

Linear equations with monomial constraints and decision problems in abelian-by-cyclic groups

Ruiwen Dong

Magdalen College, University of Oxford, UK
Department of Mathematics, Saarland University, Germany

January 2025

This work was partly funded by the European Research Council (AdG 101097307).

Part I

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable (PTIME) by **linear algebra** over \mathbb{Z} .

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable (PTIME) by **linear algebra** over \mathbb{Z} .

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable (PTIME) by **linear algebra** over \mathbb{Z} .

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}$?

Decidable (NP) by **Integer Programming**.

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable (PTIME) by **linear algebra** over \mathbb{Z} .

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}$?

Decidable (NP) by **Integer Programming**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, z_1, z_2 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} := \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} 4y_1 + 12y_2 + 2z_1 + 3z_2 = 7 \\ 5y_1 + 17y_2 + 9z_1 + 8z_2 = 4 \\ 2y_1 + 21y_2 + 3z_1 + 4z_2 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable (PTIME) by **linear algebra** over \mathbb{Z} .

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}$?

Decidable (NP) by **Integer Programming**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z_1, z_2 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} := \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

Decidable by a fragment of **Büchi arithmetic**.

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis** + **Variable Elimination**.

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis** + **Variable Elimination**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ (polynomials with only positive coefficients)?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis** + **Variable Elimination**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ (polynomials with only positive coefficients)?

Undecidable in general (Narenden 1996).

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis** + **Variable Elimination**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ (polynomials with only positive coefficients)?

Undecidable in general (Narenden 1996).

Decidable for **homogeneous** linear equations (Einsiedler 2003).

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSPACE) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis** + **Variable Elimination**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ (polynomials with only positive coefficients)?

Undecidable in general (Narenden 1996).

Decidable for **homogeneous** linear equations (Einsiedler 2003).

Does it have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in X^{\mathbb{N}} := \{X^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

Linear equations with extra constraints

Given a system of linear equations (with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$):

$$\begin{cases} (4X + 1)y_1 + (X^2 - 3X + 1)y_2 + (2X^3 - 1)z_1 + 3z_2 = X^3 + 7 \\ (X^3 + 2X)y_1 + (X^4 + 2X^3 + 1)y_2 + (2X^2 + 7)z_1 + X^2z_2 = X^2 - X \\ (X^2 - 4)y_1 + (X^2 + 2X + 2)y_2 + (3X^2 - 5X)z_1 + (X + 1)z_2 = X + 5 \end{cases}$$

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$?

Decidable (EXPSpace) by **Gröbner Basis**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$?

Decidable by **Gröbner Basis + Variable Elimination**.

Does this system have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ (polynomials with only positive coefficients)?

Undecidable in general (Narendran 1996).

Decidable for **homogeneous** linear equations (Einsiedler 2003).

Does it have solutions $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, z_2 \in X^{\mathbb{N}} := \{X^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

Undecidable (D. 2024, **first result of this talk**).

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 1): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \dots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \dots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 1): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Lemma (Expressing squares)

Suppose $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$(X - 1)^3 \mid X^{n_1} + X^{n_2}(1 - X) + X^{n_3} + (X - 3)$$

if and only if $n_2 = n_1^2$, $n_3 = -n_1$.

Idea: $(X - 1)^3 \mid f$ if and only if $f(1) = f'(1) = f''(1) = 0$.

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \dots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \dots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 1): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Lemma (Expressing squares)

Suppose $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$(X - 1)^3 \mid X^{n_1} + X^{n_2}(1 - X) + X^{n_3} + (X - 3)$$

if and only if $n_2 = n_1^2$, $n_3 = -n_1$.

Idea: $(X - 1)^3 \mid f$ if and only if $f(1) = f'(1) = f''(1) = 0$.

Note that “ $(X - 1)^3 \mid f$ ” can be expressed as “ $(X - 1)^3 y = f$ ”.

