

Intro to Algebra W3-2

fat

March 8, 2024

Proof of theorem 4 + 6.

We first prove that $F(\alpha) = F[\alpha]$. Clearly, $F[\alpha] \subseteq F(\alpha)$. We now prove $F(\alpha) \subseteq F[\alpha]$. Let $f(\alpha)/g(\alpha) \in F(\alpha), g(\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $m(x) = m_{\alpha, F}(x)$. Now since $m(x)$ is irreducible over F and has the property that $p(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow m(x)|p(x)$. The assumption $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ implies $GCD(g(x), m(x)) = 1$. ($GCD(m(x), h(x))$ is either 1 or $m(x)$ since $m(x)$ is irreducible over F .) Then since $F[x]$ is a PID, $\exists a(x), b(x) \in F[x]$ s.t. $a(x)g(x) + b(x)m(x) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow a(\alpha)g(\alpha) &= 1 \Rightarrow g(\alpha)^{-1} = a(\alpha) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} &= f(\alpha)a(\alpha) \in F[\alpha] \\ \Rightarrow F(\alpha) &\subseteq F[\alpha] \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that

$$F[x]/(m(x)) \simeq F[\alpha]$$

Define $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow F[\alpha]$ by $f(x) \mapsto f(\alpha)$. It is a surjective ring homomorphism with $\ker(\phi) = \{f(x) \in F[x] : f(\alpha) = 0\} (= I_\alpha) = (m(x))$.

$$\Rightarrow F[x]/(m(x)) \simeq F[\alpha]$$

We now prove that $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is a basis for $F(\alpha)$ over F , where $n = \deg(m(x))$. For $f(\alpha) \in F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$, $\exists! q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = q(x)m(x) + r(x) \Rightarrow f(\alpha) = r(\alpha) \\ r(x) = 0 \text{ or } \deg(r(x)) < \deg(m(x)) \end{cases}$$

This implies that every element of $F[\alpha]$ can be written as $r(\alpha)$ for some $r(x) \in F[x]$ with $r(x) = 0$ or $\deg(r) \leq n-1$. $\Rightarrow \{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ spans $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$. Now if a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} are elements of F s.t. $a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} = 0$. Then $m(x)|(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1})$. Thus, $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is linearly independent over F . \square

Example 1. $F = \mathbb{R}, \alpha = i = \sqrt{-1}, m(x) = x^2 + 1$. According to the proof of the theorem, to find $(ai + b)^{-1}$, where $a, b \neq 0$. We shall find $s(x), t(x)$ s.t. $s(x)(ax + b) + t(x)(x^2 + 1) = 1$. Then $(ai + b)^{-1} = s(i)$. We have

$$x^2 + 1 = (a^{-1}x - \frac{b}{a^2})(ax + b) + 1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow s(x) = \frac{x/a - b/a^2}{+b^2/a^2} = \frac{ax - b}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$(ai + b)^{-1} = s(i) = \frac{ai - b}{a^2 + b^2}$$

Remark 1. If α is transcendental over F , then $F(\alpha) \simeq F(x)$.

Proposition 1. α is algebraic over $F \Leftrightarrow [F(\alpha) : F] < \infty$.

Proof.

We have proved \Rightarrow in Theorem 4 + 6. Conversely, assume that $[F(\alpha) : F] = n < \infty$. Consider $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^n$. Since $\# \{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^n\} = n + 1 > \dim_F(F(\alpha)) = n$, $\exists a_0, \dots, a_n \in F$, not all zero s.t. $a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_n\alpha^n = 0$. $\Rightarrow \alpha$ is algebraic over F . \square

Corollary 1. If K/F is a finite extension, then K/F is an algebraic extension. (The converse is not true in general.)

Theorem 1. Let $L/K/F$. Then $[L : F] = [L : K][K : F]$.

Example 2. Claim $\sqrt{2} \ni \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$.

Proof.

iWe have $[\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Now if $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$, then $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}]$, which is impossible. \square

Proof of Theorem.

Note that if any of the degree is ∞ , then both sides are equal to ∞ . So we assume that $[L : K] = m, [K : F] = n$ are finite. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ be a basis for L over K , $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ be a basis for K over F .

Claim. $\{\alpha_i\beta_j : i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a basis for L over F .

Proof.

Given $r \in L$. Since $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is a basis for L/K , we have

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \alpha_i \text{ for some } a_i \in K$$

Then because $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ is a basis for K over F , we have for each i

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \beta_j \text{ for some } b_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow r = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \beta_j \right) \alpha_i \in \text{span of } \{\alpha_i \beta_j\}$$

$$\Rightarrow L \subseteq \text{span}\{\alpha_i\beta_j\}$$

Now assume that c_{ij} are elements of F s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \alpha_i \beta_j = 0$$

Then

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \beta_j \right) \alpha_i$$

Since $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is linearly independent over K . We have $\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \beta_j = 0 \ \forall i$. Then since $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ is linearly independent over F , we have $c_{ij} = 0 \ \forall i, j$

□

□