# Amortised Analysis and Dynamic Tables

Jie Wang

University of Massachusetts Lowell Department of Computer Science

#### Hash Tables

- A hash table T is a data structure consisting of
  - ① An *n* element array (table), where each element is called a *hash bucket*.
  - **2** A hash function  $h: U \to \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , where each element of U is called a *key*.
- Assumption: The runtime of computing h(x) is O(1), regardless of x.
- A hash table supports two operations :
  - ① INSERT(k, v): Insert key k with value v into table T at location h(k); i.e., T[h(k)] = v.
  - **2** LOOKUP(k): Return the value associated with key k.

# Collision Handling

#### Three methods to handle collisions:

- 1. Failing.
- 2. Separate chaining. That is, T[h(k)] heads a linked list of pairs (k, v).
  - Insertion runtime: O(1).
  - Lookup runtime:  $O(1 + \alpha(T))$ , where  $\alpha(T) = m/n$ , called the *load factor*, with m being the number of insertions so far.
- 3. Open addressing.

#### Open Addressing

- Every bucket holds one element.
  - A sequence of locations is used to find an empty slot for insertion or the correct entry looked for.
  - This sequence is called a *probe sequence*.
  - To bootstrap probe sequences, modify hash function to include a probe number.
  - The probe number is the number of insertion collisions so far.
- INSERT(k, v) stores the key-value pair in the first cell T[h(k, i)] that is empty.
  - The runtime for insertion is proportional to the length of the probe sequence.
  - This is related to the load factor.
- LOOKUP(k) searches location T[h(k,i)] for all i until the key-value pair is found or until an empty slot is discovered.
  - Incur the same running time as INSERT.



# **Probing Strategies**

Three common probing strategies for open addressing, with an initial probe number i = 0:

- Linear Probing
  - Given a base hash function  $h': U \to \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , construct the following hash function:

$$h(k,i) = (h'(k) + i) \bmod n.$$

- Quadratic Probing
  - Given a base hash function  $h': U \to \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , construct the following hash function:

$$h(k,i) = (h'(k) + c_1i + c_2i^2) \bmod n,$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants.

- Ouble Hashing
  - Given two different base hash functions  $h_1: U \to \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$  and  $h_2: U \to \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ , construct the following hash function:

$$h(k, i) = (h_1(k) + ih_2(k)) \mod n.$$

#### Dynamic Tables

Table doubling or table halving is a technique for *growing* or *contracting* a table. First look at hash-table doubling.

- When the number of insertions *m* into the table is the same as the table size *n*, double the size of the table.
- To double the size of a hash table:
  - **1** Allocate a new table T' of size 2n initializing every entry to NIL.
  - 2 Insert all m entries in T into T' using a new hash function.
- Table doubling takes *m* insertions.
- Table doubling doesn't happen too often.
  - After the size of table is doubled to m', it takes  $\frac{m'}{2}$  insertions before doubling must happen again.
  - Starting with an empty table, table doubling incurs  $\lfloor \log m \rfloor$  times.

# Potential Amortized Analysis

- When deletion is allowed, tables can also be contracted when the load factor becomes too small.
- Want to figure out the cost of a sequence of *m* operations starting from an empty table.
- Two observations:
  - Insertions and deletions form potential energy for the next possible expansion or contraction.
  - **2** When  $\alpha(T) = 1/2$ , the table is half full; no need to double or contract.
- Using  $\alpha(T) = 1/2$  as a threshold value to define a potential function:

$$\Phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2\ell - n, & \text{if } \alpha(T) \ge 1/2, \\ n/2 - \ell, & \text{if } \alpha(T) < 1/2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha(T) = \ell/n$ .



# Φ Is A Legitimate Potential Function

- If  $T = \emptyset$ , then  $\ell = 0$  and n = 0. Thus,  $\Phi(T) = 0$ .
- If  $\alpha(T) = 1/2$ , then  $\ell = n/2$ . Thus,  $\Phi(T) = 2(n/2) n = n n = 0$ .
- If  $\alpha(T) = 1$  (i.e., T is full), then  $\ell = n$ . Thus,  $\Phi(T) = 2n n = n$ .
  - With potential n it is sufficient to pay for expansion when an item is inserted.
- If  $\alpha(T) = 1/4$ , then shrink the table.
  - This means that  $\ell/n=1/4$ , which implies  $4\ell=n$ . Thus,

$$\Phi(T) = 4\ell/2 - \ell = \ell,$$

which is enough to pay for contraction.

