

1.

(a)

$$a_1 = 2$$

$$a_2 = 3 \times a_1 + 2 = 8$$

$$a_3 = 3 \times a_2 + 2 = 26$$

$$a_4 = 3 \times a_3 + 2 = 80$$

$$a_5 = 3 \times a_4 + 2 = 242$$

(b)

$$\text{guess: } a_n = 3^n - 1$$

$$\text{prove: } a_1 = 3^1 - 1 = 2$$

$$a_2 = 3^2 - 1 = 8$$

$$a_3 = 3^3 - 1 = 26$$

$$a_4 = 3^4 - 1 = 80$$

$$a_5 = 3^5 - 1 = 242$$

$$a_6 = 3^6 - 1 = 728 = 3 \times a_5 + 2$$

Therefore, the explicit formula

$$\text{is } a_n = 3^n - 1.$$

2.

$$A - (B \cup C) = A \cap (B \cup C)^c \text{ by set difference law}$$

$$A \cap (B \cup C)^c = A \cap (B^c \cap C^c) \text{ by De Morgan's law}$$

$$(A - B) - C = (A \cap B^c) - C \text{ by set difference law}$$

$$(A \cap B^c) - C = (A \cap B^c) \cap C^c \text{ by set difference law}$$

$$A \cap (B^c \cap C^c) = (A \cap B^c) \cap C^c \text{ by associative law}$$

$$(A \cap B^c) \cap C^c = (A \cap B^c) \cap C^c$$

$$\text{Therefore, } A - (B \cup C) = (A - B) - C$$

$$3. S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 4a \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$T = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 4b+2 \text{ for } b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$E = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 2c \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Let (x, y) be an element of $S \times T$, it could be write as $(2(2a), 2(2b+1))$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

Let (f, g) be an element of $E \times E$, it could be write as $(2(c), 2(c))$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$

Since $2a$ is another form of c and $2(2b+1)$ is another form of c , we can have $S \times T \subseteq E \times E$.

However, for example $\{2, 2\}$ is a subset of $E \times E$ but not a subset of $S \times T$, therefore, $S \times T \subsetneq E \times E$.

$$4. P(\{0, 1\}) = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$$

$$P(\{0, 2\}) = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$$

$$P(\{0, 1\}) \times P(\{1, 2\}) = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2\}\}$$

(a) take two $f((A_1, B_1))$ and $f((A_2, B_2))$ that are equal to 1, there are many input options would output 1. For example, $f((\{0, 1\}, \{1\})) = 1$, $f((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1$, $f((\{0, 1, 2\}, \{1\})) = 1$. Therefore, f is not an injective function.

(b) For $f((A, B)) = 0$, we could find $f((\{\emptyset\}, \{0, 1\})) = 0$

For $f((A, B)) = 1$, we could find $f((\{0, 1\}, \{1\})) = 1$

For $f((A, B)) = 2$, we could find $f((\{0, 1, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 2$

Therefore, For every $f((A, B)) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we could find at least 1 $f((A, B)) = y$. Therefore, f is a surjective function.

$$5. \quad A = \overset{\{0,1,2\}}{[0,2]}, \quad B = \overset{\{3,4,5\}}{(2,6)}$$

$$f: A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow f(a) = a+3$$

Suppose $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$.

We have $a_1 + 3 = a_2 + 3$. Thus $a_1 = a_2$, we find an injective function $A \rightarrow B$.

$$g: B \rightarrow A \Rightarrow g(b) = b - 3$$

Suppose $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $g(b_1) = g(b_2)$.

We have $b_1 - 3 = b_2 - 3$, Thus $b_1 = b_2$, we find an injective function $B \rightarrow A$.

Therefore, base on Schröder - Bernstein Theorem, we find two injective functions f, g for both $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow A$. So, $|A| = |B|$.

6. I. Basis Property

$I(0)$: " $i = 0+1$ and $\min = \text{minimum value of } A[1]$ " which is true before the first iteration of the loop.

II. Inductive Property:

Suppose k is a positive integer such that $i \neq n \wedge I(k)$ is true before an iteration of the loop, $i \neq n$, $\min = \text{minimum value of } A[1], A[k]$, and $i = k$. Since $i \neq n$, the guard is passed and statement 1 is executed. Before execution of statement 1,

$\min_{\text{old}} = \text{minimum of } A[1], A[k]$
execution of statement 1 has the following effect:

$\min_{\text{new}} = \text{minimum of } A[1], A[k], A[k+1]$
before the execution. $i_{\text{old}} = k$
after the execution. $i_{\text{new}} = k+1$.

Hence, ($i=k$ and $\min = \text{minimum value of } A[1], A[k], A[k+1]$)

III. Eventual Falsity of $i \neq n$.

This loop will keep adding 1 to i until $i = n$, then $i = n$ will be false after $(n-1)$ times iteration (because initial $i = 1$, not 0).

IV. Correctness of the Post-Condition.

According to the post-condition, the set A after all execution of the loop should be $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[n]$. But if $i \neq n$ becomes false after $(n-1)$ times iteration, $i = n$. And if $I(n)$ is true, $i = m$ and $\min = \text{minimum value of } A[1], A[2], A[3], \dots, A[m+1]$. Since both $i \neq n$ false and $i(m)$ true are satisfied, $n = i = m$ and $\min = \text{minimum value of } A[1], A[2], A[3], \dots, A[m+1]$ as required. \square