

University of Minnesota  
School of Physics and Astronomy

**2025 Fall Physics 8901**  
**Elementary Particle Physics I**  
Assignment Solution

Lecture Instructor: Professor Tony Gherghetta

Zong-En Chen  
chen9613@umn.edu

October 1, 2025

# Problem Set 3 due 9:30 AM, Monday, October 13th

## Question 1

### p-d reactions

Consider the reactions



Since the deuteron is in a  ${}^3S_1$  state, it must be an isospin singlet. Therefore, the initial state  $p + d$  is a pure  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  state. Given that  ${}^3\text{H}$  and  ${}^3\text{He}$  form an isodoublet, write down the isospin decomposition of the final states, and from this, the ratio of the two cross sections.

## Question 2

### Particle production by strong interactions

Explain why the processes  $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + \Sigma^-$ ,  $\pi^- + p \rightarrow K^0 + n$ ,  $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + K^-$  cannot be observed.

## Question 3

### SU(2) invariants and pseudoreal representations

- (a) Show that  $\delta^a{}_b$  and  $\epsilon_{ab}$  are invariant tensors under SU(2) transformations.
- (b) The nucleon doublet  $N^a = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}, a = 1, 2$  transforms as the fundamental 2 of SU(2), while its conjugate  $\bar{N}_a \equiv (N^a)^\dagger = (\bar{p}, \bar{n})$  transforms as  $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ . Use  $\delta^a{}_b$  to form an SU(2) invariant with  $N, \bar{N}$  and write it explicitly in terms of the proton and neutron fields.
- (c) Define  $\tilde{N}^b = \epsilon^{bc} \bar{N}_c^T$  which maps the  $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$  representation (lower index) into  $\mathbf{2}$  (upper index). Construct an SU(2) invariant with  $N, \tilde{N}$  using  $\epsilon_{ab}$ , and write it in terms of the components. Verify that the result is identical to part (b), demonstrating that the  $\mathbf{2}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$  representations are equivalent (or pseudoreal) in SU(2) and that any invariant constructed with  $\delta^a{}_b$  can be rewritten using  $\epsilon_{ab}$ .
- (d) Consider SU(3), with the quark triplet  $q^a (a = 1, 2, 3)$  transforming as  $\mathbf{3}$  and its conjugate  $\bar{q}_a \equiv (q^a)^\dagger$  transforming as  $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ . Discuss why a similar mapping using the SU(3) invariant  $\epsilon_{abc}$  does not make  $\mathbf{3}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$  equivalent. Write down the possible SU(3) invariants involving  $q, \bar{q}$ .

## Question 4

### Applications of U-spin

- (a) Show that  $U_{\pm} = t_6 \pm it_7$  and  $U_3 = (\sqrt{3}t_8 - t_3)/2$  satisfy the SU(2) algebra

$$[U_3, U_{\pm}] = \pm U_{\pm}, \quad [U_+, U_-] = 2U_3.$$

- (b) Show that the charge operator  $Q = t_3 + t_8/\sqrt{3}$  is a U-scalar i.e. it has U-spin  $U = 0$  or  $[Q, U_i] = 0$  for  $i = \pm 3$ . Write the electromagnetic current operator in terms of quark fields.

- (c) Show that for the meson octet, the ( $U_3 = 0$ ) component of the U-triplet is  $\pi_U^0 = (-\pi^0 + \sqrt{3}\eta)/2$ , and the U-singlet is  $\eta_U^0 = (\sqrt{3}\pi^0 + \eta)/2$ . Since  $\pi_U^0$  is a U -spin vector component it cannot couple to the electromagnetic current. Show that for the  $2\gamma$  decay mode,  $\langle \pi^0 | 2\gamma \rangle = \sqrt{3}\langle \eta | 2\gamma \rangle$ . How does this U-spin prediction compare with the experimental decay widths?