CHAPTER 2.3

Pumping lemma for context-free language:

If A is a context-free language, then there is a number p (the pumping length) where, if s is any string in A of length at least p, then s may be divided into 5 pieces s = uvxyz satisfying the condition:

- 1. For each $i \ge 0$, $uv^i x y^i z \in A$
- 2. |vy| > 0
- 3. $|vxy| \le p$

How to prove a language is not context-free

Example 2.36 (page 128) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AdfE0IcGaJs

CHAPTER 3

Formal definition of Turing Machine.

A Turing Machine is a 7-tuple, (Q, Σ, T, ∂, q₀, q_{accept}, q_{reject}):

- 1. Q is a finite set of states
- 2. Σ is the input alphabet not containing the blank symbol
- 3. T is the tape alphabet
- 4. $\partial: Q \times T \rightarrow Q \times T \times \{L, R\}$ is the transition function
- 5. q_0 is the start state
- 6. q_{accept} is the accept state
- 7. q_{reject} is the reject state, where q_{accept} != q_{reject}

Σ does not contain the blank symbol, so the first blank appearing on the tape marks the end of the input.

Configuration of the Turing Machine: A setting of current state, current tape contents, current head location

→ uqv configuration means: current state is q, current tape contents us uv, current head location is the first symbol of v.

Configuration C1 yields configuration C2 if the Turing machine can legally go from C1 to C2 in a single step.

Start configuration: qow

Accepting configuration: q_{accept} Rejecting configuration: q_{reject}

Halting configurations: accepting and rejecting configurations.

A Turing machine M accepts input w if a sequence of configurations C₁, C₂, C₃,...,C_k exists where:

- 1. C₁ is the start configuration of M on input w
- 2. Each C_i yields C_{i+1} and
- 3. C_k is an accepting configuration

The language of M, or the language recognized by M, L(M), is the collection of strings that M accepts.

Call a language **Turing-recognizable** if some Turing machine recognizes it. or recursively enumerable language Three possible outcomes for a Turing machine are **accept**, **reject** and **loop**

Loop means that machine simply does not halt

Deciders are Turing machines that halt on all inputs.

A decider that recognizes some language is also said to **decide** that language.

Call a language **Turing-decidable** if some Turing machine decides it.

Every decidable language is Turing-recognizable.

How to design an algorithm for a Turing machine:

→ Example 3.7 (page 171)

How to write the sequence of configurations for a Turing machine:

Regular. L L = fan | n704 L= Janbn | n70 9 L= Tww. | WE fa,65 L= {wux | [w]=2] Prove a L 13 not CFL *) Assume L 13 a CFL and there is a natural number where Z = UVWXY (| VX | M1) of both one is not null e) Find a suitable "k" so that uv wxxy EL -> so L is not CFL Example. L= la" b"c" (n>0) not CFL 2 = uvwxy , 2 = a 6 m c (n = 4 z = a 6 c) |z| = 3n > n. 121=3>1 UV WXY = anshen as n 31/1 x 1 > 1 (1) Yorx is at the form a'b' (or b'e') such that i'tj «n. winxiy -> ambmem so wiwxiy &L -> L 15 not CFL Using pumpy cama prove the Hollay layur a not CFL. L= 0 1 2 (17/1 -) L= { 012, 001122, 000111222, 0000 11112222-Z = doi/1/2/2 n = 6 (length of the string) (forall i) 0, uv2 mx'y &2 COSE 3. U V WXY EL Can 1 VWX | EN | VWX \ = 2 + 1 + 1 = 4. → 4 < 6 0/0101/1/22/2 &L 1 VX 1711 because o not follow by 1 not follow by 2.

=> this given layurge is not a content from layurge

|V| = 2 |x| = 1

37/1

Variants of the Turing machine model: the alternative definitions of Turing machines

Robustness: invariance to certain changes in the definition → the original model and its reasonable variants all

have the same power

Multitape Turing machine: is an ordinary Turing machine with several tapes.

- $n: Q \times T^k \to Q \times T^k \times \{L, R, S\}^k$
- k: the number of tapes

Nondeterministic Turing machine: a Turing machine that may proceed according to several possibilities.

- $\partial: Q \times T \rightarrow P(Q \times T \times \{L, R\})$
- Every nondeterministic Turing machine has an equivalent deterministic Turing machine.

