CSOR:4246 Assignment 1

Vibhuti Mahajan (UNI: vm2486)

26 September 2016

1 Solution

Algorithm

- The array given is sorted, so start searching for the key from the first element A[1]. Compare the search term with the key and return the index if both are same.
- Increment search index by a factor of 2 unless a number greater than key or an error message (∞) is encountered.
- Let 2^k be the index of the term which is greater than key or where ∞ is encountered. Perform binary search on the subarrray $A[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$

```
Pseudo Code
Binary-Search(A, left, right, key)
if(left==right) then
   if A[left]==key then
     return left
   else return no
   end if
else
   return mid
   else
     if A[mid];key then
       left=mid+1
     else
       right = mid-1
     end if
     Binary-Search(A, left, right, key)
   end if
end if
   Search(A, key)
i=key
while A[i];=key, do
   if A[i] = = key
     return i
   else
     i = 2 \times i
   end if
```

end while

Binary-Search(A, $\frac{i}{2}$, i, key)

Correctness of Algorithm

The algorithm returns the index of the element if the key is found or invokes binary search for the element in the subarray $A[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ in case key is not found. This is correct because:

- 1. the array is sorted hence key will not lie in the subarray $A[1, 2^{k-1}]$ which is already checked by the earlier iterations of the while loop
- 2. Neither can key lie in an array element greater than $A[2^k]$ because then it will violate the condition for the while loop.

Hence, the element must lie in $A[2^{k-1}]$. Further, binary search is performed on $A[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ which itself is a correct algorithm as shown in the class.

Running Time

- 1. Binary search takes at most $\mathcal{O}(\log \frac{n}{2}) = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- 2. While loop is repeated at most $\log_2 n$ times.
- 3. All statement in while loop takes constant time. Hence running time for the while loop is $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.
- 4. Assignment of key to i is a primitive calculation and takes constant time.
- \therefore Running time = $c_1 \log n + c_2 \log n + c_3 = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$

2 Solution

Algorithm

- (Assuming $\frac{k}{2}^{th}$ element exists in both the lists)Since the lists are sorted, we start by comparing the $\frac{k}{2}^{th}$ element of both the lists. Remove the elements preceding $\frac{k}{2}^{th}$ element from the smaller element among the 2 lists.
- If one of the lists has less than $\frac{k}{2}$ elements then we compare the last element of that list to $\frac{k}{2}$ element of the other and discard the smaller values.
- Update lists and the value of k after discarding the smaller elements. If r elements are discarded then value of k is updated as k-r.
- \bullet Repeat the above three steps with the updated value of k till k =1.

•

Pseudo Code

 $Rank(A,a_1,n,B,b_1,m,k)$

```
if m+n < k then
        return no
else if n==0 then
        return B[b_1+k-1]
else if m==0 then
        return A[a_1k-1]
else if k==1 then
        if A[a_1] < B[b_1] then
               return A[a_1]
        else
               return B[b_1]
        end if
else
        if n < \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor then if A[n] < B[\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor] then
                    a_1 = a_1 + n
                    n=n-n
               else
                   \begin{array}{l} k = k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \\ b_1 = b_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \\ m = m - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \end{array}
               end if
       else if m < \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor then if B[m] < A[\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor] then
                    k=k-m
                    b_1 = b_1 + m
                    m=m-m
               else
                   k = k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
a_1 = a_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
n = n - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
       end if else if A[\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor] < B[\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor] then k = k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor a_1 = a_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor n = n - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
        else
             k = k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
b_1 = b_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
m = m - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor
        end if
end if
Rank(A,a_1,n,B,b_1,m,k)
```

 $\label{eq:continuity} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Initial Call}: \mbox{Rank}(A,\!1,\!length(A),\,B,\,1,\,length(B),\!k) \\ \mbox{Correctness of Algorithm} \end{array}$

- The algorithm terminates if :
 - 1. the sum of number of elements in both lists exceed k. No solution in this case.
 - 2. either of the lists is exhausted. Then getting the k-th ranked element is a constant time operation in a sorted array.

