### Instructor: Dr. Mahdi Roozbahani

#### Deadline: Oct 6th, Tuesday, 11:59 pm AOE No unapproved extension of the deadline is allowed. Late submission will lead to 0 credit.

- Discussion is encouraged on Piazza as part of the Q/A. However, all assignments should be done individually.

# Instructions for the assignment

- This assignment consists of both programming and theory questions. Q4 is bonus for both undergraduate and graduate students.
- To switch between cell for code and for markdown, see the menu -> Cell -> Cell Type
- You can directly type Latex equations into markdown cells. • Typing with Latex\markdown is required for all the written questions. Handwritten answers will not be accepted.
- Using the autograder
- You will find two assignments on Gradescope that correspond to HW2: "HW2 Programming" and "HW2 Non-programming".

• If a question requires a picture, you could use this syntax "< imgsrc =" style =" width : 300px;" />" to include them within

### • You will submit your code for the autograder on "HW2 - Programming" in the following format:

your ipython notebook.

- kmeans.py
- gmm.py semisupervised.py
- All you will have to do is to copy your implementations of the classes "Kmeans", "GMM", "CleanData", "SemiSupervised" onto the

might have an issue.

respective files. We provided you different .py files and we added libraries in those files please DO NOT remove those lines and add your code after those lines. Note that these are the only allowed libraries that you can use for the homework. You are allowed to make as many submissions until the deadline as you like. Additionally, note that the autograder tests each function separately, therefore it can serve as a useful tool to help you debug your code if you are not sure of what part of your implementation

• For the "HW2 - Non-programming" part, you will download your jupyter notbook as html and submit it as a PDF on

- Gradescope. To download the notebook as PDF, click on "File" on the top left corner of this page and select "Download as > PDF". The non-programming part corresponds to Q2, Q3.3 (both your response and the generated images with your implementation) and Q4.2 When submitting to Gradescope, please make sure to mark the page(s) corresponding to each problem/sub-problem.

A univariate Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) has two components, both of which have their own mean and standard deviation. The model

 $\mathbf{z} \sim Bernoulli(\theta)$  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ 

2. EM algorithm [20 pts]

## is defined by the following parameters:

2.1 Performing EM Update [10 pts]

 $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}=1) \sim \mathcal{N}(2\mu, 3\sigma)$ For a dataset of N datapoints, find the following:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum^{Z} p(z)p(x|z) = \sum^{K} p(z_k)\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \sigma_k)$$
2.1.2. E-Step: Compute the posterior probability, i.e,  $p(z^i = k|x^i)$ , where  $k = \{0,1\}$  [2pts]

 $p(z^{i} = k | x^{i}) = \frac{p(z^{i} = k)p(x^{i} | z^{i} = k)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} p(z^{i} = k_{i})p(x^{i} | z^{i} = k_{i})} = \frac{p(z^{i} = k)\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | \mu_{k}, \sigma_{k})}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} p(z^{i} = k_{i})\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | \mu_{k_{i}}, \sigma_{k_{i}})}$ 

2.1.1. Write the marginal probability of x, i.e. p(x) [2pts]

for 
$$k=0$$
 :

 $p(z^{i} = 0|x^{i}) = \frac{p(z^{i} = 0)\mathcal{N}(x^{i}|\mu_{0},\sigma_{0})}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} p(z^{i} = k_{i})\mathcal{N}(x^{i}|\mu_{k},\sigma_{k})} = \frac{\theta\mathcal{N}(x^{i}|\mu,\sigma)}{\theta\mathcal{N}(x^{i}|\mu,\sigma) + (1-\theta)\mathcal{N}(x^{i}|2\mu,3\sigma)}$ 

2.1.3. M-Step: Compute the updated value of  $\mu$  (You can keep  $\sigma$  fixed for this) [3pts]

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p(z-k_j) \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_{k_j}, \sigma_{k_j}) \qquad \text{ov}(x \mid \mu, \sigma) \land (x \mid \mu, \sigma) \land$$

 $p(z^{i} = 1 | x^{i}) = \frac{p(z^{i} = 1)\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} p(z^{i} = k_{i})\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | \mu_{k}, \sigma_{k})} = \frac{(1 - \theta)\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | 2\mu, 3\sigma)}{\theta \mathcal{N}(x^{i} | \mu, \sigma) + (1 - \theta)\mathcal{N}(x^{i} | 2\mu, 3\sigma)}$ 

$$l(x|\theta) = \sum^{N} \sum^{Z} p(z_{k}|x_{n}, \theta_{old}) \ln [p(x_{n}, z_{k}|\theta)] = \sum^{N} \sum^{Z} p(z_{k}|x_{n}, \theta_{old}) \ln [p(z_{k})\mathcal{N}(x_{n}|\mu_{k}, \sigma_{k})]$$

$$l(x|\theta) = \sum^{N} \sum^{Z} p(z_{k}|x_{n}, \theta_{old}) \ln [p(z_{k}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{k}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-(x_{n}-\mu_{k})^{2}}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}}}]$$

