Laboratory-Acquired Meningococcal Disease --- United States, 2000

Neisseria meningitidis is a leading cause of bacterial meningitis and sepsis among older children and young adults in the United States. *N. meningitidis* usually is transmitted through close contact with aerosols or secretions from the human nasopharynx. Although *N. meningitidis* is regularly isolated in clinical laboratories, it has infrequently been reported as a cause of laboratory-acquired infection. This report describes two probable cases of fatal laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease and the results of an inquiry to identify previously unreported cases. The findings indicate that *N. meningitidis* isolates pose a risk for microbiologists and should be handled in a manner that minimizes risk for exposure to aerosols or droplets.

Case Reports

Case 1. On July 15, 2000, an Alabama microbiologist aged 35 years presented to the emergency department of hospital A with acute onset of generalized malaise, fever, and diffuse myalgias. The patient was given a prescription for oral antibiotics and released. On July 16, the patient returned to hospital A, became tachycardic and hypotensive, and died 3 hours later. Blood cultures were positive for *N. meningitidis* serogroup C. Three days before the onset of symptoms, the patient had prepared a Gram's stain from the blood culture of a patient who was subsequently shown to have meningococcal disease; the microbiologist also had handled and subcultured agar plates containing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cultures of *N. meningitidis* serogroup C from the same patient. Co-workers reported that in the laboratory, aspiration of materials from blood culture bottles was performed at the open laboratory bench; biosafety cabinets, eye protection, or masks were not used routinely for this procedure. Results of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MEE) testing at CDC indicated that the two isolates were indistinguishable. The laboratory at hospital A infrequently processed isolates of *N. meningitidis* and had not processed another meningococcal isolate during the previous 4 years.

Case 2. On December 24, 2000, a Michigan micro-biologist aged 52 years had acute onset of sore throat, vomiting, headache, and fever; by December 25, the patient had developed a petechial rash on both legs, which quickly evolved to widespread purpura. The patient presented to the emergency department of hospital B and died later that day of overwhelming sepsis. Blood cultures were positive for *N. meningitidis* serogroup C. The patient was a micro-biologist in the state public health laboratory and had worked on several *N. meningitidis* serogroup C isolates during the 2 weeks before becoming ill. That laboratory had handled a median of four meningococcal isolates per month (range: 0--11) during the previous 4 years. Co-workers reported that the patient had performed slide agglutination testing and recorded colonial morphology using typical biosafety level 2 (BSL 2) precautions; this did not entail the use of a biosafety cabinet. PFGE was performed at the state public health laboratory and at CDC on all four specimens handled by the microbiologist; results of this testing indicated that the isolates from the patient and from one of the recently handled laboratory samples were indistinguishable.

To detect additional cases, on November 11, 2000, a request for information was posted on selected electronic mail discussion groups (i.e., listservs) to members of several infectious disease, microbiology, and infection control professional organizations. A probable case of laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease was

defined as confirmed or probable meningococcal disease (1) in a laboratory scientist who had had occupational exposure to a *N. meningitidis* isolate during the 14 days before onset of illness and who had illness with a serogroup that matched the source isolate. In addition to the two cases described in this report, CDC received an additional 14 reports of probable laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease worldwide during the preceding 15 years; six cases occurred in the United States during 1996--2001. The source isolates from five of these six U.S. cases were from either blood or CSF; the source of the sixth isolate could not be definitively determined but was most likely CSF or middle ear fluid. Of these 16 previously unreported cases, nine (56%) were caused by *N. meningitidis* serogroup B, and seven (44%) were caused by serogroup C; eight cases (50%) were fatal (three from serogroup B and five from serogroup C). Case-fatality rates did not differ significantly by serogroups (serogroup C: 71%; serogroup B: 33%; p=0.16). In the 10 cases for which data were available, a median of 4 days (range: 2--10 days) passed between handling the source isolate and symptom onset. Procedures performed on the 16 source isolates included reading plates (50%), making subcultures on agar plates (50%), and performing serogroup identification at the bench (38%). In 15 of the 16 cases, the laboratory reportedly did not perform procedures within a biosafety cabinet. All 16 cases occurred among workers in the microbiology section of the laboratory; no cases were reported among workers in hematology, chemistry, or pathology.

