

Adaptative and personalized web framework for searching data using flexible queries

Victor Pablos Ceruelo¹, Dan Seeruttun - - Marie¹ and Susana Muñoz Hernandez²

¹*PHD in ..., Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, My Street, MyTown, MyCountry*

²*Student in Software Engineering, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Rennes, France*

³*Computer Science..., Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, MySecondTown, MyCountry*
{victorpablosceruelos, dan.seeruttun}@gmail.com, susana@fi.upm.es

Keywords:

Active learning, Fuzzy logic, Database

Abstract:

The abstract should summarize the contents of the paper and should contain at least 70 and at most 200 words. The text must be set to 9-point font size.

1 INTRODUCTION

When trying to model a problem, assigning each concept to a term and an universal meaning is very important. These names must be very clear for anyone. However, problems can appear during the modelization of a fuzzy or subjective problem, where terms meaning vary and are not clear.

For instance, trying to model the concept of the predicate expensive car is difficult because it involves each person own idea of expensive to represent it. Secondly, how can a car be defined with an expensive and non expensive term ? If sticking to the reality is an objective, being able to define the expensiveness of any product is the key.

Thus a better representation of the predicate is needed, associating a cost and its match with the predicate is the idea. That's introducing the concept of truth value, seen in (Citation Victor Thesis), a value that will represent the match between fuzzy values and their predicates, or in our example costs and the predicate expensive. With this modelization, it will become possible to search through databases, coupling truth values and fuzzy predicates and even going further by personalizing predicate to users. In this paper, FLESE will be presented, an implementation of the fuzzy concept through databases, adaptative and able to personalize to each user.

2 FLESE

3 ADAPTATIVE PERSONALIZED FRAMEWORK

3.1 USER PERSONALIZATION

Flese application brings the treatment of the fuzzy queries but it does not solve the problem of the subjectiveness of the fuzzy queries. Indeed, an expensive car does not have the same meaning for everyone.

The point of the user personalization is to give to the user the opportunity to modify the definition of the fuzzy predicates, thus to overcome the subjectivity of the vocabulary used. Moreover, a personalization does not affect other users, so each user can create his own definitions of the same predicate to access the same database using Flese. The database file will then contain all users personalization.

For instance, if the user is looking for an expensive car, he will find the following solutions:

The 6 first results will be expensive car with a truth value of 1, this table of results will not

Table 1: Results of simple expensive car query

N0	Name	Price	Truth value
1	VW Caddy	45000	1
2	Alfa Romeo	30000	1
3	Aston Martin	150000	1
4	Ford S Maxi	30000	1
5	Audi TT	40000	1
6	Audi Quattro	48000	1

please a rich user, that will be interested in a higher cost for the predicate expensive.

Then he will assign a truth value to different ranges of prices, from inferior to 10000 € to more than 1000000 €. A rich user may personalize this predicate imposing that a car valued at 30000 € is not really expensive (truth value = 0.40) and a car valued at 1000000 € is very expensive (truth value = 1). After being modified, a message appears confirming the modification.

A car whose value for price in euros is	Is expensive with a degree of	Current Value	Default Value
0		0	0
10000		0.10	0.5
30000		0.40	1
1000000		1	1

Save modifications Program file db_shopping.pl owned by appAdm has been updated.

Figure 1: This caption has one line so it is centered.

After having modified the predicate, the user can still have a look to the default value of the predicate in case of he is lost. This part remains important to help the user to get back from a wrong personalization. The result of the query is the following:

Table 2: Results of fuzzy expensive car query

N0	Name	Price	Truth value
1	Aston Martin	150000	0.47
2	VW Caddy	45000	0.41
3	Audi TT	40000	0.41
4	Audi Quattro	48000	0.41
5	Alfa Romeo	30000	0.4
6	Ford S Maxi	30000	0.4

Asking for his expensive car, the user knows by reading the truth values that there is no expensive car according to his definition. The more expensive car has a truth value of 0.47. However, he can still compare the price of the other cars,

The owner of the file can decide which predicate he wants to authorize the personalization. He keeps the control on the file, he can impose a default value of the fuzzification before any personalization.

3.2 MACHINE LEARNING FOR IMPROVING SEARCHING CRITERIA

Starting considering an increasing number of users, it becomes interesting to search for better predicate criteria. Indeed, the users joining the application after the others can benefit from the others data. Knowing that people share some predicate personalization can lead to an improvement of the criteria for the newcomers. In that sense, Flese changes the values of the default function for the newcomers' and non personalized's predicate according to what is most representative to the users.

These changes will have no effect on the parametrized fuzzification if it has been already changed. For example, for the expensive predicate, if we introduce more rich people than poor, the definition of the predicate will adapt to the situation, following the rich people predicates.

The searching criteria will then adapt to the population of the users. Even after deciding to invert the population rate, by adding more poor people, the predicate criteria will be personalized by the new population to fit their idea. In that case, the criteria will represent more the poor people. For instance, if most of the people consider that 10000 € and 30000 € is not expensive, the default expensive predicate will adapt according to it. Here is an example showing it:

4 COPYRIGHT FORM

For the mutual benefit and protection of Authors and Publishers, it is necessary that Authors provide formal written Consent to Publish and Transfer of Copyright before publication of the Book. The signed Consent ensures that the publisher has the Author's authorization to publish the Contribution.

The copyright form is located on the authors' reserved area.

The form should be completed and signed by one author on behalf of all the other authors.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Please note that ONLY the files required to compile your paper should be submitted. Previous versions or examples MUST be removed from the compilation directory before submission.

We hope you find the information in this template useful in the preparation of your submission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

If any, should be placed before the references section without numbering. To do so please use the following command: `\section*{ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS}`

REFERENCES

Smith, J. (1998). *The Book*. The publishing company, London, 2nd edition.

APPENDIX

If any, the appendix should appear directly after the references without numbering, and not on a new page. To do so please use the following command: `\section*{APPENDIX}`