- 3. If k=1 then we just compare the first elements of the considered sub-arrays. this is also a constant time computation.
- If we discard p smaller elements then we desire to get $k p^{th}$ ranked element from the remaining union of lists(p < k). Our algorithm works on this principle and it is correct because:
 - 1. Let the number of elements which we discard at a step be p_i and S be the number of elements already discarded. Essentially, $S = \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} p_n$. So we have, $S + p_i <= k$.
 - 2. The discarded elements belong to one exclusive list.
 - 3. Since the list itself is sorted hence all discarded elements are smaller than the element which was used to compare to the required element of the other list.
 - 4. From 1. above, it is not possible to discard potential k-th ranked element without the algorithm terminating.
- For the ideal case, the elements discarded at every step is half of what was discarded before. Hence, total elements discarded = $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{8}... + 1 = k$ (sum of a G.P.). Hence, the algorithm returns k-th ranked element. Or generally, let it take p steps to terminate this algorithm and at every step i, a_i elements are discarded. Then we have: $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_p = k$

Running Time

- Every recurrence takes constant time as the function rank just contains primitive computations.
- Worst case arises if we have to find the last rank. In that case, the number of times function rank is called can be at most $\log_2 n + \log_2 m$.
- Hence the running time of the algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(\log n + \log m)$

3 Solution

Let X, Y be the 2 n-bit integers whose multiplication we are interested in. We can write:

$$X = X_H \cdot 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + X_M \cdot 10^{\frac{n}{3}} + X_L$$
$$Y = Y_H \cdot 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + Y_M \cdot 10^{\frac{n}{3}} + Y_L$$

Hence,

$$X \times Y = (X_H Y_H) 10^{\frac{4n}{3}} + (X_M Y_H + X_H Y_M) 10^n +$$
$$(X_H Y_L + X_M Y_M + X_L Y_H) 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + (X_M Y_L + X_L Y_M) 10^{\frac{n}{3}} + X_L Y_L$$

Let T(n) be the time taken to multiply 2 n-bit integers. We have broken down this to 9 multiplication of 2 $\frac{n}{3}$ -bit integers.

$$(X_{H}Y_{H})10^{\frac{4n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{M}Y_{H} + X_{H}Y_{M})10^{n}$$

$$(X_{M}Y_{H} + X_{H}Y_{M})10^{n}$$

$$(X_{H}Y_{L} + X_{M}Y_{M} + X_{L}Y_{H})10^{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{H}Y_{L} + X_{L}Y_{M})10^{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{M}Y_{L} + X_{L}Y_{M})10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

$$(X_{L} + X_{L}Y_{M})10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

$$(X_{L} + X_{L}Y_{M})10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

$$(X_{L} + X_{L}Y_{M})10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$(X_{L}Y_{L})$$

... total running time = $T(n) = 9T(\frac{n}{3}) + cn$ where $c = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 + c_6 + c_7$. By using the master theorem, $a = 9, b = 3, k = 1 \& a > b^k$... Running Time = $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ 1. Pseudo-code:

```
Multiplication-6(X,Y,n)
```

$$Xq1=X/10^{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

 $Xr1=X\%^{\frac{2n}{3}}$ #modulus gives the remainder

$$Xq2=Xr1/10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$Xr2=Xr1\%10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$X_H = Xq1$$

$$X_M = Xq2$$

$$X_L = Xr2$$

$$Yq1=Y/10^{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

$$Yr1=Y\%^{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

$$Yq2=Yr1/10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$Yr2=Yr1\%10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

$$Y_H = \text{Yq1}$$

$$Y_M = Xq2$$

$$Y_L = Xr2$$

return
$$X_H Y_H \cdot (10^{\frac{4n}{3}} - 10^n - 10^{\frac{2n}{3}}) + X_M Y_M (10^{\frac{2n}{3}} - 10^n - 10^{\frac{n}{3}}) + X_L Y_L (-10^{\frac{2n}{3}} - 10^{\frac{n}{3}}) + (X_H + X_M) (Y_H + Y_M) 10^n + (X_H + X_L) (Y_H + Y_L) 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + (X_M + X_L) (Y_M + Y_L) 10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

Correctness:

• Dividing the n-bit integers by $10^{\frac{2n}{3}}$ gives the top $\frac{n}{3}$ bits of the concerned integer as the quotient. The remainder is the remaining $\frac{2n}{3}$ bits in their original order. Further dividing the remainder by $10^{\frac{n}{3}}$ gives the middle $\frac{n}{3}$ bits as the quotient and remainder as the lowest $\frac{n}{3}$ bit integers.

