

# On the Identity Problem for Unitriangular Matrices of Dimension Four

Ruiwen Dong

University of Oxford

October 2022

# An old decidability problem

Markov (1940s): is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ , target matrix  $T$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = T$ ?

# An old decidability problem

Markov (1940s): is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ , target matrix  $T$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = T$ ?

Markov (1940s) : undecidable in  $\mathbb{Z}^{6 \times 6}$ .

# An old decidability problem

Markov (1940s): is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ , target matrix  $T$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = T$ ?

Markov (1940s) : undecidable in  $\mathbb{Z}^{6 \times 6}$ .

Michailova (1960s): undecidable in  $\text{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ .

---

# An old decidability problem

Markov (1940s): is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ , target matrix  $T$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = T$ ?

Markov (1940s) : undecidable in  $\mathbb{Z}^{6 \times 6}$ .

Michailova (1960s): undecidable in  $\text{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ .

---

Specialization: is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of element  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$  in a group  $G$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = I$ ?

# An old decidability problem

Markov (1940s): is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ , target matrix  $T$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = T$ ?

Markov (1940s) : undecidable in  $\mathbb{Z}^{6 \times 6}$ .

Michailova (1960s): undecidable in  $\text{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ .

---

Specialization: is the following decidable?

**Input:** Set of element  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$  in a group  $G$ .

**Output:** Is there a sequence  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , s.t.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m = I$ ?

Bell, Potapov (2000s) : undecidable in  $\text{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ .

# the Identity Problem and the Membership Problem

## Definition (Identity Problem)

Given a finite set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ , decide whether the (multiplicative) semigroup  $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  contains  $I$ .

## Definition (Membership Problem)

Given a finite set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  and a matrix  $A$ , decide whether the semigroup  $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  contains  $A$ .

# the Identity Problem and the Membership Problem

## Definition (Identity Problem)

Given a finite set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ , decide whether the (multiplicative) semigroup  $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  contains  $I$ .

## Definition (Membership Problem)

Given a finite set of square matrices  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  and a matrix  $A$ , decide whether the semigroup  $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  contains  $A$ .

### Known results.

group types	Membership Prob. $T \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle?$	Identity Prob. $I \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle?$
Commutative	NP-complete	PTIME
$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$	Decidable	NP-complete
$SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$	?	?
$SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$	Undecidable	Undecidable



# $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$

## Definition ( $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$ )

Define  $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$  to be the group of  $n \times n$  upper triangular integer matrices with ones on the diagonal.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# UT( $n, \mathbb{Z}$ )

## Definition (UT( $n, \mathbb{Z}$ ))

Define UT( $n, \mathbb{Z}$ ) to be the group of  $n \times n$  upper triangular integer matrices with ones on the diagonal.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Known results.

group types	Group Mem. $T \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{grp}?$	Semigroup Mem. $T \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle?$	Identity Prob. $I \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle?$
UT(3, $\mathbb{Z}$ )	Decidable	Decidable	PTIME
UT(4, $\mathbb{Z}$ )	Decidable	?	PTIME
UT(11, $\mathbb{Z}$ )	Decidable	?	PTIME
UT( $n, \mathbb{Z}$ )	Decidable	Undecidable	?

## Two layers of $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$

**First layer:** Multiplication acts additively on the superdiagonal.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & b_1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 + a_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & b_1 + b_2 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_1 + c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Second layer:** If superdiagonal vanishes, multiplication acts additively.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & d_1 & f_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & e_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & d_2 & f_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & e_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & d_1 + d_2 & f_1 + f_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & e_1 + e_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Structure of $\text{UT}(4, \mathbb{Z})$

Short exact sequence:

$$\{I\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow \text{UT}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow \{I\}$$

$$\varphi : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & d & f \\ 0 & 1 & b & e \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, b, c)$$

$$U_1 = \ker \varphi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & d & f \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

$U_1$  is abelian.

# Identity Problem in $\text{UT}(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\{I\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow \text{UT}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow \{I\}$$
$$\varphi : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & d & f \\ 0 & 1 & b & e \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, b, c)$$

$$U_1 = \ker \varphi \cong \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

The following are equivalent:

1.  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m \in U_1$ .
2.  $\varphi(B_1) + \varphi(B_2) + \cdots + \varphi(B_m) = \mathbf{0}$ .

**General idea:** Given  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ , characterize  $U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ .

## Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$ : Example

To reach  $I$ , we must first reach  $U_1$ .  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ .

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{1} & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{-1} & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{0} & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{-1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{0} & 7 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Is  $I \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ ?

## Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$ : Example

To reach  $I$ , we must first reach  $U_1$ .  $\mathcal{G} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ .

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{1} & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{-1} & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{0} & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{-1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{0} & 7 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Is  $I \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ ?