Enumerator: is a Turing machine with an attached printer.

HILBERT'S PROBLEM

Polynomial is a sum of terms, where each **term** is a product of certain variables and a constant, called a **coefficient**.

Root: is an assignment of values to its variables so that the value of the polynomial is 0.

Integral root: is a root where all the variables are assigned integer values.

Church -Turing thesis: the intuitive notion of algorithms equals the Turing machine algorithms.

To describe a Turing machine algorithm:

- Formal description: give details on the Turing machine's states, transition functions and so on.
- Implementation description: use English to describe the way that a Turing machine moves its head and the way it stores data on its tape.
- High-level description: use English to describe an algorithm, ignoring the implementation details

CHAPTER 4

ADFA: is a language expressed as the acceptance problem for DFAs of testing whether a particular deterministic finite automaton accepts a given string

 $\int A_{DFA} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle | B \text{ is a DFA that accepts input string } w \}.$

Adra is a decidable language.

 $\int EQDFA = \{ \langle A, B \rangle | A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B) \}.$

EQ_{DFA} is a decidable language

ANFA = {<B, w>| B is an NFA that accepts input string w}. -> ANFA is a decidable language

AREX = {<R, w?| R is a regular expression that generates string w}. decidable language

 $ACFG = \{ \langle G, w \rangle | G \text{ is a CFG that generates string } w \}.$

 $E_{CFG} = \{ \langle G \rangle | G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \emptyset \}. \rightarrow it's a decidable language decidable lawse$

 $\int EQc_{FG} = \{ \langle G, H \rangle | G \text{ and } H \text{ are CFGs and } L(G) = L(H) \}.$

LEvery context free language is decidable.

Regular language → Context-free → Decidable → Recognizable

 $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w \}. \rightarrow undecidable$

One-to-one (injective): never maps 2 different elements to the same place, $f(a) \neq f(b)$ whenever $a \neq b$

Onto (surjective): for every $b \in B$, there's an $a \in A$ such that f(a) = b

Correspondence (bijective): both one-to-one and onto

A and B are the **same size** if there is a one-to-one, onto function $f: A \rightarrow B$

A set A is countable if either it is finite or it has the same size as N

The set R of real numbers is uncountable.

Each language $A \in L$ has a unique sequence in B. The ith bit of that sequence is a 1 if $si \in A$ and is a 0 if si not si

A, which is called the characteristic sequence of A

co-Turing-recognizable: the language that is the complement of a Turing-recognizable language.

A language is **decidable** iff it is Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable.

CHAPTER 5

Reducibility: the primary method for proving that problems are computationally unsolvable.

A **reduction** is a way of converting one problem to another problem in such a way that a solution to the second problem can be used to solve the first problem.

 $\mathsf{HALT_{TM}} = \{ < M, \ w > | \ M \ \text{is a TM and M halts on input w} \}. \rightarrow undecidable$

 $E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \emptyset \}. \rightarrow undecidable$

 $\mathsf{REGULARTM} = \{ <\!\! M > \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is a regular language} \} \rightarrow \mathsf{undecidable}$

 $\mathsf{EQTM} = \{ <\!\! M_1,\ M_2\!\!>\mid M_1,\ M_2\ \text{are TMs and } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \} \to undecidable$

Accepting computation history: a sequence of configurations, where C1 is the start of configuration of M on w, C(I) is an accepting configuration of M, and each Ci legally follows from C(i-1) according to the rules of M. **Rejecting computation history**: The same, except CI is a rejecting configuration.

Linear bounded automaton: a restricted type of Turing machine wherein the tape head isn't permitted to move off the portion of the tape containing the input. It has limited amount of memory.

 $A_{LBA} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | M \text{ is an LBA that accepts string w} \}.$

ALBA is decidable.

Lemma 5.8: Let M be an LBA with q states and g symbols in the tape alphabet. There are exactly q_ng_n distinct configurations of M for a tape of length n.

 $\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{LBA} = \{ < \mathsf{M} > | \; \mathsf{M} \; \text{is an LBA where L(M)} = \varnothing \}.$

ELBA is undecidable.

ALLCFG = $\{ \langle G \rangle | G \text{ is a CFG and L(G)} = \Sigma_* \}$.