

# Solutions for MATH2901 - 2011 paper.

Edward O'Callaghan

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Question 1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Question 2</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	a.) . . . . .	2
2.1.1	i.) . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Question 3</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	a.) . . . . .	3
3.1.1	i.) . . . . .	3
3.1.2	ii.) . . . . .	3
3.2	b.) . . . . .	3
3.2.1	i.) . . . . .	3

## 1 Question 1

..

## 2 Question 2

Let some random univariate (**r.v.**)  $X \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  be *Poisson* distributed with parameter  $\lambda$ . Then  $X$  has density,

$$f_X(x; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} : x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

### 2.1 a.)

#### 2.1.1 i.)

Suppose  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  denotes an **i.i.d** random sample and  $\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda|x) = f_{X_i}(x; \lambda)$  denotes the likelihood function of the **r.v.**  $X$  with any  $x \in \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then the loglikelihood  $\ell(\lambda; x)$  defined on the random sample is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\lambda; x) &= \log_2 \mathcal{L}(\lambda|x) \\ &= \log_2 \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i(\lambda|x) && \text{(by indepenance of the sample)} \\ &= \log_2 \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x; \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 f_{X_i}(x; \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \log_2 e^{-\lambda} + \log_2 \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{x_i \log_2 \lambda - \log_2 x_i! - \lambda\} \\ \Rightarrow \ell(\lambda; x) &= -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n \{x_i \log_2 \lambda - \log_2 x_i!\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we may ignore the constant term that does not depend on  $\lambda$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\lambda; x) = n\bar{X} \log_2(\lambda) - n\lambda.$$

### 3 Question 3

#### 3.1 a.)

Suppose that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $Y = \frac{1}{|X|}$ .

##### 3.1.1 i.)

We wish to discern if the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} : x \neq 0$  has monotonicity.

Clearly we have weak-monotonicity, since if  $0 < x_1 \leq x_2$ , we have that  $f(x_1) \geq f(x_2)$  and if  $x_1 \leq x_2 < 0$  we then have  $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$ .

##### 3.1.2 ii.)

Show that  $F_Y(y) = F_X(\frac{1}{y}) - F_X(-\frac{1}{y})$ .

Given  $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} : x \neq 0$ . Then

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y} & x > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{y} & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

By  $X = g(Y)$  the result trivially follows by composition.

#### 3.2 b.)

Consider the two **r.v.**  $X$  and  $Y$  with bivariate density given by,

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp \frac{(x^2 - 2\rho xy + y^2)}{2(1-\rho^2)}.$$

##### 3.2.1 i.)

We call the random vector  $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  density the multivariate (in particular, bivariate) standard normal Gaussian. Where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y \\ \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y & \sigma_y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and by fixing  $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1$  and taking  $\det(\Sigma) = 1 - \rho^2$ .