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \color{red}{0} & 11 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \color{red}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$$

**First layer cleared.**

## Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$ : Example

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 11 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$$

$$A_2^{100} A_3^{100} A_1^{100} A_4^{100} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 6050 & 77350 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -4250 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$$

$$A_2^{100} A_1^{100} A_3^{100} A_4^{100} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3950 & 127350 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5750 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$$

$$A_4^{100} A_3^{100} A_2^{100} A_1^{100} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3950 & -287650 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -4250 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$$



# Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 11 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{1880000} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 6050 & 77350 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -4250 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{14443} \times \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3950 & 127350 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5750 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{16261} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3950 & -287650 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -4250 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{11096} \\
 & = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Second layer cleared.** So  $I \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ .

# General approach

**Step 1:** Clear first layer using Linear Programming.

We have  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m \in U_1$ .

**Step 2:** Clear second layer using permutation and powers of  $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m$ .

For all  $\sigma \in S_m$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $B_{\sigma(1)}^t B_{\sigma(2)}^t \cdots B_{\sigma(m)}^t \in U_1$ .

**Key:** finding a characterization of the cone (in second layer) generated by the matrices  $B_{\sigma(1)}^t B_{\sigma(2)}^t \cdots B_{\sigma(m)}^t$ , when  $t, \sigma$  vary.

# Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$B_{\sigma(1)}^t B_{\sigma(2)}^t \cdots B_{\sigma(m)}^t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & t^2 D_\sigma & t^3 F_\sigma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & t^2 E_\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $D_\sigma, E_\sigma, F_\sigma$  are polynomials in  $\varphi(B_i), i = 1, \dots, m$ .

# Identity Problem in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$B_{\sigma(1)}^t B_{\sigma(2)}^t \cdots B_{\sigma(m)}^t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & t^2 D_\sigma & t^3 F_\sigma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & t^2 E_\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $D_\sigma, E_\sigma, F_\sigma$  are polynomials in  $\varphi(B_i), i = 1, \dots, m$ .

**Example:** write  $\varphi(B_i) = (a_i, b_i, c_i), i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$F_\sigma = \sum_{i < j < k} a_{\sigma(i)} b_{\sigma(j)} c_{\sigma(k)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (a_{\sigma(i)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(j)} + a_{\sigma(i)} b_{\sigma(j)} c_{\sigma(j)}) + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i c_i,$$

# Key theorem

**Idea:**  $B_{\sigma(1)}^t B_{\sigma(2)}^t \cdots B_{\sigma(m)}^t$  asymptotically approaches  $(t^2 D_\sigma, t^2 E_\sigma, t^3 F_\sigma)$ .

**Observation:**  $D_\sigma, E_\sigma, F_\sigma$  are polynomials in  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Where  $\varphi(B_i) = (a_i, b_i, c_i)$ .

## Theorem

1. If  $\langle \varphi(B_1), \dots, \varphi(B_m) \rangle$  has dimension 3, then  $\langle (t^2 D_\sigma, t^2 E_\sigma, t^3 F_\sigma) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \sigma \in S_m \rangle$  has dimension 3.
2. If  $\langle \varphi(B_1), \dots, \varphi(B_m) \rangle$  has dimension 2, and not orthogonal to any axis, then  $\langle (t^2 D_\sigma, t^2 E_\sigma, t^3 F_\sigma) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \sigma \in S_m \rangle$  has dimension 2 and contains the  $f$ -axis.
3. If  $\langle \varphi(B_1), \dots, \varphi(B_m) \rangle$  has dimension 2, and is orthogonal to some axis, then  $\forall \sigma, F_\sigma = 0$ , and  $\dim \langle (D_\sigma, E_\sigma) \mid \sigma \in S_m \rangle = 2$ .
4. If  $\langle \varphi(B_1), \dots, \varphi(B_m) \rangle$  has dimension 1, then  $\forall \sigma, D_\sigma = E_\sigma = F_\sigma = 0$ .

In short:  $\varphi(\mathcal{G})$  determines (asymptotically) the shape of  $U_1 \cap \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ .

Proof of the theorem: **computational algebraic geometry**.

# Extensions

1. Identity Problem in  $UT(11, \mathbb{Q})$ .
2. Identity Problem in nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 10$ .
3. Identity Problem in  $T(2, \mathbb{Q})$ .
4. Other problems in nilpotent groups (semigroup intersection etc.)

# Extensions

1. Identity Problem in  $UT(11, \mathbb{Q})$ .
2. Identity Problem in nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 10$ .
3. Identity Problem in  $T(2, \mathbb{Q})$ .
4. Other problems in nilpotent groups (semigroup intersection etc.)
5. Membership Problem in  $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$ ?
6. Identity Problem in metabelian groups?  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ ? solvable groups?