 $l(x|\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{Z} p(z_{k}|x_{n}, \theta_{old}) [\ln p(z_{k}) + \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{k}^{2}}} - \frac{(x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{2}}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}}]$ 

$$\frac{\partial l(x|\theta)}{\partial \mu_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old}) \left[ -\frac{2(x_n - \mu_k)(-1)}{2\sigma_k^2} \right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old}) \left[ \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)}{\sigma_k^2} \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old})(x_n - \mu_k)}{\sigma_k^2} = 0$$

 $l(x|\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{Z} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old}) [\ln p(z_k) + \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2}} - \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}]$ 

$$\mu_k^{new} = \frac{\sum^N p(z_k|x_n,\theta_{old})x_n}{\sum^N p(z_k|x_n,\theta_{old})}$$
2.1.4. M-Step: Compute the updated value for  $\sigma$  (You can keep  $\mu$  fixed for this) [3pts]

 $\frac{\partial l(x|\theta)}{\partial \sigma_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old}) \left[ \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^3} - \frac{1}{\sigma_k} \right] = 0$ 

 $\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_k, \theta_{old}) \mu_k^{new} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_k, \theta_{old}) x_k$ 

$$l(x|\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{Z} p(z_k|x_n, \theta_{old}) [\ln p(z_k) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2} - \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}]$$

 $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N} p(z_{k}|x_{n}, \theta_{old})[(x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{2} - \sigma_{k}^{2}]}{\sigma^{3}} = 0$ 

$$\sigma^{new} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_n, \theta_{old})(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_n, \theta_{old})}}$$

2.2 EM Algorithm in ABO Blood Groups [10 pts]

 $\sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_n, \theta_{old}) \sigma_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p(z_k | x_n, \theta_{old}) (x_n - \mu_k)^2$ 

 $\boldsymbol{B}$ OB0 00 AB

In a research experiment, scientists wanted to model the distribution of the genotypes of the population. They collected the phenotype

 $\boldsymbol{A}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{B}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{B}$ 

Genotype

AOOA

BB

BO

AB

information from the participants as this could be directly observed from the individual's blood group. The scientists, however want to use this data to model the underlying genotype information. In order to help them obtain an understanding, you suggest using the EM algorithm to find out the genotype distribution. You know that the probability of that an allele is present in an individual is independent of the probability of any other allele, i.e, P(AO) = P(OA) = P(A) \* P(O) and so on. Also note that the genotype pairs: (AO, OA) and (BO, OB) are identical and can be treated as AO, BO respectively. You also know that the alleles follow a multinomial distribution. p(O) = 1 - p(A) - p(B)

Given:  $p_A = p_B = p_O = \frac{1}{3}$  $n_A = 186, n_B = 38, n_O = 284, n_{AB} = 13$ 

Let  $n_A$ ,  $n_B$ ,  $n_O$ ,  $n_{AB}$  be the number of individuals with the phenotypes A, B, O and AB respectively.\ Let  $n_{AA}$ ,  $n_{AO}$ ,  $n_{BB}$ ,  $n_{BO}$ ,  $n_{AB}$  be the

 $n_A = n_{AA} + n_{AO}$  $n_R = n_{RR} + n_{RO}$  $n_A + n_B + n_O + n_{AB} = n$ 

numbers of individuals with genotypes AA, AO, BB, BO and AB respectively.\ The satisfy the following conditions:

 $n_{BB} = p(BB|B)n_B = \frac{1}{3}38 = 12.667 \approx 13$  $p(BO|B) = \frac{p(BO)}{p(BB) + 2p(BO)} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{2}{9}} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{3}{9}} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

2.2.1. In the E step, compute the value of  $n_{AA}$ ,  $n_{AO}$ ,  $n_{BB}$ ,  $n_{BO}$ . [5pts]

 $p(AA|A) = \frac{p(AA)}{p(AA) + 2p(AO)} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{2}{9}} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{3}{9}} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

 $p(AO|A) = \frac{p(AO)}{p(AA) + 2p(AO)} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{2}{9}} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{3}{9}} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

 $p(BB|B) = \frac{p(BB)}{p(BB) + 2p(BO)} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

