435 HW1 Xiaowei Yuan 3.5

from autograd import numpy as np

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from autograd import value and grad

from autograd import grad

In [8]: static plotter = optlib.static plotter.Visualizer(); def gradient descent(alpha, max its, w): q = lambda w: 1/50*(w**4 + w**2 + 10*w)grad = lambda w: 1/50*(4*w**3 + 2*w + 10)# run the gradient descent loop cost history = [g(w)]

from mlrefined_libraries import math_optimization_library as optlib

container for corresponding cost function history for k in range(1, max its+1): # evaluate the gradient, store current weights and cost function value grad eval = grad(w) # take gradient descent step w = w - alpha*grad eval # collect final weights

static_plotter.plot_cost_histories([cost_history_1,cost_history_2,cost_history_3],start = 0,labels = [r'\$\alpha|pha

600

Conclusion: By comparing three lines, we can figure out when alpha = 1, gradient is too large, While when alpha = 0.01, gradient is too

 $\alpha = 0.1$

 $\alpha = 0.1$

1000

800

cost history.append(g(w)) return cost_history # initial point w = 2.0max its = 1000# first run alpha = 10**(0)cost_history_1 = gradient_descent(alpha, max_its, w) alpha = 10**(-1)cost_history_2 = gradient_descent(alpha, max_its, w) alpha = 10**(-2)cost_history_3 = gradient_descent(alpha, max_its, w)

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

slow. Alpha = 0.1 is the best option.

create the input function

gradient = grad(g)

g = lambda w: np.dot(w.T, w)[0][0]

for k in range(max its):

def gradient descent(g,alpha,max its,w):

run the gradient descent loop

evaluate the gradient grad_eval = gradient(w)

compute gradient module using autograd

weight history = [w] # weight history container

cost history = [g(w)] # cost function history container

 $g(\mathbf{w}^k)$

3.8

plot the cost function history for a given run

200

400

step k

take gradient descent step w = w - alpha*grad eval# record weight and cost weight history.append(w) cost history.append(g(w)) return weight history, cost history alpha_choice = 10**(0); max_its = 100; w = 10*np.ones((N,1)); weight_history_1,cost_history_1 = gradient_descent(g,alpha_choice,max_its,w) alpha choice = 10**(-1); weight_history_2,cost_history_2 = gradient_descent(g,alpha_choice,max_its,w) alpha choice = 10**(-2); weight_history_3,cost_history_3 = gradient_descent(g,alpha_choice,max_its,w) # the import statement for matplotlib import matplotlib.pyplot as plt def plot_cost_histories(cost_histories, labels): # create figure plt.figure() # loop over cost histories and plot each one for j in range(len(cost_histories)): history = cost_histories[j] label = labels[j] plt.plot(history, label = label) plt.legend() plt.show() $plot_cost_histories([cost_history_1, cost_history_2, cost_history_3], labels = [r'$\alpha = 1$', r'$\alpha = 0.1$']$

1000

800

600

400

200

In [17]:

3.9 def momentum(g,alpha_choice,beta,max its,w): gradient = value_and_grad(g) # run the gradient descent loop weight history = [] # container for weight history cost history = [] # container for corresponding cost function history alpha = 0cost eval, grad eval = gradient(w) # initialization for momentum direction h = np.zeros((w.shape))

for k in range(1, max its+1):

else:

check if diminishing steplength rule used

from matplotlib.axes._axes import _log as matplotlib_axes_logger

g = lambda w: (al + np.dot(bl.T,w) + np.dot(np.dot(w.T,Cl),w))[0]

 $w = np.array([10.0,1.0]); max_its = 25; alpha_choice = 10**(-1);$

histories = [weight_history_1, weight_history_2, weight_history_3]

weight_history_1,cost_history_1 = momentum(g,alpha_choice,beta,max_its,w)

weight_history_2,cost_history_2 = momentum(g,alpha_choice,beta,max_its,w)

weight_history_3,cost_history_3 = momentum(g,alpha_choice,beta,max_its,w)

show run in both three-dimensions and just the input space via the contour plot

 W_0

container for weight history

evaluate the gradient, store current weights and cost function value

 $grad_norm += 10**-6*np.sign(2*np.random.rand(1) - 1)$

weight history 1, cost history 1 = gradient descent(g, alpha choice, max its, w, version)

weight history 2, cost history 2 = gradient descent(g, alpha choice, max its, w, version)

standard

80

fully normalized

100

plot cost histories([cost history 1,cost history 2],labels = ['standard','fully normalized'])

container for corresponding cost function history

def gradient_descent(g,alpha_choice,max_its,w,version):

check if diminishing steplength rule used

grad_norm = np.linalg.norm(grad_eval)

component_norm = np.abs(grad_eval) + 10**(-8)

g = lambda w: np.tanh(4*w[0] + 4*w[1]) + max(0.4*w[0]**2,1) + 1 $w = np.array([1.0,2.0]); max_its = 100; alpha_choice = 10**(-1);$

if alpha_choice == 'diminishing':

cost eval, grad eval = gradient(w)

cost_history.append(cost_eval)

grad_eval /= grad_norm

grad_eval = grad_eval

take gradient descent step w = w - alpha*grad_eval

return weight history, cost history

grad eval /= component norm

alpha = 1/float(k)

weight_history.append(w)

if grad_norm == 0:

if version == 'full':

normalize components if version == 'component':

if version == 'none':

collect final weights weight history.append(w) cost_history.append(g(w))

define function

version = 'none'

version = 'full'

alpha = alpha_choice

gradient = value_and_grad(g) # run the gradient descent loop

for k in range(1, max_its+1):

weight_history = []

cost_history = []

alpha = 0

else:

static_plotter.two_input_contour_vert_plots(gs, histories, num_contours = 25, xmin = -1.5, xmax = 10.5, ymin = -2.0,

if alpha choice == 'diminishing':

cost eval, grad eval = gradient(w)

h = beta*h - (1 - beta)*grad eval

cost_history.append(cost_eval)

take gradient descent step

return weight_history,cost_history

matplotlib_axes_logger.setLevel('ERROR')

C1 = np.array([[0.5,0],[0,9.75]])

w = w + alpha*h

collect final weights weight_history.append(w) cost_history.append(g(w))

alpha = 1/float(k)

weight_history.append(w)

alpha = alpha_choice

 $\alpha = 0.1$ $\alpha = 0.01$

100

evaluate the gradient, store current weights and cost function value

momentum step - update exponential average of gradient directions to ameliorate zig-zagging

a1 = 0

beta = 0.1;

gs = [g,g,g]

1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.0-1.5-4.9 1.0 0.5 0.0

b1 = 0*np.ones((2,1))

-1.0-1.5-2.9 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.0-1.5-2.0

3.10

3.00 2.75 2.50 2.25

2.00

1.75 1.50 1.25 1.00

0.5

0.0

200

400

600

800

1000

0

20

Conclusion: by comparing two plots, we can see the fully normalized line is sharply down while standard line keeps the same. 3.11 In [14]: # define function g = lambda w: np.max(np.tanh(4*w[0] + 4*w[1]),0) + np.max(np.abs(0.4*w[0]),0) + 1w = np.array([2.0,2.0]); max its = 1000; alpha choice = 10**(-1);version = 'full' weight history 1, cost history 1 = gradient descent(g, alpha choice, max its, w, version) version = 'component' weight history 2,cost history 2 = gradient descent(g,alpha choice,max its,w,version) plot cost histories([cost history 1,cost history 2],labels = ['full normalized','componentwise normalized']) full normalized componentwise normalized 2.5 2.0 1.0

40

60