Training Stochastic Feedforward Binary Neural Networks with a Gibbs-flavored MCMC Strategy

Victor Biaggi

October 16, 2017

Here we try to design an algorithm training Stochastic Feedforward Binary Neural Networks (SFBNN) with a Gibbs-flavored MCMC strategy. These networks are standard feedforward neural network except that they sample instead of average.

Let L the number of hidden layers of our SFBNN (our algorithm will be implemented in the general case and tested for L=1), $W=(W^1,..,W^L,W^O)$ the weights of our SFBNN and $H=(h^1,...,h^L)$ its nodes.

In a SFBNN, the l^{th} hidden layer is defined as:

$$h^l|W^l \propto Bern(\sigma(W^lh^{l-1}))$$

 $y \propto \mathcal{N}(W^Oh^L, \sigma^2)$

This led us to consider a Gibbs-flavored MCMC scheme for SFBNN, which is the following algorithm :

 \triangle Suppose that after iteration t, we have the following data :

$$W_{t} = (W_{t}^{1}, ..., W_{t}^{L}, W_{t}^{O})$$
$$H_{t} = (h_{t}^{1}, ..., h_{t}^{L})$$

 \triangle Then, we want to sample

$$(h_{t+1}^1)_1,...,(h_{t+1}^1)_{l_1},(h_{t+1}^2)_1,...,(h_{t+1}^2)_{l_2},...,(h_{t+1}^L)_1,...,(h_{t+1}^L)_{l_L}$$

from

$$p(h_i^k|h_{j\neq i}, h^{k+1}, h^{k-1}, W, X, y)$$

Where $l_1, ..., l_L$ are the number of nodes for each layer

We note that this can be done via Metropolis-Hastings (see below H update)

 \triangle Finally, given H_{t+1} , we sample (or train) our parameters to yield W_{t+1} using SGD Langevin Diffusion or Bayesian regression.

We would run enough iterations to train the networks.

We keep in mind that it is more suitable to learn a model that can carry out a sequence of stochastic operations internally, in order to represent a complex stochastic process.

1 H update

The key idea:

$$\begin{split} p(h_i^k|h_{j\neq i},h^{k+1},h^{k-1},W,X,y) &\propto p(h_i^k|h_{j\neq i},h^{k+1},h^{k-1},W^k,W^{k+1}) \\ &\propto p(h_i^k|h_{j\neq i}^k,h^{k-1},W^k) \ p(h^{k+1}|h^k,W^{k+1}) \\ &\propto Bern(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1}))_i \ p(h^{k+1}|h^k,W^{k+1}) \end{split}$$

with "
$$h^{k-1} = X$$
" if $k = 1$ and $h^{k+1} = y$ if $k = L$

\clubsuit If k < L:

$$p(h_i^k|h_{j\neq i},h^{k+1},h^{k-1},W,X,y) \propto Bern(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1}))_i \ \prod_j Bern(\sigma(W^{k+1}h^k))_j$$

Thus the A-R ratio is:

$$\alpha = min(1, \frac{P(Bern(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1}))_i = h^k_{i,new}) \ \prod_j P(Bern(\sigma(W^{k+1}h^k_{new})_j) = h^{k+1}_j)}{P(Bern(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1}))_i = h^k_i) \ \prod_j P(Bern(\sigma(W^{k+1}h^k)_j) = h^{k+1}_j)})$$

Since,

$$\forall a \in [0,1], \ \forall \theta \in \{0,1\}, \ P(Bern(a) = \theta) = a \ \theta + (1-a) \ (1-\theta) = B(a,\theta)$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha = min(1, \frac{B(\sigma(W^k h^{k-1})_i, h^k_{i,new}) \ \prod_j B(\sigma(W^{k+1} h^k_{new})_j, h^{k+1}_j)}{B(\sigma(W^k h^{k-1})_i, h^k_i) \ \prod_j B(\sigma(W^{k+1} h^k)_j, h^{k+1}_j)}) \quad (E)$$

Note: Sampling at the bottom of the network may be an issue but we can try

to sample for all x then sample a bernoulli from these samplings.

 \clubsuit If k = L:

$$p(h_i^k | h_{j \neq i}, h^{k+1}, h^{k-1}, W, X, y) \propto Bern(\sigma(W^k h^{k-1}))_i \, \mathcal{N}(y \mid W^O h^L, \sigma^2)$$
$$p(h_i^k | h_{j \neq i}, h^{k+1}, h^{k-1}, W, X, y) \propto Bern(\sigma(W^k h^{k-1}))_i \, \prod_j \mathcal{N}(y \mid (W^O h^L)_j, \sigma^2)$$

Therefore, the A-R ratio in this case is :

$$\alpha = min(1, \frac{B(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1})_i, h^k_{i,new}) \prod_{j} \mathcal{N}(y \mid (W^Oh^L_{new})_j, \sigma^2)}{B(\sigma(W^kh^{k-1})_i, h^k_i) \prod_{j} \mathcal{N}(y \mid (W^Oh^L)_j, \sigma^2)}) \quad (E^{'})$$

Note: At the top, we can procede similarly as the bottom (mentioned in the last paragraph) using the normal instead of the bernoulli.

2 W update

- a) Bayesian Logistic / Sevan Mixture Gaussian
- b) Or the SGD Langevin Diffusion