

Supreme Court

Judgement No. S0205/25, The State v. RudelyComments

Date of Judgement: May 31st, 2025

Type of Proceeding: Appeal

Defence: RudelyComments/443767963548647424

Prosecution: BlightFarmer/343048312623988736

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A ban against RudelyComments/443767963548647424 took place on the 23rd of May, 2025 by former Admin Toast, without due process, due to RudelyComments's ownership of the "Anime and Gambling" server.

This server featured the Mudae bot, which allowed users to collect characters in a gatcha-style system.

On May 27th, the lower court found this ban violated Articles 16.1, 16.6, and 16.7. As such, the initial ban against RudelyComments was lifted.

II. PROVEN FACTS

First, it has been proven that Toast banned RudelyComments without due process on May 23rd. This was proven by records of admin actions provided by court order in the initial judgement.

Second, RudelyComments did own and advertise in Julia a server which featured the Mudae bot. This is proven by admission by the defence and screenshots of the server provided by the prosecution.

Thirdly, the characters featured in Mudae's gatcha system included underaged characters, and that by default acquiring characters is regarded as "marriage" and that one's collection is regarded as a "harem."

Fourthly, the server operator would have the ability to block characters with certain tags from appearing in gatcha rolls through the Mudae bot, and that characters tagged with "Baby" and "Child" were eventually blocked, but not characters tagged as "Teenager." This is proven by admission by the defence and by screenshots provided by the defence.

Fifthly, Article 10.2.6.2 gives admins the right to bypass regular norms and issue a permanent ban (a "permaban") should someone post anything that would be illegal in real life or which would signify the abuse of minors.

III. GROUNDS FOR JUDGEMENT

As the Mudae bot used in the "Anime and Gambling" server featured underaged characters which were not blocked by the server operator,

As unblocked characters with display icons which were "NSFW" and at times fetishistic in nature, some of whom were also underaged, were present on the server,

The criteria set forth in Article 10.2.6.2 of the constitution, of the implied abuse of minors, had been met when Toast had banned RudelyComments without due process.

Therefore, RudelyComments was not entitled to his rights of due process in this instance, and the permaban was lawful.

IV. JUDGEMENT

The initial ban against RudelyComments is upheld.