

University of Minnesota  
School of Physics and Astronomy

**2025 Fall Physics 8011**  
**Quantum Field Theory I**  
Assignment Solution

Lecture Instructor: Professor Zhen Liu

Zong-En Chen  
chen9613@umn.edu

December 8, 2025

# Final Due to December 16 11:59 PM

## Question 1

Problem 48.5

The charged pion  $\pi^-$  is represented by a complex scalar field  $\varphi$ , the muon  $\mu^-$  by a Dirac field  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the muon neutrino  $\nu_\mu$  by a spin-projected Dirac field  $P_L \mathcal{N}$ , where  $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)$ . The charged pion can decay to a muon and a muon antineutrino via the interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = c_1 G_F f_\pi \partial_\mu \varphi \bar{\mathcal{M}} \gamma^\mu P_L \mathcal{N} + h.c., \quad (1)$$

where  $c_1$  is the cosine of the *Cabibbo angle*,  $G_F$  is the *Fermi constant*, and  $f_\pi$  is the *pion decay constant*.

- (a) Compute the charged pion decay rate  $\Gamma$ .
- (b) The charged pion mass is  $m_\pi = 139.6$  MeV, the muon mass is  $m_\mu = 105.7$  MeV, and the muon neutrino mass is massless. The Fermi constant is  $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5}$  GeV $^{-2}$ , and the cosine of the Cabibbo angle is measured in nuclear beta decays to be  $c_1 = 0.974$ . The measured value of the charged pion life time is  $\tau = 2.6033 \times 10^{-8}$  s. Determine the value of  $f_\pi$  in MeV. Your result is too large by 0.8%, due to neglect of electromagnetic loop corrections.
- (c) The previous parts assume  $\pi^-$  always decay into  $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ , but actually  $\pi^-$  can also decay into  $e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ . The charged pion, electron by a Dirac field  $\mathcal{M}_e$ , and the electron neutrino by a spin-projected Dirac field  $P_L \mathcal{N}_e$  have the form of interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = c_2 G_F f_\pi \partial_\mu \varphi \bar{\mathcal{M}}_e \gamma^\mu P_L \mathcal{N}_e + h.c. \quad (2)$$

Given the decay branching ratio of  $\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e$  is  $1.230 \times 10^{-4}$ , the decay branching ratio of  $\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$  is 99.9877%. Find the value of  $c_2$ . For example, the electronic decay branching ratio is

$$\text{Br}(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e) = \frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) + \Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}. \quad (3)$$

The coupling of pion-electron is similar with the coupling of pion-muon, why pion favoring decay into muon instead of electron? ( $m_e = 0.511$  MeV.)

## Answer

## Question 2

Consider QED with both electron and muon:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{l=e,\mu} (i\bar{\Psi}_l \not{d} \Psi_l - m_l \bar{\Psi}_l \Psi_l + \frac{g}{2} \bar{\Psi}_l \gamma^\mu \Psi_l A_\mu), \quad (4)$$

where both  $\Psi_e$  and  $\Psi_\mu$  are Dirac fields. Compute the  $\langle |\mathcal{T}^2| \rangle$  for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ . Then, compute its cross section  $\sigma$ . Eq. (11.22) and Eq. (11.30) should be useful.

## Answer

## Question 3

Consider classical field theory with two real scalar fields in (3+1)-dimension spacetime:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \sum_{a=1}^2 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi_a \partial_\mu \phi_a \right) - V(x), \quad (5)$$

$$V(x) = - \sum_{a=1}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi_a \phi_a \right) + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2)^2, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are positive real constants.

- (a) Show that the Lagrangian has an  $SO(2)$  transformation symmetry:

$$\phi_1(x) \rightarrow \phi'_1(x) = \phi_1(x) \cos \alpha_0 - \phi_2(x) \sin \alpha_0, \quad (7)$$

$$\phi_2(x) \rightarrow \phi'_2(x) = \phi_1(x) \sin \alpha_0 + \phi_2(x) \cos \alpha_0, \quad (8)$$

- (b) Find the conjugate momentum  $\Pi_1(x)$ ,  $\Pi_2(x)$  of  $\phi_1(x)$ ,  $\phi_2(x)$ . Find the Hamiltonian density  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  in the terms of  $\phi_a(x)$ ,  $\Pi_a(x)$ , and  $\partial_i \phi_a(x)$ .

- (c) Find the ground state in the basis of  $\{\phi_r(x), \phi_\theta(x)\}$  where

$$\phi_1(x) = \phi_r(x) \cos(\phi_\theta(x)), \quad (9)$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \phi_r(x) \sin(\phi_\theta(x)), \quad (10)$$

with  $\phi_r(x) \geq 0$  and  $\phi_\theta(x) \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Is the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  invariant under a continuous shift symmetry of  $\phi_\theta(x) \rightarrow \phi_\theta(x) + \alpha_0$ ?

**Hint:** In general, finding the ground state is to find  $\phi(x)$  s.t. minimize  $H = \int \mathcal{H}(x) d^3x$ ; but for this problem, finding  $\phi(x)$  to minimize  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is the same. If you have trouble with the above procedure, given the Lagrangian of this problem, one can simply find  $\phi(x)$  s.t. minimize  $V(x)$ , which is the same as minimizing  $\mathcal{H}$  for this problem.