 $n_{BO} = 2p(BO|B)n_B = \frac{2}{3}38 = 25.333 \approx 25$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(p,\lambda) = l(p|\theta) + \lambda(p_A + p_B + p_O)$ 

 $n = n_{AA} + n_{AO} + n_{AB} + n_{BB} + n_{BO} + n_{OO}$ :

To find  $p_A^{new}$  we solve for  $p_A$  in the partial with respect to  $p_A$ :

To find  $p_B^{new}$  we solve for  $p_B$  in the partial with respect to  $p_B$ :

 $\frac{2(n_{AA} + n_{AO} + n_{AB} + n_{BB} + n_{BO} + n_{OO})}{\frac{1}{3}} = -3\lambda$ 

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(p,\lambda)}{\partial p_A} = \frac{2n_{AA} + n_{AO} + n_{AB}}{p_A} + \lambda = 0$ 

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(p,\lambda)}{\partial p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} = \frac{2n_{BB} + n_{BO} + n_{AB}}{p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} + \lambda = 0$ 

 $n_{AA} = p(AA|A)n_A = \frac{1}{3}186 = 62$ 

 $n_{AO} = 2p(AO|A)n_A = \frac{2}{3}186 = 124$ 

 $p(AA|A) = \frac{p(AA,A)}{p(A)} = \frac{p(AA)p(A)}{p(A,AA) + 2p(A,AO)} = \frac{p(AA)p(A)}{p(A)p(AA) + 2p(A)p(AO)} = \frac{p(AA)}{p(AA) + 2p(AO)}$ 

2.2.2. In the M step, find the new value of 
$$p_A$$
,  $p_B$  given the updated values from E-step above. (Round off the answer to 3 decimal places) [5pts] 
$$l(p|\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} n_k \ln p_k$$

 $l(p|\theta) = n_{AA} \ln[p_{AA}] + n_{AO} \ln[p_{AO}] + n_{BB} \ln[p_{BB}] + n_{BO} \ln[p_{BO}] + n_{AB} \ln[p_{AB}] + n_{OO} \ln[p_{OO}]$ 

 $l(p|\theta) = n_{AA} \ln[p_A^2] + n_{AO} \ln[2p_A p_B] + n_{BB} \ln[p_B^2] + n_{BO} \ln[2p_B p_O] + n_{AB} \ln[p_A p_B] + n_{OO} \ln[p_O^2]$ 

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(p,\lambda)}{\partial p_O} = \frac{n_{AO} + n_{BO} + 2n_{OO}}{p_O} + \lambda = 0$  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(p,\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = p_A + p_B + p_O - 1 = 0$ We can find  $\lambda$  by taking the sum of the first three partials, given that  $p_A=p_B=p_O=\frac{1}{3}$  and

 $2n = -\lambda$  $\lambda = -2n$ 

 $\frac{2n_{AA}+n_{AO}+n_{AB}}{p_A^{new}}-2n=0$ 

 $\frac{2n_{BB}+n_{BO}+n_{AB}}{p_R^{new}}-2n=0$ 

 $\frac{2n_{BB}+n_{BO}+n_{AB}}{p_n^{new}}=2n$ 

 $\frac{2n_{AA}+n_{AO}+n_{AB}}{p_A^{new}}=2n$  $p_A^{new} = \frac{2n_{AA} + n_{AO} + n_{AB}}{2n} = \frac{124 + 124 + 13}{1042} = 0.2505$ 

3.3 Japanese art and pixel clustering [10pts + 5pts]

 $p_B^{new} = \frac{2n_{BB} + n_{BO} + n_{AB}}{2n} = \frac{26 + 25 + 13}{1042} = 0.0614$ 

In [13]:

# example of loading image from url0 image1 = imageio.imread(imageio.core.urlopen(url1).read())

images) or provide any metrics you prefer.

image: input image of shape(H, W, 3)

for k in range(min\_clusters, max\_clusters + 1): clustered\_img = cluster\_pixels\_gmm(image, k)

img\_array.append(clustered\_img)

'k = 11', 'k = 12', 'k = 13', 'k = 14', 'k = 15'])

# this is for you to implement

uce a single image.

fault are 5 and 15.

