```
Chugney Vy
Ratabur I
HW 6.
```

2/2

8,1
A decomposition 1R1, R23 is a lossless-soin decomposition if RI OR2 -> RI OR RI OR2 -> R2

· Let R1 = (A, B, C), $R_2 = (A, D, E)$ and R, A R2 = A.

Since A is a candinate key, therefore Ry AR2 -> R1

86 Compute desure of the following set F for relation schema r(A, B, C, P, E) A-> BC (D) E

B -> D

E つA

Start with A

1AJ+= 1A, B, C, D, Fy < A a candidak key 303+ = 10, D)

fc x+ = 1 c3

SDJ+ = SDJ

stj+ = fA, B, C, D, E } ← F is a / candidate Key.

1BCy+ = .1B,C,D9 = 1B,C,D, E3 = 1A,B,C,D,E5 + candidate ky.

1003 = 10,03

400y+ = 10,0, E3 = {A,B,C,D,E} + candidate Key.

We don't have to compute and FD H the form A*, BC*, CD*, E* because they will return & and & is any subset of JA,B,C,D, E3 => The candidate key is [A, E, BC, CD.

Find canonical conver of R

- + Assume $A \rightarrow B$ doesn't exist, $(A)^{t} = \{A \in \mathcal{G}, B \text{ is not in the attributes of } A, so <math>A \rightarrow B$ is not reductant.
- + Ascure $A \rightarrow C$ doesn't exist, $(A)^{+} = \{A \ b \ D\}_{S} C$ is not in the attributes of A, so $A \rightarrow C$ is not reductant.
 - + Herne $CD \rightarrow E$ does't exist, $CD)^{\dagger} = \{CD\}$, E 15 not in the athibutis of (CD), so $CD \rightarrow E$ 15 not reductant.
 - + Assume B -> D doesn't exist, (15) = 1 BB, D is not in the attribute a B, so b -> D is not reductant.
 - + Assume $E \to A$ doesn't exert, $(E)^{\dagger} = AE^{\dagger}$, A is not in the atomisative at E, so $E \to A$ is not reductant.
 - $\Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Comonical cover} & R' & > A \rightarrow B, \\ & A \rightarrow C \\ & CD \rightarrow E \\ & B \rightarrow D \\ & E \rightarrow A \end{array}$
 - => P'= (A,B,C), (C,D,E), (B,D), (E,A)
 - => R is 3NF (third normal form)

using chase Test c d, 21 r2 (c, D, E) a2

Based on the functional dependencies, we can't remove any subscript, so the de composition above is not a lossless.

$$\frac{8.29}{a)}$$
 (B) = $\frac{1}{2}$ D, A, B, C, E $\frac{3}{2}$

b). Prove using Armstrong's axioms that AF is a support key. A -> 1500 (given) ABCDE -> ABCDE (Augmentation) A -> ABCDE (Transitivity) AF-> ABCDEF (Augmontation)

$$A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow (A) = 1 A, B, D$$

*
$$A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow (A)^{\dagger} = \{A, C, D\}$$

* $A \rightarrow C \Rightarrow (A)^{\dagger} = \{A, B, D\}$
* $A \rightarrow D \Rightarrow (A)^{\dagger} = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \rightarrow 11$ reductant

$$+ A \rightarrow D \Rightarrow (H)$$
 $+ BC \rightarrow D \rightarrow (EC)^{T} = \{ B, C, E, D, Ab \rightarrow \} \Rightarrow \text{ reductand}$

$$(b) \rightarrow (b)^{+} = \{b\}$$
 $(b) \rightarrow (b)^{+} = \{b\}$

We minize the number of relation

Fran the FD above, we see that (B) + = {D, A, B, C, E3. So from B, we can also determine E.

From e, we can break the canonical conver into it am relation.

$$r_1(A_1B_1C)$$
 $r_2(B_1D_1E)$

Since the relations above doesn't have Falthibute from the original relation schoma. So, we need to add another relation with a Super Key.

We know that AF is superkey of relation V. Also, based on the FD, we can have the decomposing.

r, (A,B,C,D) r2 (A, E, F)

However, from the enginal FD, we known that from A, we can get to F (A > E) without the needed of F. So this will violate the BCNF. We decomposing the 12 into (A, E) and (A, F)

-) Finally, we have the BCNF as tollar.

V, (A, B, C, D)

12 (A, E)

rs (A, F)

f) From the canonical conver, we can't get the BENF as from R. from d, we can get OCAF as fra (A, B, C), 12 (B, D, E), 13 (D, A) ry (A, F) 3. So thus different from 2.

However, if we can inter the canonical conver back to the original FD, then based on e, we can be composition it to BCNF same as above-

