

**THÈSE POUR OBTENIR LE GRADE DE DOCTEUR
DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTPELLIER**

En Informatique

École doctorale : Information, Structures, Systèmes

Unité de recherche LIRMM

**Vérification d'une méthodologie pour la conception de systèmes
numériques critiques**

Présenté par Vincent IAMPIETRO

Le Date de la soutenance

**Sous la direction de David Delahaye
et David Andreu**

Devant le jury composé de

[Nom Prénom], [Titre], [Labo]	[Statut jury]
[Nom Prénom], [Titre], [Labo]	[Statut jury]
[Nom Prénom], [Titre], [Labo]	[Statut jury]



**UNIVERSITÉ
DE MONTPELLIER**

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgments and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
1 Preliminary Notions	1
1.1 Set theory and mathematical notations	1
1.1.1 Intuitionistic first order logic	1
1.1.2 Set theory	2

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

SITPN	Synchronously executed Interpreted Time Petri Net with priorities
VHDL	Very high speed integrated circuit Hardware Description Language
CIS	Component Instantiation Statement
PCI	Place Component Instance
TCI	Transition Component Instance
GPL	Generic Programming Language
HDL	Hardware Description Language
LRM	Language Reference Manual
DSL	Domain Specific Language
MDE	Model-Driven Engineering

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Preliminary Notions

In this chapter, we introduce the mathematical formalisms and notations used throughout this thesis to express and formalize our ideas. Also, in the last section, we provide the basics to understand the Coq proof assistant, which is the system we use to write our programs and to mechanize our proofs. This chapter is inspired by the book *The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages: an Introduction*, the courses of the Cambridge of formal semantics, the documentation of the Coq proof assistant, and the Coq programming with dependent-types by Adam Chlipala.

add ref

1.1 Set theory and mathematical notations

1.1.1 Intuitionistic first order logic

The intuitionistic first order logic constitutes our framework for the expression and the interpretation of logical formulas. The language to express logical formulas is the same between classical and intuitionistic first order logic. A logical formula is either:

- a predicate (i.e. an atomic formula). A predicate P possibly takes n parameters as inputs and is interpreted to either true, represented by the \top symbol, or false, represented by the \perp symbol. We write $P(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ to denote an n -ary predicate.
- the composition of subformulas with one of the following connectors: the conjunction \wedge , the disjunction \vee , the implication \Rightarrow , the double implication \Leftrightarrow
- a subformula prefixed by the universal quantifier \forall or the existential quantifier \exists . For instance, the formula $\forall x.P(x)$ denotes the atomic formula $P(x)$ where x is a universally quantified variable of the formula. As a shorthand notation, we write $\forall x, y, z, \dots$ to denote $\forall x, \forall y, \forall z, \dots$. The same stands for the existential quantifier \exists .

The difference between the classical first order logic and the intuitionistic one relies in the absence of the *law of the excluded middle* in the latter logic. The *law of the excluded middle* considers that for all n-ary predicate $P \in X_0$ where $x_0 \in X_0, \dots, x_n \in X_n$, either $P(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is valued to true, or

1.1.2 Set theory

In this thesis, we use set theory as the base formalism for all our mathematical definitions and proofs. In the set theory, a set represents a group of elements called the members of the set. For every set X , we write $x \in X$ to denote that the element x is a member of set X . From here, there are multiple ways to define a set.