

# COM-440, Introduction to Quantum Cryptography, Fall 2025

## Exercise Solution # 6

### 1. Deterministic Extractors on Bit-Fixing Sources

- (a)
- We can think of generating  $X_0$  by  $n-t$  independent fair coin flips, so each of its strings occurs with equal probability  $2^{t-n}$  and  $H_{\min}(X_0) = -\log 2^{t-n} = n-t$ .
  - The number of strings with an even number of 0s is equal to the number of strings with an odd number of 0s, so each of these is equal to  $2^{n-1}$ . Thus the min-entropy of  $X_1$  is  $-\log \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = (n-1)$ .
  - As before, think of generating  $X_2$  through a series of independent fair coin flips: it is fully determined by  $\frac{n}{2}$  of them and so  $H_{\min}(X_2) = \frac{n}{2}$ .
- (b) Let us look at all the proposed answers consecutively. We're interested in finding which ones are distributed as a uniformly random bit.

- $f_0(X_0)$  — false. Since the first  $t$  bits of  $X_0$  is fixed ( $100 \cdots 00$ ),  $f_0(X_0)$ , the parity of the first  $t$  bits of  $X_0$ , is always 1.
- $f_0(X_1)$  — true. The string  $X_1$  can be seen as  $n-1$  random bits followed by a bit that is fully determined by the previous  $n-1$  bits. Therefore, the first  $n-1$  bits of  $X_1$  is distributed uniformly, and thus  $f_0(X_1)$ , the parity of the first  $t \leq n-1$  bits of  $X_1$ , is distributed uniformly.
- $f_0(X_2)$  — true. The first  $\frac{n}{2}$  bits of  $X_2$  is distributed uniformly, and thus  $f_0(X_2)$ , the parity of the first  $t < \frac{n}{2}$  bits of  $X_2$ , is distributed uniformly.
- $f_1(X_0)$  — true. Let  $X_0 = x_1 \cdots x_n$ . Then  $f_1(X_0) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} x_i x_{i+n/2} \right) \bmod 2 = (x_{1+n/2} + \sum_{i=t+1}^n x_i x_{i+n/2}) \bmod 2$ . Since  $x_{1+n/2}$  is a random bit,  $f_1(X_0)$  is distributed as a uniformly random bit.
- $f_1(X_1)$  — it depends on  $n$ . If  $n$  is divisible by 4,  $f_1(X_1)$  is not a uniformly random bit; otherwise, if  $n$  is even but not divisible by 4,  $f_1(X_1)$  is a uniformly random bit. The reason is as follows.

The string  $X_1$  can be seen as  $n-1$  random bits followed by a bit that is fully determined by the previous  $n-1$  bits. We can write it as  $x_1 \cdots x_n$  where  $x_n = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i) \bmod 2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(X_1) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} x_i x_{i+n/2} \right) \bmod 2 \\
 &= \left( x_{n/2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2-1} x_i x_{i+n/2} \right) \bmod 2 \\
 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2-1} (x_i + x_{n/2})(x_{i+n/2} + x_{n/2}) - (n/2 - 2)x_{n/2} \right) \bmod 2 .
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $n$  is divisible by 4, the above equation can be simplified to

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2-1} ((x_i + x_{n/2})(x_{i+n/2} + x_{n/2}) \bmod 2) \right) \bmod 2 .$$

Each term in the summation is independent and identically distributed, and is 1 with probability  $1/4$ , and is 0 with probability  $3/4$ . Therefore, the parity of the terms in the summation is not a uniformly random bit (but becomes closer to uniformly random bit when  $n$  becomes bigger).

If  $n$  is not divisible by 4, the above equation can be simplified to

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2-1} (x_i + x_{n/2})(x_{i+n/2} + x_{n/2}) - x_{n/2} \right) \bmod 2 .$$

Note that the following  $n - 1$  random variables,  $x_i + x_{n/2}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n/2 - 1, n/2 + 1, \dots, n - 1$ ),  $x_{n/2}$  are uniform random bits that are independent. Therefore,  $f_1(X_1)$  is a uniform random bit.

- $f_1(X_2)$  — true. Since the first  $\frac{n}{2}$  bits of  $X_2$  are the same as the second half, we have  $x_L \cdot x_R = \text{XOR}(x_L) = \text{XOR}(x_R)$  which is a uniformly random bit since the strings  $x_L = x_R$  are random.
- $f_2(X_0)$  — true. Since the last  $n - k$  bits of  $X_0$  are fully random, the XOR of the entire string will result in a uniformly random bit.
- $f_2(X_1)$  — false. Since the number of 0's in the string is known the parity of the string (computed by the XOR) is 0 for  $n$  even.
- $f_2(X_2)$  — false. Since the first  $\frac{n}{2}$  bits of  $X_2$  are the same as the second half, the parity of the bit string is zero.

- (c) We divide  $X$  into  $\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor$  blocks of length at least  $t+1$ , i.e.  $X = Y_1 \parallel Y_2 \parallel \dots \parallel Y_{\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor}$  where each  $Y_i$  has length at least  $t+1$ . We define  $g(Y)$  = the XOR of all the bits of  $Y$  and set  $f(X) = g(Y_1) \parallel g(Y_2) \parallel \dots \parallel g(Y_{\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor})$ .

From the construction,  $f(X)$  is a deterministic function. Moreover, each  $g(Y_i)$  is totally uncorrelated from Eve's bits as Eve learns at most  $t$  bits of  $X$  (so at least one bit of  $Y_i$  is hidden from Eve's view). Notice that each  $g(Y_i)$  is independent as  $Y_i$  is independent. Therefore,  $f(X)$  is uniformly random over strings of length  $\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor$  and is totally uncorrelated from Eve's bits.