## **Divergence-Free Vector Fields**

Finding their Vector Potentials and Determining their Flux via 1D Integrals

## **Charles Ormsby**

Vector fields that are curl-free (  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$  ) are conservative and can be represented as the gradient of a scalar function,  $\emptyset$ , which we name the field's scalar potential function (note that  $\emptyset$  can incorporate an arbitrary constant since  $\vec{\nabla}(\emptyset + C) = \vec{\nabla}\emptyset$ ). Knowing the scalar potential function for a conservative field permits one to calculate the line integral  $\int_A^B \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \ ds$  between the points A and B without knowing the specific path taken. The value of the integral depends only on the values of the scalar potential at the end points; specifically,  $\int_A^B \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \ ds = \emptyset(B) - \emptyset(A)$ . A procedure is available to determine the function  $\emptyset$  that involves integration of the components of  $\vec{F}$  (see a standard text on vector calculus).

An analogous situation exists for vector fields that are divergence-free ( $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{F} = 0$ ). In this case the vector field can be written as the curl of a vector potential,  $\overrightarrow{G}$ , i.e.,  $\overrightarrow{F} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{G}$ . Vector fields that are divergence-free are called solenoidal fields. Note that the vector potential  $\overrightarrow{G}$  can incorporate an arbitrary conservative field,  $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \emptyset$ , since  $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times (\overrightarrow{G} + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \emptyset) = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{G}$ . Most undergraduate vector calculus textbooks do not discuss solenoidal fields or provide a procedure for determining their vector potential  $\overrightarrow{G}$ .

One reason for representing a divergence-free field as the curl of a vector potential field is that the flux of the divergence-free field through a designated surface (a 2D integral) can be written as 1D integral using the vector potential,  $\vec{G}$ . This is accomplished using Stokes' theorem as follows:

$$\iint\limits_{S} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \ dS = \iint\limits_{S} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{G}) \cdot \vec{n} \ dS = \int\limits_{C} \vec{G} \cdot d\vec{r}$$

Given a complex surface S and/or a complex solenoidal field,  $\vec{F}$ , computing the flux through S can be very difficult forcing one to employ a 2D numeric integration procedure. Even if the curve integral on the right is very difficult to perform analytically, evaluating the 1D integral based on numeric integration procedure (e.g., Simpson's rule) is very simple.

To illustrate the procedure for determining the vector potential,  $\vec{G}$ , we will consider the solenoidal vector field,  $\vec{F} = \langle -xy, \frac{1}{2}y^2 + 2z, x^2y \rangle$  and consider calculating the flux of  $\vec{F}$  through that portion of the surface  $z = x^2 + y^3$  that is above the cardiod  $r(\theta) = 1 + \sin(\theta)$ .

For the vector potential field we will use  $\vec{G} = \overrightarrow{G'} + \vec{\nabla} \emptyset$  where  $G' = \langle g_1', g_2', g_3' \rangle$  and we chose  $\emptyset_z = -g_3'$ . Therefore,  $\vec{G} = \langle g_1' + \emptyset_x, g_2' + \emptyset_y, 0 \rangle = \langle g_1, g_2, 0 \rangle$ . Note that we could have chosen  $\emptyset$  to zero-out any of the three components of  $\vec{G}$ .  $\vec{\nabla} \emptyset$  can be considered as a "constant of integration" for this "vector anti-differentiation" process.

Setting 
$$\vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{G} = \langle -\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} \rangle$$
 we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z} = xy$$
 or  $g_2 = xyz + C_2(x,y)$  and

$$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + 2z$$
 or  $g_1 = \frac{1}{2}y^2z + z^2 + C_1(x, y)$ 

Using these expressions for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  to compute the z-component of  $\vec{F}$  we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} = yz + \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x} - yz - \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} = x^2y$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} = x^2 y$ . Choosing to set  $C_1 = 0$ , we can solve for  $C_2$  obtaining  $C_2 = \frac{1}{3}x^3y$ . Combining these results, we obtain a vector potential:

$$\vec{G} = \langle g_1, g_2, 0 \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2}y^2z + z^2, xyz + \frac{1}{3}x^3y, 0 \rangle$$

As noted previously, any conservative vector field could be added to  $\vec{G}$  and  $\vec{G}$  would continue to be a vector potential for the solenoidal field  $\vec{F}$  .