(a)
$$(X_M Y_H + X_H Y_M) = (X_M + X_H)(Y_M + Y_H) - X_M Y_M - X_H Y_H$$

(b)
$$(X_H Y_L + X_L Y_H) = (X_H + X_L)(Y_H + Y_L) - X_H Y_H - X_L Y_L$$

(c)
$$(X_M Y_L + X_L Y_M) = (X_M + X_L)(Y_M + Y_L) - X_M Y_M - X_L Y_L$$

Hence, $X \times Y$ can be written as additions or subtraction of 5 multiplication of 2 $\frac{n}{3}$ -bit integers (can be atmost $\frac{n}{3} + 1$).

$$\therefore X \times Y = X_H Y_H \cdot 10^{\frac{4n}{3}} + a \cdot 10^n + b \cdot 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + X_M Y_M \cdot 10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + c \cdot 10^{\frac{n}{3}} + X_L Y_L$$

$$= X_H Y_H \cdot (10^{\frac{4n}{3}} - 10^n - 10^{\frac{2n}{3}}) + X_M Y_M (10^{\frac{2n}{3}} - 10^n - 10^{\frac{n}{3}}) + X_L Y_L (-10^{\frac{2n}{3}} - 10^{\frac{n}{3}}) + (X_H + X_M)(Y_H + Y_M)10^n + (X_H + X_L)(Y_H + Y_L)10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + (X_M + X_L)(Y_M + Y_L)10^{\frac{n}{3}}$$

2. Multiplication takes $T(\frac{n}{3})$ time because asymptotically, $\frac{n}{3} + 1 = \frac{n}{3}$. Addition and subtraction take linear time, hence $\mathcal{O}(n)$ because we can have at most 2n digits.

Hence, running time=
$$T(n) = 6T(\frac{n}{3}) + cn$$

Using master theorem,
$$a = 6, b = 3, k = 1 \& a > b^k$$

$$T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_3 6}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.63}).$$

For 2-split integer multiplication, the running time is $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.58}) \& n^{1.58} = \Omega(n^{1.63})$ Hence, 2-split integer multiplication is faster/ more-efficient.

3. For 5 multiplications,

$$T(n) = 5T(\frac{n}{3}) + cn$$

Using master theorem,
$$a = 5, b = 3, k = 1 & a > b^k$$

$$T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_3 5}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.46}) \& n^{1.46} = \Omega(n^{1.58})$$

Hence, 5 multiplication for a 3-split integer multiplication is faster than a 2-split multiplication.

4. (I am aware of this concept from my undergrad study in algorithms) Pseudo Code

```
Multiplication-5(X,Y,n)
#Initisalisation of X_H, X_M, X_L, Y_H, Y_M and Y_L is the same as the 6-multiplication problem
a = X_H Y_H
b = X_L Y_L
c = (X_H + X_M + X_L)(Y_H + Y_M + Y_L)
d = (X_H - X_M + X_L)(Y_H - Y_M + Y_L)
e = (X_H - 2X_M + 4X_L)(Y_H - 2Y_M + 4Y_L)return a10^{\frac{4n}{3}} + \frac{3a+3b+2c-6d+e}{6}10^n + \frac{-2a-2b+c+d}{2}10^{\frac{2n}{3}} + \frac{-3a-3b+c+3d-e}{6}10^{\frac{n}{3}} + b
Let
```