Therefore we can express “squaring” of integers.

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 2):

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \dots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \dots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 2):

Lemma (Expressing sums)

Suppose $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$(X - 1)^2 \mid X^{n_1} + X^{n_2} - X^{n_3} - 1$$

if and only if $n_3 = n_1 + n_2$.

Idea: $(X - 1)^2 \mid f$ if and only if $f(1) = f'(1) = 0$.

Therefore linear equations with monomial constraints can express “summing” of integers.

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 3): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 3): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Linear equations with monomial constraints can express “squaring” and “summing” of integers. Note that “product” of integers can be expressed by “squaring” and “summing”: $xy = ((x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2)/2$.

Linear equations with monomial constraints

Theorem (D. 2024)

It is undecidable whether, given system of linear equations $a_{i1}y_1 + \dots + a_{in}y_n + b_{i1}z_1 + \dots + b_{im}z_m = c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, there are solutions $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $z_1, \dots, z_m \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof (part 3): We embed Hilbert's tenth problem (solving a polynomial equation over integers is undecidable).

Linear equations with monomial constraints can express “squaring” and “summing” of integers. Note that “product” of integers can be expressed by “squaring” and “summing”: $xy = ((x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2)/2$.

Therefore linear equations with monomial constraints can express any polynomial equation over integers, therefore undecidable.

Q.E.D

Part II

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

(Conjugacy Problem): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$?

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

(Conjugacy Problem): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$?

(Simultaneous Conjugacy): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$ and $xg_3x^{-1} = g_4$?

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

(Conjugacy Problem): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$?

(Simultaneous Conjugacy): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$ and $xg_3x^{-1} = g_4$?

(Finding Square Root): Is there $x \in G$ such that $x^2 = g_1$?

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

(Conjugacy Problem): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$?

(Simultaneous Conjugacy): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$ and $xg_3x^{-1} = g_4$?

(Finding Square Root): Is there $x \in G$ such that $x^2 = g_1$?

(???): Are there $x, y \in G$ such that $xy^2g_1x^{-1} = g_2yg_3$ and $yxyg_2x^{-1} = xyg_1xg_4$?

Applications to group theory: Equations over groups

Let G be an (infinite) group. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. Consider the following problems:

(Conjugacy Problem): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$?

(Simultaneous Conjugacy): Is there $x \in G$ such that $xg_1x^{-1} = g_2$ and $xg_3x^{-1} = g_4$?

(Finding Square Root): Is there $x \in G$ such that $x^2 = g_1$?

(???): Are there $x, y \in G$ such that $xy^2g_1x^{-1} = g_2yg_3$ and $yxyg_2x^{-1} = xyg_1xg_4$?

Definition (Equations over groups)

Solving a system of equations over a group G is the following problem. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be an alphabet and $\mathcal{X}^{-1} := \{x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}$.

Input: words w_1, \dots, w_t over the alphabet $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1} \cup G$.

Question: whether there exist $h_1, \dots, h_n \in G$, such that each w_i evaluates to the neutral element when we replace each x_j with h_j .

Equations over groups: classic results

Theorem (Makanin 1977)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free monoid** (i.e. **word equations**) is decidable.*

Equations over groups: classic results

Theorem (Makanin 1977)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free monoid** (i.e. **word equations**) is decidable.*

Theorem (Makanin 1983)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free group** is decidable.*

Equations over groups: classic results

Theorem (Makanin 1977)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free monoid** (i.e. **word equations**) is decidable.*

Theorem (Makanin 1983)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free group** is decidable.*

Theorem (Ciobanu, Elder 2019)

*Solving a system of equations over a **hyberbolic group** is decidable.*

Equations over groups: classic results

Theorem (Makanin 1977)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free monoid** (i.e. **word equations**) is decidable.*

Theorem (Makanin 1983)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free group** is decidable.*

Theorem (Ciobanu, Elder 2019)

