SCALE FOR PROJECT LIBFTPP

This should evaluate 1 student in this team

Introduction

Sustain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive
throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community
depends on it.

I identify with the person (or the group) evaluated the eventual
dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss
and debate the problems you have identified.

- You must consider that there might be some difference of how your
  peers might have understood the project's instructions and the
  scope of its functionalities. Please keep an open mind and grade
  him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and
  only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's
  Git repository.
- Double-check that the Git repository belongs to the student
  or the group (insure that the work is for the intended project
  and also check that 'git clone' is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you
  and make you evaluate something other than the content of the
  official repository.
- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the evaluating
  and the evaluated students have reviewed the possible scripts used
  to facilitate the grading.
- If the evaluating student has not completed that particular
  project yet, it's mandatory for the student to read the
  entire subject prior to starting the defense.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository,
  non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating, etc. In these cases,
  the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of
  cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are
  encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not
  finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused
  this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.
- Remember that for the duration of the defense, no explicit,
  no other unexpected, provisions, are intended or unexpected
  termination of the program, also the final grade is 0. Use the
  appropriate flag.
  You should never have to edit any file except the configuration file if it exists.
  If you want to edit a file, take the time to explain the reasons with the
  evaluated student and make sure both of you are okay with this.
- You must also verify the absence of memory leaks. Any memory allocated on the heap must
  be properly freed before the end of execution.
  You are allowed to use any of the different tools available on the computer, such as
  leaks, valgrind, or e_fence in case of memory leaks, tick the appropriate flag.

Attachments

subject.pdf   libftpp - 0.3m.xz

Preliminary tests

If cheating is suspected, the evaluation stops here. Use the "Cheat" flag to report it. Take the decision calmly,
coolly, and please, use this button with caution.

Prerequisites

The scale must compile with C++ and the flags -Wall -Wextra -Werror
Don't forget the project has to follow at least the C++11 standard. Thus,
C++11 (and later) function or containers are accepted.

Any of these cases means you must not grade the exercise in question:

- A function is implemented in a header file (except for template functions).
- A Makefile compiles without the required flags (and/or with a compiler other than c++).

Any of these cases means that you must flag the project with "Forbidden function":

- Use of a 'C' function (*alloc, *printf, free).
- Use of a function not allowed in the exercise guidelines.
- Use of an external library, such as Boost, is forbidden.

Yes | No

Mandatory Part

Pool class and Pool::Object nested class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_pool.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
The evaluated student may have edited the way the Pool or Pool::Object works, or you are allowed to
edit the main function as long as it still respects the structure of the provided main.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

DataBuffer class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_data_buffer.cpp, which you can also download from
the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the DataBuffer works, or you are not allowed to edit
the main function.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Memento class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_memento.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
In the main, you should find a TestClass, inheriting from Memento.
This class must implement the two methods created by the evaluated student to push and retrieve information from
a snapshot.

As the student may have changed the way information is inserted into it, you are allowed to edit the main
function to match its implementation.

Once asked, you should be able to compile and execute the code, resulting in the output described inside the
function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Observer class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_observer.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the Observer works, or you are not allowed to edit the
main function.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Singleton class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_singleton.cpp, which you can also download from
the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the Singleton works, or you are not allowed to edit the
structure of the main function.

However, they may have changed the exception, as it's acceptable if the message or exception types differ
from the original. You may change the const std::exception inside the catch block to any other type
of exception.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

StateMachine class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_state_machine.cpp, which you can also download
from the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the StateMachine works, or you are not allowed to
edit the structure of the main function. However, they may have changed
the exception, as it's acceptable if the message or exception types differ from the original. You may change the
const std::invalid_argument inside the catch block to any other type of exception.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the function.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

ThreadSafeIOStream class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_thread_safe_iostream.cpp, which you can also
download from the correction page.
The evaluated student may have customized the prefix of the ThreadSafeIOStream class, so it's an editing,
additional information, as the resulting output may differ from what's expected. However, as long as it still
outputs what the code expects, you may consider this as valid.
Obviously, the two threads created inside the main must have output their information with at least their prefix
to differentiate which has a majority other.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you could consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

ThreadSafeQueue class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_thread_safe_queue.cpp, which you can also
download from the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the ThreadSafeQueue works, or you're
not allowed to edit the structure of the main, but they may have changed the exception. Thus, it's allowed to
have different messages or exception types (you may change const std::runtime_error inside the
catch block to any other type of exception).