- (a) $a = X_H Y_H$
- (b) $b = X_L Y_L$

(c)
$$c = (X_H + X_M + X_L)(Y_H + Y_M + Y_L) = X_H Y_H + X_L Y_L + (X_H Y_M + X_M Y_H) + (X_M Y_L + X_L Y_M) + (X_H Y_L + X_L Y_H + X_M Y_M) = a + b + x + y + z$$
, where:

- $x = (X_H Y_M + X_M Y_H)$
- $y = (X_M Y_L + X_L Y_M)$
- $z = (X_H Y_L + X_L Y_H + X_M Y_M)$

(d)
$$d = (X_H - X_M + X_L)(Y_H - Y_M + Y_L) = a + b - x - y - z$$

(e)
$$e = (X_H - 2X_M + 4X_L)(Y_H - 2Y_M + 4Y_L) = a + 16b - 2x - 8y - 4z$$

a,b,c,d,e are the 5 multiplication terms. We have to express x, y, z as addition and/or subtractions of a, b, c, d and e. Solving the three linear equation for three variables, we get:

- $\bullet \ \ x = \frac{3a + 3b + 2c 6d + e}{6}$
- $y = \frac{-3a 3b + c + 3d e}{6}$ $z = \frac{-2a 2b + c + d}{2}$
- (a) The multiplication terms are multiplications of 2 integers of size at most $\frac{n}{3} + 1 = \frac{n}{3}$, asymptoti-
- (b) Multiplication and division of an n-bit integer with a single digit integer is linear corresponding
- (c) Hence, the running time for the above algorithm can be written as: $T(n) = 5T(\frac{n}{3}) + cn = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.46})$

Solution 4

```
Pseudo Code
swap(A, i, j)
if A[i]; A[j] then
   temp = A[j]
   A[j]=A[i]
   A[i]=temp
end if
return (A)
```

modify-sort(A, left, right)

\mathbf{f}	g	0	О	Ω	ω	Θ
$\log^5 n$	$10\log^3 n$			Yes	Yes	
$n^2 \log (2n)$	$n \log n$			Yes	Yes	
$\sqrt{\log n}$	$\log \log n$			Yes	Yes	
$n^2 + n^{\frac{1}{3}}$	$n^2 \log n + n^{\frac{5}{2}}$	Yes	Yes			
$\sqrt{n} + 1500$	$n^{\frac{1}{3}} + \log n$			Yes	Yes	
$n^{\frac{3^n}{n^2}}$ $n^{\log n}$	$2^n \log n$			Yes	Yes	
$n^{\log n}$	2^n	Yes	Yes			
2^n	$\frac{3^n}{n^{\log n}}$	Yes	Yes			
n^n	n!			Yes	Yes	
$\log^n n$	$\log n!$			Yes	Yes	

Table 1: Solution Problem 5

```
if (right-left);1 then
            return (A)
else if (right-left)==1 then
            return swap(A, left, right)
else
            \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{modify-sort}(A,\operatorname{left},\,\operatorname{left}+\lfloor\frac{2(right-\operatorname{left})}{3}\rfloor)\\ \operatorname{modify-sort}(A,\,\operatorname{right}-\lfloor\frac{2(right-\operatorname{left})}{3}\rfloor\operatorname{right})\\ \operatorname{modify-sort}(A,\operatorname{left},\,\operatorname{left}+\lfloor\frac{2(\mathring{right}-\operatorname{left})}{3}\rfloor) \end{array}
```

Initial call: modify-sort(A, 1, n)

- The swapping of 2 numbers is a primitive computation and takes constant time to execute that. Hence swap is a constant time function.
- If T(n) is the time taken for the algorithm to run on n elements, then each recursive step takes $T(\frac{2n}{3})$
- There are 3 recursions in each recursive call.

Hence, $T(n) = 3T(\frac{2n}{3}) + c$ Using the master theorem, $a = 3, b = \frac{3}{2}, k = 0$: $a > b^k$ Thus time taken to run the algorithm $= T(n) = \mathcal{O}(\log_{\frac{3}{2}}3) = \mathcal{O}(n^{2.71})$. Since the time taken is greater than insertion sort, merge sort etc, I will probably not use the algorithm in future.

Solution 5

check table above