- The potential is nonnegative for the following reasons:
  - The number *n* cannot be greater than  $2\ell$  when  $\alpha(T) \ge 1/2$ .
  - The number  $\ell$  cannot be greater than n/2 when  $\alpha(T) < 1/2$ .



#### Analysis on *m* Consecutive Operations

Start with an empty table. That is,

$$\Phi(T_0) = 0, n = 0, \ell = 0, \alpha(T_0) = 1.$$

Suppose that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation is INSERT.

• Case 1.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) < 1/2$  and  $\alpha(T_i) < 1/2$ ; no expansion.

$$\begin{split} \hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(T_i) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{n_i}{2} - \ell_i\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - (\ell_{i-1} + 1)\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

• Case 2.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) < 1/2$  and  $\alpha(T_i) \ge 1/2$  and the table does not expand.

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2\ell_{i} - n_{i}) - (\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2(\ell_{i-1} + 1) - n_{i-1}) - (\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}) 
= 3 + 3\ell_{i-1} - \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} 
= 3 + 3\alpha(T_{i-1})n_{i-1} - \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} 
< 3 + \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} - \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} 
= 3.$$

• Case 3.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) \ge 1/2$  and  $1 > \alpha(T_i) \ge 1/2$  and the table does not expand.

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2\ell_{i} - n_{i}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2(\ell_{i-1} + 1) - n_{i-1}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= 3.$$

• Case 4.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) \geq 1/2$  and the table expands. That is,  $n_i = 2n_{i-1}$ ,  $\ell_i = n_{i-1}$ , and  $c_i = \ell_i$  (the total number of insertion). Thus,  $\alpha(T_i) = 1/2$ .

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= \ell_{i} + (2\ell_{i} - n_{i}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= \ell_{i} + (2n_{i-1} - 2n_{i-1}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - (\ell_{i-1} + 1)) 
= \ell_{i} - \ell_{i-1} + 1 
= 2$$

Suppose that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation is Delete.

• Case 1.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) < 1/2$  and the table is not contracted.

$$\begin{split} \hat{c}_{i} &= c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{n_{i}}{2} - \ell_{i}\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - (\ell_{i-1} - 1)\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) \\ &= 2. \end{split}$$

• Case 2.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) < 1/2$  and the table is contracted. That is,  $n_{i-1} = 4\ell_{i-1}$  and  $c_i = \ell_{i-1}$ .

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= \ell_{i-1} + \left(\frac{n_{i}}{2} - \ell_{i}\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) 
= \ell_{i-1} + \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{4} - (\ell_{i-1} - 1)\right) - \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1}\right) 
= 1 - \frac{n_{i-1}}{4} + \ell_{i-1} 
= 1.$$

• Case 3.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) \ge 1/2$  and  $\alpha(T_i) < 1/2$ ; no contraction. Then

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= 1 + \left(\frac{n_{i}}{2} - \ell_{i}\right) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= 1 + \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{2} - \ell_{i-1} + 1\right) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= 2 + \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} - 3\ell_{i-1} 
= 2 + \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} - 3\alpha(T_{i-1})n_{i-1} 
\leq 2 + \frac{3n_{i-1}}{2} - 3n_{i-1}/2 
= 2.$$

• Case 4.  $\alpha(T_{i-1}) \ge 1/2$  and  $\alpha(T_i) \ge 1/2$ . Thus, no contraction. Then

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(T_{i}) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2\ell_{i} - n_{i}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= 1 + (2(\ell_{i-1} - 1) - n_{i-1}) - (2\ell_{i-1} - n_{i-1}) 
= -1.$$

• Thus, the runtime of a sequence of n insertions and deletions on T is  $\Theta(n)$ .