) Determine  $\theta$  (up to a sign) using experimental data on the decay  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ . Estimate the error in the value of the mixing angle.
- (b) Using the value of  $\theta$  predict the decay rates  $\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$  and  $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ , assuming the amplitude for a quark pair annihilation into an  $e^+ e^-$  pair is proportional to the electric charge  $Q$  of the quark.
- (c) Assume that the transition amplitude between different spin states of a  $q\bar{q}$  quark pair with emission of a photon:  $(q\bar{q}) \rightarrow (q\bar{q}) + \gamma$  is proportional to the quark electric charge  $Q$ . Use the value of the  $\rho$ - $\omega$  mixing angle  $\theta$  to determine the ratios of the decay rates:
  - (i)  $\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma(\omega^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)$ ,
  - (ii)  $\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \eta \gamma)/\Gamma(\omega^0 \rightarrow \eta \gamma)$ .

Compare with the PDG experimental data. How does the inclusion of  $\rho$ - $\omega$  mixing improve the agreement with the data?

## Answer

- (a)

## Question 3

### Baryon magnetic moments

The octet of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  baryons has magnetic moments  $\mu$ . The operator that describes the magnetic moment is an  $SU(3)_f$  octet operator which is proportional to the quark charge  $Q$ . The charge

$$Q = t_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}t_8$$

is traceless ( $\text{Tr } Q = 0$ ) and can be promoted to a purely  $SU(3)_f$  octet spurion  $\mathbf{8}_Q$  (with no singlet piece, as in contrast to the GMO mass formula). Hence, when determining the baryon magnetic moment

$$\mu(B) = \langle \bar{B} | \mu | B \rangle \propto \mathbf{8}_{\bar{B}} \times \mathbf{8}_Q \times \mathbf{8}_B$$

there are two independent octet structures (the  $f$ - and  $d$ -type couplings, as for the baryon mass), given by

$$\mu(B) = c_f \text{Tr}(B^\dagger [Q, B]) + c_d \text{Tr}(B^\dagger \{Q, B\}) = \alpha_+ \text{Tr}(BB^\dagger Q) + \alpha_- \text{Tr}(B^\dagger BQ),$$

where  $\alpha_+ \equiv c_d + c_f$ ,  $\alpha_- \equiv c_d - c_f$  are arbitrary constants and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma_u^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma_u^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ -\Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Determine all the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  baryon magnetic moments in terms of  $\mu(p)$  and  $\mu(n)$  (by eliminating  $c_{f,d}$  or  $\alpha_\pm$ ) and compare with the PDG experimental values. These predictions were first worked out by Coleman and Glashow in 1961. Note that imposing the full  $SU(6)$  spin-flavor symmetry further predicts  $\mu(p)/\mu(n) = -\frac{3}{2}$ , which you can ignore in this problem.

## Answer

See my *Mathematica* notebook for detailed calculations. The final results are summarized in the table below:

- $p$ :  $c_f + \frac{1}{3}c_d$
- $n$ :  $-\frac{2}{3}c_d$
- $\Lambda$ :  $-\frac{1}{3}c_d$
- $\Sigma^+$ :  $c_f + \frac{1}{3}c_d$
- $\Sigma^0$ :  $\frac{1}{3}c_d$
- $\Sigma^-$ :  $-c_f + \frac{1}{3}c_d$

- $\Xi^0$ :  $-\frac{2}{3}c_d$
- $\Xi^-$ :  $-c_f + \frac{1}{3}c_d$

Baryon	Predicted Magnetic Moment ( $\mu_N$ )	Experimental Magnetic Moment ( $\mu_N$ )
$p$	$\mu(p)$	2.793
$n$	$\mu(n)$	-1.913
$\Lambda$	$\frac{1}{2}\mu(n)$	-0.613
$\Sigma^+$	$\mu(p)$	2.458
$\Sigma^0$	$-\frac{1}{2}\mu(n)$	$\approx 0$
$\Sigma^-$	$-(\mu(p) + \mu(n))$	-1.160
$\Xi^0$	$\mu(n)$	-1.250
$\Xi^-$	$-(\mu(p) + \mu(n))$	-0.651

The predictions are in good agreement with the experimental values, demonstrating the effectiveness of the  $SU(3)_f$  symmetry approach in describing baryon magnetic moments except for  $\Sigma^0$  where the experimental value is not well matched.  $\square$