Reported by: J Lofgren, MD, B Whitley, MPH, Alabama Dept of Health. D Johnson, MD, F Downes, DrPH, State Public Health Laboratory; P Somsel, DrPH, B Robinson-Dunn, PhD, J Massey, DrPH, G Stoltman, PhD, MG Stobierski, DVM, S Bidol, MPH, Michigan Dept of Community Health. C Hahn, MD, L Tengelson, DVM, Idaho Dept of Public Health. P Murray, PhD, American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC. The Infectious Diseases Committee of the American Public Health Laboratories Association, Washington, DC. The College of American Pathologists, Waukegan, Illinois. D Sewell, PhD, National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pennsylvania. W Schaffner, MD, Vanderbilt Univ School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee. D Stephens, Div of Infectious Diseases, Emory Univ School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia. M Miller, Div of Healthcare Quality Promotion; J Sejvar, MD, T Popovic, MD, B Perkins, MD, N Rosenstein, MD, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; Div of Laboratory Systems; Office of Health and Safety, CDC.

Editorial Note:

Although the risk for disease remains low (2), laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease represents an occupational hazard to microbiologists. The findings in this report were self-reported and required respondents to have access to electronic media. However, the identification of 14 previously unreported cases and the additional two cases reported to CDC in 2001 suggest that either cases of laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease are underreported or the incidence of laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease has increased. The case-fatality rate of 50% in this report is substantially higher than that observed among community-acquired cases; this might reflect underreporting of mild cases or might be a result of the highly virulent strains and high concentration of organisms encountered in the laboratory setting.

Each year in the United States, approximately 3,000 isolates of invasive *N. meningitidis* are cultured (*3*); on the basis of standard practices used for isolation and identification of *N. meningitidis*, each of the clinical samples and isolates is handled by an average of three microbiologists during the course of a laboratory investigation, resulting in an estimated 9,000 microbiologists exposed per year. During 1996--2000 in the United States, six cases of probable laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease were detected, for an attack rate of 13 per 100,000 population (95% confidence interval [CI]= 5--29) at risk per year, compared with approximately 0.2 per 100,000 population among adults aged 30--59 in the United States (CDC, unpublished data, 2001), the age group of most laboratory scientists. If the three cases from 2000 are excluded from this estimate, the attack rate is seven (95% CI=1--19).

N. meningitidis is classified as a biosafety level 2 organism (4). Guidelines recommend the use of a biosafety cabinet for mechanical manipulations of samples that have a substantial risk for droplet formation or aerosolization such as centrifuging, grinding, and blending procedures (4,5). Less is known about the risk associated with routine isolate manipulation.

The exclusive occurrence of probable laboratory-acquired cases in microbiologists suggests that exposure to isolates of N. meningitidis, and not patient samples,

increases the risk for infection. Nearly all the microbiologists in this report were manipulating isolates and performing subplating with an inoculation loop on an open laboratory bench. A recent study indicated that manipulating suspensions of *N. meningitidis* outside a biosafety cabinet is associated with a high risk for contracting disease (3). Isolates obtained from a respiratory source are in general less pathogenic and represent a lower risk for microbiologists.

Although the exact mechanism of transmission in the laboratory setting is unclear, use of a biosafety cabinet during manipulation of sterile site isolates of *N. meningitidis* would ensure protection. Alternative methods of protection (e.g., splash guards and masks) from droplets and aerosols require additional assessment. If a biosafety cabinet or other means of protection is unavailable, manipulation of these isolates should be minimized, and workers should consider sending specimens to laboratories possessing this equipment. Education of microbiologists and strict adherence to these safety precautions when manipulating meningococcal isolates should further minimize the risk for infection. To address these safety issues, the governing bodies of organizations responsible for setting policy for laboratory safety will be reassessing current guidelines about the handling of *N. meningitidis*.

Although primary prevention should focus on laboratory safety, laboratory workers also should make informed decisions about vaccination. The quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine, which includes serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135, will decrease but not eliminate the risk for infection (6). Research and industrial laboratory scientists who are exposed routinely to *N. meningitidis* in solutions that might be aerosolized also should consider vaccination (6--8). In addition, vaccination might be used as an adjunctive measure by microbiologists in clinical laboratories.

Laboratory scientists with percutaneous exposure to an invasive *N. meningitidis* isolate from a sterile site should receive treatment with penicillin; those with known mucosal exposure should receive antimicrobial chemoprophylaxis (6) (Table 1). Microbiologists who manipulate invasive *N. meningitidis* isolates in a manner that could induce aerosolization or droplet formation (including plating, subculturing, and serogrouping) on an open bench top and in the absence of effective protection from droplets or aerosols also should consider antimicrobial chemoprophylaxis.

CDC has instituted prospective surveillance for laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease. Hospitals, laboratories, and public health departments that are aware of suspected cases should report these cases through their state public health department to CDC, telephone 404-639-3158.

References

- 1. CDC. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. MMWR 1997;46(No. RR-10).
- 2. Collins C, Kennedy D. Laboratory-acquired infections: history, incidence, causes, and preventions, 4th ed. Oxford, United Kingdom: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1999.
- 3. Boutet R, Stuart J. Assessing the risk of laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease [Abstract]. Presented at the Neisseria Meningitidis Meeting, Galveston, Texas, 2000.
- 4. CDC. Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories, 4th ed. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 1999.
- 5. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Protection of laboratory workers from occupationally acquired infections; approved guideline, 2nd ed. Wayne, Pennsylvania: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 2001 (document no. M29-A2).
- 6. CDC. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2000;49 (No. RR-7).
- 7. CDC. Laboratory-acquired meningococcemia---California and Massachusetts. MMWR 1991;40:46--7,55.
- 8. CDC. Immunization of health-care workers: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices and the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR 1997;46(No. RR-18).

Table 1

TABLE 1. Schedule for administering chemoprophylaxis against meningococcal disease

Drug	Age group	Dosage	Duration and route of administration*
Rifampin [†]	<1 month	5 mg/kg every 12 hours	2 days
	≥1 month	10 mg/kg every 12 hours	2 days
	Adults	600 mg every 12 hours	2 days
Ciprofloxacins	Adults	500 mg	Single dose
Ceftriaxone	<15 years	125 mg	Single intramuscular dose
Ceftriaxone	Adults	250 mg	Single intramuscular dose

Oral administration unless otherwise indicated.

Return to top.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of pages found at these sites.

Disclaimer All *MMWR* HTML versions of articles are electronic conversions from ASCII text into HTML. This conversion may have resulted in character translation or format errors in the HTML version. Users should not rely on this HTML document, but are referred to the electronic PDF version and/or the original *MMWR* paper copy for the official text, figures, and tables. An original paper copy of this issue can be obtained from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC 20402-9371; telephone: (202) 512-1800. Contact GPO for current prices.

Page converted: 2/21/2002

Not recommended for pregnant women because the drug is teratogenic in laboratory animals. Because the reliability of oral contraceptives may be affected by rifampin therapy, consideration should be given to using alternative contraceptive measures while rifampin is being administered.

Not generally recommended for persons aged <18 years or for pregnant and lactating women because the drug causes cartilage damage in immature laboratory animals. However, ciprofloxacin can be used for chemoprophylaxis of children when no acceptable alternative therapy is available.

^{**}Questions or messages regarding errors in formatting should be addressed to mmwrq@cdc.gov.

HOME | ABOUT MMWR | MMWR SEARCH | DOWNLOADS | RSS | CONTACT

POLICY | DISCLAIMER | ACCESSIBILITY

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE™

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Rd, MailStop E-90, Atlanta, GA 30333, U.S.A





This page last reviewed 2/21/2002