Experimental evolution of dispersal and to larval malnutrition in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Vibishan B.

Department of Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER),
Pune

1 Introduction

1.1 Dispersal

- Dispersal is recognised as an important partner in life history evolution.
- Dispersal is costly, and is correlated to several phenotypes, physiological and behavioural.
- Previous work from the lab has shown that under normal lab conditions, at least components of dispersal can be evolved without any significant cost across many traits (except for dessication and some infections) considered key in life history strategies.
- Could it be that the nutritional content of the maintenance food is such that all flies have enough excess energy to allocate for dispersal alongside everything else?
- Whole-food dilution is somewhat hairy, and early trials showed that N/3 had the same effect as diluting all components.

1.2 Larval malnutrition

- Our paradigm involves malnutrition at the larval stages => larvae grow in one-third the regular amount of protein.
- With or without compensatory feeding, this marks an imbalance in the regular diet of the larvae.
- Imbalanced diets have physiological consequences, as shown by the long and storied history of dietary restriction and nutritional geometry in flies.
- With these physiological effects as a starting point, it is possible to ask if these proximate mechanisms change reaction norms over the course of adaptation to an imbalanced diet.

(Ahmad et al., 2018)-body size is affected by levels of dietary protein and sugars, foraging stuff from below, and cannibalism. PI3K/Akt has potential connections to many of these processes. (Vijendravarma et al., 2012)-sitter-rover type polymorphism seen between selected and control populations, under

abundant low-quality food. Increase in foraging rate (longer foraging paths, etc) is energy-intensive, and could therefore depend on amount and quality of food. Under crowded conditions, increasing foraging length could lead to better food patches, while uncrowded poor-food environments can possibly not sustain a high-foraging phenotype due to poor food quality. They see their selected populations acting as sitters compared to the unselected ones, and while *for* expression does not follow this expectation, other genetic mechanisms involving *for* are possible. (Kolss et al., 2009)-evolutionary response to poor food included better egg-to-adult viability, smaller body size and faster development time in poor food, but the plastic response to food quality was mostly the same as the unselected flies. Selected female flies had lower fecundity on normal food compared to unselected ones, but no other trade-offs were found in heat or cold tolerance. Adult survival on normal food is not different between the two, while adults from selected larvae survived worse on poor food; in both these cases, larvae were raised on normal food.

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental evolution protocol

We begin with four independent large outbred populations (breeding population size 2400) of *Drosophila melanogaster* (DB1-4, short for "Dey Baseline") in lab which in turn were derived from similar older lines (JB1-4). From each DBi ($i \in [1,4]$), two populations called VBi ("Vagabond", subjected to selection for dispersal) and VBCi (not subjected to selection, hence act as controls) were derived. Thus, VB and VBC populations that share the same subscript are related by ancestry. All populations with the same subscript constitute one block. Furthermore, two populations called MDi ("Malnourished Dispersers", selected for dispersal under malnourished conditions) and MCi (corresponding control for only dispersal) were derived from each VBCi ($i \in [1,4]$). All VB(C) and MD(C) populations are maintained on a 15-day cycle at 25°C and constant light conditions. For the MD(C) populations, eggs from each new generation are reared on malnourished (N/3 Y) food before the migration assay. Briefly, the set-up consists of three components: source, path and destination. For every generation of MDi, adult flies are introduced into a source container without food or water on the 12th day post-egg collection, and allowed to disperse (currently, a path length of 15m) for 6 hours or till 50% of the flies reach the sink, whichever occurs first. MCi populations, at the same time, are kept in source containers under identical conditions for the same duration without undertaking migration.

2.2 Dispersal experiments

Following 42-43 generations of selection according to the protocol above, assays were carried to determine if any adaptive response has developed in MD compared to MC in response to the dispersal selection regime. All experiments were performed two blocks at a time due to logistic constraints, and after one generation of relaxation to eliminate maternal effects.

2.2.1 Dispersal kernel

The dispersal kernel is a probability-density function, usually of the distances travelled by a population of dispersers in a given period of time (Clobert et al., 2012). The kernel is a central feature of any dispersal process and can be useful to measure as it carries information about propensity to initiate

dispersal, the spatial extent of the population, and potential for change in the distribution. The assay setup has a source without food or water, a sink with a small protrusion of the path inside that reduces backflow of flies, and a path of length, 20m. The path is made up of detachable sections of plastic pipes, referred to as bins (twenty 0.5m + ten 1m bins). 12 days post egg collection, 1000 flies per treatment were introduced into a source (as in Figure 1) and allowed to disperse for six hours (3 replicates per treatment). After six hours, the bins were sequentially detached and sealed. The flies were heat killed and the number of male and female flies in each bin were counted.

Two separate components were calculated based on the resulting kernel:

Propensity =
$$\frac{\text{\# of flies outside the source}}{\text{Total \# of flies}}$$
 Ability = $\sum_{i=1}^{y} \frac{x_i n_i}{n_i}$

where, y is the total number of bins, n_i is the number of flies in the i^{th} bin, and x_i is the distance of the mind-point of the i^{th} bin from the source.

