



CulturalHusbandry

@APhilosophae

RT/Follow does not imply endorsement

Nov. 09, 2020 · 6 min read

T Read on Threader

The following information is provided via an anonymous data scientist and another anonymous individual who wrote a script to scrape the national ballot counting time series data of off the [@nytimes](#) website.

This is based on their proprietary "Edison" data source which would ordinarily be impossible to access for people outside the press.

The CSV is available here:

sooo.tinyupload.com/?file_id145669...


And the script to generate it is here:

pastebin.com/NkYXrJEX

I suggest that everyone back up both of these files, bc this is an extremely important data source, and we cant risk anyone taking it down.

What we are looking at will be time series analysis and you will see that it is extremely difficult to create convincing synthetic times series data. By looking at the times series logs of the ballot counting process for the entire country, we can very easily spot fraud.


One of the first things noticed while exploring the dataset is that there seems to be an obvious pattern in the ratio of new [#Biden](#) ballots to new [#Trump](#) ballots.




As we can see on this log-log plot, for many of the counting progress updates, we see an almost constant ratio of [#Biden](#) to [#Trump](#). It's such a regular pattern that we can actually fit a linear regression model to it with near-perfect accuracy, barring some outliers.

How could this be possible? Is this a telltale sign of fraud? Surprisingly, as it will be shown, the answer is no! This is actually expected behavior. Also, we can use this weird pattern in the ballot counting to spot fraud!


Here is the same pattern for Florida. We see this linear pattern again.




and again



and again



and again all over the country. What appears to be happening is that points on the straight line are actually mail in votes. The reason they're so homogeneous across with respect to the ratio of [#Biden](#) vs [#Trump](#) votes is that they get randomly shuffled in the mail...




like a deck of cards. Since the ballots are randomly mixed together during transport, spanning areas occupied by multiple voting demographics, we can expect the ratio of mail-in [#Biden](#) ballots to mail-in [#Trump](#) ballots will remain relatively constant over time...

and across different reporting updates.

Lets dig a little deeper into this:


Here is a plot of the same Florida voting data, but this time it's the ratio of [#Biden](#) to [#Trump](#) ballots, versus time. What we see is that the initial ballot reportings are very noisy and "random".



The initial reporting represents in-person voting. These vote reports have such large variation bc in-person voting happens across different geographic areas that have different political alignments.

We can see this same pattern of noisy in-person voting, followed by homogeneous mail-in reporting in almost all cases.

What we see in almost all examples across the country is that the ratio of mail-in Dem to Rep ballots is very consistent across time, but with the notable drift from Dem to slightly more Rep.




This slight drift from D to R mail-ins occurs again and again, and is likely due to outlying rural areas having more R votes. These outlying areas take longer to ship their ballots to the polling centers.

Now we're getting into the really good stuff. When we see mail-in ballot counting where there isn't relatively stable ratios of D and R ballots that slightly drift R, we have an anomaly! Anomalies themselves are not necessarily fraud, but they can help us spot fraud more easily.

Now let's look at some anomalies:

This is the Wisconsin vote counting history log. Again, on the Y axis we have the ratio of D to R ballots in reporting batch, and on the X axis we have reporting time.



Around 4am there, there is a marked shift in the ratio of D to R mail-in ballots. Based on other posts in this thread, this should not happen. This is an anomaly, and while anomalies are not always fraud, often they may point to fraud.


so what happened just before 3am CST in Wisconsin? This did!



Matt Smith ✓
@mattsmith_news



Milwaukee's 169,000+ absentee ballots en route to county election officials



9:06 AM · Nov 4, 2020



4.5K




1.9K people are Tweeting about this

Around 3am Wisconsin time, a fresh batch of 169k new absentee ballots arrived. They were supposed to stop accepting new ballots, but eh, whatever I guess.

By 4am the D to R ratio was all thrown out of whack. That is because these ballots were not sampled from the real Wisconsin voter population, and they were not randomized in the mail sorting system with the other ballots. They inherently have a different D to R signature...

than the rest of the ballots quite possibly bc additional ballots were added to the batch, either through backdating or ballot manufacturing or software tampering. This of this being kind of analogous to carbon-14 dating, but for ballot batch authenticity.

lets look at another anomaly:



Here is Pennsylvania's vote counting history. For the first part of the vote counting process, we see the same pattern for mail-in ballots that we've seen in every other state in the country, which is relatively stable D to R ratio that gradually drifts R as more ballots...


come in from rural outlying areas.

But then as counting continues, the D to R ratio in mail-in ballots inexplicably begin "increasing". Again, this should not happen, and it is observed almost nowhere else in the country, because all of the ballots are randomly shuffled...

in the mail system and should be homogeneous during counting. The only exceptions to this are other suspect states that also have anomalies.


Again, this is evidence of ballot backdating, manufacturing of software tampering.

Lets look at another anomaly:




In Georgia we see pretty much the same story as Pennsylvania: increasing fractions of mail-in D ballots over time even though it defies logic and we see this pattern nowhere else in the country.

In Michigan, we see a combination of Wisconsin strangeness, together with the GA/PA weirdness. We see both signs of contaminated ballot dumping, and ballot ratios drifting toward dems when they should not be.



Virginia:



Now in fairness, VA is the only state out of the 50 that has anomalies but has not had accusations of voter fraud, yet. I think this is the exception that proves the rule. Yet to figure out what causes this anomalous shift, but here it is so no one accuses me of holding it back.

Lets wrap this up: It appears Dems shot themselves in the foot bc making everyone do mail-in ballots actually makes it easier to catch mail-in ballot fraud. Bc all of the ballots go through the postal system, they get shuffled like a deck of cards, so we expect reported...

ballot return to be extremely UNIFORM in terms of D vs R ratio, but to drift slightly towards R over time bc some of those ballots travel farther. This pattern proves fraud and is a verifiable timestamp of when each fraudulent action occurred.

Please disseminate as necessary. Good luck. Stay safe. Be kind to your neighbors.

[#Epsteindidntkillhimself](#)