The flux of  $\vec{F}$  through the surface S can now be computed using  $\vec{G}$  and a 1D integral via:

$$\iint\limits_{S} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iint\limits_{S} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{G}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \int\limits_{C} \vec{G} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \vec{G}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) dt$$

where  $\vec{r}(\theta) = \langle (1+\sin(\theta))\cos(\theta), (1+\sin(\theta))\sin(\theta), x^2(\theta) + y^3(\theta) \rangle$  where  $-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq 3\pi/2$  is a parameterization of the boundary curve C. An analytic evaluation of this integral is extremely tedious. Given a more complex vector field,  $\vec{F}$ , and/or a more complex surface, S, evaluation would likely be impossible. In any case, a very accurate value for the flux can be easily obtained using numeric integration methods.

A numeric solution for the problem posed here is illustrated below using a simple Excel spreadsheet. Answer: Flux  $\approx$  -112.821219.

$\Delta$	Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M
1	Line/Curve Integrals			CASE: Cardioid r(th)=1+sin(th)				h)	F=	< -xy,	(y^2)/2+2z,	(x^2)y >	
2					Find FLUX of F through S				S: z=	x^2+y^3			
3	Parameter range			Instructions: Set up r(t), r'(t) and G(x,y,z) in					above cardioid: r(th) <= 1+sin(t		h)		
4	t start	-1.57079633	57079633 row 11 and then copy to row 523. Set wts										
5	t end	4.71238898											
6	n-steps	512		to all threes if closed path, 14242424241									
7	Delta t <b>0.01227185</b>		for Simpson's rule.							Line Integral value =		-112.82121898741	
8												SUM =	-27580.5
9	Param		r(t)	r'(t)			G(x(t	x(t),y(t),z(t)) = G(t) Integrand			and Integration Weighting		
10	t	x(t)	y(t)	z(t)	x'(t)	y'(t)	z'(t)	g1(t)	g2(t)	g3(t)	G(t) dot r'(t)	Wts	Wtd Integrand
11	-1.5708	0	0	0	3.75247E-33	-6.13E-17	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
12	-1.55852	9.2402E-07	-7.5E-05	4.27E-13	0.000225883	-0.01227	4.162E-10	1.21E-21	-5E-23	0	8.80867E-25	4	3.52347E-24
13	-1.54625	7.3914E-06	-0.0003	2.734E-11	0.000903362	-0.024526	1.327E-08	1.24E-18	-1E-19	0	3.60615E-21	2	7.21229E-21
14	-1.53398	2.4941E-05	-0.00068	3.116E-10	0.002031928	-0.036757	1.004E-07	7.15E-17	-8.8E-18	0	4.67477E-19	4	1.86991E-18
15	-1.52171	5.9104E-05	-0.0012	1.752E-09	0.00361073	-0.048949	4.216E-07	1.27E-15	-2.1E-16	0	1.47399E-17	2	2.94799E-17
16	-1.50944	0.0001154	-0.00188	6.69E-09	0.005638578	-0.06109	1.281E-06	1.18E-14	-2.4E-15	0	2.14158E-16	4	8.56632E-16
17	-1.49717	0.00019933	-0.0027	2E-08	0.008113947	-0.073166	3.175E-06	7.34E-14	-1.8E-14	0	1.90579E-15	2	3.81158E-15
18	-1.48489	0.00031637	-0.00367	5.05E-08	0.01103497	-0.085165	6.833E-06	3.43E-13	-9.7E-14	0	1.20907E-14	4	4.83627E-14
19	-1.47262	0.00047198	-0.00479	1.127E-07	0.014399446	-0.097073	1.326E-05	1.31E-12	-4.2E-13	0	5.98706E-14	2	1.19741E-13