2.2.2 Dry body weight

12 days post egg collection, flies were sorted by sex under light CO2 anaesthesia, then placed in 1.5mL Eppendorf tubes (20 individuals per tube; 10 replicates per treatment for each sex), and killed by flash freezing. These flies were dried at 60½C for 72 hrs and body weight was measured to the closest 0.1mg as: (weight of the Eppendorf tubes with flies - weight of empty tubes)/20.

2.2.3 Fecundity

This assay was conducted 14 days post egg collection in which 55 male-female pairs per treatment were each be kept in a 50 mL Falcon tube (with holes for aeration). The lid contained a small food cup providing a flat surface for oviposition. These set-ups were left undisturbed for 12 hours in a well-lit environment maintained at 25°C. After 12 hrs, the flies were discarded and the number of eggs laid on the food was counted under a microscope.

2.2.4 Locomotor activity (DAM)

Locomotor activity and resting behavior of adult male flies was assayed using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring (DAM2) data collection system (Trikinetics Inc, Waltham, MA) following standard protocols (Chiu et al. (2010); see also Text S1.2.1). 12 days post egg collection, individual flies were introduced into small glass tubes (length 6.5 cm, diameter 5 mm; 32 replicates per treatment). These tubes were placed in the monitoring apparatus such that two independent perpendicular IR beams pass through the centre of each glass tube. The activity was recorded for 6 h. The activity for a given fly was estimated as the average number of times the fly crossed the IR beam per hour (Chadov et al., 2015). Continuous inactivity for five minutes or more was considered as sleep/rest (Chiu et al., 2010; Hendricks et al., 2000).

2.3 Dietary modification

As noted earlier, the MC populations were derived from VBC and only differ from the latter in the larval diet. An MC-VBC comparison could therefore provide insight into adaptive changes to selection under

larval malnutrition. Our approach in this case was a single-generation diet modulation experiment, to look at the response profiles of the two populations to different ratios of dietary protein and carbohydrates.

All assays were conducted after rearing both MC and VBC on normal food for one generation to minimise any potential non-genetic parental effects. This was done two blocks at a time for logistic reasons. A cornmeal formulation was used for the experimental diets as it allows finer control of protein:carbohydrate ratios in the food through yeast and sugar. Eggs collected from the relaxed populations were reared on cornmeal medium of four different protein:carbohydrate (P:C) concentrations-lowY, eqY, highY and onlyY. Adults eclosing subsequently were assayed for key life-history traits as below.

2.3.1 Egg-to-adult viability

Exactly 30 eggs were collected per vial for 10 vials per treatment. The number of flies eclosed was counted under CO_2 anaesthesia daily from the 8th day post egg collection till the 14th day.

2.3.2 Dry body weight

12 days post egg collection, flies were sorted by sex under CO2 anaesthesia, then placed in 1.5mL Eppendorf tubes (8-12 individuals per tube; 10 replicates per treatment for each sex), and killed by flash freezing. These flies were dried at 60°C for 72h. The body weight was calculated to the closest 0.001mg as: Weight of the Eppendorf tubes with flies - Weight of empty tubes # of flies in the tube

2.3.3 Realised fecundity

14 days post egg collection, 40 male-female pairs per treatment were kept in a vial with 6 mL normal banana jaggery food. They were then left to lay eggs for 12h in a well-lit environment maintained at 25° C. After 12h, the flies were discarded and the vials were maintained under similar conditions. The number of flies eclosed per day was counted every day from the 8th day post egg collection till the 14th day under CO_2 anaesthesia.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Multi-way mixed-model ANOVAs were used for wherever applicable. All ratio data were arcsine-square root transformed and block was considered a random factor wherever it occured in the analysis. Type 3 sums of squares were used in cases of unequal sample sizes between treatments. All analyses were performed on STATISTICA.

3 Results

4 Future outlook

References

Ahmad, M., Keebaugh, E. S., Tariq, M. and Ja, W. W. (2018). Evolutionary Responses of Drosophila melanogaster Under Chronic Malnutrition. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution *6*, 1–8.

- Chadov, B. F., Fedorova, N. B. and Chadova, E. V. (2015). Conditional mutations in Drosophila melanogaster: On the occasion of the 150th anniversary of G. Mendel's report in Brünn. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research 765, 40–55.
- Chiu, J. C., Low, K. H., Pike, D. H., Yildirim, E. and Edery, I. (2010). Assaying locomotor activity to study circadian rhythms and sleep parameters in Drosophila. Journal of Visualized Experiments 43.
- Clobert, J., Baguette, M., Benton, T. G. and Bullock, J. M. (2012). Dispersal Ecology and Evolution. Oxford University Press.
- Hendricks, J. C., Finn, S. M., Panckeri, K. A., Chavkin, J., Williams, J. A., Sehgal, A. and Pack, A. I. (2000). Rest in Drosophila Is a Sleep-like State. Neuron *25*, 129–138.
- Kolss, M., Vijendravarma, R. K., Schwaller, G. and Kawecki, T. J. (2009). Life-history consequences of adaptation to larval nutritional stress in Drosophila. Evolution *63*, 2389–2401.
- Vijendravarma, R. K., Narasimha, S. and Kawecki, T. J. (2012). Evolution of foraging behaviour in response to chronic malnutrition in Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings. Biological sciences *279*, 3540–6.