- (d) Now let's study the system's dynamics around the ground state.

$\phi_r(x)$  should fluctuate around  $\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}$ :  $\phi_r(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} + f_r(x)$ .  $\phi_\theta(x)$  should fluctuate within  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

Show that  $f_r(x)$  is a massive field and find its mass. Taking  $f_\theta(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} \phi_\theta(x)$  as the other scalar field, does  $f_\theta(x)$  have a mass? Does  $\mathcal{L}$  have a continuous shift symmetry of  $f_\theta(x) \rightarrow f_\theta(x) + \Lambda_0$ ?

**Remark:** This problem paves the road for your understanding of spontaneous symmetry breaking. We also see again that the symmetry groups of  $SO(2)$  and  $U(1)$  are isomorphic.

**Remark:** More to think about after solving the problems above: Note that we reparametrized the field into a non-linear realization, where you see the  $U(1)$  symmetry explicitly. How do you interpret the kinetic term? How do you interpret the  $f_r(x)$  field-dependent kinetic terms for  $f_\theta(x)$ ? Is it canonically normalized? How does the field  $f_\theta(x)$  relate to the original  $SO(2)$  field  $\phi_a(x)$ ? And again, is the ratio of

the field a linear redefinition of the field configuration? It is a non-linear realization because all powers of  $f_\theta(x)/\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}$  need to enter. There is only a region of validity, that is  $f_r(x) \ll \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}$

## Answer

## Question 4

Problem 66.3

Use the result of problem 66.2 to compute the anomalous dimension of  $m$  and the beta function for  $e$  in spinor electrodynamics in  $R_\xi$  gauge. You should find that the results are independent of  $\xi$ .

**Remark:**

$$\tilde{\Delta}^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{g^{\mu\nu} + (\xi - 1)k^\mu k^\nu/k^2}{k^2 - i\epsilon} \quad (11)$$

The book only choose the Feynman gauge ( $\xi = 1$ ) to show the loop calculation and get  $Z_{1,2,3,m}$ . For arbitrary gauge choice  $\xi$ , we can repeat the calculation and get:

$$Z_3 = 1 - \frac{e^2}{6\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{finite} \right) + \mathcal{O}(e^4), \quad \text{derived from photon propagator loop correction} \quad (12)$$

$$Z_2 = 1 - \xi \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{finite} \right) + \mathcal{O}(e^4), \quad \text{derived from fermion propagator loop correction} \quad (13)$$

$$Z_m = 1 - (3 + \xi) \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{finite} \right) + \mathcal{O}(e^4), \quad \text{derived from fermion mass loop correction} \quad (14)$$

$$Z_1 = 1 - \xi \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{finite} \right) + \mathcal{O}(e^4), \quad \text{derived from vertex loop correction} \quad (15)$$

Use the above to finish this problem.

## Answer

## Question 5

Consider the following theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi^0 + \mathcal{L}_\Psi^0 + \mathcal{L}_A^0 + \mathcal{L}_I \quad (16)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + \bar{\Psi}(iD^\mu - m_\Psi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + y\phi\bar{\Psi}\Psi. \quad (17)$$

The Dirac field  $\Psi$  is charged under a  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry with a charge  $Q$ , and the gauge interaction strength is  $e$ . The  $U(1)$  gauge field is  $A_\mu$ , whose kinetic term is  $\mathcal{L}_A^0 = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ . (This is part of the real-world calculation for the discovery mode for the Higgs boson, which gone through heroic phenomenological studies on predicting the Higgs properties.)

- (a) Draw the leading diagrams that enable  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay. (The gauge field  $A_\mu$  is identified as the photon field  $\gamma$ .)
  - (b) In the  $\phi$  rest frame, write down the amplitude in the general  $d$  dimension. No need to carry out the loop integral at this point, but need to simplify the trace. (Notice that  $k_\mu\epsilon^\mu(k) = 0$  in Lorenz gauge.)
  - (c) Does the integral have a UV divergence in  $d = 4$  dimension (loop momentum goes to  $\infty$ )? Answer Yes or No with a few lines of argument.
  - (d) Does the integral have a singularity in  $d = 4$  dimension when the Euclidean loop momentum squared  $\vec{q}^2$  go to  $-D$ ? Answer Yes or No with a few lines of argument. (For simplicity, assume that  $D$  is real and can be zero for some configuration of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ .)
  - (e) For  $m_\Phi = 0$ , calculate using dimensional regularization in  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ . Write down your final answer in the simplest form. (The final answer would be short.)
  - (f) Carry out the full calculation of the amplitude in Part b using dimensional regularization in  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ . Write down your final answer in the simplest form. (The full answer would be a long calculation.)
- Hint:** The following few equations, identities, and tricks, and the discussion around them might be helpful for you: Eq. (62.18), Eq. (47.18), Eq. (67.2).
- Remark:** No need to answer this, but one can think about it for fun. Recall that taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  (from plus or minus direction?) get you back to  $d = 4$ . In such a limit, contrast your result in Part f and Part c and think about why.

## Answer