find n woodblocks(image1) find n woodblocks(image3)

iter 99, loss: 9491260.5116: 100%|

iter 99, loss: 9284258.0690: 100%|

iter 99, loss: 9254103.1582: 100%|

iter 99, loss: 9129672.6648: 100%|

iter 99, loss: 10203659.4124: 100%|

iter 99, loss: 9941324.0846: 100%|

k = 6

Args:

image3 = imageio.imread(imageio.core.urlopen(url3).read())

def find\_n\_woodblocks(image, min\_clusters=5, max\_clusters=15):

estimate how many wood blocks were likely used to produce a single print. That is to say, how many wood blocks would appropriatly produce the original paint. (Hint: you can justify your answer based on visual inspection of the resulting images or on a different metric of your choosing) You do NOT need to submit your code for this question to the autograder. Instead you should include whatever images/information you find relevant in the report. import imageio # pick 2 of the images in this list: url0 = 'https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/b/b1/Utagawa Kunisada I %28c. 1832%29 Dawn at Fu tami-ga-ura.jpg' url1 = 'https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/9/95/Hokusai %281828%29 Cuckoo and Azaleas.jpg' url2 = 'https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/7/74/Kitao\_Shigemasa\_%281777%29\_Geisha\_and\_a\_ser vant carrying her shamisen box.jpg' url3 = 'https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/1/10/Kuniyoshi\_Utagawa%2C\_Suikoden\_Series 4.jpg'

Using the helper function above to find the optimal number of woodblocks that can appropriatly prod

You can simply examinate the answer based on your visual inspection (i.e. looking at the resulting

plot\_images([image] + img\_array, ['image', 'k = 5', 'k = 6', 'k = 7', 'k = 8', 'k = 9', 'k = 10',

1.86s/it]

k = 13

k = 13

k = 14

k = 14

| 100/100 [03:26<00:00, 2.07s/it]

| 100/100 [03:51<00:00, 2.31s/it]

| 100/100 [04:15<00:00, 2.56s/it]

| 100/100 [04:02<00:00, 2.43s/it]

| 100/100 [01:13<00:00, 1.35it/s]

min\_clusters, max\_clusters: the minimum and maximum number of clusters you should test with. De

Ukiyo-e is a Japanese art genre predominant from the 17th through 19th centuries. In order to produce the intricate prints that came to represent the genre, artists carved wood blocks with the patterns for each color in a design. Paint would be applied to the block and later

transfered to the print to form the image. In this section, you will use your GMM algorithm implementation to do pixel clustering and

optional: any other information/metric/plot you think is necessary. # raise NotImplementedError img array = []

(Usually the maximum number of clusters would not exceed 15)

plot: comparison between original image and image pixel clustering.

iter 99, loss: 10137364.4335: 100%| | 100/100 [01:12<00:00, 1.38it/s] iter 99, loss: 10043532.8471: 100% | 100/100 [01:29<00:00, 1.11it/s] iter 99, loss: 9729212.9692: 100%| | 100/100 [02:03<00:00, 1.24s/it] iter 99, loss: 9628612.8021: 100%| | 100/100 [02:15<00:00, 1.35s/it] | 100/100 [02:28<00:00, 1.48s/it] iter 99, loss: 9584606.5940: 100%| | 100/100 [02:37<00:00, iter 99, loss: 9550773.4307: 100%| iter 99, loss: 9325898.9175: 100%| | 100/100 [03:06<00:00,

iter 99, loss: 10163177.0726: 100%| | 100/100 [01:28<00:00, 1.13it/s] iter 99, loss: 10069649.7728: 100% | 100/100 [01:52<00:00, 1.12s/it] iter 99, loss: 10009978.6153: 100% | 100/100 [02:03<00:00, 1.24s/it] iter 99, loss: 9974826.2630: 100%| | 100/100 [02:27<00:00, 1.47s/it] iter 99, loss: 9945855.2196: 100%| | 100/100 [02:38<00:00, 1.59s/it] | 100/100 [03:03<00:00, 1.83s/it]

iter 99, loss: 9901072.9767: 100%| | 100/100 [03:18<00:00, 1.99s/it] iter 99, loss: 9865654.7684: 100%| | 100/100 [03:35<00:00, 2.16s/it] | 100/100 [03:55<00:00, iter 99, loss: 9835062.7903: 100%| 2.35s/it] iter 99, loss: 9831929.3941: 100%| | 100/100 [04:15<00:00, k = 10

k = 8

By visually inspecting the reconstructed images using GMM with increasing clusters from 5 to 15, we can see how the prints would look like if only that number of color wood blocks was used to create them. In order to decide which was the number of wood blocks used for the original we must inspect each reconstructed image with the original by increasing number of clusters until we reach the first one that fully represents all colors in the original. For the first image selected this happens at k = 13, meaning that for that print they probably used around 13 wood blocks. For the second one, it looks like they used 11 wood blocks (or 11 clusters in the case of the GMM algorithm).

k = 10

k = 11

k = 12

k = 9