

COM-440, Introduction to Quantum Cryptography, Fall 2025

Exercise Solution # 4

1. Using the Pretty-Good Measurement

- (a) The overall success probability is $\frac{1}{3} \langle + | \rho_0 | + \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle - | \rho_2 | - \rangle = \frac{1}{3}$.
- (b) Bob's overall success probability is $\frac{1}{3} \langle 0 | \rho_0 | 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle 1 | \rho_2 | 1 \rangle = \frac{2}{3}$.
- (c) Let $\rho = \frac{1}{3}(\rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}$. The elements of the pretty-good measurement are $M_i = \frac{1}{3}\rho^{-1/2}\rho_i\rho^{-1/2}$. Since $\rho = \frac{1}{2}id$, we have that $\rho^{-1/2} = \sqrt{2}id$. The overall success probability is

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_i \text{Tr}(M_i \rho_i) = \frac{2}{9} \sum_i \text{Tr}(\rho_i^2) = \frac{2}{9} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{5}{9}.$$

- (d) We check that for each i , $\frac{1}{3}\rho_i \leq \sigma = \frac{1}{3}id$. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{3}\rho_0 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{3}id, \quad \frac{1}{3}\rho_1 = \frac{1}{6}id \leq \frac{1}{3}id, \quad \frac{1}{3}\rho_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{3}id.$$

Thus the upper bound on the guessing probability is thus $\text{Tr } \sigma = \frac{2}{3}$.

- (e) If the optimal measurement has POVM elements $\{M_i\}$, then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i p_i \text{Tr}(M_i \sigma_i) &= \sum_i \text{Tr}(M_i \cdot (p_i \sigma_i)) \\ &\leq \sum_i \text{Tr}(M_i \sigma) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\left(\sum_i M_i\right)\sigma\right) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Here, for the second line we used that if $\rho \leq \sigma$ then for any positive semidefinite M , $\text{Tr}(M(\sigma - \rho)) \geq 0$, i.e. $\text{Tr}(M\rho) \leq \text{Tr}(M\sigma)$.

2. Deterministic Extractors on Bit-Fixing Sources

- (a) We can think of generating X_0 by $n - t$ independent fair coin flips, so each of its strings occurs with equal probability 2^{t-n} and $H_{\min}(X_0) = -\log 2^{t-n} = n - t$.
- (b) The number of strings with an even number of 0s is equal to the number of strings with an odd number of 0s, so each of these is equal to 2^{n-1} . Thus the min-entropy of X_1 is $-\log \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = (n - 1)$.

- (c) As before, think of generating X_2 through a series of independent fair coin flips: it is fully determined by $\frac{n}{2}$ of them and so $H_{\min}(X_2) = \frac{n}{2}$.
- (d) Let us look at all the proposed answers consecutively. We're interested in finding which ones are not constant.
- $f_1(X_1)$ — true. The string X_1 can be seen as $n - 1$ random bits followed by a bit that is fully determined by the previous $n - 1$ bits. Since there are $n - 1$ random bits, performing $x_L \cdot x_R$ will generate a random bit. Notice that this is not uniformly random; for example, if $n = 4$, then an output of 0 is 3 times more likely than an output of 1.
 - $f_1(X_2)$ — true. Since the first $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of X_2 are the same as the second half, we have $x_L \cdot x_R = \text{XOR}(x_L) = \text{XOR}(x_R)$ which is a uniformly random bit since the strings $x_L = x_R$ are random.
 - $f_2(X_0)$ — true. Since the last $n - k$ bits of X_0 are fully random, the XOR of the entire string will result in a uniformly random bit.
 - $f_2(X_1)$ — false. Since the number of 0's in the string is known the parity of the string (computed by the XOR) is 0 for n even.
 - $f_2(X_2)$ — false. Since the first $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of X_2 are the same as the second half, the parity of the bit string is zero.
- (e) The XOR of all of the bits is equal to $b \oplus r$, for r equal to the XOR of the bits learned by Eve and b equal to the XOR of all of the other bits. Regardless of the distribution of r , $b \oplus r$ is uniform independent of Eve's knowledge.
- (f) $t = n - 1$. In this case there is at least one bit that Eve did not get access to. Call this bit b . From Eve's point of view, b is uniformly distributed and independent of everything else. We only require the existence of one bit that Eve does not get access to.
- (g) Following the last question, each subsources must have at least $t + 1$ bits. They can make at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor$ such subsources.