

Numerical study of the 2D Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation

V ctor Ballester

Instabilities and Nonlinear Phenomena
M2 - Applied and Theoretical Mathematics
Universit  Paris-Dauphine, PSL
January 17, 2024

Abstract

The aim of this report is to give both qualitative and quantitative insight into the chaotic behavior of the 2D Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. This equation is more commonly known in its 1D version and this report wants to complement the numerical study carried out in [KKP15] in order to extend the bibliography on the 2D version of the equation. Kuramoto-Sivashinsky types of equations are seen in various physical phenomena such as flame propagation or reaction-diffusion systems [Kur78; Siv77]. We will see that the 2D KS equation exhibits chaotic behavior as we increase the spatial domain size.

1 Introduction

The well-known 1D Kuramoto-Sivashinsky (KS) equation can be written as

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} = 0 \quad (1)$$

It is usually equipped with periodic boundary conditions $u(t, x + L) = u(t, x)$ for some $L > 0$, which defines the domain of definition of the PDE, and an initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$. The natural extension in the 2D case is the following Dirichlet problem with periodic boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \Delta u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times [0, L_x] \times [0, L_y] \\ u(t, x, y) = u(t, x + L_x, y) & \text{in } [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, L_y] \\ u(t, x, y) = u(t, x, y + L_y) & \text{in } [0, \infty) \times [0, L_x] \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y) & \text{for all } x \in [0, L_x], y \in [0, L_y] \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with $L_x, L_y > 0$. For the sake of simplicity, we will rescale the variables in order to obtain a square domain of definition, namely:

$$x_{\text{new}} = \frac{2\pi}{L_x}x \quad y_{\text{new}} = \frac{2\pi}{L_y}y \quad t_{\text{new}} = \left(\frac{L_x}{2\pi}\right)^2 t \quad (3)$$

Using this new variables (and dropping the subscript *new* for simplicity), the equation becomes:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla_\nu u|^2 + \Delta_\nu u + \Delta_\nu^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi) \\ u(t, x, y) = u(t, x + 2\pi, y) & \text{in } [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi) \\ u(t, x, y) = u(t, x, y + 2\pi) & \text{in } [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y) & \text{for all } x \in [0, 2\pi), y \in [0, 2\pi) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where we used the notation from [KKP15]:

$$\nabla_\nu = \left(\partial_x, \sqrt{\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1}} \partial_y \right) \quad \text{div}_\nu = \partial_x + \sqrt{\frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}} \partial_y \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta_\nu = \text{div}_\nu(\nabla_\nu) = \partial_{xx} + \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} \partial_{yy} \quad \Delta_\nu^2 = \Delta_\nu(\Delta_\nu) = \partial_{xxxx} + 2\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} \partial_{xxyy} + \frac{\nu_2^2}{\nu_1^2} \partial_{yyyy} \quad (6)$$

and $\nu_1 := \left(\frac{L_x}{2\pi}\right)^2$, $\nu_2 := \left(\frac{L_y}{2\pi}\right)^2$. Note that the new equation is invariant under the transformation $(t, x, y, \nu_1, \nu_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1}t, y, x, \nu_2, \nu_1\right)$ if and only if the initial condition is symmetric in x and y . In that case, if $u(t, x, y)$ is a solution of the equation with parameters (ν_1, ν_2) , then $u\left(\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1}t, y, x\right)$ is the solution of the equation for the parameters (ν_2, ν_1) .

Let's study now the linear stability of the different modes (k_x, k_y) of the equation for $k_x, k_y \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Setting $v = \delta(e^{\lambda t + i(k_x x + k_y y)} + \text{c.c.})$, with $\delta \ll 1$, as a perturbation of the trivial state $u = 0$, we obtain the following equality once we impose that v is a solution of Eq. (4):

$$\lambda = \left(k_x^2 + \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1}k_y^2\right)(1 - \nu_1 k_x^2 - \nu_2 k_y^2) \quad (7)$$

where, as usual, c.c. denotes the complex conjugate. We see that, for example, if $\nu_1, \nu_2 \geq 1$, then there is no pair (k_x, k_y) that makes $\lambda > 0$ and therefore all the nodes are stable. But as soon as we decrease ν_1 or ν_2 below 1, unstable nodes start to appear in an *increasing*¹ order. For example, for $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 1/6$ the nodes $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, $(2, 0)$, $(0, 2)$, $(2, 1)$, $(1, 2)$ are unstable and all the others are stable.

In order to contribute to the bibliography on the 2D KS equation, we will study the equation with an initial condition different from the one used in [KKP15], which was $u_0(x, y) = \sin(x) + \sin(y) + \sin(x + y)$. Instead, we will use the following initial condition:

$$u_0(x, y) = \sin(x) + \sin(y) + \cos(x + y) + \cos(2x) + \sin(2y) + \sin(2x + 2y) \quad (8)$$

which is still symmetric in x and y . Note that we are adding the modes $(2, 0)$, $(0, 2)$, $(2, 2)$ to the initial condition used in [KKP15] and so a richer behavior is expected.

In order to distinguish and classify the different kinds of behavior that the equation exhibits, we will monitor the L^2 -norm of the solution:

$$E_u(t) := \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(t, x, y)^2 dx dy \quad (9)$$

It will be of interest to study also its time derivative $\dot{E}_u(t)$ and the phase space $(E_u(t), \dot{E}_u(t))$.

Finally, we can easily note that the mean of the solution is decreasing in time. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2 \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u_t dx dy = - \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_\nu u|^2 + \Delta_\nu u + \Delta_\nu^2 u \right) dx dy = \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(u_x^2 + \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} u_y^2 \right) dx dy \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we used the fact that the solution is periodic in x and y . If we forget about the trivial state $u = 0$, this later inequality is strict and so the mean of the solution is strictly decreasing in time. In order to avoid this, we will subtract the mean of the solution at each step of integration, or equivalently, we will solve the equation

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2} \left[|\nabla_\nu u|^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\nabla_\nu u|^2 dx dy \right] + \Delta_\nu u + \Delta_\nu^2 u = 0 \quad (11)$$

with the same initial condition as before, because we have chosen an initial condition with zero mean. The reader may notice that here we have used the same notation to denote the initial solution and $u - \bar{u}$.

2 Numerical methods

In order to int

¹Increasing in the sense the node $(k_x + 1, k_y)$ will become unstable once the node (k_x, k_y) had become unstable and not before.

3 Results

4 Conclusions

References

- [KKP15] A. Kalogirou, E. E. Keaveny, and D. T. Papageorgiou. “An in-depth numerical study of the two-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation.” In: *Proc. R. Soc. A* 471.20140932 (2015). DOI: [↗](#).
- [Kur78] Y. Kuramoto. “Diffusion-induced chaos in reaction systems.” In: *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* 64 (Feb. 1978), pp. 346–367. ISSN: 0375-9687. DOI: [↗](#). EPRINT: [↗](#).
- [Siv77] G.I. Sivashinsky. “Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames-I. Derivation of basic equations.” In: *Acta Astronautica* 4.11 (1977), pp. 1177–1206. ISSN: 0094-5765. DOI: [↗](#).