

# Notes for Math 669

Yiwei Fu, Instructor: Alexander Barvinok

FA 2022

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Lattice and Its Basis . . . . .	1

Office hours:

# Chapter 1

## 1.1 Lattice and Its Basis

**Definition 1.1.1.** A lattice  $\Lambda \subset V$  is a discrete additive group.

Last time:  $L \in V$  is a subspace if  $L = \text{span}(L \cap \Lambda)$

**Theorem 1.1.1.** If  $L$  is a lattice subspace,  $L \neq V$ , then  $\exists u \in L \setminus \Lambda$  such that  $d(u, L) \leq d(x, L)$  for all  $x \in L \setminus \Lambda$ .

Say  $L \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  linearly independent vectors,  $\Pi = \{\}$  There is  $u \in \Lambda \setminus L$  such that  $\text{dist}(u, \Pi) \leq \text{dist}(x, \Pi)$  for all  $x \in \Lambda \setminus L$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\rho > 0$  large enough. Consider  $\Pi_\rho = \{y, d(y, \Pi) \leq \rho\}$ . It contains points from  $\Lambda \setminus L$ , choose the one in  $\Pi_\rho \cap (\Lambda \setminus L)$  closet to  $\Pi$ . ■

CLAIM  $u \in \Lambda \setminus L$  is what we need. Why? Pick any  $x \in \Lambda \setminus L$ . Let  $y \in L$  be the closest to  $x$ .

$$\text{dist}(x, L) = \|x - y\| = \|(x - w) - (y - w)\|.$$

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i u_i$$

Let  $w = \sum_{i=1}^m \lfloor \alpha_i \rfloor u_i \in \Lambda \setminus L$ ,  $y - w = \sum_{i=1}^m \{\alpha_i\} u_i \in \Pi$ .

**Theorem 1.1.2.** Every lattice has a basis.

*Proof.* By induction on  $n = \dim V$ .

**Base case:** for  $n = 1$ , we have  $V = \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $u > 0$  be the lattice vector closet to 0, among all positive vectors in  $\Lambda$ .

Then  $u$  is a basis of  $\Lambda$ . Pick any  $v \in \Lambda$ . Assume  $v > 0$  WLOG. Then  $v = \alpha u$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . If

$\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we are done. If not, consider  $w = \alpha u - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor u = \{\alpha\} u$ , this is closer to 0 than  $u$ , a contradiction.

**Induction hypothesis:** suppose any lattice of dimension  $n - 1$  has a basis.

**Induction step:** pick a lattice hyperplane  $H$  (lattice subspace with  $\dim = n - 1$ ). Then  $\Lambda_1 = H \cap \Lambda$  has a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ . Pick  $u_n$  such that  $u_n \notin H$  and  $\text{dist}(u_n, H)$  is the smallest. We claim that  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n$  is a basis of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $u \in \Lambda$ ,  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$  with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\alpha_n = 0$  then  $u \in \Lambda_1$ , then  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $\alpha_n \neq 0$ . Consider  $w = u - \lfloor \alpha_n \rfloor u_n$ .  $w \in \Lambda$  and  $w = \{\alpha_n\} u_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i u_i$ . So

$$\text{dist}(w, H) = \text{dist}(\{\alpha_n\} u_n, H) = \{\alpha_n\} \text{dist}(u_n, H)$$

If  $\{\alpha_n\} > 0$  then  $0 < \text{dist}(w, H) < \text{dist}(u_n, H)$ , a contradiction.

So  $\{\alpha_n\} = 0 \implies \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i u_i \implies \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

So we have constructed a basis for lattice of dimension  $n$ , thus finishing the proof. ■

This is called A.N.Korkin(e)-Zolotarev(öff) basis.

EXERCISE Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in V$  is a basis of subspace. The integer combinations form a lattice.

EXERCISE Suppose a 2-dimensional lattice. Then there exists a lattice basis  $u, v$  such that the angle  $\alpha$  between  $u, v$  satisfies  $\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

EXERCISE If  $\Lambda$  is a lattice and  $L$  is a lattice subspace. The orthogonal projection  $\text{PR} : V \rightarrow L^\perp$ . Then  $\text{PR}(\Lambda) \subset L^\perp$  is a lattice.

**Definition 1.1.2.** Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be a basis of  $\Lambda$ .

$$\Pi = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i : 0 \leq \alpha_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

is the *fundamental parallelepiped* of a fundamental parallelepiped of  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.1.3.** The volume of a fundamental parallelepiped  $\Pi$  doesn't depend on  $\Pi$ . The volume is called the *determinant* of  $\Lambda$ . Furthermore, if  $B_\tau = \{x : \|x\| \leq \tau\}$ , then

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_\tau \cap \Lambda|}{\text{vol } B_\tau} = \frac{1}{\det \Lambda}.$$

We start with a lemma:

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Let  $\Pi$  be a fundamental parallelepiped of  $\Lambda \subset V$ . Then every vector  $x \in V$  is

*uniquely written as  $x = u + y$  where  $u \in \Lambda, y \in \Pi$ .*

*Proof.* Existence:  $\Pi$  is the fundamental parallelepiped for  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . If  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$  then  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lfloor \alpha_i \rfloor u_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \{\alpha_i\} u_i$

Uniqueness: suppose  $x = u_1 + y_1 = u_2 + y_2$  then  $u_1 - u_2 = y_2 - y_1$ . Since  $u_1 - u_2 \in \Lambda$  we have  $y_2 - y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \mathbf{u}_i$ . We have  $(\alpha_i - \beta_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $-1 < \alpha_i - \beta_i < 1$ , it has to be 0. ■

A geometry interpretation is that we can cover the whole space with fundamental parallelepipeds without overlaps.