Homework 4

Lorenzo Galizia, Vincenzo Genna 16/12/2017

2. Data set information:

Individuals	SNPs	Missing data(%)
139	28	39.54

- 3. 2^{nSNP} (= 268435456) haplotypes can theoretically be found if all the genotypes are different.
- 4. 6 haplotypes were found using the EM algorithm:

• <u>List</u>:

11100	•									
	SNP1	SNP2	SNP3	SNP4	SNP	5 SNP	6 SNP7	SNP8	SNP9	SNP10
1	С	A	A	С	С	A	G	Т	A	G
2	${ m T}$	A	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	G	\mathbf{C}	G	G
3	${ m T}$	A	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	G	\mathbf{C}	G	G
4	${ m T}$	A	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	G	${ m T}$	A	G
5	${ m T}$	A	G	G	\mathbf{C}	A	G	${ m T}$	A	G
6	Τ	С	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	С	${ m T}$	A	A
	SNP11	SNP1	2 SNP	13 SN	P14	SNP15	SNP16	SNP17	SNP18	SNP19
1	С	G	С	С		A	A	С	С	С
2	\mathbf{C}	A	A	${ m T}$		G	G	Τ	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
	α			an an		~	a	CD.	~	700

	SNP11	SNP12	SNP13	SNP14	SNP15	SNP16	SNP17	SNP18	SNP19
1	С	G	С	С	A	A	С	С	С
2	\mathbf{C}	A	A	${ m T}$	G	G	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
3	\mathbf{C}	A	A	${ m T}$	G	G	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
4	С	A	A	${ m T}$	G	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	T
5	C	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	A	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}
6	${ m T}$	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	A	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}

	SNP20	SNP21	SNP22	SNP23	SNP24	SNP25	SNP26	SNP27	SNP28
1	С	G	С	С	С	С	A	С	Τ
2	\mathbf{C}	A	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
3	\mathbf{C}	G	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
4	\mathbf{C}	G	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$
5	\mathbf{C}	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	T
6	G	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	T

• Most common haplotype:

	SNP1	SNP2	SNP3	SNP4	SNP5	SNP6	SNP7	SNP8	SNP9	SNP10
6	Τ	С	A	С	С	A	С	Т	A	A
	SNP11	SNP12	SNP1	3 SNI	P14 SN	P15 S	NP16	SNP17	SNP18	SNP19
6	Τ	G	С	С	A	A	L	С	A	С
	SNP20	SNP21	SNP2	22 SNI	P23 SN	P24 S	NP25	SNP26	SNP27	SNP28
6	G	G	С	С	С	С	1	A	С	T

- 5. The haplotypic constitution is ambiguous (neither homozygotes or single-site heterozygotes) for the individuals in the listIDs:
 - [1] ## [18] ## [35] 97 100 101 102 104 111 114 115 118 ## [52] 119 121 126 127 129 130 131 133 134 135 137

For each uncertain individual the *Table* below shosws the row numbers of the two unique haplotypes in the returned matrix haplotypes (*point* 4).

	1	2	4	5	8	10	11	12	13	15	16	20	22	23	35	37	40	42
1	5/6	6/5	6/5	5/6	6/2	6/5	6/5	6/5	5/2	5/6	5/6	5/6	2/6	5/6	5/6	5/6	6/1	6/2
	40	4.4	10	40					- 1			0.1	0.4			70	0.1	-00
	43	44	46	49	50	51	52	53	54	56	57	61	64	74	75	76	81	83
1	6/5	6/2	6/5	6/5	5/6	6/3	2/6	5/6	6/5	6/2	5/2	6/5	6/5	6/1	5/3	5/6	5/6	5/2
	84	85	88	89	92	93	97	100	101	102	104	111	114	115	118	119	121	126
1	5/6	1/6	2/6	5/6	5/6	5/6	6/5	6/5	1/6	6/5	6/2	6/5	6/5	6/3	6/4	5/3	5/2	5/6
	127	129	130	131	133	134	135	137										
1	6/5	6/5	6/2	2/6	5/6	5/6	6/5	5/6										

We noted that the posterior probabilities of pairs of haplotypes (HaploRes\$post) for each individual was always 100%, except for the individual 22, which had three possible haplotypic constitutions (4.9% for 4/6, 20% for 6/3, 74.99% for 2/6).

- 6. From our results it is possible to see that nothing changed. Indeed we are removing an SNP (rs5999890) that, for each individual, has the same genotype ("CC"). So all the individuals are subject to the exact same variation and this does not change the results and in particular the haplotype frequencies:
 - List:

	SNP1	SNP2	SNP3	SNP4	SNI	P5 SNP	6 SNP7	SNP8	SNP9	SNP10
1	С	A	A	С	A	G	Τ	A	G	С
2	Τ	A	A	\mathbf{C}	A	G	\mathbf{C}	G	G	\mathbf{C}
3	${ m T}$	A	A	\mathbf{C}	A	G	\mathbf{C}	G	G	\mathbf{C}
4	${ m T}$	A	A	\mathbf{C}	A	G	${ m T}$	A	G	\mathbf{C}
5	${ m T}$	A	G	G	A	G	${ m T}$	A	G	\mathbf{C}
6	Τ	С	A	С	A	С	Τ	A	A	T
	SNP11	SNP12	2 SNP	13 SN	P14	SNP15	SNP16	SNP17	SNP18	SNP19
1	G	С	С	A		A	С	С	С	С
2	A	A	${ m T}$	G		G	${ m T}$	С	Τ	\mathbf{C}
3	A	A	${ m T}$	G		G	${ m T}$	С	Τ	\mathbf{C}
4	A	A	${ m T}$	G		A	\mathbf{C}	С	Τ	\mathbf{C}
5	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	A		A	\mathbf{C}	С	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}
6	G	С	C	A		A	С	A	С	G
	SNP20	SNP21	SNP	22 SN	P23	SNP24	SNP25	SNP26	SNP27	_
1	G	С	С	С		С	A	С	Τ	_
2	A	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}		\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	Τ	
3	G	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}		\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	Τ	
4	G	\mathbf{C}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{C}		\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	Τ	
5	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}		\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	Τ	
6	G	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	$^{\mathrm{C}}$		\mathbf{C}	A	\mathbf{C}	T	

• Haplotype frequencies:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
freq	0.021	0.056	0.015	0.003	0.176	0.726

- 7. After creating the new locus, the most likely genotype is "CC". Its probability is 100% (which is normal, because 89% of estimated haplotype constitutions are either "5/6" or "6/6"), so there is no second most likely genotype.
- 8. The number of haplotypes is now 23 instead of 6, as it was in the case of the Myoglobin case. This is probably due to the huge presence of missing values in the Myoglobin dataset, that, instead, are not included in the simulated dataset.