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the main.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

As required, the evaluated student must have found a way to store across its ThreadSafeQueue variable
from anywhere in the code, provided you include thread_safe_iostream.hpp. The name of the variable
may differ, so be prepared to possibly edit some main code here to match the name chosen by the evaluated
student.

If they have done this, you should consider giving this exercise a score of 5 and stop the evaluation here.

Yes | No

Thread class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_thread.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the Thread works, or you're not allowed to edit the
structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated inside the main.
Output messages must be complete and not disturbed by other threads while being outputted to the console.

Upon closing the program, the threads must be terminated cleanly by the program.
If they are closed brutally by the program, you should consider giving this question a score of 0 and continue
the evaluation.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

WorkerPool class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_worker_pool.cpp, which you can also download from
the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the WorkerPool works, or you're not allowed to edit
the structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated with the main.
Output messages must be complete and not disturbed by other threads while being outputted to the console.

Upon closing the program, the threads must be terminated cleanly by the program.
If they are closed brutally by the program, you should consider giving this question a score of 0 and continue
the evaluation.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

PersistentWorker class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_persistent_worker.cpp, which you can also
download from the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the PersistentWorker works, or you're not allowed
to edit the structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should output what is indicated within the main.
Output messages must be complete and not disturbed by other threads while being outputted to the console.

Upon closing the program, the threads must be terminated cleanly by the program.
If they are closed brutally by the program, you should consider giving this question a score of 0 and continue
the evaluation.

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Network classes

The evaluated student has been provided with two separate main files:

- main_server.cpp, which is supposed to create, test, and manage a server listed on port 8888.
- main_client.cpp, which is supposed to create, and manage a client connected to the
  previous server.

The evaluated student may need to modify the main functions in order to make their classes work properly.
Once asked, you should be able to compile and execute the code, resulting in the output described within the
main files.

You must compile both executables and run them, during with the server, in two different console windows.

Upon execution, you must obtain the following output:

- **Client side**: '0 Message sent. First enter to end.' '2 "Received a doubled value: 84"'

Once the doubled value message is received, you must press enter to close the client side cleanly by
disconnecting it first.

- **Server side**: '1 "Receiving an int id from client (Client ID may differ)" '2 "Receiving a string 'Hello' of
  length 5 from client (Client ID may differ)" '

If the subject is indeed as expected, you should consider validating this question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

IVector2 class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_vector_2.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the IVector2 works, or you are not allowed to modify the
structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should produce the output as indicated within the main.

If the subject matches the expected results, you should consider validating the question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

IVector3 class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_vector_3.cpp, which you can also download from the
correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the IVector3 works, or you are not allowed to modify the
structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should produce the output as indicated within the main.

If the subject matches the expected results, you should consider validating the question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Random 2DCoordinateGenerator class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_random_2D_coordinate_generator.cpp, which
you can also download from the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the Random2DCoordinateGenerator works, or you are
not allowed to modify the structure of the main.

Once asked, the main should produce the output as indicated within the main.

If the subject matches the expected results, you should consider validating the question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output, you should consider giving this
question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

PerlinNoise2D class

The evaluated student has been provided with main_perlin_2D.cpp, which you can also download from
the correction page.
The evaluated student should not have edited the way the PerlinNoise2D works, but you are allowed to modify
the main data is used to ensure compatibility with the finite noise generator.

Once asked, the main should produce the output as indicated within the main.

Perlin values should fluctuate "smoothly" depending on the forms used for sampling, resulting in output without
abrupt changes, resembling a step-view from above.

If the subject matches the expected results, and becomes smooth fluctuations, you should consider validating this
question.
On the other hand, if the evaluated student fails to produce the correct output or the subject does not show smooth
fluctuations, you should consider giving the question a score of 0 and continue the evaluation.

Yes | No

Bonus

We will consider bonus features only if the mandatory part is rated as excellent. This means that the evaluated
session must have completed the mandatory part from start to finish. If the mandatory part will not achieve a
perfect score during this evaluation, any bonus features will be completely disregarded.

Additional Features

Ask the evaluated student if their (bonus includes any additional classes beyond the mandatory requirements.
For each selected additional class selected, award one point to this section.

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation