### Amortized Analysis

Jie Wang<sup>1</sup>

University of Massachusetts Lowell Department of Computer Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I thank Dr. Zachary Kissel of Merrimack College for sharing his lecture notes with me; some materials presented here are borrowed from his notes.

#### Overview

- When measuring time complexity for an algorithm we often measure its worst-case asymptotic runtime.
  - Sometimes we may measure average runtime when an underlying probability distribution on instances is known.
- When measuring a sequence of operations bounded by a certain mechanism, for example, the number of adds and subtracts must be balanced, it is natural to use amortized analysis.
  - For our purposes we will focus on a sequence of operations performed on data structure, e.g., stack, queue, hash table, counters, etc.

## Ideas of Amortized Analysis

Given a sequence of operations on a data structure D, we spread out the cost (runtime) over the sequence.

- Divide some of the cost of inefficient operations across the more efficient operations.
  - This approach would make sense if the inefficient operations occur relatively infrequently within the sequence of operations to be analyzed.
  - In other words, the cost of infrequent operations may be "shared" by efficient operations, providing an average cost of each operation.
- Using the business definition of amortize is another way to think about this process.
  - For example, loans are traditionally amortized, so that one pays the same amount per month over the life of the loan.
  - In reality each month different amounts of money are going to the principal and the interest.

#### Three Methods

Amortized analysis is an analysis technique (In reality we cannot divide time among operations!).

• i.e., there is no change in computational model.

There are three main methods people use to do amortized analysis:

- Aggregate method
  - Compute a simple mean.
- Accounting method
  - Maintain a bank account of credits to pay for the operations.
- Openation Potential Method
  - Give data structures potential energy similar to the notion of potential energy in physics.

### Aggregate Analysis

- This is perhaps the most intuitive form of amortized analysis.
- In aggregate analysis we show that a sequence of n operations takes worst-case time  $\mathcal{T}(n)$  in total.
  - To get the amortized time, simply compute T(n)/n, the average time per operation.
  - Note that we assign the *same* cost to every operation.
- Let's try out this method on two data structures:
  - A stack.
  - A binary counter

# Stack Operations

First consider the main operations on a stack: Push and Pop

- Push and Pop are local operations, and so the worst-case time is  $\Theta(1)$ .
- Given an n operation sequence of PUSH and POP operations, the worst-case time  $T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta(1) = \Theta(n)$ .
- Thus, the amortized time per operation is  $\Theta(1)$

Now consider a more expensive operation on a stack: Multipop.

- Let S denote a stack and |S| its size.
- Consider three operations: PUSH, POP, and MULTIPOP.
  - MULTIPOP takes an argument k (the number of items to pop) and executes the PoP operation on the stack k times:
  - If k > |S|, then execute POP until the stack is empty.
- The worst-case runtime of MULTIPOP is  $min\{k, |S|\}$ .

# Pops Cannot Exceed Pushes

- We should capitalize more on what we know about a sequence of *n* stack operations starting from an *empty* stack.
- Observation: An item can only be popped from the stack once.
- Claim: A sequence of n operations, on an initially empty stack, takes O(n) time.
  - $\bullet$  The number of POP operations (including MULTIPOP calls) is at most the number of PUSH operations.
  - There are, at most, n PUSH operations so there are at most n stack pops performed for a sequence of n operations.
- This means the amortized cost per operation is  $O(n)/n = \Theta(1)$ .

# Binary Counter

A binary counter has k-bits for a fixed k, similar to the 8-digit odometer in your car.

- INCREMENT is the only operation.
- Since we are restricted to *k*-bits, the counter can "roll-over" (overflow).

We implement the binary counter as an array of size k:

```
INCREMENT (A[1..k])

1  i = 1

2  while i \le k and A[i] == 1

3  A[i] = 0

4  i = i + 1

5  if i \le k

6  A[i] = 1
```

### **Analysis**

Set the array to 0s initially. Want to find out the runtime of executing n increments.

- **Observation**: While increment i + 1 causes a number of bits to flip, not every bit flips every time.
- Consider the following binary counter sequence (k = 4) with A[1] being in the right-most column:

### Bit Flips

- In a sequence of n operations, A[1] flips every operation.
- A[2] flips every other operation; i.e.,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  in n operations.
- A[3] flips every  $2^2 = 4$  operations.
- Similarly, A[i] flips every  $2^{i-1}$  operations. Thus,

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{i-1}} \right\rfloor = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{i}} \right\rfloor \qquad \text{(Change of indices)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^{i}} \qquad \text{(Upperbound series)}$$

$$= n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \qquad \text{(Geometric series)}$$

$$= 2n \in O(n)$$

• Taking an average to get  $O(n)/n = \Theta(1)$  amortized time per INCREMENT operation.

## Accounting Method

A business way of thinking about amortized analysis:

- Assign every operation an amortized cost in credits.
  - This may be more than or less than the actual cost.
  - This is often referred to as the *charge* for the operation.
- We put any overages in a "piggy bank".
  - An overage occurs when the amortized cost of an operation exceeds the actual cost of an operation.
- If we don't have enough credits, from the amortized cost, to cover the actual cost of the operation we have a deficit.
  - To cover the deficit, we extract the required credits from the piggy bank.
- How to assign amortized costs to operations is important.
  - Need to guarantee that regardless of the sequence of operations the amortized costs will be an upper bound of the actual cost.

### Example

Consider the stack that supports MULTIPOP.

 To use the accounting method we must assign an appropriate amortized cost to each operation.

Operation	Actual Cost	Amortized Cost
Push	1	2
Рор	1	0
Multipop	$\min\{k,  S \}$	0

 That is, we think ahead: For each element pushed into the stack, we will also budget the cost of popping it to the push, leaving the cost of element-popping for free.

#### Does It Work?

- It does.
- One can only pop elements that have been pushed onto the stack.
- $\bullet$  Regardless of which Multipush and Push is used, removing an item requires constant time.
- Each item pushed to the stack charges 1 credit, which leaves 1 credit for the piggy bank to pop the item being pushed.
  - Every pop require us to take one credit out of the piggy bank.
- This means a sequence of n operations costs  $\Theta(n)$ , implying an amortized cost of  $\Theta(1)$  for every operation.

## Binary Counter

- Need to think of the two sub-operations for INCREMENT:
  - **1** set bit *i*; i.e., set bit *i* to 1.
    - The actual cost of this operation is 1.
  - ② clear bit i; i.e., set bit bit i to 0.
    - The actual cost of this operation is 1.
- What can we do for amortized cost?
  - The set operation will have amortized cost 2, one for setting it, and one for clearing it.
    - Note: A bit cannot be cleared without setting it first.
  - The clear operation will have amortized cost 0.

# Binary Counter Continued

- Setting a bit is charged 2 credits, one for the operation and one goes to the piggy bank.
- Clearing a bit uses the one credit overcharged for setting the bit from the piggy bank.
- Since we can never have a negative number of 1s, the balance of the piggy bank is never below zero.
- The cost of clearing the bits in the while loop for INCREMENT is covered by the money in the piggy bank.
- the cost to set a bit at the index of INCREMENT requires a cost of 2.
- Taking these fact together we arrive at a cost of O(n) for n Increment operations thus the average cost of an Increment operation is  $\Theta(1)$ .

#### Potential Method

Look at a data structure as a whole.

- Assign a potential energy to a given state of the data structure.
- Every operation puts the data structure into a different state that either increases or decreases the potential energy.
- Denote by  $D_i$  the state of a data structure after the  $i^{th}$  operation.
- Denote by  $\Phi(D_i)$  the potential energy of the data structure in state  $D_i$ .
  - This is a real value.
  - ullet The function  $\Phi$  is referred to as the potential function.
- ullet Denote the amortized cost of the  $i^{
  m th}$  operation by  $\hat{c}_i$ .
  - Formally,  $\hat{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) \Phi(D_{i-1})$ , where  $c_i$  is the actual cost of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation.

# The Total Amortized Cost for *n* Operations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{i} + \Phi(D_{i}) - \Phi(D_{i-1}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Phi(D_{i}) - \Phi(D_{i-1})) \qquad \text{(Telescoping series.)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} + \Phi(D_{n}) - \Phi(D_{0}).$$

- If  $\Phi(D_n) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ , then the total amortized cost is an upper bound of the actual cost.
- In practice,  $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$  for all i.
  - This guarantees we store up enough energy in advance.
  - Traditionally,  $\Phi(D_0) = 0$ .



#### Potential Functions

There are a wide range of potential functions that can be used for the analysis.

The choice really depends on how tight of a bound we want.

Let's look at the stack again.

- Define the potential function to be the number of items in stack *S*.
  - This naturally gives us  $\Phi(D_0) = 0$ .
  - Notice that stacks cannot have negative potential.
    - $\Phi(D_i) \geq 0$  for all i.
    - The fact  $\Phi(D_i) \geq 0$  for all i means that  $\Phi(D_i) \geq \Phi(D_0)$  for all i. Thus conserving sufficient energy.

# Amortized Cost of Operations

- Push has amortized cost 2:
  - The actual cost  $c_i$  is 1.
  - The change in potential is a difference of 1.

• 
$$\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = (|S|+1) - |S| = 1.$$

- MULTIPOP has amortized cost 0:
  - The actual cost of the operation  $C_i$  is min $\{|S|, k\}$ .
  - The change in potential is a difference of  $-\min\{|S|, k\}$ .

• 
$$\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1} = (|S| - \min\{|S|, k\}) - |S| = -\min\{|S|, k\}.$$

- POP has amortized cost 0:
  - The actual cost  $c_i$  is 1.
  - The change in potential is a difference of -1.

• 
$$\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = (|S| - 1) - |S| = -1.$$

## Binary Counter

- Let  $\Phi(D_i)$  be the number of 1s in the counter after operation i.
- Then  $\Phi(D_0) = 0$  since we start the counter with 0s.
- Let  $t_i$  be the number of cleared bits after operation i.
  - This means the actual cost  $c_i$  is  $t_i + 1$  since we have to set one bit.
- Denote by  $b_i$  the number of 1s after the *i*-th INCREMENT operation.
  - If  $b_i = 0$  all bits were cleared.
    - This implies  $b_{i-1} = t_i = k$  (only when the binary counter was full can this happen).
    - Note:  $b_i = b_{i-1} t_i = 0$ .
  - If  $b_i > 0$ , then
    - $b_i = b_{i-1} t_i + 1$

# Binary Counter Continued

- The potential difference for the *i*-th INCREMENT operation is  $1-t_i$ :
  - $\Phi(D_i) \Phi(D_{i-1}) = (b_{i-1} t_i + 1) b_{i-1} = 1 t_i$ .
- The amortized cost of INCREMENT is  $(t_i + 1) + (1 t_i) = 2$ .
- If one wanted, one could use the potential method to argue that the bound holds even if the counter does *not* start at zero.