

code review

Find

- bugs
- inefficiencies
- syntax errors

Assess

- robustness
- exception handling
- style

James Huang, Eddie Zhu, Richard Wong
Comments

2.5

- very few comments
- some sections could use

Style

4

- code is very clean & formatted
- Good spacing & robustness

robustness

FOR TEAM: Jun, Le, Jui, & Victor

Lin
Tahiro
Wang,
Qian Zhou

more explanation

→ The code works well, it could be nice if we could have some more explanation

part

- all the code looks good
- it) everything is solid, no errors, even code runs, will return an error

readME.md

- more information to fix it.

- Other things to be done

2.5

- There was not enough explanation as to why they implemented the specific functions
- very vague explanation! not very clear, the code was very efficient, but they could