*Solving a system of equations over a **hyberbolic group** is decidable.*

Theorem (folklore)

*Solving a system of equations over an **abelian group** is decidable.*

Equations over groups: classic results

Theorem (Makanin 1977)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free monoid** (i.e. **word equations**) is decidable.*

Theorem (Makanin 1983)

*Solving a system of equations over a **free group** is decidable.*

Theorem (Ciobanu, Elder 2019)

*Solving a system of equations over a **hyberbolic group** is decidable.*

Theorem (folklore)

*Solving a system of equations over an **abelian group** is decidable.*

Theorem (Romankov 1979, Duchin, Liang, Shapiro 2015)

*Solving a system of equations over **free metabelian groups** and over **free nilpotent groups** is undecidable.*

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Most hopeful candidates: **abelian-by-cyclic** groups.

Definition

A group G is called **abelian-by-cyclic** if it admits a normal subgroup A , such that A is abelian and $G/A \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Most hopeful candidates: **abelian-by-cyclic** groups.

Definition

A group G is called **abelian-by-cyclic** if it admits a normal subgroup A , such that A is abelian and $G/A \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark: if A is abelian and G/A is finite then G is called **virtually abelian**, and solving a system of equations over G is decidable.

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Most hopeful candidates: **abelian-by-cyclic** groups.

Definition

A group G is called **abelian-by-cyclic** if it admits a normal subgroup A , such that A is abelian and $G/A \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark: if A is abelian and G/A is finite then G is called **virtually abelian**, and solving a system of equations over G is decidable.

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Most hopeful candidates: **abelian-by-cyclic** groups.

Definition

A group G is called **abelian-by-cyclic** if it admits a normal subgroup A , such that A is abelian and $G/A \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark: if A is abelian and G/A is finite then G is called **virtually abelian**, and solving a system of equations over G is decidable.

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Open problem: find an example of group G , **neither hyperbolic nor virtually abelian**, such that solving a system of equations is decidable.

Most hopeful candidates: **abelian-by-cyclic** groups.

Definition

A group G is called **abelian-by-cyclic** if it admits a normal subgroup A , such that A is abelian and $G/A \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark: if A is abelian and G/A is finite then G is called **virtually abelian**, and solving a system of equations over G is decidable.

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Wreath product: $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups, where solving equations might be decidable:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Wreath product: $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups, where solving equations might be decidable:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Wreath product: $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Open problem (Kharlampovich, López, Myasnikov 2020): is solving systems of equations in these groups decidable? (An earlier version of the paper mistakenly claimed that they are decidable.)

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups, where solving equations might be decidable:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Wreath product: $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Open problem (Kharlampovich, López, Myasnikov 2020): is solving systems of equations in these groups decidable? (An earlier version of the paper mistakenly claimed that they are decidable.)

Theorem (D. 2024)

Solving a system of equations over $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is undecidable.

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups, where solving equations might be decidable:

Baumslag-Solitar group: $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Lamplighter group: $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Wreath product: $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Open problem (Kharlampovich, López, Myasnikov 2020): is solving systems of equations in these groups decidable? (An earlier version of the paper mistakenly claimed that they are decidable.)

Theorem (D. 2024)

Solving a system of equations over $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is undecidable.

Proof idea: embed linear equations with monomial constraints.

Equations over groups: abelian-by-cyclic groups

Examples of abelian-by-cyclic groups, where solving equations might be decidable:

? **Baumslag-Solitar group:** $BS(1, 2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} ?$

? **Lamplighter group:** $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} ?$

~~**Wreath product:** $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X^b & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathbb{Z}[X^\pm], b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$~~

Open problem (Kharlampovich, López, Myasnikov 2020): is solving systems of equations in these groups decidable? (An earlier version of the paper mistakenly claimed that they are decidable.)

Theorem (D. 2024)

Solving a system of equations over $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is undecidable.

Proof idea: embed linear equations with monomial constraints.